Effect of adrenomedullin infusion on basal and stimulated aldosterone secretion in conscious sheep with cervical adrenal autotransplants
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Abstract

In vivo and in vitro studies have shown conflicting effects of adrenomedullin (ADM) on the secretion of steroid hormones from the adrenal gland. While some investigators report no effect of this peptide on the output of various hormones, others have reported both stimulatory and inhibitory roles for ADM. We have shown that basal aldosterone secretion rate (ASR), in conscious sheep with cervical adrenal autotransplants, did not change when ADM was infused directly into the adrenal arterial supply. While not affecting basal ASR, ADM did produce pronounced increases in adrenal blood flow (BF). This elevation of BF in association with ADM infusion was seen in all subsequent experiments. When aldosterone output was acutely stimulated by angiotensin II (AngII), potassium chloride (KCl) and adrenocorticotrophic hormone (ACTH), ADM was seen to drastically reduce the secretion of aldosterone with all agonists studied. After pre-exposure to ADM, all three agonists increased ASR but the magnitude of the responses were somewhat blunted. ADM did not have the same effect on cortisol secretion stimulated by ACTH, suggesting that the ability of this peptide to influence adrenal gland function is limited to the zona glomerulosa. In conditions of chronic elevation of aldosterone levels, such as in Na deficiency, ADM did not display the same inhibitory abilities seen in the acute stimulation experiments. Hence, ADM has been shown to have a direct, inhibitory role on the acute stimulation of aldosterone by AngII, KCl and ACTH while not affecting basal or chronic aldosterone secretion or cortisol secretion stimulated by ACTH.
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Introduction

Adrenomedullin (ADM) is a recently discovered hypotensive peptide, originally isolated from human phaeochromocytomas (Kitamura et al. 1993). Subsequently, ADM transcription and translation products have been demonstrated in the adrenal medulla of several mammalian species, such as humans (Kitamura et al. 1994a), bovines (Katoh et al. 1994), pigs (Ichiki et al. 1995) and rats (Sakata et al. 1994). A high concentration of ADM circulates in the blood (Kitamura et al. 1994b), suggesting a possible physiological role of ADM in the control of circulation. Human ADM is a 52-amino acid peptide with a single internal disulfide bond, which is produced as a part of the 185-amino acid pro-hormone, preproadrenomedullin (Ishimitsu et al. 1994a). Biological actions attributed to ADM include potent and sustained reduction of arterial pressure in a number of species, including rats (Ishiyama et al. 1993), sheep (Parkes & May 1995) and humans (Lainchbury et al. 1997), as well as natriuresis and diuresis in dogs (Ebara et al. 1994). Endocrine actions include suppression of adrenocorticotrophic hormone (ACTH) secretion from cultured pituitary cells (Samson et al. 1995).

The adrenal medulla is one of the major production sites of ADM, and like other regulatory peptides contained in adrenal medulla (for a review see Nussdorfer 1996), ADM was found to affect the secretory activity of the rat zona glomerulosa. However, its effect on aldosterone secretion remains unclear and results published to date are contradictory; it was first suggested that the actions of ADM may be dependent on the tissue preparation used. There have been reports of inhibition of aldosterone secretion in dispersed cells (Yamaguchi et al. 1995, Mazzocchi et al. 1996b, Andreis et al. 1997). On the other hand, stimulation of aldosterone secretion in rat zona glomerulosa cells (Kapas et al. 1998), adrenal slices (Andreis et al. 1997), intact capsules (Kapas & Hinson 1996) and in the intact perfused rat adrenal preparation (Mazzocchi et al. 1996a) has been observed. Evidence indicates that ADM specifically inhibits potassium- and angiotensin II
Adrenomedullin and in vivo aldosterone secretion

R Salemi and others

Journal of Endocrinology (2000) 166, 389–399

( AngII)-stimulated aldosterone secretion, probably by impairing Ca2+ influx (Yamaguchi et al. 1995). Mazzochi et al. (1996b) confirmed these findings and also demonstrated that this ADM effect is mediated by subtype 1 calcitonin gene-related peptide (cGRP) receptors. In vivo, ADM has been shown to lower aldosterone plasma concentration in Na-depleted or bilaterally nephrectomised rats (Yamaguchi et al. 1996) and to suppress plasma aldosterone in sheep, despite high circulating levels of renin (Charles et al. 1997). However, no studies have examined the direct action of ADM on the adrenal gland in an in vivo situation.

In this study, sheep with a cervical adrenal autotransplant (Goding & Wright 1964) were used to characterise: (1) the effect of ADM on basal aldosterone secretion; (2) the interactions of ADM with AngII, potassium chloride (KCl) and ACTH, accepted major agonists of aldosterone secretion; and (3), the effect of ADM on chronically elevated levels of aldosterone in sheep with mild Na-deficiency, a situation where increased aldosterone secretion might be mediated, at least in part, by AngII. It is hoped that this will provide some insight into the role of ADM, and its mechanism of action in aldosterone control, and also shed some light on the mechanism of action, and role of AngII on aldosterone stimulation in conditions of Na depletion. An additional aim of the study is to determine whether any effect of ADM is limited solely to the zona glomerulosa or whether this peptide also has a role in influencing the function of the zona fasciculata. This question was tackled by investigating the role of ADM on ACTH-stimulated cortisol secretion.

The adrenal autotransplant preparation permits agents to be delivered directly into the adrenal arterial supply and permits the adrenal venous effluent to be collected continuously and completely without the recirculation of either aldosterone or infused agonists. This reduces interference by the extra-adrenal mechanisms regulating steroid secretion, a situation which cannot be achieved using other, more popular, in vivo techniques. In addition, this method, we believe, is more physiologically relevant than the in vitro methodologies that are currently in vogue.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Adult crossbreed Merino ewes were used in all studies. All animals were oophorectomised and had one adrenal gland completely removed to eliminate the effects of these organs on the function of the remaining adrenal gland. At the same time, cervical carotid/jugular loops were created on both sides of the animals. After a brief recovery period, all animals had a cervical adrenal autotransplant (Goding & Wright 1964) prepared at least 6 months before experimentation to allow for recovery from surgery. Sheep were fed a diet of oaten chaff (800 g/day) containing 80–120 mmol/kg Na+ and 270–380 mmol/kg K+ and water was offered ad libitum. All experiments were approved by the Animal Experimentation Ethics Committee of the Howard Florey Institute.

General methods

Infusions into the adrenal arterial supply were via the carotid/adrenal artery by a needle and cannula (Critchley Electrical, Silverwater, NSW, Australia; 0.58 mm inner diameter (ID); 0.96 mm outer diameter (OD)) attached to a slow infusion pump (C F Palmer Ltd, London, UK). Circulation of the infused agents was limited to the local vasculature of the adrenal transplant preparation by inflation of pneumatic cuffs (to 300 mmHg) around the exteriorised left jugular vein/carotid artery cranial to the adrenal and by application of finger pressure to the exteriorised jugular/adrenal vein distal to the adrenal. Cannulation of the jugular/adrenal vein (Portex Limited, Hythe, Kent, UK; 1.57 mm ID; 2.08 mm OD) permitted collection of adrenal venous blood. In addition, blood pressure (BP) was measured via a needle and cannula (Critchley Electrical; 1.18 mm ID; 1.70 mm OD) inserted into the right carotid artery and connected to a pressure transducer (Cobe, Dandenong South, Victoria, Australia; TD XIII) placed at the level of the heart. The signal from the pressure transducer was amplified and calibrated prior to each experiment against a mercury manometer. Signals were collected with a personal computer 486 data acquisition system using custom software (DT 2811 Board; Data Translation Inc., Marlborough, MA, USA) at 100 Hz for 10 s every 5 min.

Animals were made mildly Na-depleted by cannulation of the parotid duct (Critchley Electrical; 1.18 mm ID; 1.70 mm OD) and allowing uncompensated parotid salivary Na+ loss to proceed over 48 h. The degree of Na-depletion was estimated by measurement of salivary Na : K ratio in saliva samples collected before and after the 48 h period of parotid salivary loss.

Plasma analysis

Adrenal venous blood was collected into heparinised tubes during control and test infusions. Blood flow (BF) was calculated from the volume of adrenal vein blood collected for each timed bleed and presented as ml/min. Samples were centrifuged for 5 min at 12 000 r.p.m. (Biofuge A; Heraeus Septatech, Osterode, Germany) and haematocrit was read from a standard scale (Heraeus Septatech). Adrenal venous plasma was obtained by centrifugation of adrenal vein blood at 3000 r.p.m. for 5 min. Plasma [K] and [Na] concentrations were measured using an ion-selective electrode (Synchon CX5 Clinical System; Beckman, Fullerton, CA, USA). Aldosterone concentration in extracted adrenal venous plasma was measured by radioimmunoassay (Gogerly et al. 1993). Inter- and
intra-assay variations were 11 and 7.8% respectively. Cross-reactivity with other steroids was <0.01%. In each experiment, aldosterone secretion rate (ASR) was determined as a product of aldosterone concentration in adrenal venous plasma and adrenal blood flow. Plasma cortisol concentration was measured by radioimmunoassay of extracted plasma (Tangalakis et al. 1992). This assay was shown to have an intra-assay coefficient of variation of 10.3% at 50 pg/tube. The inter-assay variation at 30 pg/tube was 13.1% and 10.7% at 200 pg/tube. Cortisol secretion rate (FSR) was calculated in the same way as ASR. The sensitivities of both of these assays compares favourably with the double isotope dilution derivative assay, which has been validated for use with adult sheep plasma (Coghlan et al. 1996).

Reagents

Human ADM (1–52) was obtained from Bachem (Bubendorf, Switzerland). AngII-amide (Hypertensin) and ACTH (Synacthen) were purchased from Ciba Geigy (Pendle Hill, NSW, Australia). KCl was obtained from Merck (Melbourne, Australia).

Experimental protocols

Dose response effect of ADM on ASR A control infusion of 0.15 M NaCl or normal saline (NS; 22 ml/h) was made into the adrenal arterial supply. ADM was prepared as a solution in 0.15 M NS and infused into the adrenal arterial supply to give concentrations of 20, 2 and 0.2 µg/l in the adrenal arterial blood flow. These translate to final concentrations of ADM in the adrenal of 4 × 10⁻⁹, 4 × 10⁻¹⁰, and 4 × 10⁻¹¹ mol/l respectively. These concentrations have been shown to produce renal blood flow changes and effects on hemodynamic parameters in conscious sheep (Parkes & May 1995). Each ADM concentration was infused for 60 min in increasing order with adrenal venous blood collected at the end of each ADM infusion period (n = 6).

Effect of ADM infusion on acutely stimulated ASR and FSR Animals were subjected to the following treatments: (1) vehicle only (n = 4); (2) ADM only (n = 4); (3) AngII, ACTH or KCl agonist only (n = 4); (4) AngII, ACTH or KCl agonist only followed by a combined agonist/ADM infusion (n = 6, 5 and 4 respectively); and (5), ADM only followed by a combined agonist/ADM infusion (n = 6, 4 and 4 respectively). In all instances of ADM infusion, the peptide was given at a dose of 20 µg/IBF (lBF). AngII-amide was dissolved in 0.15 M NS and infused directly into the adrenal arterial supply to give a concentration of 0.05 nmol/l of AngII in the adrenal arterial supply. This rate of infusion is a maximally stimulatory dose to aldosterone secretion in vivo (Blair-West et al. 1963). ACTH (Synacthen) was dissolved in NS and infused to give a concentration of 0.02 nmol/l of ACTH in the adrenal arterial supply. This is a maximally stimulating concentration to aldosterone secretion in the sheep, lower rates being stimulatory only to cortisol secretion (Blair-West et al. 1962). KCl was dissolved in NS and infused at a rate calculated to increase plasma [K] in the adrenal arterial supply by 1 mmol/l. This elevation of adrenal arterial plasma [K] is maximally stimulatory to aldosterone secretion in Na-replete sheep (Funder et al. 1969). A control infusion of 0.15 M NS was made prior to each treatment as described above. For treatments 1–3, infusion was given for 60 min and bleeds performed at 15, 30, 45 and 60 min of infusion. For treatment 4, agonist was infused for 30 min and bleeds performed at 15 and 30 min; this was followed with a combined agonist/ADM infusion for a further 30 min with bleeds taken at 15 and 30 min also. Treatment 5 involved a reversal of infusates to treatment 4, with ADM infused alone followed by a combined agonist/ADM infusion.

Effect of ADM infusion on chronically stimulated ASR Animals (n = 4) were subjected to cannulation and pre-infusions of NS and ADM prior to parotid duct cannulation in order to establish a baseline effect of ADM. An initial control infusion of 0.15 M NS was carried out as described above and this was followed by a 60 min infusion of ADM with bleeds taken at 30 and 60 min. Parotid duct cannulations were then performed as described above and the animals left for 48 h to develop mild Na depletion. After this, animals were given another control infusion of 0.15 M NS, and ADM was again infused for 60 min with bleeds taken as before. The dose of ADM infused was 20 µg/IBF.

Statistical analysis

Results are expressed as means ± s.e.m. One way ANOVA with repeated measures was used to determine differences within the varied treatments of the study. Significance was assumed when P < 0.05. Where significant differences were obtained by ANOVA, an all pairwise Bonferroni t-test modified for multiple comparisons was applied. Changes were considered significant at P < 0.05.

Results

Dose response effects of ADM on ASR and adrenal BF are shown in Fig. 1. ADM was found to have no effect on basal aldosterone secretion even at the highest dose of 20 µg/IBF (lBF) (Fig. 1a). All values fall within the normal range of basal ASR (40–80 pmol/min). However, a significant increase in adrenal BF was observed with increasing ADM dose, with a significant difference seen at the highest dose of ADM of 20 µg/IBF (Fig. 1b). This is consistent with the well known vasodilatory role of this peptide. Plasma [Na]
was also affected at this dose with its level in plasma decreasing significantly. As a result of the changes seen in the adrenal vascular bed and plasma ion levels, this dose of ADM of 20 µg/lBF (4 × 10−9 mol/l) was chosen and used in all subsequent studies.

The effect of this dose of ADM on basal aldosterone secretion was investigated further. After 60 min of continuous ADM infusion, no significant changes in ASR to levels above, or below, the normal basal range were seen. However, a significant stimulatory effect was again observed in adrenal BF with ADM infusion (data not shown). This significant increase in adrenal BF associated with ADM infusion was consistently seen throughout the remainder of this study. No effect of ADM infusion was seen on BP, plasma [Na] or plasma [K] levels.

The next part of this study deals with the interaction of ADM with AngII. Infusion of normal saline vehicle (NS) alone for 1 h had no effect on ASR with no significant differences seen and all values falling within the above-mentioned normal range (Fig. 2). No other effects of NS were seen, with all other parameters measured showing no significant change. A control AngII infusion brought about a significant, 10-fold increase in ASR after only 15 min and this elevated response was sustained throughout the entire 60 min infusion period (Fig. 2). AngII did not influence BF, BP or plasma ion levels despite the big increase exerted on aldosterone secretion. The role of ADM on this stimulatory effect of AngII was studied. AngII was infused alone for 30 min, sufficient time for this peptide to elicit its maximal stimulatory effect, and this was followed by a combined AngII/ADM infusion for a further 30 min. AngII again produced an approximately 10-fold increase in aldosterone secretion. When ADM was co-infused with AngII, aldosterone secretion was inhibited significantly (Fig. 2). When ASR at this time point was compared with the corresponding ASR value at the 60 min time point of AngII control infusion, an almost 3-fold reduction in the stimulation of aldosterone elicited by AngII was observed (Fig. 2). The expected significant increases were observed in adrenal BF upon commencement of ADM infusion with the greatest difference observed at 30 min of co-infusion (12.2 ± 2.5 ml/min control vs 18.3 ± 2.6 ml/min; P<0.01). BP or plasma [Na] levels did not change throughout the experiment. Oddly, differences were seen in plasma [K] levels in the different infusion periods, despite neither AngII nor ADM affecting this parameter when infused separately for longer periods in earlier studies carried out in this investigation. The period of AngII infusion brought about a drop in plasma [K] and, when ADM was co-infused with AngII for 30 min, plasma [K] levels returned to control level. In the reverse experiment, the initial AngII infusion for 30 min was replaced with an ADM infusion followed by the same co-infusion of AngII/ADM. As seen previously, ADM alone had no effect on aldosterone secretion after 30 min.

Figure 1 Dose–response effect of ADM infusion on aldosterone secretion (a) and adrenal blood flow (b). Control infusion was 0.15 mol/l NS for 30 min. X-axis represent doses of ADM at 0, 0.2, 2 and 20 µg/litre of BF (lBF) respectively. Normal range represents expected basal secretion of aldosterone in normal, conscious, resting animal. Values are given as the mean ± S.E.M. (n=6). **P<0.01 compared with time 0.

Figure 2 Effect of ADM infusion on AngII-stimulated aldosterone secretion. The following infusions were made: normal saline alone for 60 min (■ n=4); AngII alone for 60 min (● n=4); AngII alone for 30 min followed by an AngII/ADM co-infusion for 30 min (▲ n=6), and a reverse infusion of ADM alone for 30 min followed by an AngII/ADM co-infusion (▼ n=6). Control infusion was 0.15 mol/l normal saline for 30 min prior to each treatment. Values are given as the mean ± S.E.M. for each group. ***P<0.001 compared with time 30 min of same treatment. †††P<0.001, ††P<0.01 compared with time 0 of same treatment.
During the co-infusion ASR was seen to increase significantly (by approximately 4-fold; Fig. 2). This 4-fold increase is a somewhat blunted response when compared with the 10-fold increases elicited by AngII alone seen previously (Fig. 2). Significant increases in adrenal BF were observed throughout the total 60 min infusion of ADM (9.4 ± 1.2 ml/min control vs 17.8 ± 2.2 ml/min at 45 min; *P<0.001). No significant changes in BP, plasma [Na] or plasma [K] were observed.

The effect of ADM on KCl-stimulated aldosterone secretion was the second acute interaction investigated. A control KCl infusion for 60 min significantly increased ASR, a greater than 10-fold stimulation of aldosterone output (Fig. 3). The stimulation was significant from 30 min of infusion and was sustained until the end of infusion. No BF changes or changes in BP were observed with this control KCl infusion. KCl was infused at a rate calculated to increase [K] in adrenal arterial blood by 1 mmol/l. This was achieved with a significant increase in plasma [K] levels compared with control throughout the entire infusion period. The increase in plasma [K] is concomitant with the increased aldosterone secretion. The study in which the interaction of ADM with KCl was investigated showed a significant increase in ASR after only 15 min of KCl infusion, with the stimulation more significant at 30 min (4-fold stimulation). Significant inhibition of ASR was observed with concomitant infusion of KCl with ADM, with stimulation almost completely abolished by KCl (Fig. 3). When this is compared with the corresponding time point of the KCl control infusion, it is clear that ADM completely blocked the stimulation of aldosterone by KCl (Fig. 3). Significant changes in adrenal BF were observed with concomitant infusion of KCl and ADM. BF was observed to increase significantly, coinciding with ADM infusion, from 10·0 ± 0.9 ml/min at 30 min of infusion of KCl alone to 17·1 ± 3·1 ml/min at 30 min of concomitant KCl/ADM infusion (*P<0.05). The increased BF with ADM infusion was not, however, significantly different to the control BF of 12·0 ± 1·3 ml/min. No changes in BP or plasma [Na] were evident throughout this experiment. Plasma [K] was seen to elevate significantly at 30 min of KCl infusion. The magnitude of this elevation was only 0.9 mmol/l and this explains why the magnitude of the ASR response was not as great in this experiment as it was for the KCl control experiment, where plasma [K] was raised by 1.1 mmol/l. Despite this, plasma [K] remained significantly and sufficiently elevated throughout the entire experiment. In the reverse experiment, ADM alone had no effect on ASR after 30 min. KCl stimulation of aldosterone in the adrenal gland pre-exposed to ADM was significant, with a 3-fold stimulation (Fig. 3). This was, however, only half as great as the stimulation seen with only KCl infused for the same amount of time (Fig. 3). Adrenal BF was significantly increased throughout the entire experiment (10·8 ± 2·4 ml/min control vs 19·4 ± 3·8 ml/min at 60 min; *P<0.01), reflecting the continuous infusion of ADM throughout the entire 60 min. No change in BP was seen throughout the experiment. Plasma [K] was significantly increased by 0·6 mmol/l at the end of concomitant KCl/ADM infusion, leading to the stimulation of aldosterone secretion. Plasma [Na] was also significantly changed at 15 min of ADM infusion. This decrease was transient and not sustained throughout the entire experiment.

Finally, we investigated acute stimulation of ASR by ACTH. A control infusion of ACTH alone for 60 min elicited a significant increase in ASR after 30 min (Fig. 4). This infusion of ACTH produced no changes in BF, BP, plasma [Na] or plasma [K]. The interaction experiment of ADM with ACTH produced an initial 10-fold increase in ASR with ACTH infusion. Upon infusion with concomitant ACTH/ADM, ASR was seen to drop significantly; again, an almost complete abolition of ASR stimulated by ACTH (Fig. 4). When this value is compared with the corresponding time point of the control ACTH infusion, the inhibitory effect of ADM becomes quite obvious (Fig. 4). Adrenal BF was increased significantly simultaneously with ADM infusion (10.8 ± 2.5 ml/min control vs 17.5 ± 3.0 ml/min at 45 min; *P<0.001). No changes in BP, plasma [Na] or plasma [K] were apparent throughout the entire experiment. The reverse experiment again showed an effect of ADM infusion only on basal ASR. Upon commencement of concomitant ACTH/ADM infusion, ASR was seen to increase 5-fold at 45 min but again, this response is smaller than the response to ACTH.
alone (Fig. 4). Significant increases in BF were not observed, despite it increasing from 10·3 ± 2·1 ml/min at control level to 17·1 ± 2·5 ml/min at 45 min of ADM infusion. The increased BF persisted throughout ADM infusion. No changes in BP, plasma [Na] or plasma [K] were observed throughout the experiment.

The effect of ADM on ACTH-induced cortisol secretion was investigated (data not shown). ACTH elicited a 6-fold increase in cortisol secretion at 45 min of infusion. FSR was observed to rise from 24·2 ± 0·8 pmol/min at time 0 to a peak of 155·3 ± 14·9 pmol/min at 45 min (P < 0·001). The stimulation of cortisol by ACTH in the interaction experiment was of a similar magnitude. ACTH/ADM co-infusion had no effect on cortisol secretion with the secretion rate remaining significantly elevated (129·8 ± 21·4 pmol/min at 60 min). Basal FSR was unaffected by initial ADM infusion. With concomitant ACTH/ADM infusion, FSR was increased significantly. This increase was of a similar magnitude response in cortisol output to that seen in prior experiments (133·9 ± 47·4 pmol/min at 45 min).

The role of ADM on chronic stimulation of aldosterone by Na depletion was investigated. Animals were determined to be sufficiently Na depleted when their salivary Na : K fell to below 25. Prior to Na depletion, infusion of ADM for 60 min had no significant effect on ASR levels compared with control. ASR immediately following Na depletion, however, was significantly different to control (Fig. 5). This chronic stimulation of aldosterone seen with Na-depletion is 1·5-fold higher than the acute stimulation seen with AngII. Infusion of ADM for 60 min directly into the stimulated adrenal gland had no significant effect on ASR, with secretion remaining very high until the end of infusion (Fig. 5). Curiously, significant differences were seen in BF in association with ADM infusion only on day 4 of the experiment, with BF rising from the control value of 10·7 ± 0·9 ml/min to 17·3 ± 2·7 ml/min by the end of infusion (P < 0·05). On day 1, however, a rise in BF was seen with ADM infusion but this change was not significant (12·7 ± 1·3 ml/min at 0 min vs 16·4 ± 2·0 ml/min at 60 min). No change in BP was observed throughout the experiment. Plasma [Na] decreased significantly post-Na depletion, as a reflection of the animals entering a condition of Na depletion. No changes in plasma [K] were seen throughout the experiment.

Discussion

The current studies were performed to investigate the role of ADM in the regulation of aldosterone secretion from the adrenal gland. The results show that ADM causes changes in the adrenal vascular bed which lead to increases in blood flow. This vasodilatory action of ADM is consistent with the findings of other investigators (Kitamura et al. 1993).

We have also shown that ADM infusion has no effect on basal secretion of aldosterone. This finding is in contrast to other studies which have reported an increase in basal aldosterone secretion (Mazzocchi et al. 1996a, Andreis
et al. 1997). It is important to consider that the experimental approaches used by the above researchers differ to each other as well as to our methods. Intact perfused rat adrenal preparations, intact capsules and adrenal slices were used, respectively, by the above groups. It was a long-held belief that the actions of ADM may be dependent on the tissue preparation used. It was thought that ADM was inhibitory to aldosterone secretion in dispersed cells and adrenal slices, but stimulatory in intact adrenals and animals, where the integrity of the gland makes it possible for ADM to activate many possible autocrine/paracrine mechanisms, such as release of catecholamines (for review see Vinson et al. 1985). The above ideology was thrown into doubt recently with the experimental approaches used by the above researchers. No studies have been performed to date on the different ADM receptor subtypes which mediate different ADM effects. This is discussed in more detail below.

This is the first study to date which investigates the direct effect of ADM on an intact adrenal gland in an in vivo situation leading to results which cannot be achieved using current in vitro methods. We have shown that this peptide exerts a substantial inhibition of aldosterone secretion stimulated by AngII, KCl and ACTH. In addition, we also investigated the ability of the peptide to block the onset of stimulation by AngII, KCl and ACTH by pre-exposing the gland to ADM prior to agonist infusion. The normal magnitude of stimulation of aldosterone previously seen with these agonists was approximately 10-fold. This response was subdued when agonist infusion was preceded by ADM. It appears then, that pre-exposure to ADM has altered the adrenal gland’s responsiveness or capacity to respond normally to all three agonists. This inhibitory effect on stimulated aldosterone secretion has been reported by other investigators, predominantly with regard to AngII- and K-stimulated secretion (Yamaguchi et al. 1995, Andreis et al. 1997, Belloni et al. 1998a). Our finding, that ADM also acts to inhibit aldosterone secretion stimulated by ACTH, is novel and casts doubt on the currently accepted mechanism of ADM action. This surprising result, regarding ACTH-stimulated secretion, may be attributable to differences in the methods used. No studies have been performed to date on the intact human, and of those performed on intact rats and sheep, none have been able to completely isolate the adrenal gland and look solely at the direct effects of ADM and ACTH on this organ. When these different methods are compared, differences in results are to be expected.

Since ACTH is a well known agonist for cortisol, the secretion of this steroid was measured in an effort to elucidate whether the inhibitory effect of ADM is specific to the zona glomerulosa or whether it is a general antagonist of the adrenal cortex. The results indicate that ADM fails to exert an influence on cortisol secretion stimulated by ACTH, with cortisol levels remaining elevated throughout infusion of ADM. This indicates that the inhibitory effect of ADM is limited only to zona glomerulosa cells and that this peptide does not exert an influence on the zona fasciculata. The presence of functional specific receptors (of the CGRP1 subtype) for ADM in human zona glomerulosa, but not in zona fasciculata-reticularis cells (Belloni et al. 1998b), could explain why ADM affects aldosterone, but not cortisol secretion, in response to ACTH. ACTH is thought to increase glucocorticoid release by increasing BF in adrenals; interestingly, we saw no such elevation of adrenal BF with ACTH infusion to accompany the stimulation of cortisol. This may be an indication of an alternative mechanism for cortisol stimulation by ACTH not involving changes in the adrenal vascular bed. This mechanism may perhaps involve cAMP and/or Ca$^{2+}$, known second messengers for ACTH.

A body of evidence indicates that the majority of the peptides, which are contained in the adrenal medulla, are involved in the regulation of the secretion of adrenocortical cells (Nussdorfer 1996). These regulatory peptides can affect adrenal cortex function in two ways. They may act in a paracrine manner, either by binding to specific receptors located on adrenocortical cells or by eliciting the release by chromaffin cells of other regulatory molecules, which in turn may act on adrenocortical cells. On the other hand, they may modulate one or more of the various extra-adrenal mechanisms (eg, pituitary ACTH or kidney renin release) involved in the integrated multifactorial control of the secretory activity of the adrenal cortex. Gallo-Payet et al. (1987) have reported the presence of rays containing medullary tissue extending across the cortex of rat adrenal gland by light and electron microscopy. These bring medullary cells in close proximity to cortical cells and suggest that the secretory products of the medullary cells modulate the physiological function of the adrenal cortex, possibly by a paracrine mechanism. Compelling evidence indicates that several intramedullary peptides, such as ADM, are able to influence aldosterone secretion by regulating the release of factors, which can either inhibit or stimulate aldosterone. Catecholamines, released by medullary chromaffin cells, and other β-adrenoceptor agonists can enhance mineralocorticoid secretion in rodents, bovines and humans (Nussdorfer 1996). Evidence suggests that preproadrenomedullin-derived peptides inhibit catecholamine release by these cells (Richards et al. 1996). There is also evidence that ADM stimulates catecholamine release from human adrenal medulla (Andreis et al. 1997). These findings, however, are rare, and current opinion suggests that ADM has no effect on catecholamine release. So the effect of ADM on aldosterone synthesis via an effect on catecholamine release remains questionable. A stronger case for similar scenarios can be mounted with regards to other aldosterone regulators. Such factors, known to affect aldosterone release and subject to regulation themselves by ADM, include atrial natriuretic peptide.
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Adrenomedullin (ADM) has been extensively studied for its role in various physiological and pathological processes. ADM is produced by the zona glomerulosa cells of the adrenal gland and exerts its effects by interacting with its specific receptor. The presence of ADM in circulating blood has been reported, and its role in aldosterone secretion has been investigated.

**Endothelins and NO Synthase**

Endothelins, such as ANP, endothelin-1, and ADM, are known for their role in aldosterone secretion. ADM produced within the zona glomerulosa cells themselves has been proven to inhibit aldosterone secretion. NO synthase in rat adrenal glands has been identified, with NO acting as a regulator of adrenal function. The presence of NO synthase in rat adrenal glands suggests that it may play a role in aldosterone regulation.

**Calcium Signaling**

Calcium is a crucial player in aldosterone secretion, and calcium-channel blockers have been shown to inhibit aldosterone secretion. The present study shows ADM inhibition of aldosterone secretion by blocking calcium influx, as the mechanism of AngII and K-stimulated secretion involves raising intracellular calcium. The use of nitric oxide (NO) as a regulator of aldosterone secretion has been suggested, and recent work has shown that NO may work in concert with ADM in aldosterone secretion.

**Sodium Depletion**

Sodium depletion is the major pathophysiological stimulus to biosynthesis and secretion of aldosterone. After drastically increasing aldosterone output via mild sodium depletion, ADM did not lower aldosterone secretion in this situation, suggesting that, despite having significant inhibitory effects on acutely stimulated ASR, ADM had no role in reducing chronically stimulated aldosterone. Sodium depletion is mediated, at least in part, by AngII (Spielman & Davis 1974, Aguilera & Catt 1978). Also, sodium depletion, like AngII, affects aldosterone biosynthesis by altering enzyme activity at a site in both the early (Davis et al. 1966) and late (Marusic & Mulrow 1967) biosynthetic pathway. If the above evidence is indeed true, then it is surprising that ADM failed to have an effect on aldosterone secretion stimulated by sodium depletion. The reliability of the above observations has been thrown into doubt however, with both the primacy of AngII in control of aldosterone secretion during sodium depletion challenged and the discovery of the existence of alternative biosynthetic pathways to aldosterone during sodium depletion.

**AngII and ADM Interaction**

A number of studies have been able to show a dissociation between the renin–angiotensin system and aldosterone secretion during sodium depletion (Blake–West et al. 1971, 1973). Indeed, ADM was able to lower plasma aldosterone in sheep despite high circulating levels of renin (Charles et al. 1997). Hence, the existence of another factor, either working in concert with AngII, or overriding AngII when sodium depletion is established, cannot be discounted. This potential new factor is closely aligned with sodium status and its existence is yet to be proven beyond doubt. This factor may even be ADM itself. To further help explain the failure of ADM to inhibit aldosterone during sodium depletion, the existence of more than one basic pathway for aldosterone biosynthesis, which might come into play during sodium depletion, has yet to be explored.

**Conclusion**

The present study shows ADM inhibition of aldosterone secretion by blocking calcium influx, as the mechanism of AngII and K-stimulated secretion involves raising intracellular calcium. The use of nitric oxide (NO) as a regulator of aldosterone secretion has been suggested, and recent work has shown that NO may work in concert with ADM in aldosterone secretion. Sodium depletion is the major pathophysiological stimulus to biosynthesis and secretion of aldosterone. After drastically increasing aldosterone output via mild sodium depletion, ADM did not lower aldosterone secretion in this situation, suggesting that, despite having significant inhibitory effects on acutely stimulated ASR, ADM had no role in reducing chronically stimulated aldosterone. Sodium depletion is mediated, at least in part, by AngII (Spielman & Davis 1974, Aguilera & Catt 1978). Also, sodium depletion, like AngII, affects aldosterone biosynthesis by altering enzyme activity at a site in both the early (Davis et al. 1966) and late (Marusic & Mulrow 1967) biosynthetic pathway. If the above evidence is indeed true, then it is surprising that ADM failed to have an effect on aldosterone secretion stimulated by sodium depletion. The reliability of the above observations has been thrown into doubt however, with both the primacy of AngII in control of aldosterone secretion during sodium depletion challenged and the discovery of the existence of alternative biosynthetic pathways to aldosterone during sodium depletion. A number of studies have been able to show a dissociation between the renin–angiotensin system and aldosterone secretion during sodium depletion (Blake–West et al. 1971, 1973). Indeed, ADM was able to lower plasma aldosterone in sheep despite high circulating levels of renin (Charles et al. 1997). Hence, the existence of another factor, either working in concert with AngII, or overriding AngII when sodium depletion is established, cannot be discounted. This potential new factor is closely aligned with sodium status and its existence is yet to be proven beyond doubt. This factor may even be ADM itself. To further help explain the failure of ADM to inhibit aldosterone during sodium depletion, the existence of more than one basic pathway for aldosterone biosynthesis, which might come into play during sodium depletion, has yet to be explored.
been suggested (Muller 1980, Boon et al. 1998). The possibility of alternative steps earlier in the biosynthetic process to aldosterone bypassing any inhibitory effect ADM may be exerting on the conversion of cholesterol to pregnenolone cannot be ruled out. Another confounding variable in sodium depletion is the observed increased sensitivity of the adrenal gland, which changes the gland’s normal responses to the acute stimulators (Oelkers et al. 1974). This altered behaviour of the adrenal gland and/or its agonists during sodium depletion makes it difficult to interpret findings without due consideration.

The physiological relevance of these findings is difficult to ascertain. In addition to the adrenal medulla, ADM has been reported to be present in the heart (Jougasaki et al. 1995b), and kidney (Jougasaki et al. 1995a), and to be secreted from vascular smooth muscle cells (Sugo et al. 1995) and endothelial cells (Sugo et al. 1994). Recently, ADM has been reported to be present in normal human plasma (Kitamura et al. 1994b). Hence, the possibility that ADM affects the function of the adrenal gland by acting either systemically, as a true circulating hormone, or locally, in a paracrine/autocrine fashion, arises. As noted previously, the concentration of ADM reached in the adrenal in these studies is 4 × 10⁻⁹ mol/l, which is much higher than normal circulating plasma levels (3 × 10⁻¹⁵ mol/l). These normal levels of ADM in human blood are too low to exert any influence on the function of the adrenal gland, so the main influence of ADM would be in pathological situations where ADM levels increase. The level of circulating ADM increased in humans where systemic and pulmonary hypertension or sodium retention had to be counteracted, although the mechanism used to achieve this remains unknown. A rise in plasma ADM level was observed in patients with hypertension and chronic renal failure (Ishimitsu et al. 1994b), primary aldosteronism (Kato et al. 1995) and chronic heart failure (Kobayashi et al. 1996). Hence, it is not inconceivable that the direct inhibitory action of ADM on aldosterone secretion may acquire relevance under pathological conditions in which aldosterone secretion is excessive and an altered fluid and electrolyte balance must be reset.

In conclusion, ADM directly inhibits acute stimulation of aldosterone by AngII, KCl and ACTH, while not affecting basal and chronic stimulation by sodium depletion in vivo. ACTH-stimulated cortisol secretion was not affected. This suggests a possible role for ADM in the negative regulation of aldosterone secretion, more likely in pathological conditions characterised by excess aldosterone secretion.
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