Effect of prolactin and dopaminergic drugs on uterine response to chronic estrogen exposure
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Abstract

The aim of this work was to examine the role of prolactin and dopaminergic drugs, which affect prolactin secretion, on proliferative and morphogenetic reactions in the uterus under continuous estrogen treatment. Ovariectomized mice received injections of estradiol dipropionate (2 µg per 100 g, weekly) or vehicle and daily injections of prolactin (0.25 mg/100 g) or saline (0.05 ml) for 30 days. Other groups of mice received injections of estradiol or vehicle and injections of saline, and were allowed to drink bromocriptine (25 mg/l), metoclopramide (25 mg/l), or only tap water for 30 days. Prolactin administration results in a decrease in the incidence of abnormal glands with abnormal epithelium, the incidence of atypical hyperplasia, uterine weight, proliferation (the number of mitotic abnormal epithelium, the incidence of atypical hyperplasia, and decreases the level of β-catenin in uterine structures of estrogen-treated mice. In the absence of estradiol, none of the treatments used had any effect on the parameters tested. Thus, prolactin or metoclopramide produce antiestrogenic effects in the uterus of mice and prevent the formation of atypical hyperplasia which has an unfavorable prognosis, but bromocriptine has the opposite effect. Estrogen receptor-α and β-catenin were associated with the actions of prolactin, metoclopramide and bromocriptine on estrogen-dependent processes in the uterus.
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Introduction

Prolactin and dopaminergic drugs which alter the level of prolactin have been shown to affect the uterine response to estrogens. One group of data showed that prolactin or metoclopramide-induced hyperprolactinemia reduced estrogen-dependent proliferation and growth in the uterus or promoted the development of endometrial tumors (Kimura et al. 1986, Imai et al. 1993, Gunin 1996, Spritzer et al. 1996). Other observations did not reveal any prolactin influence on estrogen-dependent processes in the uterus (Hernandez & Alvarez 1980, Kiss et al. 1987, Borgundvaag et al. 1992). It has also been documented that prolactin augmented estrogen-stimulated events in the uterus (Hernandez & Alvarez 1980, Ohno 1982, Singtripop et al. 1991, Kelly et al. 1997). However, all experimental work in this area utilized acute estrogen treatment that could only produce some of the estrogen effects on the uterus. These effects mainly include increases in uterine weight, proliferation and cell growth. Other estrogen-induced effects in the uterus comprise morphogenetic alterations and include changes in the type of luminal and glandular epithelia, the number and shape of glands, and the morphology of epithelial cells, that are related to hyperplasia and cancer formation (Martin et al. 1973, Deligdisch 2000, Silverberg 2000). These morphogenetic effects are expressed and can be seen only under chronic estrogen exposure, but not in the short-term (Martin et al. 1973, Gunin 1998, Gunin et al. 2001). There are no studies using long-term estrogen treatments in this area of research.

Thus, there is no reliable experimental evidence as to whether prolactin plays a role in the formation of hyperplastic or neoplastic changes in the uterus. Clinical data are also controversial. Some groups support the idea that prolactin is involved in the formation of endometrial cancer (which is estrogen-dependent) (Barnes et al. 1981, Lipsett 1983, Ristic et al. 1986, Dexeus & Barri 1998, Emons et al. 2000), while others do not (Lucas & Yen 1979, Ylikorkala et al. 1979, Marchesoni et al. 1982, Falsetti et al. 1983, Kauli et al. 1985, Tolino et al. 1991). Therefore, the aim of the present work was to examine...
how prolactin and drugs which change the prolactin levels in the organism affect the uterine response to long-term estradiol administration. Expression of estrogen receptor-α, which is known to limit the uterine response to estradiol (Couse & Korach 1999), was analyzed in this work together with classical histological and proliferative parameters. β-Catenin, a component of both adhesion junctions and the Wnt-signaling pathway, was shown to be affected by estrogens and to play a role in endometrial carcinogenesis (Fujimoto et al. 1998, Miyamoto et al. 2000). The distribution and level of expression of β-catenin were therefore analyzed in this study.

Materials and Methods

Animals

All procedures were performed in accordance with the Guidelines on the Handling and Training of Laboratory Animals, published by the Universities Federation for Animal Welfare, and with the Chuvash State University Rules for Work with Laboratory Animals. White non-linear female mice (20–22 g) were used. Animals were obtained from the Animal Department of Chuvash State University (Cheboksary, Russia) and were housed with free access to water and food. Mice were ovariectomized 1 week before the experiments were started. All surgical procedures were performed under anesthesia with ketamine and droperidol (70 mg/kg and 0·1 mg/kg respectively, i.p.; Gedeon-Richter, Budapest, Hungary).

Treatments

Ovariectomized mice received subcutaneous injections of estradiol dipropionate in olive oil (Minmedprom, Rostov-Don, Russia) at a dose of 2 µg per 100 g body weight, or vehicle once a week and daily injections of ovine prolactin (0·25 mg/100 g, s.c.; kindly provided by Dr A F Parlow, National Hormone and Pituitary Program, Harbor-UCLA Medical Center, Torrance, CA, USA) or vehicle (0·05 ml per mouse, 0·9% sodium chloride) for 30 days. Other groups of mice received injections of estradiol or olive oil, daily injections with 0·9% sodium chloride and drank tap water or water containing bromocriptine (25 mg/l; Novartis Pharma, Basel, Switzerland) or metoclopramide (25 mg/l; ArzneimittelWerk, Dresden, Germany) for 30 days. Water intake was monitored throughout the experiment, and doses of drugs were then calculated; these were approximately 0·4–2 mg bromocriptine and 0·4–4 mg metoclopramide per 100 g body weight per day. The dose of estradiol used had previously been shown to induce specific changes in the uterus (Gunin et al. 2001). It has also been shown that treatment with prolactin, metoclopramide, or bromocriptine, which were used in the same manner and at approximately the same doses as in the present study, was able to induce hyper- (prolactin, metoclopramide) or hypoprolactinemia (bromocriptine) (Advis et al. 1981, Shaban & Terranova 1986, Marubayashi et al. 1989, Kawagoe & Hiroi 1989). Two hours before the tissues were removed, all animals were injected intraperitoneally with 5 mg bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU; Sigma Chemical Co., St Louis, MO, USA) per 100 g body weight dissolved in saline. There were 20 mice in each estradiol-treated group and 5 mice in each olive oil-treated group. The uteri were removed on the third day after the last estradiol or vehicle injection. The middle segment of the uterine horns was removed under deep ether anesthesia. Uteri were weighed and then placed in modified Bouin’s fixative (Gunin et al. 2000) for 6 h at room temperature, and were then dehydrated and embedded in paraffin. Uteri were transversely orientated and cut at 5–7 µm.

Uterine histology

Histological changes in the uterus were analyzed and diagnosed according to Scully et al. (1994). To estimate the extent of any hyperplastic or neoplastic changes in the endometrium, uterine glands were subdivided into 4 morphological types: (1) normal glands; (2) cystic glands; (3) glands with daughter glands; (4) conglomerate of glands, as described in Gunin et al. (2001). The number of each type of gland was calculated in randomly selected sections. At least 3 sections from each animal were examined. Results are expressed as the percentage of each type of gland. The epithelium of all glands in randomly selected sections was examined and typed as simple, pseudostratified or stratified (multilayered) epithelia. The percentage of glands with each type of epithelium was calculated.

Determination of the incidence of mitotic and BrdU-labeled cells

Proliferative processes were assessed from the number of mitotic and BrdU-labeled cells. Mitoses were counted in sections stained with iron hematoxylin. BrdU was detected using immunohistochemical staining using an anti-BrdU mouse monoclonal antibody conjugated with alkaline phosphatase (Boehringer Mannheim GmbH, Mannheim, Germany) diluted to 1:20, as described (Gunin et al. 2000). Alkaline phosphatase activity was revealed through the use of naphtol AS–BI-phosphate and new fuchsin as chromogens. All results were expressed as the percentage of mitotic or labeled cells. The number of mitotic and BrdU-labeled cells in luminal epithelium, glandular epithelium, stromal cells and myometrial cells was counted as described (Gunin et al. 2001). At least 3000
epithelial and 10,000 stromal or myometrial cells were assessed per mouse. The percentage of mitotic or labeled cells was then calculated.

Estrogen receptor-α and β-catenin

Estrogen receptor-α and β-catenin were detected using routine indirect immunohistochemical staining. Rabbit anti-estrogen receptor-α polyclonal antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., Santa Cruz, CA, USA) diluted 1:50, and rabbit anti-β-catenin antiserum (Sigma Chemical Co.) diluted 1:50 were used as primary antibodies. Goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G antibody conjugated with alkaline phosphatase (Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories Inc., West Grove, PA, USA) was used as the secondary antibody, and alkaline phosphatase activity was then revealed using naphthol AS-BI-phosphate and new fuchsin as chromogens. Control sections were stained in a similar manner, except that the primary antibody was replaced with normal rabbit serum. To avoid possible differences in the intensity of staining, sections from all mice were processed simultaneously, so that all sections were incubated in exactly the same TBS, the same mixture of primary and secondary antibodies, the same mixture for development of enzyme activity, and for the same times, and at the same temperature. Intensity of immunostaining was quantified by photometric measurement of optical density (D) for positively stained components of a tissue. Photometry was performed using a light microscope and an FMEL-1A microspectrophotometer (LOMO, St Petersburg, Russia) by measuring the intensity of light passing through equivalent areas occupied by positively stained structures (F+) and by structures with no staining (F–). Optical density (light absorption) was calculated by the formula: \( D = \log(F+/F–) \). In the case of estrogen receptors, positive staining was detected in the nuclei of all uterine tissues (luminal epithelium, glandular epithelium, stromal cells, myometrial cells). Therefore, the intensity of light passing through the nuclei (F+; positive staining) and through the cytoplasm (F–; negative staining) was measured. In the case of β-catenin, positive immunostaining was found in the cytoplasm of luminal and glandular epithelia, but not in endometrial stromal cells nor in myometrial cells. Consequently, the intensity of light passing through the cytoplasm of uterine epithelial cells (F+; positive staining) and through the endometrial stromal cells (F–; negative staining) was utilized. At least 100 nuclei were analyzed for each structure in each mouse.

Statistics

Arithmetic means and standard errors were calculated for each data group. The significance of differences was determined by nonparametric Mann–Whitney U-test (uterine weight, proliferation, estrogen receptors, β-catenin) and by use of the chi-square test (gland types, epithelium types, pathology). A value of \( P < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

Results

Uterine weight

There were no statistically significant changes in uterine weight (Table 1).

Uterine histology

Microscopical examination of uteri of ovariectomized mice which were not subjected to estrogen treatment revealed atropic endometrium in all cases independent of additional treatment with bromocriptine, metoclopramide, or prolactin. The endometrial glands in all these uteri had a narrow lumen, and a round, oval, or elongate shape, which is a microscopical reflection of simple tubular glands, regarded as normal. All glands were lined with simple cuboidal epithelium. In mice injected with estradiol together with saline, atypical glands, especially glands with daughter glands and glands forming conglomerates, were often observed (Fig. 1, Table 2). Glands lined with pseudostratified or stratified epithelium, which often contained atypical cells and nuclei, were also documented in a large percentage of cases (Fig. 1, Table 2). The histological analysis of the uteri is presented in Table 2.

The uterine histology of mice administered both estradiol and bromocriptine differed from that of control animals which had received estradiol and saline. The number of normal glands was increased and the incidence of cystic glands was decreased. However, the number of glands with daughter glands and glands forming conglomerates was increased. The percentage of glands with pseudostratified or stratified epithelium was greater in animals receiving estradiol with bromocriptine. Also, the incidence of complex and atypical hyperplasia was increased (Table 2).

Table 1 Effect of treatment with estradiol and saline, bromocriptine, metoclopramide, or prolactin for 30 days on uterine weight. Values are means ± S.E.M., n = 20 mice in each group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Uterine weight (mg per 100 g body weight)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Estradiol and saline (control)</td>
<td>758.9 ± 54.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estradiol and bromocriptine</td>
<td>913.3 ± 58.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estradiol and metoclopramide</td>
<td>782.1 ± 28.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estradiol and prolactin</td>
<td>669.4 ± 49.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No significant difference in comparison to control (\( P < 0.05 \)) was revealed by Mann–Whitney U-test.
In mice treated with estradiol together with metoclopramide, the number of abnormal glands was decreased compared with that of control mice receiving estradiol and saline (Table 2). However, the number of glands with daughter glands was slightly higher than in controls. The incidence of glands with simple, pseudostratified and stratified epithelium was almost the same as in control animals. The incidence of cystic hyperplasia and complex hyperplasia was also similar to that of control mice. However, simple hyperplasia and atypical hyperplasia were diagnosed in a lower percentage of cases. Normal uterine histology was found in a greater percentage of cases in mice treated with estradiol and metoclopramide than in control animals receiving estradiol and saline (Table 2).

Treatment with estradiol and prolactin produced a decrease in the incidence of abnormal glands and in glands with pseudostratified or stratified epithelium. Also, the incidence of atypical hyperplasia was markedly reduced in animals receiving estradiol and prolactin (Fig. 1, Table 2).

**Proliferation**

The effect of treatments on proliferative processes in the uterus was assessed using the number of mitotic and BrdU-labeled cells. Treatment with estradiol together with bromocriptine produced an increase in the number of mitotic and BrdU-labeled cells in all uterine tissues (Table 3). However, some parameters such as the number of mitotic cells in myometrial cells, and the number of BrdU-labeled cells in luminal epithelium and stromal cells were not significantly changed (Table 3). The number of mitotic cells in mice treated with estradiol together with metoclopramide was decreased in all uterine structures (Table 3). However, the number of BrdU-labeled cells in these mice was decreased only in luminal epithelium and was almost unchanged in glandular epithelium, stromal cells and myometrial cells. Combined administration of

![Figure 1](image-url) Photomicrographs demonstrating histological findings (A, B), immunohistochemical staining for estrogen receptor-α (C, D) and β-catenin (E, F) in the uterus of mice treated with estradiol with (A, C, E) or without (B, D, F) prolactin for 30 days. In A (estradiol and prolactin), the uterine lumen (l) is lined with simple columnar epithelium, the endometrial glands are not enlarged, have normal (round or oval) shapes, and are lined with simple columnar or cuboidal epithelium. In B (estradiol and saline), there are glands with little daughter glands inside their walls; the glands are lined with pseudostratified or stratified columnar or cuboidal epithelium. le, luminal epithelium; g, glandular epithelium; s, stromal cells. (C and D) Estrogen receptor-α is seen in the nuclei of luminal epithelium (le), glandular epithelium (g) and stromal cells (s). The expression of estrogen receptor-α in all tissues is lower under treatment with estradiol and prolactin (C), than in controls (D). (E and F) Immunoreactivity of β-catenin is visible in luminal (l) and glandular (g) epithelia. Expression of β-catenin in epithelial components is higher under treatment with estradiol and prolactin (E), compared with control mice treated with estradiol and saline (F). l, uterine lumen. Scale bar 50 μm.
estriol and prolactin decreased both proliferative parameters in all uterine structures (Table 3). It should be noted that the changes in the number of BrdU-labeled cells in glandular epithelium, stromal and myometrial cells seen in mice treated with estriol and prolactin were not statistically significant.

**Estrogen receptor-α**

The levels of estrogen receptor-α were increased in all uterine tissues of mice treated with estriol together with bromocriptine, as compared with those of mice treated with estriol and saline (Fig. 1, Table 4). In animals receiving estriol and prolactin or metoclopramide, expression of estrogen receptor-α was lower in all uterine compartments compared with treatment with estriol and vehicle (Fig. 1, Table 4). However, changes in estrogen receptor-α expression in glandular epithelium and myometrial cells in mice receiving estriol and prolactin were not statistically significant.

**β-Catenin**

Immunoreactivity of β-catenin was found in luminal and glandular epithelia, whereas no clear positive staining was found in stromal and myometrial cells (Fig. 1, Table 4). The level of β-catenin in luminal and glandular epithelia was lower in animals treated with estriol and bromocriptine and insignificantly higher after treatment with estriol together with metoclopramide or prolactin (Fig. 1, Table 4).

**Control groups with no estrogen treatment**

Mice receiving olive oil instead of estriol together with bromocriptine, prolactin, metoclopramide, or saline for 30 days were also used as controls. There were no detectable changes produced by olive oil or drugs in all parameters examined in all uterine tissues.

**Discussion**

Three experimental approaches were used to reveal the role of prolactin in the development of estrogen-dependent processes in the uterus. Injection of exogenous prolactin, that produces hyperprolactinemia (Kawagoe & Hiroi 1989), was utilized. Two additional models, administration of the D2-dopaminergic antagonist metoclopramide, which is well known to induce hyperprolactinemia (Marubayashi et al. 1989), was utilized. Treatment with bromocriptine, which is well known to decrease in prolactin synthesis and secretion (Advis et al. 1981, Kawagoe & Hiroi 1989, Woods et al. 1998) was employed as the third experimental approach. Thus, one hypoprolactinemic and two hyperprolactinemic situations were designed.

It was shown that coadministration of prolactin to estrogen-treated mice decreased both uterine weight and proliferation, but bromocriptine had the opposite effect. Therefore, the effects of these treatments may be due to a decrease in the number of uterine cells. Metoclopramide decreased proliferation, but slightly increased uterine

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of different types of glands in the uterus</th>
<th>Estriol and saline (control)</th>
<th>Estriol and bromocriptine</th>
<th>Estriol and metoclopramide</th>
<th>Estriol and prolactin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal glands</td>
<td>59.28 ± 7.53</td>
<td>66.31 ± 7.05</td>
<td>71.06 ± 7.33</td>
<td>80.23 ± 9.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cystic glands</td>
<td>16.49 ± 4.77</td>
<td>5.04 ± 2.55</td>
<td>7.64 ± 3.95</td>
<td>3.31 ± 2.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glands with daughter glands</td>
<td>14.63 ± 4.18</td>
<td>16.67 ± 3.99</td>
<td>16.86 ± 5.08</td>
<td>8.13 ± 3.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conglomerate of glands</td>
<td>9.6 ± 4.3</td>
<td>10.98 ± 4.63</td>
<td>4.44 ± 2.71</td>
<td>8.33 ± 6.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Chi-square test**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of glands with the type of epithelium in the uterus</th>
<th>Estriol and saline (control)</th>
<th>Estriol and bromocriptine</th>
<th>Estriol and metoclopramide</th>
<th>Estriol and prolactin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Simple epithelium</td>
<td>51.62 ± 8.25</td>
<td>40.05 ± 7.14</td>
<td>47.29 ± 7.41</td>
<td>68.97 ± 9.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudostratified epithelium</td>
<td>23.66 ± 5.52</td>
<td>36.01 ± 5.23</td>
<td>27.48 ± 3.96</td>
<td>15.95 ± 5.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stratified epithelium</td>
<td>24.72 ± 7.31</td>
<td>23.14 ± 6.82</td>
<td>25.23 ± 7.85</td>
<td>15.08 ± 8.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Chi-square test**

**Pathology diagnosis (% of cases)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Estriol and saline (control)</th>
<th>Estriol and bromocriptine</th>
<th>Estriol and metoclopramide</th>
<th>Estriol and prolactin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proliferative endometrium</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simple hyperplasia</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cystic hyperplasia</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex hyperplasia</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atypical hyperplasia</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Chi-square test**

The significance of differences was calculated by comparison with control mice treated with estriol and saline (chi-square test).
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Table 3  The number of mitotic and BrdU-labeled cells in the various morphological compartments of the uteri of ovariectomized mice treated with estradiol together with saline or bromocriptine, metoclopramide, or prolactin for 30 days. Values are means ± SEM, n=20 mice in each group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Percentage of mitotic cells</th>
<th>Percentage of BrdU-labeled cells</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Luminal epithelium</td>
<td>Glandular epithelium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estradiol and saline (control)</td>
<td>0·39 ± 0·07</td>
<td>0·62 ± 0·09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estradiol and bromocriptine</td>
<td>0·59 ± 0·09*</td>
<td>1·27 ± 0·11***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estradiol and metoclopramide</td>
<td>0·14 ± 0·03**</td>
<td>0·41 ± 0·06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estradiol and prolactin</td>
<td>0·27 ± 0·09</td>
<td>0·32 ± 0·11*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0·05, **P<0·01, ***P<0·001, the significance of differences by comparison with control mice treated with estradiol and saline (Mann-Whitney U-test).
Prolactin affects uterine proliferation and morphogenesis

Table 4 Expression of estrogen receptor-α and β-catenin in uterine tissues of mice treated with estradiol together with saline, bromocriptine, metoclopramide, or prolactin for 30 days. Values are means ± S.E.M., n=20 mice in each group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Estrogen receptor-α (level of expression)</th>
<th>β-Catenin (level of expression)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Luminal epithelium</td>
<td>Glandular epithelium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estradiol and saline (control)</td>
<td>0·12 ± 0·007</td>
<td>0·14 ± 0·01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estradiol and bromocriptine</td>
<td>0·15 ± 0·009*</td>
<td>0·16 ± 0·006**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estradiol and metoclopramide</td>
<td>0·09 ± 0·006*</td>
<td>0·11 ± 0·008*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estradiol and prolactin</td>
<td>0·09 ± 0·006*</td>
<td>0·12 ± 0·009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0·05, **P<0·01, the significance of differences by comparison with control mice treated with estradiol and saline (Mann-Whitney U-test).

The quantitation of immunostaining for estrogen receptor-α and β-catenin was performed by determination of optical density (D=lg(F−/F+)) for positive stained components of a tissue by measuring the intensity of light passing through equivalent areas occupied by positive stained structures (F−) and by structures with no staining (F+). In the case of estrogen receptors, the intensity of light passing through the nuclei (F−; positive staining) and through the cytoplasm (F−; negative staining) was measured. In the case of β-catenin, the intensity of light passing through the cytoplasm of uterine epithelial cells (F−; positive staining) and through the endometrial stromal cells (F−; negative staining) was utilized. The value of optical density was used as the level of expression.

weight, a parameter which probably depends on the water content in the uterus of estrogen–treated mice. Our results indicate that prolactin produces marked reductions in these estrogen–induced morphogenetic changes in the uterus. Metoclopramide produces results similar to those with prolactin, but much less expressed. Bromocriptine has an effect on estrogen–dependent uterine morphogenesis which is opposite to that of prolactin or metoclopramide. Chronic treatment with prolactin or metoclopramide for 30 days causes a decrease in estradiol-induced proliferation, as assessed by the number of mitotic and BrdU-labeled cells, indicating that the number of cells in the S and M phases of the cell cycle is reduced in the uterine tissues. Again, bromocriptine produces an opposite effect. The present results are in agreement with previous observations, where acute (not chronic) estrogen treatment was utilized (Saiduddin & Zassenhaus 1977, Hernandez & Alvarez 1980, Kauli et al. 1985, Negami & Tominaga 1991, Gunin 1996, Spritzer et al. 1996).

Thus, prolactin or metoclopramide produce a reduction in almost all estrogen–dependent processes in the uterus. Moreover, prolactin turns the estrogen–dependent changes from the direction of precancerous, atypical hyperplasia formation to the more favorable situation where normal proliferative endometrium or simple hyperplasia is present. In the case of bromocriptine, there is increased expression of all estrogen–dependent parameters in the uterus. The effects of prolactin and dopaminergic drugs on morphogenetic processes in the uterus are probably brought about by changes in proliferation, cell differentiation and apoptosis activity. The effect of prolactin is most likely mediated via prolactin receptors, the presence of these in the uterus being well documented (Ohno 1982, Rose et al. 1993). These results suggest that the effects of metoclopramide and bromocriptine on estrogen–dependent processes in the uterus are mediated, at least partially, via changes in prolactin level in the organism. However, the effect of metoclopramide is close to, but not exactly the same as, that of prolactin, while the action of bromocriptine is almost but not exactly opposite to that of prolactin. Therefore, a direct effect of the drugs on the uterus cannot be excluded. It is also interesting to note that all the treatments have an effect on parameters tested only in estrogen–treated mice and are not found in control animals receiving olive oil instead of estradiol. This situation suggests that all treatments used affect some steps in the mechanism of estrogen action. It is also possible that estradiol is needed to induce prolactin receptors in the uterus.

To clarify some of the possible pathways involved in the development of the morphological effects reported here, the expression of estrogen receptor-α and β-catenin in the uterus was examined.

It is shown here that the levels of estrogen receptor-α were lower in all uterine compartments in animals receiving estradiol and prolactin or metoclopramide, and were higher after treatment with estradiol and bromocriptine. Hence, estrogen receptor-α is associated with shifts in uterine weight, proliferation and morphogenesis, that have resulted from treatment with prolactin and the dopaminergic drugs used. Previous studies also showed that prolactin reduced estrogen receptor levels in uterine cells (Saiduddin & Zassenhaus 1977, Tamaya et al. 1988). It should be noted that the levels of estrogen receptor-α were not altered significantly in the uterine tissue under any of the treatments used. Therefore, the suggested mechanism of action probably has a cell specific character.

β-Catenin is a final component of the Wnt pathway which inhibits the activity of glycogen-synthase kinase-3β followed by an increase in the level of β-catenin (Fujimoto et al. 1996, Jan & Jan 2000). Then, β-catenin enters the nucleus, changing the activity of specific genes, which is followed by shifts in cell behavior such as changes in
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proliferation, cell shape, cell movement and adhesion (Miller et al. 1999, Schlesinger et al. 1999). Synthesis and content of $\beta$-catenin in the uterus have been shown to be affected by estrogen hormones (Fujimoto et al. 1996, 1998). Moreover, it has been shown that $\beta$-catenin plays a role in the formation of endometrial cancer (Nei et al. 1999, Kitajewski & Sassoon 2000), and the level of $\beta$-catenin in the uterus is negatively correlated with the degree of tumour differentiation and good prognosis (Fujimoto et al. 1998, Miyamoto et al. 2000). Here we show that uterine expression of $\beta$-catenin is increased under oestradiol and prolactin or metoclopamide treatments. At the same time, estrogen-dependent proliferation and morphogenetic changes are less expressed. The opposite situation occurs in the case of bromocriptine. Hence, it can be suggested that prolactin and dopaminergic drugs act on estrogen-dependent processes in the uterus by changing $\beta$-catenin levels. Other workers have also proposed that $\beta$-catenin is involved in estrogen-dependent changes in uterine morphology and hyperplasia formation (Fujimoto et al. 1998, Miyamoto et al. 2000).

Thus, this research provides evidence that prolactin diminishes estrogen action and prevents the development of estrogen-dependent endometrial hyperplasia. Estrogen receptor-α and $\beta$-catenin are associated with the effects observed. We hope that this research will lead to a better understanding of the origin and progression of estrogen-dependent cancer of the female reproductive system.
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