Gender-specific programming of insulin secretion and action
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Abstract

Insulin secretion and glucose tolerance were studied in 20-week-old male and female offspring of rat dams maintained on an isocaloric 20% or 8% protein diet during pregnancy and lactation after transfer to the same diet at weaning. Protein-restricted male and female offspring were also weaned onto a 20% protein diet. In males, post-absorptive insulin concentrations were suppressed by protein restriction from conception to adulthood (by 41%; \( P<0.001 \)); however, basal insulin levels were 2.6-fold higher (\( P<0.001 \)) if protein restriction was limited to gestation and lactation. Post-absorptive insulinaemia in females was unaffected by early or sustained protein restriction, but was lower than for males in the control group and the group exposed to protein restriction during early life alone (by 40% (\( P<0.001 \)) and 52% (\( P<0.001 \)) respectively). Plasma insulin/blood glucose ratios were higher in males compared with females in both control and early protein-restricted groups (1.6-fold (\( P<0.05 \)) and 2.3-fold (\( P<0.001 \)) respectively). A positive linear relationship existed between mean ambient insulin and glucose concentrations in males (\( r=1.0 \)) and females (\( r=0.9 \)), but the gradient was 12.4-fold greater (\( P<0.01 \)) in males. \( \beta \)-Cell function was evaluated after intravenous glucose challenge. In males, the acute insulin response and the suprabasal 30-min area under the insulin curve were dramatically higher in rats exposed to protein restriction during gestation and lactation alone (2.6- and 2.8-fold respectively; \( P<0.001 \)). In contrast, these parameters were lowered by extending the exposure to protein restriction to adulthood in males, and by either early or prolonged exposure to protein restriction in females. The insulin resistance index was increased (2.5-fold; \( P<0.001 \)) in male, but not female, rats exposed to protein restriction during gestation and lactation alone, and was not increased by extending the period of protein restriction to adulthood in either sex. Thus the data have demonstrated gender-specific lowering of insulin sensitivity due to protein restriction during early life only. The insulinogenic index (insulin response in relation to prevailing glycaemia) was increased in male, but not female, rats exposed to protein restriction during gestation and lactation alone (3.0-fold; \( P<0.001 \)). A modest decline in insulin secretion in the female groups exposed to protein restriction until either the end of lactation or adulthood was compensated by increased insulin sensitivity, as demonstrated by significant decreases in the insulin resistance index in both groups (by 48% and 52% respectively; \( P<0.05 \)). Glucose disappearance rates did not differ between the male and female control or early protein-restricted groups but were higher in both male (31%; \( P<0.05 \)) and female groups (46%; \( P<0.001 \)) exposed to protein restriction from conception to adulthood. Marked gender differences in glucose-stimulated insulin secretion were not associated with gender differences with respect to glucose tolerance. Our data therefore demonstrated that exposure to protein restriction during early life alone leads to relative insulin resistance and hyperinsulinaemia in adulthood, but this relationship is gender specific, observed only in males, and glucose tolerance is maintained.
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Introduction

Sub-optimal fetal growth due to an adverse intrauterine environment, indicated by thinness or low birth weight in term infants, is linked with an increased risk of glucose intolerance, insulin resistance or type 2 diabetes in adult life (reviewed by Phillips 1998). These observations have led to the hypothesis that events occurring before birth caused by malnutrition, or other adverse influences, can lead to persistent changes in organ structure or function that predispose to metabolic disorders in later life (Hales & Barker 2001). Two major mechanistic hypotheses have been proposed, supported by animal studies, namely maternal malnutrition, in particular protein malnutrition, and prenatal glucocorticoid exposure (Seckl 1998, Holness et al. 2000). In particular, mild protein restriction when imposed during pregnancy or pregnancy and lactation elicits a profound impairment in the structural and functional development of the fetal endocrine pancreas (Dahri et al. 1991) and liver (Desai et al. 1997). Offspring of
protein–malnourished dams can retain altered levels of expression of hepatic enzymes that play a key role in glucose homeostasis, even after a prolonged period on a normal diet (Desai et al. 1997). Other studies have failed to show persistent alterations in peripheral insulin action that cannot be reversed by switching to a normal diet (Okitolonda et al. 1987, Escriva et al. 1991, Picarel-Blanchot et al. 1995, Holness 1996). Many of the features of early protein restriction, including altered hepatic enzyme expression, are mimicked by over-exposure to glucocorticoids during late fetal life (Niyrenda et al. 1998, 2001). In the rat, prenatal glucocorticoid exposure elevates corticosterone levels in later life (Langdown et al. 2001). Moreover, there is a strong association between glucocorticoid receptor expression and the metabolic syndrome (Whorwood et al. 2002), and elevated plasma cortisol concentrations may link low birth weight and the metabolic syndrome (Phillips et al. 1998).

Although it was originally proposed that growth restriction in early life might impact adversely on insulin secretion (Dahri et al. 1991, Martin et al. 1997, Hoet & Hanson 1999, Fowden & Hill 2001), most human studies have shown that low birth weight is more strongly associated with impaired insulin action (Phillips et al. 1994a, Clausen et al. 1997, McKeigue et al. 1998). Some studies in humans have failed to show any association between birth weight and β-cell function (Alvarsson et al. 1994, Phillips et al. 1994b, Clausen et al. 1997, Martin et al. 1997); others have shown either decreased (Cook et al. 1993) or increased (Hofman et al. 1997) insulin secretion. Many of these studies have been performed in late adulthood, where ageing influences glucose homeostasis and β-cell function. A recent study of insulin secretion and action in young adulthood, where the prevalence of glucose intolerance is normally very low, has provided important new insights, in particular identifying gender differences (Flanagan et al. 2000). Young males who were shorter or lighter at birth were characterised by lower insulin sensitivity but higher insulin secretion and glucose effectiveness, such that overall glucose tolerance was unaffected. In contrast, there was no correlation between birth size and insulin sensitivity in young women. Gender differences have also been reported in animal studies. Desai et al. (1997) reported that ageing male offspring of protein-restricted dams are less glucose tolerant than the non–protein restricted controls, but there is no significant difference for the females.

To explore these gender differences further, the present study examined whether the persistence of the impairment in the insulin secretory response of the pancreas introduced as a consequence of maternal protein restriction during pregnancy and lactation is related to gender. Rat dams were provided with a diet containing 8% protein (low protein) during pregnancy and lactation. Male and female offspring were separated at weaning. To determine whether any impairment in the insulin secretory response introduced during early life and which persisted into adulthood was dependent on subsequent developmental influences, one group of rats of each sex continued to be maintained on the low–protein diet post weaning (protein restriction; PR) whereas the second was transferred to a standard 20% protein diet at weaning (early protein restriction; EPR). The control (C) groups comprised male and female offspring of dams maintained on a 20% protein diet throughout pregnancy and lactation and weaned onto a 20% protein diet. The insulin secretory and glycaemic responses of the various groups to an intravenous glucose challenge were compared in rats at 20 weeks of age. We selected this study point because previous studies have established that it is possible to detect effects of early life influences at this age (e.g. Holness 1996, Holness & Sugden 1996). In addition, sampling at this age avoided the effects of ageing that impair glucose homeostasis and β-cell function which might mask the effects of early life interventions.

Materials and Methods

Materials

General laboratory reagents were purchased from Roche Diagnostics (Lewes, East Sussex, UK) or from Sigma (Poole, Dorset, UK). Glucose assay kits were obtained from Roche Diagnostics. Kits for determination of plasma insulin concentrations were from Mercodia (Uppsala, Sweden).

Diets

Isocaloric diets were prepared (pellet form) by Hope Farms BV, Woerden, The Netherlands (see Sugden & Holness 1995, Desai et al. 1996, Holness 1996, Holness & Sugden 1999 for details). The control diet contained approximately 20% protein (mainly casein) whereas the isocaloric low–protein diet contained 8% protein by weight. Isocaloricity was maintained by increasing the carbohydrate content (predominantly cerelose) of the protein–restricted diet (from approximately 63% to approximately 77% carbohydrate). Both diets were relatively low in fat (approximately 4% lipid; soybean oil) by weight.

Animals

All studies were conducted in adherence to the regulations of the United Kingdom Animal Scientific Procedures Act (1986). Female albino Wistar rats (200–250 g) were purchased from Charles River (Margate, Kent, UK). Adult female Wistar rats were housed in a temperature-controlled room (22 ± 2°C) on a standard 12 h light:12 h darkness cycle (lights from 0800 h). Rats were time-mated by the appearance of sperm plugs (day 0 of pregnancy),
Table 1 General characteristics of the group. Plasma insulin and blood glucose concentrations were measured in the post-absorptive state using commercial kits. Further details are given in the Materials and Methods section. Data are means ± S.E.M., with the numbers of rats in parentheses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight (g)</td>
<td>358 ± 11</td>
<td>283 ± 8</td>
<td>321 ± 24*</td>
<td>259 ± 9</td>
<td>258 ± 9**††</td>
<td>244 ± 6**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n=7)</td>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma insulin (µU/ml)</td>
<td>15 ± 2</td>
<td>9 ± 1††</td>
<td>23 ± 2***</td>
<td>11 ± 1††</td>
<td>12 ± 1††</td>
<td>12 ± 5†††</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n=7)</td>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood glucose (mM)</td>
<td>4·2 ± 0·2</td>
<td>4·1 ± 0·2</td>
<td>4·6 ± 0·1</td>
<td>5·3 ± 0·2***††</td>
<td>2·1 ± 0·2†††</td>
<td>2·0 ± 0·2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n=6)</td>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I/G ratio (µU/mmol)</td>
<td>3·6 ± 0·5</td>
<td>2·6 ± 0·3†</td>
<td>4·7 ± 0·7</td>
<td>2·1 ± 0·7</td>
<td>4·2 ± 0·4</td>
<td>2·0 ± 0·2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n=6)</td>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>(7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0·05, **P<0·01, ***P<0·001; effects of EPR or PR. †P<0·05, ††P<0·01, †††P<0·001; effects of gender.
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Intravenous glucose tolerance tests

For intravenous glucose tolerance tests, each rat was fitted with a chronic indwelling jugular cannula under hypnoph (Janssen Pharmaceuticals Ltd., Oxford, UK) (fentanyl citrate (0·315 mg/ml)/fluanisone (10 mg/ml); 1 ml/kg i.p.) and diazepam (5 mg/ml; 1 ml/kg i.p.) (Phoenix Pharmaceuticals Ltd., Glouester, UK) anaesthesia at 5–7 days before study. Glucose was administered as an intravenous bolus (0·5 g glucose/kg body weight; 150 µl/100 g body weight) to conscious, unrestrained rats (see Holness & Sugden 1999). Glucose was injected via a chronic indwelling jugular cannula and blood samples (100 µl) were withdrawn at intervals from the indwelling cannula, which was flushed with saline after the injection of glucose to remove residual glucose. Samples of whole blood (50 µl) were deproteinised with ZnSO4/Ba(OH)2, centrifuged (10 000 g) at 4 °C, and the supernatant retained for subsequent assay of blood glucose. The remaining sample was immediately centrifuged (10 000 g) at 4 °C, and plasma was stored at −20 °C until assayed for insulin. The acute insulin response (AIR) was calculated as the mean of suprabasal 2- and 5-min plasma insulin. Insulin and glucose responses during the glucose tolerance test were used for calculation of the incremental plasma insulin values integrated over the 30–min period after the injection of glucose (incremental area under the curve; IAUC-glucose; ΔI) and the corresponding incremental integrated plasma glucose values (IAUC-glucose; ΔG). The insulinogenic index (ΔI/ΔG) represents the ratio of these two parameters. The insulin resistance index (IR index) was calculated as the product of the areas under the glucose and insulin curves after glucose challenge. The rate of glucose disappearance was calculated from the slope of the regression line obtained with log-transformed glucose values from 2 to 15 min after glucose administration and expressed as %/min.

Statistics

Results are presented as the mean ± S.E.M., with the numbers of observations (individual rats) in parentheses. Statistical analysis was by two-way ANOVA (comparing gender and diet) followed by Fisher’s post-hoc tests for individual comparisons using StatView (Abacus Concepts, Inc., Berkeley, CA, USA). A P value of <0·05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results

Effects of protein restriction on body weight

Protein restriction during fetal development and suckling led to a 14% reduction in body weight of the 4-day-old neonatal offspring (C, 9·8 ± 0·2 g (n=8); PR, 8·4 ± 0·1 g (n=6); P<0·05). Body weight at weaning was also reduced by 22% by protein restriction (C, 43·6 ± 1·0 g; PR, 36·4 ± 1·0 g; P<0·001).
Body weights of the C, PR, and EPR rats at 20 weeks of age are shown in Table 1. Protein restriction from conception until adulthood reduced body weight in male and female rats (by 28% \(\text{P}<0.001\) and 14% \(\text{P}<0.001\) respectively). Transfer of protein-restricted rats to control diet at weaning led to recuperative body weight gain. At 20 weeks of age, body weights were 10% and 8% lower in EPR versus C male and female groups respectively. Male C and EPR groups were significantly heavier (27% and 24%; \(\text{P}<0.001\) in both cases) than the female C and EPR groups, but there was no significant difference in body weights of male and female PR rats.

**General characteristics of the experimental groups**

The age-matched groups were studied in the post-absorptive state at 6 h after food withdrawal. The general characteristics of the groups are shown in Table 1. In male rats, post-absorptive plasma insulin concentrations were significantly reduced by protein restriction from conception until adulthood (by 40% \(\text{P}<0.01\) compared with the controls) (Table 1). This relative hypoinsulinaemia was accompanied by a trend (not significant) towards a lower ratio of insulin to glucose (an index of relative insulin response to fasting glycaemia) in the male PR compared with the male C group (Table 1). In contrast, restricting the period of protein restriction to gestation and lactation resulted in elevated basal insulin levels (53%; \(\text{P}<0.001\) (Table 1). Due to these effects, post-absorptive plasma insulin concentrations were 2.6-fold higher \(\text{P}<0.001\) in the male EPR group compared with the male PR group. Furthermore, there was a significant (15%; \(\text{P}<0.05\)) increase in post-absorptive glycaemia in the male EPR group compared with the male PR group.

In female rats, post-absorptive plasma insulin concentrations were unaffected by either sustained or early protein restriction (Table 1). There was a significant (29%; \(\text{P}<0.001\)) increase in post-absorptive glycaemia in the female EPR group compared with the female C group. This effect was not observed in female rats if protein restriction was maintained from conception until adulthood. As a consequence, post-absorptive blood glucose concentrations were 15% higher \(\text{P}<0.01\) in the female EPR group compared with the female PR group.

In the C and EPR groups, post-absorptive plasma insulin concentrations were significantly lower in females than in age-matched males, by 40% \(\text{P}<0.01\) and 52% \(\text{P}<0.001\) respectively. In contrast, post-absorptive plasma insulin concentrations did not differ between the female and male PR groups. Basal glycaemia did not differ between males and females in the C group, whereas post-absorptive glycaemia was significantly higher in the female EPR and PR groups than in the male EPR and PR groups (by 15% in both cases; \(\text{P}<0.01\) and \(\text{P}<0.05\) respectively). Plasma insulin/blood glucose (I/G) ratios were significantly higher in males compared with females in the C and EPR groups (by 1.4-fold \(\text{P}<0.05\) and 2.2-fold \(\text{P}<0.001\) respectively), but there was no significant difference in I/G ratios between male and female PR rats.

The relationships between post-absorptive mean blood glucose and mean plasma insulin concentrations in the three groups of rats (C, PR and EPR) are shown for males and females in Fig. 1. There was a strong linear relationship between mean blood glucose and mean plasma insulin concentrations in male rats \((r=1.0)\) and female rats \((r=0.9)\). However, the slope of the line was 12.4-fold greater \((\text{P}<0.01)\) in the male compared with the female rats.

**Insulin secretion after intravenous glucose challenge**

To evaluate β-cell function, plasma insulin concentrations were measured in the three groups of male and female rats at intervals after the administration of an intravenous glucose challenge (500 mg/kg body weight). The pattern of changes in insulin levels induced by intravenous glucose challenge is compared for the various groups in Fig. 2. Plasma insulin concentrations at 2 min after intravenous glucose challenge were significantly higher in the male EPR group than in the male C and PR groups (2.2-fold
and 2.4-fold respectively; \( P < 0.001 \) in both cases). Insulin concentrations remained higher in the male EPR group compared with the other two male groups throughout the 30-min period of study (Fig. 2). Insulin values at 2 min after intravenous glucose were significantly lower in the female EPR and PR groups than in the female C group (by 25% \( P < 0.05 \) and 40% \( P < 0.01 \) respectively). Insulin concentrations did not differ significantly between the female C and female EPR groups throughout the remainder of the 30-min period of study. However, insulin concentrations were significantly lower at 30 min (by 36%; \( P < 0.05 \)) in the female PR group compared with the female C group (Fig. 2).

Figure 3 shows the calculated AIR (i.e. the means of suprabasal 2- and 5-min plasma insulin) (Fig. 3A) and total suprabasal 30-min IAUC-insulin (ΔI) (Fig. 3B) after intravenous administration of glucose (500 mg/kg) in C, PR and EPR rats. In male rats, PR did not significantly affect AIR or the IAUC-insulin compared with the C group. By contrast, both AIR and the IAUC-insulin were dramatically higher in male EPR rats compared with male C rats (by 2.6- and 2.8-fold respectively; \( P < 0.001 \) in both cases). In female rats, the AIR was significantly lower in PR rats (by 38%; \( P < 0.05 \)) compared with C rats. A modest decline (26%) in AIR was also observed in the female EPR group compared with the female C group, but this did not achieve statistical significance. The AIR values (Fig. 3) clearly demonstrated that the early insulin secretory response to intravenous glucose in the male EPR group was higher than that of the female EPR group by 3.6-fold \( (P < 0.001) \). Similarly, the IAUC value for insulin in the EPR group was 3.9-fold \( (P < 0.001) \) higher respectively in males than in females.

Diet-induced changes in insulin secretion can be indicative of altered insulin sensitivity: hyperinsulinaemia is usually considered as a compensatory response to the development of insulin resistance; lowered insulin secretion can indicate enhanced insulin sensitivity. The IR index, the product of the areas under the glucose and insulin curves after glucose challenge, was not significantly affected by sustained protein restriction in male rats (Fig. 4A). However, the IR index was increased by 2.5-fold \( (P < 0.001) \) in the male EPR group compared with the male C group. These data demonstrated lowered insulin sensitivity in male rats subjected to early protein restriction that were transferred to the standard diet at weaning. The IR index was significantly decreased in female PR rats compared with female C rats (by 48%; \( P < 0.05 \)). A decline of similar magnitude (48%) in the IR index was also observed in the EPR group compared with the C group, but this did not achieve statistical significance. IR indices in the C and PR groups were similar in the female versus
In contrast, the IR index was 4·6-fold higher in male EPR rats compared with female EPR rats \((P<0·001)\), indicating that this response was gender specific.

The insulinogenic index \((\Delta I/\Delta G)\) gives an indication of the overall insulin response in relation to the prevailing level of glycaemia. As shown in Fig. 4B, the male EPR group showed a much greater insulin secretory response to prevailing glycaemia than the male C (a 3·0-fold increase; \(P<0·001\)) and PR (a 4·4-fold increase; \(P<0·001\)) groups. In addition, the responsiveness of insulin secretion to a rise in glycaemia was higher in the male EPR group than in the corresponding female EPR group (a 3·2-fold increase; \(P<0·001\)). There were no significant differences in insulin sensitivity measured in the three female groups (Fig. 4A).

**Intravenous glucose tolerance**

The patterns of changes in glucose observed in vivo after glucose challenge are shown for male rats in Fig. 5A and for female rats in Fig. 5B. Intravenous glucose elevated blood glucose concentrations to approximately 11 mM in the three groups of female rats after 2 min (Fig. 5B). However, significantly lower blood glucose levels were observed in the female EPR rats compared with female controls at 10 min (by 17%; \(P<0·05\)) and 15 min (by 25%; \(P<0·01\)) post glucose injection (Fig. 5B). In contrast, significantly higher blood glucose levels were observed in the female EPR rats compared with female control rats at 30 min (by 33%; \(P<0·05\)) post glucose injection (Fig. 5B). However, there were no statistical differences between the blood glucose concentrations after intravenous glucose administration in the PR or EPR female groups.

We examined to what extent the altered insulin secretory response to glucose observed in the various groups was accompanied by altered glucose tolerance (Fig. 6). After the glucose load, overall glucose tolerance, as indicated by the integrated glucose area (IAUC), did not differ significantly between the male groups; i.e. C, PR, EPR (Fig. 6A). There was a significantly lower IAUC value (by 23%; \(P<0·05\)) for glucose in the female EPR group compared with the female C group (Fig. 6A). A similar non-significant trend was observed between female PR and female C rats. Despite marked gender differences in the male groups. In contrast, the IR index was 4·6-fold higher in male EPR rats compared with female EPR rats \((P<0·001)\), indicating that this response was gender specific.
insulin secretory responses to glucose, there were no marked gender differences with respect to overall glucose tolerance, as assessed by IAUC for glucose for rats in the C, EPR and PR groups (Fig. 6A).

There was a significant increase (31%; P<0.05) in rates of glucose disappearance (k values) in the male PR rats compared with the male C rats (Fig. 6B). The k values for glucose did not differ significantly between male EPR rats and male C rats (Fig. 6B). While the IAUC-glucose value was not significantly altered, the k value for glucose disappearance calculated over the first 15 min was significantly higher in the female PR rats than in the female C rats (1.5-fold; P<0.001) (Fig. 6B). The female EPR group showed an intermediate k value. There were no marked gender differences with respect to k values for rats in any of the nutritional groups.

Discussion

The present study tested the hypothesis that gender, either through its modulation of growth rate or via intrinsic differences in the characteristics of insulin secretion or action, exerts an important influence on whether glucose intolerance develops as a consequence of early growth retardation. Our data showed that, after early protein restriction, adult male rats have a significantly greater insulin secretory response to glucose than female rats. Maternal protein deprivation followed by maintenance of male offspring on a restricted protein diet lowers the insulin secretory response to glucose, most likely as a consequence of enhanced insulin sensitivity as glucose tolerance is unimpaired. However, transfer of male rats exposed to protein malnutrition during pregnancy and lactation to a standard diet at weaning is no longer associated with enhanced insulin sensitivity in association with lowered insulin secretion. Instead, the insulin secretory response to glucose is greatly augmented to counter the development of insulin resistance. Early protein restriction when maintained post weaning also tends to lower glucose-stimulated insulin secretion in females. However, in females, unlike males, early protein deprivation followed by transfer to a diet containing the normal amount of protein at weaning did not induce compensatory insulin secretion. Our data showed that growth retardation due to maternal protein restriction during pregnancy and lactation is associated with relative insulin resistance and hyperinsulinaemia in young adulthood when offspring are transferred to a normal diet, but this relationship is gender specific in that it is only observed in
males in this age group. Our data support findings of gender differences in the strength of associations between fetal growth and adult outcomes.

Epidemiological studies indicate that low birth weight in humans is predictive of insulin resistance and diabetes in adulthood (reviewed by Phillips 1998, Hales & Barker 2001). The molecular mechanisms underlying this link are unknown. However, it has been proposed that environmental factors, including inappropriate maternal nutrition, create an adverse intrauterine environment that may result in permanent changes in insulin secretion and/or action that impair glucose tolerance. In the rat, maternal protein restriction during pregnancy reduces birth weight (Sugden & Holness 1995, Desai et al. 1996, 1997, Holness 1996, Holness & Sugden 1999, Ozanne et al. 1999) and thus constitutes an experimental manipulation with which to explore the possible causal relationships between impaired early growth and the later development of impaired glucose tolerance. We examined insulin secretion and glucose tolerance in rats that had experienced early growth retardation through maternal protein restriction from conception to adulthood (PR) or until weaning, followed by switching to a diet containing an adequate amount of protein which permitted recuperative growth (EPR). In male rats, protein restriction from conception to adulthood suppressed post-absorptive insulin and glucose levels, which is indicative of increased insulin sensitivity, and lowered the IR index. By contrast, protein restriction was associated with basal hyperinsulinaemia in male rats whose exposure to protein malnutrition was limited to pregnancy and lactation. However, importantly, the age-matched (control) female rats were already characterised by lower post-absorptive insulin levels than the male group, suggesting that female rats are intrinsically more insulin sensitive than male rats. This conclusion was borne out by the responses of male and female rats in the various dietary groups to intravenous glucose challenge. There were no significant differences in the characteristics of glucose tolerance (k values, IAUC values for glucose) between male and female rats subjected to protein restriction. Thus, the relative insulin insensitivity of males is amenable to amelioration by modulation of dietary protein content. The data therefore indicated that adult male rats characterised by early growth retardation secondary to protein malnutrition in early life only are intrinsically less insulin sensitive than corresponding female rats, but insulin hypersecretion compensates for the relative impairment of insulin action, thereby maintaining glucose tolerance. In contrast, in adult female rats, protein restriction enhances insulin action, presumably leading to a

Figure 5 Blood glucose concentrations during an intravenous glucose tolerance test in male (A) and female (B) rats; C groups (○), EPR groups (●) and PR groups (▲). Blood samples were withdrawn at intervals after the administration of glucose as an intravenous bolus (0.5 g glucose/kg body weight) for measurement of plasma glucose using a commercial kit. Values are means ± S.E.M. for at least seven rats in each group. Statistically significant differences from control rats are indicated by *P<0.05. Statistically significant differences between the male and female rats are reported in the text.
reduced requirement for insulin secretion to maintain normoglycaemia.

Rats maintained on low-protein diets frequently exhibit normal or enhanced glucose tolerance and insulin action (Okitolonda et al. 1987, Escriva et al. 1991, Picarel-Blanchot et al. 1995). The present data therefore lend further support to the concept that protein restriction can enhance insulin action, but that this response may be dependent on gender. The comparison of the EPR and PR groups made in the present study permits a critical analysis of the specific effect of protein restriction in early life. Transfer of the male protein-restricted rats to a standard diet at weaning elevated plasma insulin concentrations both in the post-absorptive state and after glucose challenge compared with the age-matched male PR group. An elevated plasma insulin concentration in the post-absorptive state is indicative of insulin resistance, but that this response may be dependent on gender. The comparison of the EPR and PR groups made in the present study permits a critical analysis of the specific effect of protein restriction in early life. Transfer of the male protein-restricted rats to a standard diet at weaning elevated plasma insulin concentrations both in the post-absorptive state and after glucose challenge compared with the age-matched male PR group. An elevated plasma insulin concentration in the post-absorptive state is indicative of insulin resistance and, consistent with this, post-absorptive hyperinsulinaemia was associated with higher IR indices in the male EPR group. The higher insulin/glucose concentration ratios observed in the male EPR rats before intravenous glucose challenge suggests that significant insulin secretion can occur at a lower level of glycaemia in male EPR rats than in the male PR rats. The significantly higher IAUC values for insulin and ΔI/ΔG values in the male EPR rats compared with the male PR group confirms that insulin secretion by the β-cell is hyper-responsive to glucose in the male EPR group. However, although insulin concentrations were significantly higher, intravenous glucose challenge was associated with normal glucose disappearance rates in the male EPR rats. The data indicated that male rats adapted to protein restriction during their early life respond to transfer to a diet containing the normal amount of protein with a loss of insulin sensitivity compared with PR rats, and this is countered by enhanced insulin secretion.

The gender-specific response to early protein restriction identified in the present study is important in relation to earlier studies showing increased vulnerability of male rats, compared with females, to the later development of obesity (Anguita et al. 1993), hypercholesterolaemia (Lucas et al. 1996) and triacylglycerolaemia (Lucas et al. 1996) as a consequence of early protein restriction. It has been hypothesised that nutritional sensitivity in the males might relate to the faster growth of tissues and hence more critical nutritional needs (Lucas et al. 1996). However, in the present study, there was no indication that the capacity of the β-cell for insulin secretion was more impaired in
males than in females as a consequence of early protein restriction. Rather it appears that loss of insulin secretory capacity, should it occur in later life, is more related to the functional demands placed on the endocrine pancreas during adulthood through changes in insulin action.

It cannot be established from the present studies whether the increase in insulin secretion by the β-cells of the male EPR group is a direct consequence of an attenuated action of insulin, although this might appear likely. However, there was a significant increase in fasting insulin levels in the male EPR group and insulin concentrations after glucose challenge are not decreased. Therefore, deficient insulin production does not play any major role in the development of insulin resistance in the male EPR group, and early growth retardation resulting from protein restriction does not irreversibly impair the insulin secretory capacity of the β-cell. Indeed, in male young adulthood, the capacity for insulin secretion in response to increased glycaemia is markedly enhanced. It is therefore likely that, with time, relative hypersecretion of insulin – particularly in males – may lead to progressive β-cell exhaustion and, eventually, impaired insulin secretion may develop making hyperglycaemia and glucose intolerance inevitable.
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