The effect of a direct arterial infusion of insulin and glucose on the ovarian secretion rates of androstenedione and oestradiol in ewes with an autotransplanted ovary
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Abstract

Improving ewe nutrition even for short periods will increase ovulation rate. The increased nutrients must in some way affect the number of follicles that develop to the pre-ovulatory stage. One possible mechanism is that a nutrient or a metabolic hormone that responds to nutrition might act directly on the ovary to influence follicle development and/or follicle selection. In the study described here, insulin and glucose, alone or together, were infused directly into the ovarian artery of ewes with an autotransplanted ovary, for 13.5 h on day 11 of the oestrous cycle. The pattern of androstenedione and oestradiol secretion in response to a GnRH-stimulated LH pulse was measured 2.5 h before and 12.5 h and 24.5 h after the start of the infusion. Glucose or insulin infused alone had no effect on the secretion of androstenedione and oestradiol. However, when infused together, they decreased significantly the secretion of androstenedione and, to a lesser extent, oestradiol. We suggest that the sudden availability of additional glucose and insulin increases insulin-stimulated glucose uptake by the follicle. This leads to an inhibition of LH-stimulated steroidogenesis by the ovarian follicle which occurs in the absence of any detectable changes in circulating plasma concentrations of FSH. These results show that insulin and glucose act together to influence ovarian function directly and suggest that the effects of short-term nutrition on ovulation rate may be mediated by a direct ovarian action of insulin and glucose.
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Introduction

A short period of improved nutrition stimulates ovulation rate in sheep. For example, lupin grain supplements fed to ewes for only 4 to 6 days just before luteolysis will increase ovulation rate (Stewart & Oldham 1986, Downing et al. 1995a). Feeding a supplement over a precisely defined period is very useful in elucidating the mechanism(s) by which changes in short-term nutrition can influence ovulation rate. Short-term intravenous administration of the energy yielding substrates, glucose and acetate, will also increase ovulation rate to a similar level to that seen when feeding lupin grain (Teleni et al. 1989a). Furthermore, the increase in ovulation rate following lupin grain feeding is strongly correlated with an increase in glucose entry rate (Teleni et al. 1989b). These studies suggest that the increased supply of glucose to the ovary could be the stimulus that increases ovulation rate.

A normal consequence of an increase in energy supply is an increase in circulating insulin. Studies with experimental animals have endeavoured to define a role for insulin in ovarian function (Liu et al. 1972, Kirchick et al. 1979, 1982, Bestetti et al. 1985). Various actions of insulin on ovarian cell function have been described. These include non-specific effects relating to general metabolic actions and growth promoting effects. Insulin actions can also be specific and these include synergism with follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) to stimulate oestrogen and progesterone production by induction of steroidogenic enzymes (Poretsky & Kalin 1987).

Insulin administration to gilts on high or moderate energy intake increased ovulation rate (Cox et al. 1987), while insulin given to gilts on restricted energy intake decreased follicular atresia (Matamoros et al. 1990). Daily intramuscular injections of insulin given to ewes had no significant effect on ovulation rate (Leury et al. 1990), but infusion of insulin during the late luteal phase increased ovulation rate and the number of large follicles (Hinch & Roelofs 1986). These in vitro and in vivo studies using insulin clearly suggest that metabolic regulation of energy
supply is likely to be involved in the mechanism by which nutrition influences ovulation rate.

The ewe with an autotransplanted ovary (Goding et al. 1967) provides a useful model which allows the direct ovarian arterial infusion of substances and the study of their effect on ovarian secretion of steroids. In the study reported here, ewes with an autotransplanted ovary were given ovarian arterial infusions of insulin, glucose or insulin plus glucose for 13.5 h on day 11 of the oestrous cycle. The secretion of androstenedione and oestradiol in response to a gonadotrophin-releasing hormone (GnRH)-induced luteinizing hormone (LH) pulse was determined before and at 12.5 and 24.5 h after the start of infusion.

Materials and Methods

Animals and diet

The animals used were 28 Border Leicester Merino cross ewes with a mean body weight of 58 ± 0.6 kg. These ewes had the left ovary and vascular pedicle autotransplanted (Goding et al. 1967); at the time of transplantation the right ovary was removed. The animals were studied in the normal breeding season and housed in a temperature-controlled (20 °C) room. At the start of the experiment the ewes were placed in elevated metabolism cages (Till & Downes 1963) and a diet of hammermilled oat straw supplemented with minerals was available ad libitum (Downing et al. 1995a).

Oestrous synchronisation

The oestrous cycles of the ewes were synchronised using an injection of 125 µg Cloprostenol, an analogue of prostaglandin F2α (Estrumate; ICI Pty Ltd, Sydney, Australia) and a progestagen-containing pessary (medroxy progesterone acetate; Repromap, Upjohn Pty Ltd, Sydney, Australia) inserted at the same time. Removal of the pessary 12 days later was followed by oestrus and ovulation after 48 to 96 h; oestrus was detected by vasectomised rams. To ensure that oestrous cycles were synchronised and that all ewes were in the mid-luteal phase during the experiments, blood samples were collected by jugular venepuncture every 2 to 3 days from the first prostaglandin injection. The resulting plasma samples were assayed for progesterone. The experiment was carried out during the mid-luteal phase of the oestrous cycle that followed removal of the progestagen pessaries.

Cannulations

On the day prior to the start of blood sampling, that is 10 days following oestrus, the jugular vein draining the transplanted ovary, the contra-lateral jugular vein and the carotid artery supplying blood to the transplanted ovary were all cannulated (Downing 1994). Cannulation was carried out following an injection of a local anaesthetic (Xylocaine; Astra Pharmaceuticals, North Ryde, Australia) into the skin surrounding the cannulation site (Downing 1994). Blood sampling and infusions were started the day following cannulation.

Pharmaceutical treatments

Following cannulation and daily thereafter, all ewes were given a 4 ml intramuscular injection of antibiotic (Hydropen; Bomac Laboratories, Castle Hill, NSW, Australia). Over the blood sampling and infusion periods all ewes were given 5000 IU heparin (Boots (Aust) Pty Ltd, North Rocks, Australia) every 3 or 4 h, and between blood samples the cannulae were filled with heparinised saline (50 or 500 IU/ml).

Ovarian arterial infusion

Solutions of insulin and glucose were made up in sterile physiological saline and were infused directly into the ovarian artery for 13.5 h (Collett et al. 1973) using a Harvard mechanical infusion pump (Model 944; Harvard Apparatus Ltd, Edenbridge, Kent, UK). Six ewes received an infusion of glucose, seven were infused with insulin and seven were infused with a mixture of glucose and insulin. Eight ewes were infused with saline alone and served as a control group. The glucose infusate was prepared by diluting 500 ml of a commercially available 25% glucose solution (AHB-0224; Baxter Healthcare, Toongabbie, NSW, Australia) in 500 ml sterile saline to which was added 1 g ovine albumin. The insulin solution was prepared by dissolving 2.02 g ovine insulin (I-9254; Sigma Chemical Company, St Louis, MO, USA) and 1 g ovine albumin (A-3624; Sigma Chemical Company) in 11 sterile saline (Baxter Healthcare). The combined insulin and glucose infusate was prepared by dissolving 2.02 g ovine insulin, 1 g ovine albumin and 500 ml of the commercial glucose solution in 500 ml sterile saline. A control infusate was prepared by dissolving 1 g normal sheep albumin in 1 litre sterile saline. The treatment solutions were infused at a rate of 10 to 12 ml/h through the carotid arterial cannula and were directed through the ovary by occluding the carotid artery distal to the arterial anastomosis with a pediatric manometer cuff inflated to 200 mm Hg (Collett et al. 1973).

Experimental design

On day 11 of the oestrous cycle, 8 ewes were infused with the control solution, 7 with the insulin solution, 6 with the glucose solution and 7 with the mixture of insulin and glucose. Infusion was for a period of 13.5 h starting at 0900 h. On three occasions, each ewe was injected intravenously with 150 ng GnRH (Sigma Chemical Company, St Louis, MO, USA) and given a 4 ml intramuscular injection of antibiotic at 5 h starting at 0900 h. On three other occasions, each ewe was infused with saline alone and served as a control group. The insulin solution was prepared by dissolving 2.02 g ovine insulin (I-9254; Sigma Chemical Company, St Louis, MO, USA) and 1 g ovine albumin (A-3624; Sigma Chemical Company) in 11 sterile saline (Baxter Healthcare). The combined insulin and glucose infusate was prepared by dissolving 2.02 g ovine insulin, 1 g ovine albumin and 500 ml of the commercial glucose solution in 500 ml sterile saline. A control infusate was prepared by dissolving 1 g normal sheep albumin in 1 litre sterile saline.
Company); these were at 2·5 h before and 12·5 h and 24·5 h after the start of the infusion. The experimental design is shown in Fig. 1.

**Blood sampling**

Ovarian venous (5 ml) and jugular venous (3 ml) blood samples were taken every 15 min from 30 min before each GnRH injection to 2·5 h after the injection. Ovarian venous and jugular venous blood samples were also taken at intervals of 3 or 4 h between the intensive sampling periods.

**Calculation of secretion rate**

The time taken to collect each ovarian venous sample was recorded. Blood haematocrit (Collett et al. 1973) was determined for the ovarian venous samples collected hourly during the intensive sampling periods and for the samples taken 3–4 hourly. These values were used to determine hormone secretion rates (Collett et al. 1973).

**Hormone analysis**

Tubes containing ovarian venous and jugular venous blood samples were placed on ice immediately following collection. Within 2 h of collection they were centrifuged at 4 °C and the plasma stored at –20 °C.

The plasma concentrations of LH, FSH and progesterone (Downing et al. 1995a), glucose and insulin (Downing et al. 1995b), oestradiol and androstenedione (Scaramuzzi & Downing 1995) were measured using assays previously validated in our laboratory. The LH antiserum (R29) was raised in rabbits against NIH-ovine (o) LH-S19 and showed less than 0·1% cross-reactivity with oFSH, oLH-α subunit, prolactin or bovine thyrotrophin (McNeilly et al. 1986). The range of the assay was zero to 47·9 ng/ml with a sensitivity of 0·2 ng/ml. The intra- and interassay coefficients of variation for a quality control of medium concentration were 8·1% and 3·4% respectively. FSH was assayed using NIAMDD-anti-oFSH-1. The concentration range of the assay was 0–17·5 ng/ml with a sensitivity of 0·06 ng/ml. The intra- and interassay coefficients of variation for a quality control of medium concentration were 14·6% and 11·4% respectively. Plasma insulin concentrations were also determined using a radioimmunoassay for ovine insulin. The intra-assay coefficient of variation was 5·7%, the interassay coefficient of variation was 5·2% and the sensitivity of the assay was 0·09 ng/ml. Glucose concentrations were determined using a spectrophotometric assay.

The concentrations of androstenedione, oestradiol-17β and progesterone were determined by radioimmunoassay following solvent extraction (Scaramuzzi et al. 1975, Downing et al. 1995a, Scaramuzzi & Downing 1995). The antisera for androstenedione (2119), oestradiol-17β (727) and progesterone (7943) were each raised in sheep against androstenedione-7-human serum albumin (HSA), 17β-oestradiol-6-BSA and progesterone-11-BSA respectively. The cross-reactivities of each of the three steroid antisera have been reported (Campbell et al. 1990). The sensitivities (minimum detectable mass) of the androstenedione, oestradiol-17β and progesterone radioimmunoassays were 4·0, 2·7 and 2·5 pg/tube respectively. The intra- and interassay coefficients of variation for androstenedione, oestradiol-17β and progesterone were 10·1% and 12·5%,
The concentration of LH was determined in all jugular venous plasma samples. The concentration of FSH was determined in jugular venous plasma samples collected on the hour (i.e. every 4th sample) and in the jugular venous plasma samples collected in-between these periods of intensive sampling. The concentration of glucose was determined in ovarian and jugular venous samples taken at nine sampling times during the course of the experiment. The concentration of insulin was determined in both ovarian and jugular venous plasma samples collected on the hour (i.e. every 4th sample) and in the ovarian and jugular venous plasma samples collected in-between the periods of intensive sampling. The concentrations of androstenedione and oestradiol were determined in all ovarian venous plasma samples. Progesterone concentrations were determined in samples collected by jugular venepuncture every 2 to 3 days over the pre-experimental synchronisation period and in selected ovarian venous samples.

**Statistical analysis**

Differences in the plasma levels of insulin, glucose and FSH were tested by a repeated measures (split plot) analysis of variance using the CLR Anova computer program on an Apple Macintosh computer (Clear Lake Research Incorporated, Houston, TX, USA).

A modified algorithm (Munro) based on the pulsar algorithm (Merriam & Wachter 1982) was used to analyse LH pulses (Munro Zaristow Software, West Morham, Haddington, East Lothian, Scotland) on an Apple Macintosh computer. Androstenedione and oestradiol-17β secretion rates at the time of induced LH pulses were then selected using the manual option in Munro. The pulse parameters estimated were pulse amplitude and the area under the pulse curve. Comparisons of treatment effects were made within treatment groups and between treatment groups using a repeated measures analysis of variance with the CLR ANOVA computer program on an Apple Macintosh computer with and without the pre-treatment response as a covariate.
Results

Glucose

The infusion of glucose into the ovarian artery significantly increased the ovarian venous plasma glucose concentration; after infusion the concentration returned rapidly to pre-infusion levels (Fig. 2). Ewes infused with glucose also had significantly higher peripheral plasma glucose concentrations in jugular venous plasma when compared with their pre-infusion concentrations (Fig. 2) indicating a slight spill-over of glucose into the systemic circulation.

Insulin

The infusion of insulin into the ovarian artery significantly increased the concentration of insulin in the ovarian venous plasma but it returned rapidly to pre-infusion concentrations after the infusion (Fig. 3). The infusion of glucose alone significantly increased the venous plasma concentrations of insulin (Fig. 3). The infusion of insulin and glucose into the ovarian artery resulted in slightly increased concentrations of insulin in jugular venous plasma (Fig. 3) indicating either a spill-over of insulin into the systemic circulation or increased secretion of endogenous insulin.

GnRH-stimulated LH release

Each injection of GnRH was followed by a pulse of LH (Table 1). There was significant between animal variation among the pre-infusion responses to GnRH. However, within animal and within treatment there were no significant differences in LH pulse amplitude.

Androstenedione secretion

In all ewes at all times there was a pulse-like increase in the secretion of androstenedione following GnRH (Fig. 4). Secretion had increased by 15 min after GnRH and continued to increase to peak values about 60 min after GnRH before declining to pre-GnRH values by 120 min (Fig. 4). For the ewes infused with a combination of insulin and glucose into the ovarian artery there was a

Figure 3 The mean (± S.E.M.) concentration of insulin in jugular (□) and ovarian (■) venous plasma of ewes before, during and after the direct ovarian arterial infusion of saline (controls, n=8), glucose (n=6), insulin (n=7) or insulin plus glucose (n=7).
significant depression of the maximum androstenedione secretion rate (Fig. 5) at 12·5 h and 24·5 h compared with maximum pre-infusion values. In all animals the maximum secretion rate of androstenedione observed following a GnRH challenge was lower at 12·5 h and 24·5 h than at pre-treatment. For the ewes infused with insulin alone or glucose alone there were no differences in the maximum androstenedione secretion rate at 12·5 h and 24·5 h compared with the pre-infusion values (Fig. 5).

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Pre-infusion</th>
<th>12·5 h after the start of infusion</th>
<th>24·5 h after the start of infusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>3·5 ± 0·7</td>
<td>3·2 ± 0·4</td>
<td>3·7 ± 0·5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>9·3 ± 1·9</td>
<td>8·0 ± 1·7</td>
<td>7·2 ± 1·1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin</td>
<td>5·3 ± 2·6</td>
<td>5·5 ± 2·5</td>
<td>5·5 ± 2·5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin plus glucose</td>
<td>6·2 ± 1·0</td>
<td>4·8 ± 1·0</td>
<td>4·6 ± 0·5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4 The acute response in mean ovarian secretion rate of androstenedione following a GnRH-induced LH pulse. Pulses were induced before (pre-treatment, stippled band), during (12·5 h post-treatment, ■) and after (24·5 h post-treatment, ●) the direct ovarian arterial infusion of saline (controls, n=8), glucose (n=6), insulin (n=7) or insulin plus glucose (n=7) in sheep with an autotransplanted ovary. The stippled band represents the mean pre-treatment response ± 1 S.E.M. *P < 0·05, **P < 0·01 compared with saline-infused controls at the same time.
Oestradiol secretion

In all ewes at all times there was a pulse-like increase in the secretion of oestradiol following GnRH (Fig. 6). Secretion had increased by 15 min after GnRH and continued to increase to peak values at about 75 min before declining to pre-GnRH rates by 150 min (Fig. 6). For the ewes infused with a combination of insulin and glucose into the ovarian artery there were significant depressions of oestradiol secretion rate at 60, 75 and 105 min after the injection of GnRH (Fig. 6) at 12.5 h compared with the pre-infusion rates. The maximum secretion rate of oestradiol observed following a GnRH challenge was lower in 6 of 7 ewes at 12.5 h and 5 of 7 ewes at 24.5 h than at pre-treatment. However, there were no significant differences in the maximum oestradiol secretion rate at 12.5 h and 24.5 h compared with the pre-infusion maximum rate (Fig. 5).

Progesterone

The concentrations of progesterone in ovarian venous plasma (Fig. 7) were highly variable. The mean concentration tended to be higher following GnRH. However, there were no significant effects of GnRH or the infusion of glucose, insulin or glucose and insulin together when compared with either their respective pre-treatment control period within treatment or with the saline-infused control group (Fig. 7).

FSH

At the time of GnRH injection there were no significant differences either between treatments or between times within treatments in the mean jugular venous plasma concentration of FSH (Table 2).

Discussion

The infusion of a combination of glucose and insulin into the ovarian artery decreased the ovarian secretion rate of androstenedione. The depression in androstenedione secretion was still evident 10.5 h after the end of the infusion. There was also a significant decrease in oestradiol secretion, but this was not as marked. The infusion of insulin alone or glucose alone had no effect on the rate of androstenedione or oestradiol secretion. The infusion of glucose into the ovarian artery increased the jugular venous concentrations of both insulin and glucose. While this observation suggests a spill-over of glucose into the systemic circulation leading to possible peripheral effects these are not likely to be reproductively important because corresponding changes in LH and FSH concentrations were not observed.

The treatments were given on day 11 of the oestrous cycle, and at this time there are usually 1 or 2 large oestrogenic follicles present on the ovaries (Campbell et al. 1991). Most of the androstenedione and oestradiol secreted from the ovary is derived from the large oestrogenic follicle(s) (Baird & Scaramuzzi 1976). Any change in the rate of steroid secretion is presumed to be due to changes in the function of the theca and/or granulosa cells of these follicles. Since androstenedione is derived from the thecal cells (Moor 1977, Fortune & Hansel 1985), the decreased secretion of androstenedione observed after the arterial infusion of glucose and insulin suggests that there has been...
a change in the capacity of the theca to secrete androstenedione in response to LH. Within treatment groups there was no significant difference in the LH response following GnRH injections given before, during and after infusion. Therefore, the change in androstenedione secretion following the infusion of glucose and insulin is unlikely to be caused by a reduction in the amplitude of GnRH-stimulated LH pulses. The decreased oestradiol secretion in ewes infused with glucose and insulin could be due to decreased availability of androstenedione substrate or decreased capacity of granulosa cells to convert androstenedione to oestradiol. These data do not allow a distinction between these two possibilities.

A role for insulin in ovarian function has been reported for a number of species (human, Djursing et al. 1982, Taylor 1987; rat, Liu et al. 1972, Kirchick et al. 1982, Bestetti et al. 1985; pig, Cox et al. 1987, Matamoros et al. 1990; cattle, Harrison & Randel 1986). Insulin receptors have been described in the ovary (Rein & Schomberg 1982, Poretsky et al. 1985, Veldhuis et al. 1985a, Davoren et al. 1986) and for this reason alone it could be expected that insulin has a role in ovarian function. The general biological actions of insulin can be separated into acute metabolic and chronic growth promoting effects. The effect of insulin could be non-specific – that is an action common to all cells – or it could be specific to follicle cells. In most cell types insulin stimulates the uptake of glucose and other nutrients and activates enzyme systems, and in dividing cells it regulates RNA and DNA synthesis (Goldfine 1987). The metabolic effects of insulin are usually observed at low concentrations and with a rapid onset, while the growth promoting effects are observed at high concentrations with response times measured in hours or days (King et al. 1980, King & Kahn 1981). If insulin-mediated uptake of glucose was acting on follicular cells to promote general metabolic activity, then a rapid increase in the secretion of steroids from functional, differentiated thecal and granulosa cells, following the infusion of glucose and insulin, would be expected. However, in the present work this was not observed, which suggests that the effect of glucose and insulin on ovarian function in vivo is not the result of a general stimulation of metabolic activity. The fact that the changes seen in the combined glucose and insulin infused ewes

Figure 6 The acute response in the mean ovarian secretion rate of oestradiol following a GnRH-induced LH pulse. Pulses were induced before (pre-treatment, stippled band), during (12·5 h post-treatment, ■) and after (24·5 h post-treatment, ▼) the direct ovarian arterial infusion of saline (controls, n=8), glucose (n=6), insulin (n=7) or insulin plus glucose (n=7) in sheep with an autotransplanted ovary. The stippled band represents the mean pre-treatment response ± 1 S.E.M. *P<0.05 compared with saline-infused controls at the same time.
were still present 10.5 h after the end of the infusion further indicates that the effects on the follicle were not simply due to changes in metabolic activity.

The in vivo experiments described in the present study show that neither insulin nor glucose alone will stimulate steroid secretion from the ovary of the ewe. Therefore, it appears unlikely that insulin has a direct, speciﬁc action on steroidogenesis in the follicle. When glucose is administered systemically (for example via a jugular venous infusion) a concomitant increase in insulin secretion makes it difficult to disentangle their effects on ovarian function. However, using the ovarian autotransplant and the direct arterial infusion technique we set out to study the action of glucose on ovarian function without a concomitant increase in insulin secretion. From these experiments we can conclude that the actions of glucose on the ovary require insulin.

If the effects of the combined insulin and glucose treatment are not due to increased metabolic activity then they are probably caused by speciﬁc effects on follicular cells. In vitro, insulin will synergise with FSH to stimulate oestradiol secretion from granulosa cells (Davoren & Hseuh 1984, Adashi et al. 1985b, Veldhuis et al. 1985b) and with LH to stimulate androgen production from theca cells (Tesone et al. 1983, Cara & Rosenfield 1988, Morley et al. 1989). These studies once again suggest that insulin infusion should increase the in vivo secretion of steroids from the ovary. The in vivo experiments described in the present study clearly show that neither insulin nor glucose alone could stimulate steroid secretion from the ovary. Therefore, it appears unlikely that insulin in vivo has a direct, stimulatory effect on the steroidogenic function of the follicle.

In vitro studies are difficult to extrapolate to the in vivo conditions especially in the case of insulin. Most in vitro studies use high levels of insulin which could down-regulate the insulin receptor or exert physiological action via the insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-I receptor (Rein & Schomberg 1982, Poretsky et al. 1985, Veldhuis et al. 1985b, Morley et al. 1989). If insulin at high concentrations in vitro down-regulates its own high afﬁnity receptor then it could have the opposite effect to insulin at physiological concentrations in vivo. Therefore, high insulin concentrations in vitro may stimulate steroid secretion by actually antagonising the effect of insulin. Alternatively, insulin at very high concentrations may act through the IGF-I receptor. Insulin-like growth factor-I will synergise with FSH to enhance steroid secretion from granulosa cells (Adashi et al. 1984, 1985a, 1986, Davoren et al. 1985), and with LH to stimulate androgen production from the theca (Erickson et al. 1985, Cara & Rosenfield 1988, Morley et al. 1989). If high concentrations of insulin were to activate the IGF-I receptor, enhanced steroid secretion would be expected. Under normal physiological conditions the insulin concentration observed in vivo will not activate the IGF-I receptor (Rein & Schomberg 1982, Ontani et al. 1985). In the present study, the infusion of insulin increased the insulin concentrations in the ovarian vein plasma to levels seen in the peripheral circulation of ewes infused with glucose (Downing et al. 1995b) and to levels within the range

**Table 2** The mean (± SEM) pre-GnRH concentration of FSH (ng/ml) in jugular venous plasma at three times (pre-infusion and 12.5 h and 24.5 h after the start of infusion) in sheep infused with saline (control), glucose, insulin, or a mixture of insulin plus glucose for 13.5 h on day 11 of the oestrous cycle. There were no signiﬁcant differences between times within treatment groups or between treatment groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Pre-infusion</th>
<th>12-5 h after the start of infusion</th>
<th>24-5 h after the start of infusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.94 ± 0.13</td>
<td>1.49 ± 0.21</td>
<td>1.06 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>1.21 ± 0.35</td>
<td>1.54 ± 0.30</td>
<td>1.24 ± 0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.23</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.91 ± 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin plus glucose</td>
<td>1.09 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
seen following lupin feeding (Downing et al. 1995a). These insulin levels probably did not stimulate the IGF-I receptor.

If it is assumed that the effects of insulin and glucose on steroid secretion are not a result of increased metabolic activity or specific effects on follicular cells, then they could be due to effects on cellular growth. Increased insulin-mediated uptake of glucose could act to stimulate the growth of follicular cells at the expense of their ability to acquire or maintain differentiated functions. Fully differentiated granulosa cells from rat follicles require continued exposure to FSH to maintain oestradiol synthesis (Peluso et al. 1991). When these cells were exposed to insulin in perfusion culture, their ability to secrete oestradiol was decreased and their mitogenic activity increased (Peluso et al. 1991). Thus, insulin was able to stimulate mitogenic activity and simultaneously to suppress oestradiol secretion in these fully differentiated granulosa cells. If similar actions occur in vivo, they could explain the decrease in androstenedione and oestradiol secretion from large oestrogenic follicles following the combined infusion of insulin and glucose.

The short-term studies described in this paper set out to investigate how nutritional supplements might increase ovulation rate. Glucose acts on the ovary to increase ovulation rate by increasing the number of ovulatory follicles (Downing et al. 1995b). In this experiment, insulin and glucose decreased the secretion of oestradiol (Fig. 6) and hence feedback control of FSH. We suggest that glucose and insulin act on the ovary to increase ovulation rate because there is a corresponding increase in the number of follicles developing to the ovulatory stage. We speculate that the acute response to increased insulin and glucose availability is the inhibition of oestradiol secretion by the dominant follicle leading to a reduced feedback control of FSH. We have observed this in ewes infused systemically with glucose in the late luteal phase (Downing et al. 1995b). In this experiment FSH levels remained elevated for 24 h after luteolysis in the glucose-infused ewes while they declined significantly within 3 h in control ewes (Downing et al. 1995b). At luteolysis the ovulatory follicle has the functional characteristics required to overcome the decline in FSH that occurs following luteolysis. Subordinate follicles do not and they undergo atresia. If the post-luteolysis fall in FSH is delayed by even a few hours additional ovulatory follicles may develop. We suggest that short-term nutritional effects on ovulation rate are the result of changes in the dynamic feedback inter-relationships between oestradiol and FSH in the 24 h after luteolysis.
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