Tissue-specific regulation of gastrin-releasing peptide synthesis, storage and secretion by oestrogen and progesterone
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Abstract

In the ovine endometrium, dramatic increases in gastrin-releasing peptide (GRP) mRNA and immunoreactivity are observed during the luteal regression phase of the oestrous cycle (24-fold) and during pregnancy (at least 150-fold). This study sought to determine whether oestrogen and/or progesterone were responsible for the temporal regulation of GRP observed in the uterus. Ovariectomized sheep were divided into four groups (n=4), as follows: 1, untreated; 2, given subcutaneous and intravaginal progesterone implants; 3, given subcutaneous oestrogen implants; and 4, treated with both oestrogen and progesterone. After 10 days, the animals were sacrificed and plasma, pituitary and endometrium were obtained. A fifth group of sheep with intact ovaries was included. Analysis of endometrial GRP-immunoreactivity (GRP-ir) revealed a twofold drop for groups treated with oestrogen, progesterone or both hormones. A dramatic reduction in endometrial GRP mRNA was observed in the group treated with both hormones. GRP-ir was measured in whole pituitaries and found to vary greatly (1.7–53.7 pmol/g tissue) within all groups of ovariectomized animals. There were no significant differences between any of the five groups. A significant reduction in circulating GRP-ir was observed after 10 days of treatment with either oestrogen or progesterone. These studies demonstrate that, in sheep, the synthesis, storage and secretion of GRP are differentially affected by oestrogen and progesterone. Regulation appears to be tissue specific since GRP content in the pituitary is unchanged by oestrogen or progesterone whereas GRP expression in the endometrium is inhibited. Changes in GRP mRNA expression did not correlate with changes in endometrial expression of mRNA for oestrogen receptor alpha, oestrogen receptor beta and the progesterone receptor. This study is the first reported demonstration that expression of the GRP gene can be influenced by the presence of ovarian steroids, with the conclusion that oestrogen and/or progesterone act as negative regulators of endometrial GRP expression.

Introduction

There is now convincing evidence of a novel gastrin-releasing peptide (GRP)-like protein in the uterus – a most unexpected tissue for a protein that is generally regarded as a neurotransmitter. Traditionally, GRP is known for the ability to stimulate the release of gastrin and other gastrointestinal hormones in the gut (see Bunnett 1994 for a review). GRP is also found in the brain (Lynn et al. 1996), in pulmonary endocrine cells of the foetal lung (Spindel et al. 1987) and is produced by a variety of human tumours (Preston et al. 1996). Mitogenic effects of GRP-like peptides have been demonstrated for a number of different cell types, including Swiss 3T3 fibroblast cells (Zachary & Rozengurt 1985), ovine foetal chondrocytes (Hill & McDonald 1992) and human endometrial stromal cells in culture (Endo et al. 1991).

For the ovine uterus, we have previously demonstrated temporal regulation of GRP throughout gestation and the oestrous cycle. Throughout the last third of gestation, GRP circulates in the foetus and in the mother at concentrations sufficient to activate GRP receptors (Giraud et al. 1993). These increases in circulating GRP peptide are accompanied by an increase in endometrial GRP mRNA of at least 150-fold (Whitley et al. 1994). During early pregnancy, secreted GRP peptide levels rise 10-fold just prior to implantation at day 17 whereas stored endometrial GRP and GRP mRNA increase 4- and 13-fold respectively between days 17 and 20 immediately following blastocyst attachment and corresponding to the
onset of placentome development (Giraud et al. 1993). During the ovine oestrous cycle the expression of GRP is low at days 4, 10, 12 and 14 and has increased at day 16; 5-fold and 24-fold rises are observed respectively for GRP peptide and GRP mRNA (Whitley et al. 1998). Increases in GRP peptide and mRNA are also observed in the pregnant human uterus at term (Whitley et al. 1996).

The pattern of GRP expression in the ovine endometrium during both the oestrous cycle and pregnancy suggests that expression of the GRP gene may be influenced by ovarian steroids. However, the pattern of progesterone release does not correspond with the pattern of GRP expression; at day 16 of the oestrous cycle, GRP mRNA and peptide levels are maximal and circulating progesterone is low, yet at day 20 of pregnancy both GRP mRNA and peptide are elevated when progesterone is high. The expression of GRP may be under the direct influence of oestrogen since the maximum level of GRP synthesis at day 16 of the oestrous cycle correlates with the pre-oestrous surge of oestrogen and also with the concentrations of oestrogen receptors in the superficial and deep glands of the sheep endometrium (Cherny et al. 1991), which we have previously shown to be the site of GRP mRNA synthesis (Whitley et al. 1998).

Few factors regulating expression of the GRP gene have been identified. Light exposure led to increased GRP content in the suprachiasmatic nucleus (Shinohara et al. 1993), food withdrawal and the acid-inhibitory drug omeprazole induced a fivefold increase in GRP mRNA in the gastric antrum (Dimaline et al. 1992) and retinoic acid was observed to lead to increased expression of GRP in small-cell lung cancer cell lines with functional retinoid signalling (Ravi et al. 1998). Effects of oestrogen or progesterone on GRP gene expression have not been reported, although some actions of GRP or the amphibian homologue bombesin have been shown to be modified in the presence of oestrogen. These include GRP mediation of endocrine activity by the pancreas and pituitary (Westendorf & Schonbrunn 1982, Houghton et al. 1992, Houben & Denef 1990) and GRP stimulation of smooth-muscle contractility (Sjermquist et al. 1986).

The observations of marked cyclical changes in GRP mRNA and protein throughout the ovine oestrous cycle and early pregnancy and of elevated GRP mRNA and protein in pregnant human and ovine uterine tissue led us to investigate the regulation of GRP gene expression by the sex steroid hormones oestrogen and progesterone. The model used for this study has been well defined (Salamonsen et al. 1991) and involved the removal of ovaries from non-pregnant, non-cycling sheep followed by subcutaneous or intravaginal insertion of implants containing oestrogen, progesterone or both hormones for a 10-day period. GRP gene expression was measured as steady-state levels of mRNA in endometrium and as protein content in endometrium and pituitary. Circulating levels of GRP-immunoreactivity (GRP-ir) were also measured. As a first step towards identification of the nuclear receptor involved in regulation of uterine GRP mRNA synthesis, the expression of oestrogen receptor alpha (ERα), oestrogen receptor beta (ERβ) and the progesterone receptor (PR) in the ovine endometrium were monitored by semi-quantitative RT-PCR and compared with changes in the expression of GRP mRNA (assessed by Northern analysis and RT-PCR).

Materials and Methods

Animals

For all animal experimentation, ethics approval was granted by the animal ethics committees of Prince Henry’s Institute of Medical Research, Clayton and the Austin and Repatriation Medical Centre, Austin Campus, Victoria.

A group of 16 mature Corriedale ewes were selected from a uniform flock at the Animal Research Institute (Werribee, Victoria, Australia). The ewes were run under field conditions throughout the study. They were bilaterally ovariectomized via mid-ventral laparotomy and allowed to recover for 1 month before being divided into four groups (n = 4 in each group). Group 1 received two progesterone implants (Ceva Chemicals Australia Pty Ltd, Melbourne, Vic., Australia) inserted subcutaneously in the axilla for 10 days and also an intravaginal progesterone delivery device (CIDR; Riverrina Artificial Breeders, Albany, NSW, Australia) for the last 5 days of treatment. Group 2 received 3·0 cm oestrogen subcutaneous implants for 10 days. Group 3 also received oestrogen subcutaneous implants for 10 days as well as intravaginal progesterone via CIDR for the last 5 days of the experiment. Group 4 was not given any steroid implants. A fifth group of non-pregnant, non-cycling sheep were included as ovary-intact controls (n = 4).

The subcutaneous implants are prepared by sealing one end of a 3·0 cm length of Silastic medical-grade tubing with a 0·5 cm plug of Silastic medical-grade elastomer. Crystalline oestradiol-17β or crystalline progesterone (both supplied by the Sigma Chemical Co., St Louis, MO, USA) was packed into the tube and the open end of the tube sealed as before. The capsules were implanted in the following manner: the site was infiltrated with local anaesthetic and an incision (1–2 cm in length) made in the previously shaved skin of the axillary region. A trochar containing an implant was inserted through the incision and pushed subcutaneous until the blunt tip of the trochar was approximately 10 cm from the incision where the implant was deposited; the incision was then closed with surgical silk. Previous studies have shown that this regimen produces physiological levels of oestrogen and progesterone in the blood that are similar to those measured during the luteal phase of the ovine oestrous cycle (Salamonsen 1986, Salamonsen et al. 1991).
Table 1 Oligonucleotide primers used for the PCR and detection of ovine β-actin, GRP, ERα, ERβ and PR mRNA. Forward and reverse PCR primers were designed to span an intron, except for ovine ERβ (in which the partial cDNA sequence was within a single exon). Exon boundaries were deduced by homology with an equivalent human gene sequence. Italics denote additional nucleotides. A PstI restriction

At day 10, the animal was euthanased with a lethal dose of barbiturate. A sample of endometrium was taken and assayed for GRP-ir and for GRP, ERα, ERβ and PR mRNA. Whole pituitaries were removed and assayed for GRP-ir. Peripheral blood samples were taken at days 0, 5 and 10 for the measurement of plasma GRP.

Isolation of RNA and Northern analysis for GRP mRNA and β-actin mRNA

Total RNA was isolated from endometrial tissues using Trizol reagent (Gibco-BRL, Mulgrave, Vic., Australia) as previously described (Whitley et al. 1999). Thirty micrograms of each RNA sample were separated by denaturing agarose gel electrophoresis prior to transfer to supported nitrocellulose membranes (Hybond C extra, Amersham, Melbourne, Vic., Australia). Northern analysis for GRP mRNA, using radiolabelled ovine GRP cDNA as the probe, was performed essentially as described previously (Giraud et al. 1994). A PCR product generated with primers specific for ovine β-actin cDNA (as described in Table 1 and in Whitley et al. 1999) was isolated, purified and radiolabelled for use as a probe in Northern analysis for β-actin mRNA. Hybridization conditions and washes were performed as described previously (Giraud et al. 1994). A specific hybridization signal was detected after autoradiography with XAR-5 film (Kodak, Coburg, Vic., Australia) using two intensifying screens and storage at –70 °C. GRP mRNA was quantified by laser densitometry (LKB Ultroscan XL enhanced laser densitometer, LKB/AMRAD, Kew, Vic., Australia) and loading was corrected with reference to the amount of β-actin mRNA.

Table 1 and in Whitley et al. (1999) authors used 2 U Taq polymerase (Geneworks, Adelaide, SA, Australia) for cDNA synthesis and PCR. Approximately 100 ng poly(A)+ RNA and 4 ng pdN₆ were used for cDNA synthesis with Superscript reverse transcriptase according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Gibco-BRL, Life Technologies, Mount Waverly, Vic., Australia). The cDNA (1 µl was equivalent to approximately 5 ng poly(A)+ RNA) was tested as a viable template for the PCR by using primers designed to detect the ovine β-actin gene (see Table 1) and 30 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 55 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s. The PCR consisted of 2 U Taq polymerase (Geneworks, Adelaide, SA, Australia).
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Prepared using 50 µCi [32P]dCTP (3000 Ci/mmol; probe (Table 1) for Southern hybridization were in 0.1% saline citrate)/0.1% (w/v) SDS and washing twice for 15 min at 42°C in the same solution. An LKB Ultrascan XL enhanced laser densitometer was used to quantify the ERα product (at 22 cycles) and ERβ and PR (at 27 cycles).

Radioimmunoassay

GRP-ir was measured by a previously described radioimmunoassay (RIA) (Giraud et al. 1994) using polyclonal antiserum R40 raised against [Lys]4-bombesin (kindly donated by Professor B Jarrott, Department of Pharmacology, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia). The RIA uses 125I-labelled Tyr4 bombesin as the tracer. The assay diluent was 0.7 ml 25 mM phosphate buffer, 0.1% BSA and 2 µM NaN3, to which were added 100 µl label (5000 c.p.m.), 100 µl antibody R40 (1:100 000 final concentration) and 100 µl sample or standard. Tubes were incubated for 48 h at 4°C and bound counts were separated from free counts by the addition of 300 µl of a cocktail consisting of equal volumes of goat anti-serum immunoglobulin G (plasma diluted 1:60 in assay buffer), non-immune rabbit plasma (1:600), and polyethylene glycol (10%), followed by centrifugation (2000 × g, 30 min). Non-specific binding was 1.5 ± 0.09% (n = 47). The RIA standard was bombesin (3.9–1000 fmol/ml); 50% inhibition of label binding occurred at 125 ± 7 fmol/ml (n = 48). Inter- and intra-assay coefficients of variation were 14% and 4% respectively. The antiserum is directed to the amidated carboxyl terminus and cross-reacts 100% with bombesin, [Tyr4]-bombesin, GRP-(1–27) and less than 0.1% with neuropeptide B and substance P. The detection limit per assay tube was 4 fmol/ml.

Statistical analysis

The data for GRP-ir and GRP mRNA in endometrium were analysed by one-way ANOVA followed by the Student–Newman–Keuls method for all pairwise multiple comparisons. Data for pituitaries failed the normality test and were subsequently analysed by Kruskal–Wallis one-way ANOVA. Data for GRP-ir in plasma on days 0, 5 and 10 were analysed separately for each group (i.e. ovariectomized, oestrogen-treated, progesterone-treated, EP-treated) by one-way ANOVA followed by the Student–Newman–Keuls method for all pairwise multiple comparisons if warranted. Data for steroid receptor expression were analysed by one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s method for comparison with a control. These analyses were performed using SIGMASTAT statistical software (Jandel Scientific, San Rafael, CA, USA). P < 0.05 was accepted as significant. Data are presented as the means ± s.e.m. values.

Results

GRP mRNA in endometrium

The expression of GRP mRNA and β-actin mRNA in endometrium was examined by Northern analysis (Fig. 1A). Using ovine GRP as the probe, an mRNA transcript of approximately 1 kb was identified in all samples of endometrium taken from non-pregnant (NP) animals, ovariectomized (Ox) animals and from ovariectomized animals treated with either oestrogen or progesterone. Substantial variation in the signal was observed between samples (each representing tissue taken from a different
animal) in the oestrogen or progesterone single-treatment groups. GRP mRNA was not observed in any of the four animals undergoing combined treatment with both oestrogen (E), ovariectomized and treated with progesterone (P) or ovariectomized and treated with both oestrogen and progesterone (EP). Densitometric representation of the expression of GRP mRNA normalized to the relatively invariant β-actin mRNA (Fig. 1B) and statistical analysis confirmed a significant difference for GRP mRNA synthesis in the endometrium of animals in the EP group compared with the NP, Ox and progesterone groups of animals (\(P=0.013\)). There were no significant differences in GRP mRNA steady-state levels between the NP, Ox, oestrogen or progesterone groups. No GRP mRNA was observed under these Northern analysis conditions in the EP group (Fig. 1A), although faint bands were seen after longer autoradiographic exposure (data not shown). PCR confirmed the substantial decrease in GRP mRNA expression in endometrium isolated from the EP group (Fig. 2). The PCR product was removed after 25, 30 and 35 cycles and subjected to electrophoresis and subsequent Southern hybridization with an oligonucleotide probe internal to the two GRP PCR primers (Table 1). As seen in Fig. 2, after 25 cycles the GRP PCR product was not observed in the EP group but was present for all other groups at relative amounts similar to the results obtained from Northern analysis (Fig. 1A). After 30 cycles, product was observed for all samples and appeared to have reached a maximum (i.e. the plateau phase of the PCR) for cDNA samples isolated from the NP, Ox and

---

**Figure 1** Expression of GRP and β-actin mRNA in ovine endometrium isolated from animals that were non-pregnant non-cycling (NP), untreated ovariectomized (Ox), ovariectomized and treated with oestrogen (E), ovariectomized and treated with progesterone (P) or ovariectomized and treated with both oestrogen and progesterone (EP). (A) Total RNA (30 μg) from each sample was subjected to low-formaldehyde agarose gel electrophoresis and Northern hybridization for GRP mRNA followed by removal of radiolabelled probe from the membrane and reprobing for β-actin mRNA expression. (B) Densitometric representation of GRP expression normalized to β-actin expression and of GRP mRNA and β-actin mRNA individually. *Significantly different from Ox and P (\(P=0.008\)); **significantly different from NP, Ox and P (\(P=0.013\)).
progesterone groups. At the 30-cycle stage, only samples prepared from endometrium isolated from the EP animals appeared to represent the linear phase of PCR product accumulation. At 35 cycles, the PCR product for all samples had reached the plateau stage (Fig. 2).

GRP-ir in endometrial tissue

GRP-ir was low (less than 3 pmol/g) in all samples of endometrium (Fig. 3). No significant differences were observed in GRP-ir for endometrium isolated from the NP and Ox groups. A twofold reduction in GRP-ir (P=0.019) was observed for endometrial extracts taken from animals treated with steroid hormones alone or in combination (i.e. the oestrogen, progesterone and EP groups) compared with extracts taken from the NP or Ox animals.

GRP-ir in pituitary

To counteract the heterogeneous distribution of GRP-ir throughout the pituitary, the whole pituitary was used for determination of the level of GRP-ir (pmol/g). No significant differences in GRP-ir were found in pituitaries isolated from any group of animals (Fig. 4). A small amount of variance for GRP-ir data was observed within the NP group, whereas large differences were observed within the groups of untreated and treated ovariectomized animals (Fig. 4). No statistically significant differences between any groups were obtained for weights of whole pituitaries or for total GRP-ir per pituitary (data not shown).

GRP-ir in plasma

GRP-ir was measured in plasma taken at days 0, 5 and 10 from untreated and treated ovariectomized animals and found to be low for all samples (Fig. 5). No change in GRP-ir was observed in plasma from the Ox or EP groups of animals. A significant (P=0.003) fourfold reduction in plasma GRP-ir was observed for ovariectomized animals following 10 d progesterone treatment (Fig. 5). A similar fall in GRP-ir was observed in the day 10 sample taken from oestrogen-treated ovariectomized sheep (P=0.05). The combination of oestrogen and progesterone did not alter the circulating GRP concentration.

Steroid receptor expression

A semi-quantitative PCR was used to determine the expression of ERα, ERβ and PR in endometrium taken from ovary-intact (non-pregnant) sheep and from steroid hormone-treated and -untreated ovariectomized sheep (Fig. 6). Endometrial ERα mRNA expression in the Ox group was significantly lower (P=0.034) than that in the NP-, oestrogen- and EP-treated groups (Fig. 6A and D). Expression of ERβ mRNA was increased for the EP group compared with the progesterone group only (P=0.051), whereas PR mRNA expression was significantly increased...
for oestrogen-treated ovariectomized animals compared with all other treatment groups ($P=0.007$).

Discussion

In this study we have shown for the first time that oestrogen and progesterone have a role in the regulation of uterine GRP synthesis, storage and secretion. GRP mRNA steady-state levels in the endometrium of ovariectomized animals were dramatically reduced by combined treatment with oestrogen and progesterone but were not affected by treatment with oestrogen or progesterone individually. GRP peptide concentrations in the endometrium were reduced upon treatment with oestrogen and progesterone alone or in combination. In contrast, the GRP peptide content in the pituitary was not altered by any treatment regimen, indicating tissue-specific regulation of GRP expression by oestrogen and progesterone. Secretion of GRP into the peripheral circulation was found to be reduced by treatment with oestrogen or progesterone alone. Reduced endometrial expression of GRP mRNA in the animals treated with both oestrogen and progesterone did not correlate with changes in endometrial expression of PR, ER$\alpha$ or ER$\beta$, possibly indicating an indirect mechanism for steroid hormone inhibition of GRP mRNA synthesis.

Our previous studies revealed temporal variation in endometrial GRP expression which did not correlate consistently with known changes in oestrogen and progesterone release. These studies showed little or no expression of GRP during the first 14 d of the oestrous cycle, followed by increases of 5-fold and 24-fold in GRP peptide and mRNA respectively between days 14 and 16 (Whitley et al. 1998). This period corresponds with regression of the corpus luteum, a subsequent fall in circulating progesterone levels and the pre-oestrous surge of oestrogen, suggesting either an inhibitory effect of progesterone or a stimulatory effect of oestrogen on the expression of endometrial GRP. In early pregnancy, GRP mRNA and peptide levels continue to increase with increasing progesterone until the end of pregnancy (Giraud et al. 1993, 1996) when there is at least a 150-fold difference in GRP mRNA levels between non-pregnant and pregnant endometrium (Whitley et al. 1994). Thus, inconsistent correlations were observed between endometrial GRP expression and oestrogen and progesterone levels. The present study revealed that in the ovariectomized ewe the addition of oestrogen or progesterone alone did not have any effect on endometrial GRP mRNA synthesis; however, oestrogen and progesterone in combination led to an enormous reduction in endometrial GRP mRNA compared with that of non-pregnant or untreated ewes. This was confirmed by both Northern analysis and RT-PCR. Substantial variation in endometrial GRP mRNA expression in response to oestrogen or progesterone alone was observed and is similar to the individual variation seen in the ovine endometrium during the oestrous cycle and early pregnancy (Whitley et al. 1998). In contrast, the low levels of endometrial GRP mRNA expression after combined EP treatment were consistent between animals and may reflect a stable regulatory response to the presence of both hormones. A small but significant reduction (approximately twofold) in tissue-stored GRP peptide resulted from both combined and single hormone treatments and suggests multiple regulatory effects of oestrogen and/or progesterone, at different stages of GRP gene expression, similar to that observed for several other genes (see Fuller 1991 for a review). The absence of a consistent correlation between the effects on GRP mRNA and protein levels with the different treatments supports the general hypothesis that protein expression cannot be deduced simply from mRNA transcript analysis as this fails to account for the multiple regulatory steps of gene expression (Gygi et al. 1999).

We have previously demonstrated that GRP is synthesized in the glandular epithelium (Whitley et al. 1998) and is secreted into the compartments of the uteroplacental unit and into the peripheral circulation (Giraud et al. 1993). In the model used in this study, we found a significant reduction in the levels of circulating GRP-ir for...
ovariectomized ewes treated with oestrogen and progesterone. Since combined oestrogen/progesterone treatment results in a dramatic reduction and single steroid hormone treatment is without effect on endometrial GRP mRNA synthesis, our observations of reduced GRP-ir in the circulation could suggest steroid hormone regulation, at the level of endometrial GRP secretion, by the glandular epithelial cells. It is unlikely that GRP secretion from other tissues may be regulated since GRP in the adult is localized almost exclusively in neurons (Bunnett 1994).

In the uterus, many physiological processes are regulated by oestrogens and progesterones, oestrogen being stimulatory for many uterotropic responses and progesterone usually being antagonistic (Clark & Markaverich 1988). These effects are mediated by the ER and PR and involve receptor–ligand–DNA interaction (Fuller 1991). The well-defined ERα is involved mainly in reproductive events whereas the more recently identified ERβ plays an important role in the physiology of several tissues. Reports to date indicate that ERα and ERβ have different, and sometimes even opposite, biological functions (Gustafsson 1999). In this study, we also examined the expression of endometrial ERα, ERβ and PR mRNA. Ovine endometrial ERα and PR mRNA expression was stimulated by oestrogen treatment and was consistent with previous findings (Ing & Ott 1999). For both ERα and PR, progesterone was without effect. Combined treatment with oestrogen and progesterone did not antagonize the stimulatory effect of oestrogen alone for ERα mRNA expression. In contrast, for PR mRNA expression, combined treatment with both oestrogen and progesterone did antagonize the stimulatory effects of oestrogen, in agreement with previous observations (Wathes et al. 1996). In this study (the first reported attempt to examine steroid regulation of ERβ mRNA expression in the endometrium), we observed that the inhibitory effect of progesterone was antagonized by combined steroid hormone treatment. Examination of ERα, ERβ and PR mRNA expression relative to GRP mRNA expression in endometria isolated from each of the treatment groups.

Figure 6 Semi-quantitative RT-PCR showing hybridization of specific PCR products and densitometric representation of product at the linear stage of amplification respectively for ERα (A, D), ERβ (B, E) and PR (C, F) mRNA in endometrial tissue samples taken from NP, Ox, E, P or EP animals (abbreviations are as defined in Fig. 1). *P=0·034 compared with NP, E and EP; **P=0·051 compared with EP; ***P=0·007 compared with NP, Ox, P and EP.

reveals no correlation. Thus the molecular mechanism by which steroids modulate the expression of GRP mRNA in the endometrium is unclear; this suggests that oestrogen and progesterone may be acting via other factors rather than acting directly on the expression of the endometrial GRP gene.

Until now, the effects of oestrogen and progesterone on the regulation of GRP mRNA synthesis in any tissue or cell system have not been examined. However, there have been a number of reports demonstrating that several biological roles of GRP, including mediation of endocrine activity by the pancreas and pituitary and the stimulation of smooth-muscle contractility, are modified by the steroid environment. Treatment with oestradiol resulted in increased bombesin-stimulated prolactin release in rat pituitary GH4C1 clonal cells (Westendorf & Schonbrunn 1982) and a 4–10-fold increase in bombesin-stimulated growth hormone release in rat pituitary cell aggregates (Houben & Denef 1990). Oestrogen and progesterone treatment of ovarioctomized sheep led to a decrease in the insulin response to GRP so that it was similar to that observed during pregnancy (Houghton et al. 1992), suggesting that GRP has a role in mediating pancreatic endocrine activity and that this is modified by the steroid environment of pregnancy. In oestrogen-treated rats, the bombesin-induced concentration–dependent clonic contractions in uterus and cervix were more pronounced but the frequency of spontaneous contractions and the smooth-muscle response were diminished (Stjernquist et al. 1986). It is not known whether these modulations of GRP activity by oestrogen in pituitary, pancreas or smooth muscle are a direct effect of the oestrogen–oestrogen receptor complex on GRP gene transcription. One study was unable to detect any effect of oestrogen on GRP mRNA synthesis in the rat anterior pituitary (partly because the baseline expression was very low) but was able to demonstrate a fivefold increase in mRNA of the GRP receptors (GRP-R), suggesting that in the pituitary, at least, a modulating effect of oestrogen on GRP activity is at the level of GRP-R gene transcription. In the present study, we were unable to examine the regulation of GRP-R gene expression in the pituitary but our preliminary studies suggest that GRP-R mRNA expression is not affected by oestrogen treatment in the ovine endometrium.

The control of GRP gene expression has not been well studied and few regulatory factors have been identified. Food withdrawal and omeprazole alone or in combination were shown to lead to threefold and fivefold increases respectively in GRP mRNA in rat gastric antrum and was without effect in the gastric corpus (Dimaline et al. 1992). In the suprachiasmatic nucleus of the rat, light was found to stimulate increases in GRP–ir (Shinohara et al. 1993) and GRP mRNA (Albers et al. 1991). Retinoic acid was found to lead to an increase in the expression of GRP in small-cell lung cancer cell lines with functional retinoid signalling, suggesting that the retinoic acid receptor is a ligand-inducible transcription factor for GRP gene expression (Ravi et al. 1998). Deletion analysis and transient expression of 6 kb of the 5′ flanking region of the GRP gene revealed a negative regulatory element, a general enhancer element and a tissue-specific element, however, the corresponding transcription factors were not identified (Nagalla & Spindel 1994). Our preliminary analysis of the nucleotide sequence for the human GRP gene promoter (Nagalla & Spindel 1994, GenBank Locus S73265) using the MATINSPECTOR V2.2 program and the TRANSFAC database (http://transfac.gbf.de/) to search for potential transcription factor binding sites revealed no ER or PR response elements. The present study suggests that oestrogen and progesterone alone and particularly in combination have a role in down-regulating expression of the ovine GRP gene. The lack of ER and PR response elements in the human GRP gene promoter may be due to the response elements being located elsewhere or could suggest that oestrogen and progesterone are acting indirectly. The factors that up-regulate the GRP gene in the ovine endometrium during luteal regression and pregnancy have yet to be identified.

This report presents the first evidence that oestrogen and progesterone are involved in the regulation of GRP gene expression in any tissue. This study suggests that oestrogen or progesterone is involved in down-regulation of endometrial GRP gene expression observed during the mid-luteal oestrous cycle but are not responsible for the large induction of GRP gene expression that takes place during the luteolytic phase of the oestrous cycle and the pre- and post-implantation stages of early pregnancy. Elucidation of the nature of the regulatory mechanisms will require molecular analysis of the, as yet uncharacterized, ovine GRP gene promoter and 5′ flanking region.
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