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Abstract

Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs) are ligand-activated transcription factors belonging to the nuclear receptor superfamily. PPARα is highly expressed in liver, skeletal muscle, kidney, heart and the vascular wall. PPARγ is predominantly detected in adipose tissue, intestine and macrophages. PPARs are activated by fatty-acid derivatives and pharmacological agents such as fibrates and glitazones which are specific for PPARα and PPARγ respectively. PPARs regulate lipid and lipoprotein metabolism, glucose homeostasis, cell proliferation and differentiation, and apoptosis. PPARα controls intra- and extracellular lipid metabolisms whereas PPARγ triggers adipocyte differentiation and promotes lipid storage. In addition, PPARs also modulate the inflammatory response. PPAR activators have been shown to exert anti-inflammatory activities in various cell types by inhibiting the expression of proinflammatory genes such as cytokines, metalloproteases and acute-phase proteins. PPARs negatively regulate the transcription of inflammatory response genes by antagonizing the AP-1, nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB), signal transducer and activator of transcription and nuclear factor of activated T-cells signalling pathways and by stimulating the catabolism of proinflammatory eicosanoids. These recent findings indicate a modulatory role for PPARs in inflammation with potential therapeutical applications in chronic inflammatory diseases.


Introduction

Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs) belong to the nuclear receptor superfamily which are ligand-activated transcription factors (Isemann & Green 1990). To date, three different PPAR subtypes have been identified: PPARα, PPARβ (NUC-1 or PPARδ) and PPARγ. PPARs are activated by natural ligands such as fatty acids, eicosanoids and oxidized fatty acids (Kliewer et al. 1995, Devchand et al. 1996, Forman et al. 1997, Nagy et al. 1998, Delerive et al. 2000a). Furthermore, the lipid-lowering fibrates and the anti-diabetic glitazones are synthetic ligands for PPARα and PPARγ respectively (Lehmann et al. 1995, Forman et al. 1997). PPARs regulate gene expression by binding with retinoid X receptor (RXR) as a heterodimeric partner to specific DNA sequence elements termed PPAR response elements (PPRE) (Fig. 1) (Tugwood et al. 1992). PPREs consist of a direct repeat of the nuclear receptor hexameric AGGTCA recognition sequence separated by one or two nucleotides (DR-1 and DR-2) (Ipenberg et al. 1997, Gervois et al. 1999). PPARs have been reported to be involved in lipid and lipoprotein metabolism, glucose homeostasis, cell proliferation and differentiation, and apoptosis (for review see Desvergne & Wahli 1999). It has recently been demonstrated that PPARs may also play a role in the control of the inflammatory response. In this review, we will focus on the new insights indicating the implication of PPARα and PPARγ in inflammation control and discuss our current understanding of the molecular mechanisms by which they regulate the expression of inflammatory response genes.

PPARα in inflammation: from bench to bedside

The first evidence indicating a potential role for PPARs in the inflammatory response was the demonstration that leukotriene B4 (LTB4), a proinflammatory eicosanoid, binds to PPARα and induces the transcription of genes involved in ω- and β-oxidation which leads to the induction of its own catabolism (Devchand et al. 1996). Using the mouse ear-swelling test, these authors showed that the duration of the inflammatory response is prolonged in PPARα-deficient mice in response to LTB4 (Devchand et al. 1996). Several recent studies have been
aimed at delineating the cellular and molecular mechanisms explaining the control of the inflammatory response by PPARα. In primary aortic smooth muscle cells which express substantial amounts of PPARα, it was demonstrated that PPARα ligands inhibit interleukin (IL)-1β-induced IL-6 secretion as well as 6-keto-prostaglandin (PG) F1α production. In addition, PPARα agonists have been reported to decrease cytokine-induced genes, such as expression of vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 and tissue factor in endothelial cells and monocytes respectively (Marx et al. 1999, Neve et al. 2001). Subsequently, it was shown that PPARα acts by down-regulating the transcription of these genes (Staels et al. 1998, Delerive et al. 1999a). In vivo evidence for an anti-inflammatory action of PPARα in the vascular wall came with the demonstration that aortas from PPARα-deficient mice displayed an exacerbated inflammatory response to lipopolysaccharide stimulation (Delerive et al. 1999a). Furthermore, fibroblasts did not affect LPS-induced IL-6 transcription in PPARα-deficient mice, demonstrating that the anti-inflammatory activities of these agonists require PPARα expression in vivo. In addition, Poynter & Daynes (1998) reported that PPARα-deficient splenocytes produced, in response to lipopolysaccharide (LPS) stimulation, two to three times more IL-6 and IL-12 than splenocytes from wild-type mice. Finally, fibroblasts were shown to repress the expression of a number of acute-phase proteins in liver, such as fibrinogen, in a PPARα-dependent manner (Kockx et al. 1999). Taken together, these observations provide evidence that PPARα plays a role in the inflammatory response at the vascular, splenic and hepatic level.

Studies addressing the molecular mechanisms of this anti-inflammatory action demonstrated that PPARα negatively interferes with the inflammatory response by antagonizing the nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) signalling pathway (Poynter & Daynes 1998, Staels et al. 1998, Delerive et al. 1999a, Marx et al. 1999). In fact, a bidirectional antagonism between the PPARα and NF-κB signalling pathways exists (Delerive et al. 1999a). PPARα overexpression inhibits NF-κB-driven gene transcription and co-transfection of increasing amounts of p65 led to a dose-dependent inhibition of a PPAR response element (PPRE)-driven promoter construct. Glutathione-S-transferase (GST) pull-down assays revealed that PPARα physically interacts with p65 via its Rel homology domain which mediates homo- and heterodimerization and interaction with inhibitor of NFκB (IκB) (Delerive et al. 1999a). Since PPARα-mediated inhibition of NF-κB-driven gene transcription becomes more and more important upon longer exposure to PPARα ligands, we speculated that a complementary mechanism might exist. NF-κB activity is tightly controlled by the degradation of IκBα which sequesters inactive NF-κB dimers in the cytoplasm. Interestingly, PPARα activators were found to induce IκBα mRNA and protein expression in primary smooth muscle cells and hepatocytes (Delerive et al. 2000b). IκBα induction by fibroblasts again requires PPARα expression. Surprisingly, IκBα induction did not affect p65 nuclear translocation but was associated with reduced NF-κB DNA-binding activity (Delerive et al. 2000b). Western blot analysis revealed that IκBα protein induction occurs mainly in the nucleus which may provide an explanation for the reduced NF-κB-binding activity (Delerive et al. 2000b). The induction of IκBα by fibroblasts in cytokine-activated cells should therefore result in an acceleration of NF-κB nuclear deactivation. In line with this observation, the increase of IκBα protein after treatment with PPARα activators would lead to a halt in p65-mediated gene activation, thereby reducing the duration of the inflammatory response. This is consistent with a previous report in which PPARα ligands were shown to affect the duration of the inflammatory response in a PPARα-dependent manner (Devchand et al. 1996). In view of these results, we propose a model in which

---

**Figure 1** Mechanism of transcriptional activation by PPARs. p/CAF, p300/cyclic AMP-responsive element binding protein (CRES) binding protein-associated factor.
**Figure 2** Mechanisms of PPARα-mediated inhibition of the AP-1 and NF-κB signalling pathways. P, ; UV, ; GF, ; ET-1, endothelin-1.

PPARα negatively interferes with NF-κB transcription activity by forming inactive complexes with p65 and by inducing IκBα, the major inhibitor of NF-κB signalling (Fig. 2). Chromatin immunoprecipitation experiments revealed that the glucocorticoid receptor antagonizes NF-κB transcriptional activity by interfering with phosphorylation of the serine-2 of the carboxy-terminal domain of the RNA polymerase II without affecting NF-κB DNA-binding activities, although the glucocorticoid receptor strongly interacts with p65 (Nissen & Yamamoto 2000). It would be of interest to determine whether such a mechanism is also operative for PPARα using the same technical approach. However, we cannot exclude the existence of additional mechanisms. For instance, PPARα was reported to play a major role in the control of the cellular redox status (Poynter & Daynes 1998). Moreover, Klucis et al. (1984) reported that administration of PPARα agonists results in a drastic increase of the activity of catalase, an antioxidant enzyme. Finally, catalase activity and expression were found to be increased in endothelial cells upon fibrate treatment (C Furman, E Teissier, B Staels & P Duriez, unpublished observations) (data not shown). A potential involvement of catalase in the control of NF-κB-driven transcription by PPARα agonists is under investigation in our laboratory.

Promoter analysis revealed that PPARα controls IL-6 transcription by negatively interfering not only with NF-κB but also with AP-1 transcriptional activities (Delerive et al. 1999a). GST pull-down experiments as well as electrophoretic mobility shift assays demonstrated that PPARα agonists reduce AP-1 DNA-binding activity by physically interacting with the amino-terminal domain of c-Jun (Delerive et al. 1999a, b) (Fig. 2). Since most of the proinflammatory genes are under the control of the AP-1 and NF-κB signalling pathways, it is likely that PPARα agonists regulate a wide spectrum of genes involved in inflammatory disorders.

One of the most relevant indications regarding a role of PPARα agonists in inflammation control comes from...
The influence of PPARα activators on plasma cytokine levels as well as on acute-phase proteins was determined in patients with angiographically established atherosclerosis (Staels et al. 1998). Fibrate treatment for 4 weeks (200 mg daily) reduced IL-6, C-reactive protein and fibrinogen levels in patients with coronary artery disease (Staels et al. 1998). Another group reported independently that fenofibrate treatment for 1 month resulted in a significant reduction of plasma interferon-γ (IFNγ) and tumour necrosis factor-α (TNFα) levels in patients with hyperlipoproteinaemia type IIb (Madej et al. 1998). These two reports demonstrate that PPARα activators decrease inflammation in patients, thus indicating a potential use of PPARα agonists in the treatment of chronic inflammatory diseases.

Is there a role for PPARγ in inflammation?

A growing body of evidence suggests that PPARγ may also play a role in inflammation. PPARγ ligands were shown to inhibit TNFα, IL-6 and IL-1β expression in monocytes (Jiang et al. 1998); inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS), matrix metalloprotease-9 (MMP-9) and scavenger receptor-A expression in macrophages (Ricote et al. 1998); IFN-inducible protein 10, monokine induced by interferon gamma, interferon gamma inducible T-cell alpha chemoattractant and endothelin-1 expression in endothelial cells (Delerive et al. 1999b, Marx et al. 2000); IL-2 in T lymphocytes (Yang et al. 2000) and IL-8 in colonic epithelial cells (Su et al. 1999). Huang et al. (1999) demonstrated that IL-4 induces the generation of endogenous ligands for PPARγ through activation of the 12/15-lipoxygenase pathway in macrophages, providing a molecular basis for IL-4-mediated down-regulation of iNOS expression. PPARγ ligands inhibit the expression of these genes at the transcriptional level. However, one caveat with respect to the interpretation of these studies is the fact that the most pronounced effects were observed with 15-deoxy-Δ12,14-PGJ2 which is not a very selective PPARγ ligand. Moreover, high affinity PPARγ ligands such as rosiglitazone are used extremely high concentrations (>200 kDa) are required to obtain anti-inflammatory activities (Jiang et al. 1998, Ricote et al. 1998). These pharmacological discrepancies suggested that 15-deoxy-Δ12,14-PGJ2 may act through PPARγ-independent pathways. Several recent reports indeed demonstrated that, in the absence of PPARγ expression, 15-deoxy-Δ12,14-PGJ2 also negatively regulates the inflammatory response (Petrova et al. 1999, Vaidya et al. 1999). Two groups demonstrated independently that 15-deoxy-Δ12,14-PGJ2 represses NF-κB activation by inhibiting the IκB-kinase (IKK) complex activity (Castrillo et al. 2000, Rossi et al. 2000) thereby preventing IκBα degradation. Straus et al. (2000) showed that, in addition to inhibiting IKK activity, 15-deoxy-Δ12,14-PG-J2 probably reduces NF-κB binding by alkylating p50/p65 dimers (Straus et al. 2000). 15-deoxy-Δ12,14-PG-J2 appears thus to inhibit NF-κB activation at different levels and may thus exert its activities in both a PPARγ-dependent and -independent manner.

The molecular mechanisms by which PPARγ regulates inflammatory response genes are not fully understood (Fig. 3).

Figure 3 Hypothetical model of PPARγ-mediated inhibition of the inflammatory response genes. PPARγ antagonizes the AP-1, NF-κB, STAT and NFAT signalling pathways. TZDs, thiazolidinediones; JAK, Janus kinase.
Using transient transfection experiments, Ricote et al. (1998) demonstrated that PPARγ inhibits scavenger receptor-A, iNOS and MMP-9 expression by antagonizing the AP-1, signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) and NF-κB pathways. In a recent paper, it was demonstrated that, similar to that reported for PPARα (Delerive et al. 1999a), PPARγ inhibits NF-κB-driven transcription by physically interacting with both p65 and p50 (Chung et al. 2000). Using endothelin-1 promoter as a model, we demonstrated that PPARγ inhibits AP-1 transcriptional activity by reducing AP-1 DNA binding (Delerive et al. 1999b). This inhibition is likely due to a direct interaction between PPARγ and c-Jun as previously reported for PPARα (Delerive et al. 1999a). Law et al. (1996) reported that glitazones inhibit c-Fos transcription in vascular smooth muscle cells, resulting in a reduction of cell proliferation and migration. The same group demonstrated that glitazones inhibit angiotensin II but not TNFα-mediated extra-cellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2 activation in vascular smooth muscle cells (Goetze et al. 1999a,b). We also demonstrated, in a model of cardiac ischemia reperfusion, that rosiglitazone significantly reduces c-Jun–N-terminal kinase (JNK) activation in vivo (N Khandoudi, P Delerive, B Staels & A Bril, unpublished observations). Previous reports suggested that nuclear receptors may regulate AP-1 activation by modulating JNK function (Caelles et al. 1997, Srivastava et al. 1999). However, little is known about the molecular mechanism of kinase inhibition by nuclear receptors.

Li et al. (2000b) recently proposed a model for PPARγ-mediated inhibition of iNOS transcription. In this model, PPARγ would inhibit STAT1, AP-1 and NF-κB transcriptional activities by targeting CBP through direct interaction with its N-terminal domain and via SRC-1-like bridge factors (Li et al. 2000b). Such a model of competition for limiting amounts of co-activators to inhibit transcriptional activation has already been proposed for other nuclear receptors (Kamei et al. 1996, Göttlicher et al. 1998, Sheppard et al. 1998). However, recent reports do not support this model of transrepression (De Bosscher et al. 2000, McKay & Cidlowski 2000).

Finally, Yang et al. (2000) showed that PPARγ activation results in a reduction of IL-2 secretion in T lymphocytes. Using electrophoretic mobility shift assays and immunoprecipitation experiments, these authors demonstrated that this inhibition was due to a ligand-dependent interaction between the transcription factor nuclear factor of activated T-cells (NFAT) and PPARγ (Yang et al. 2000). Further studies will be required to elucidate the precise molecular mechanisms of PPARγ-mediated NF-κB, AP-1 and STAT1 transcriptional inhibition.

Having established a role for PPARγ in inflammation in vitro, a number of groups carried out in vivo studies to assess the potential use of glitazones as anti-inflammatory drugs (Wiesenber et al. 1998, Su et al. 1999, Thieringer et al. 2000). Using a mouse model of inflammatory bowel disease, Su et al. (1999) demonstrated that glitazones markedly reduce colonic inflammation. In a mouse model of atherosclerosis, Li et al. (2000a) demonstrated that glitazones reduce TNFα and gelatinase B gene expression in the aortic root. In contrast to these data, other reports indicated that IL-6 and TNF levels were not affected by glitazone treatment in db/db mice in response to LPS challenge (Thieringer et al. 2000). These authors also showed that PPARγ ligands, even if used at high concentrations, were ineffective in reducing cytokine levels in monocytes and macrophages (Thieringer et al. 2000), raising significant doubts about the potential utility of PPARγ ligands as anti-inflammatory drugs. In a chronic autoimmune model, rosiglitazone was again inactive in reducing the development and the progression of arthritis (Wiesenberg et al. 1998). These apparently conflicting results may reflect the heterogeneity of the different animal models used in these various studies. Additional in vivo studies are necessary to determine whether glitazones possess anti-inflammatory activities in vivo.

Conclusion

Even though PPARs are considered as master regulators of energy homeostasis, their role no longer seems to be restricted to controlling lipid storage and usage. PPARs may mediate the modulation of the inflammatory response by nutritional and pharmacological stimuli. From a nutritional point of view, additional studies will be necessary to determine whether the beneficial effects of certain dietary fatty acids on immune response are PPAR-mediated and whether PPAR activation will result in a permanent or transient reduction of the inflammatory status. From a pharmacological point of view, clinical studies with the recently launched glitazones should allow determination as to whether these drugs, similar to PPARα ligands, exert anti-inflammatory activities in vivo in humans. Our current knowledge, derived mainly from in vitro data, allows us to speculate that PPAR ligands may indeed be useful for the treatment of chronic inflammatory diseases such as atherosclerosis and rheumatoid arthritis.
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