Frequent overexpression of cyclin D1 in sporadic pancreatic endocrine tumours
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Abstract

Pancreatic endocrine tumours (PETs) occur sporadically or are inherited as part of the multiple endocrine neoplasia type-1 syndrome. Little is known about the molecular events leading to these tumours. Cyclin D1, a key regulator of the G1/S transition of the cell cycle, is overexpressed in a variety of human cancers as well as certain endocrine tumours. We hypothesized that similar to other endocrine tumours, cyclin D1 is overexpressed in human sporadic PETs. Cyclin D1 protein overexpression was found in 20 of 31 PETs (65%) when compared with normal pancreatic tissue. Furthermore, Northern blot analysis suggests that cyclin D1 up-regulation occurs at the post-transcriptional level in some PETs. Because the key cell growth signalling pathways p42/p44/ERK (extracellular signal-regulated kinase), p38/MAPK (mitogen-activated protein kinase), and Akt/PKB (protein kinase B) can regulate cyclin D1 protein expression in other cell types, pancreatic endocrine tumours were analysed with phospho-specific antibodies against the active forms of these proteins to elucidate a tissue-specific regulatory mechanism of cyclin D1 in PETs. We found frequent activation of the p38/MAPK and Akt pathways, but down-regulation of the ERK pathway, in cyclin D1 overexpressing PETs. This study demonstrates that cyclin D1 overexpression is associated with human sporadic PET tumorigenesis, and suggests that this up-regulation may occur at the post-transcriptional level. These findings will direct future studies of PETs towards cell cycle dysregulation and the identification of key growth factor pathways involved in the formation of these tumours.
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Introduction

Pancreatic endocrine tumours (PETs) develop from neuroendocrine cells residing in and around the pancreas. These rare tumours occur either sporadically or are inherited as part of the multiple endocrine neoplasia type-1 syndrome (MEN-1). While PETs are well known for characteristic hormonal production related syndromes, such as Zollinger Ellison syndrome, they may also cause symptoms from local invasion as well as metastatic spread. There are few effective therapies for patients with PETs besides surgical management, and prognosis varies between excellent for those without metastasis to poor for those with metastasis. Knowledge of the molecular mechanisms leading to PET tumorigenesis would greatly facilitate the development of rational anti-tumour therapy, as well as provide diagnostic and prognostic markers.

Little is known regarding the molecular pathogenesis of PETs. The gene responsible for the MEN-1 syndrome, MEN1, was cloned in 1997 (Chandrasekharappa et al. 1997), and is mutated in 23% of PETs (Guo & Sawicki 2001). The tumour suppressor Smad4/DPC4 (Bartsch et al. 1997) is mutated in 50% of nonfunctional tumours. Other tumour suppressors and oncogenes implicated in PET tumorigenesis include HER-2/neu (Evers et al. 1994, Terris et al. 1998), p16INK4 (Muscarella et al. 1998), p27 (Guo et al. 2001), and p53 (Evers et al. 1994, Lin et al. 1997). Loss-of-heterozygosity studies have also suggested the involvement of tumour suppressor genes from chromosomes 1 (Ebrahimi et al. 1999, Guo et al. 2002b), 3p (Chung et al. 1997), 3q (Guo et al. 2002a), 11p, 16p (Chung et al. 1998), 17p (Beghelli et al. 1998) and 22q (Chung et al. 1998).

Cyclins are the regulatory subunits of the cyclin/Cdk (cyclin-dependent kinase) complexes. Cyclin D1 binds to Cdk4/6, and the resultant complex phosphorylates the retinoblastoma susceptibility gene product, pRb. Sequential phosphorylation of pRb by cyclin D/Cdk4/6 and cyclin E/Cdk2 inactivates pRb and allows cell-cycle progression through G1 (Fagan et al. 1994). Once this
restriction-point is traversed, cells lose their mitogen-dependence and progress through the cell cycle until the restriction point of the next cell cycle is reached. Although there is variability amongst different cell systems, the synthesis and accumulation of the regulatory cyclins, in particular cyclin D1, is an important control of pRb phosphorylation (Grana & Reddy 1995) (reviewed in Hunter & Pines 1994), and cyclin D1 is a prognostic marker for many cancers (Bova (reviewed in Hunter & Pines 1994), and cyclin D1 is a gastric, oesophageal) have increased cyclin D1 expression human cancers (e.g. breast, parathyroid, head and neck, Hatakeyama & Weinberg 1995). Not surprisingly, many phosphorylation (Grana & Reddy 1995) (reviewed in particular cyclin D1, is an important control of pRb synthesis and accumulation of the regulatory cyclins, in there is variability amongst di restriction point of the next cell cycle is reached. Although dependence and progress through the cell cycle until the restriction-point is traversed, cells lose their mitogen-dependence on exogenous mitogens, and cell transformation (Bodrug et al. 1994, Wang et al. 1994). Finally, activation of Cdk4 causes pancreatic β-islet proliferation while inactivation of Cdk4 results in β-islet hypoplasia and insulin-dependent diabetes (Rane et al. 1999).

Cyclin D1 expression is regulated by transcriptional and post-transcriptional mechanisms. At the transcriptional level, kinase pathways such as p38/MAPK (mitogen-activated protein kinase), c-Jun N-terminal kinase/SAPK (stress-activated protein kinase), and p42/p44/ERK (extracellular signal-regulated kinase) transmit exogenous growth signals to up-regulate cyclin D1 (Lavoie et al. 1996, Lee et al. 1999). Similarly, activation of the Akt/ PKB (protein kinase B) pathway can increase cyclin D1 expression through either transcriptional (Gille & Downward 1999) or post-transcriptional mechanisms (Diehl et al. 1998, Muise-Helmericks et al. 1998). There is, however, tremendous tissue specificity in cyclin D1 regulation and conflicting data regarding the effects of these pathways on cyclin D1 expression between cell types. Such studies have not been performed on human pancreatic endocrine tissues.

We hypothesized that sporadic PETs have dysregulation of cyclin D1 similar to parathyroid adenomas and other endocrine tumours. Western blot analysis demonstrated frequent overexpression of cyclin D1 in sporadic PETs compared with normal pancreas tissues. Cyclin D1 over-expression was confirmed by immunohistochemistry (IHC) analysis on a subset of 5 sporadic PETs. Northern analysis suggests that cyclin D1 up-regulation in these tumours occurs at the post-transcriptional level. Using phospho-specific antibodies, we also show frequent activation of the p38/MAPK and Akt pathways, but down-regulation of the ERK pathway, in cyclin D1 overexpressing sporadic PETs. Our findings are strengthened by a recent report of frequent cyclin D1 over-expression in human sporadic PETs (Chung et al. 2000). Together, these two studies strongly suggest the importance of cyclin D1 up-regulation in the tumourigenesis of sporadic PETs.

Materials and Methods

Tissue isolation

Tumour samples were obtained from patients who have received extensive pre-operative evaluations at exploratory laparotomy. Tissues were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C.

Institutional review and informed consent

This study was performed under the auspices of the West LA, VA, Institutional review board, with appropriate institutional oversight. Informed consent was obtained from all patients from whom tissue samples were harvested.

Protein isolation

Tissues were homogenized using a Kontes pellet pestle (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA, USA) in CHAPS lysis buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, 1 mM MgCl2, 1 mM EGTA, 0·1 mM benzamidine, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 0·5% CHAPS, 10% glycerol). After 30 min incubation, centrifugation was performed and cellular debris discarded. The supernatant was stored at −80°C until use. Protein concentration was determined using the Bichinchonic method (Pierce Biotechnology, Rockford, IL, USA).

Western blot analysis

Protein samples were denatured in loading dye (62·5 mM Tris–HCl, 2% SDS, 10% glycerol, 0·1% Bromophenol Blue, 50 mM dithiothreitol) and boiled at 100°C. Equal amounts (25 µg) of protein were loaded into each well and resolved in 10% SDS-PAGE gel. The protein samples were transferred to Hybond-C Extra protein membrane (Amersham, Piscataway, NJ, USA), agitated in blocking solution (20 mM Tris, 140 mM NaCl, 5% dry milk, 0·1% Tween 20), and probed with primary antibodies in primary antibody dilution buffer (20 mM Tris, 140 mM NaCl, 5% bovine serum albumin, 0·1% Tween 20). After hybridization with the appropriate secondary antibody (1:2000 dilution), blots were developed with ECL reagents according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Amersham). Equal protein loading and transfer were reconfirmed with Ponceau S staining (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA) of the blots. The expression level of cyclin D1 was normalized to that of β-tubulin, and the expression levels of phospho-Akt, phospho-p38, and phospho-ERK were normalized to those of total-Akt, total-p38, and total-ERK respectively.

Antibodies

Monoclonal mouse anti-cyclin D1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) was used at 1:500 dilutions. Monoclonal mouse anti-β-tubulin (Sigma Scientific) was used at 1:2000 dilutions. Rabbit polyclonal anti-total p38, anti-phospho-specific p38, anti-total Akt,
anti-phospho-specific Akt, and anti-total ERK (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA, USA) were used at 1:1000 dilutions. Mouse monoclonal anti-phospho-specific ERK (New England Biolabs) was used at 1:1000 dilutions. For IHC, monoclonal cyclin D1 (NeoMarkers, Fremont, CA, USA) was used at 1:50 dilutions.

Immunohistochemistry
Tissues (3 PETs with cyclin D1 overexpression – 14T, 78T, 111T; 2 PETs without cyclin D1 overexpression – 226T, 250T) were taken from the frozen tissue bank, and fixed in formalin, ethanol, and xylene in an automated tissue processor (LX300 Tissue Processor, Fisher Scientific, Tustin, CA, USA). After tissues were embedded in paraffin, they were cut into 4 µm thick slices and mounted onto slides. Target retrieval was performed with DAKO Target Retrieval Solution (DAKO Corporation, Carpentry, CA, USA) at 95 °C for 20 min in a steamer, then cooled to room temperature. Slides were then placed into the DAKO Autostainer and stained using the DAKO LSAB2 System, Peroxidase (DAKO Corporation). Primary antibody was diluted in DAKO Antibody Diluent, and blocking was performed in DAKO Protein Block Serum-Free (DAKO Corporation). Signals were obtained using DAKO Liquid DAB Large Volume Substrate-Chromogen System (DAKO Corporation). Specificity of the antibody was confirmed by peptide blocking using the cyclin D1 peptide (NeoMarkers). Appropriate positive and negative controls were used.

Northern blot analysis
Tissues (2 PETs with cyclin D1 overexpression – 67T, 113T; 2 PETs without cyclin D1 overexpression – 7T, 43T) were homogenized (see above) and RNA was isolated according to the RNeasy Mini protocol (Qiagen, Santa Clarita, CA, USA). RNA was resolved in 1·2% FA Gél (1·2% agarose, 20 mM MOPS, 5 mM sodium acetate, 1 mM EDTA, adjusted to pH 7·0), and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane (Schleicher & Schuell, Keene, NH, USA) by capillary transfer (Sambrook et al. 1989). Cyclin D1 cdNA was labelled by a random primed DNA labelling kit (Boehringer Mannheim, Mannheim, Germany) using [α-32P]dCTP (ICN Biomedical, Costa Mesa, CA, USA). Hybridization was performed with ExpressHyb Solution (Clontech, Palo Alto, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

Results
Overexpression of cyclin D1 in sporadic PETs
Cyclin D1 is a key regulatory protein for the G1-S transition of the cell cycle, and was first shown to be up-regulated in human endocrine tumours (Motokura et al. 1991). We hypothesized that similar to other endocrine tumours there is dysregulation of cyclin D1 in sporadic PETs. To assess the expression of cyclin D1 at the protein level, Western blot analysis was performed on 31 sporadic PETs. As shown in Table 1, 20 of 31 sporadic PETs (65%) demonstrated overexpression of cyclin D1 by Western blot analysis compared with two normal pancreas controls. A representative Western blot is shown in Fig. 1. Protein concentration of tumour samples was performed by the Bichinchonic assay, and equal loading was demonstrated with Porcous S staining (data not shown) as well as by stripping the blots and re-probing with β-tubulin antibody (Fig. 1). To validate the Western blot data, IHC was performed in 5 sporadic PETs for which we had sufficient tumour material for analysis (Fig. 2 and data not shown). Of these 5 PETs analyzed by IHC, all three PETs found to have cyclin D1 overexpression by Western blot analysis (tumours 14T, 78T, and 111T) also demonstrated both nuclear and cytoplasmic staining of cyclin D1 by IHC.
Overexpression of cyclin D1 in PETs

Cyclin D1 overexpression occurs at the post-transcriptional level in sporadic PETs

One mechanism for cyclin D1 overexpression in many human tumours is through DNA amplification or rearrangement (e.g. breast cancer and parathyroid tumours), resulting in transcriptional up-regulation. However, previous studies did not reveal gross cytogenetic rearrangement or DNA amplification in the region of cyclin D1 in sporadic PETs (Sawicki et al. 1992, Terris et al. 1998, Speel et al. 1999). Cyclin D1 overexpression in sporadic PETs is therefore likely to occur through a transcriptional or a post-transcriptional mechanism. We investigated these possibilities by Northern blot analysis of 4 sporadic PETs (2 PETs with cyclin D1 overexpression - 67T, 214T2; 2 PETs without cyclin D1 overexpression - 7T, 43T). Figure 3 shows that although the level of cyclin D1 is dramatically different between these 4 sporadic PETs, their cyclin D1 mRNA expression is not significantly altered. This suggests that cyclin D1 overexpression may occur at the post-transcriptional level in sporadic PETs.

Activation of MAP kinase pathways in pancreatic endocrine tumours

Ras and its multiple effector pathways such as Akt, p38/MAPK, and p42/p44/ERK are known to have regulatory roles, through either transcriptional or post-transcriptional mechanisms, in the expression of cyclin D1 (Diehl et al. 1998, Muise-Helmericks et al. 1998, Lee et al. 1999). The active regulatory pathway is cell-type specific and context sensitive. No studies have been performed on human pancreatic endocrine tumour tissues.

We therefore investigated which Ras effector pathways might play an important role in cyclin D1 overexpression in sporadic PETs. Using phospho-specific antibodies and total-form antibodies against activated- and total-Akt, -p38/MAPK, and -p42/p44/ERK respectively, the activation status of these growth pathways in sporadic PETs was examined. Protein gel loading was equalized according to the total forms of these antibodies, and Western bands were quantified on a scale between 3− and 3+ by two independent reviewers. As shown in Table 1, within the group of sporadic PETs that show cyclin D1 overexpression, there is activation of the Akt and/or the p38/MAPK growth pathways in 80% (16 of 20). In contrast, there is down-regulation of the ERK pathway in 75% (15 of 20) of the same group of samples. A representative Western blot is shown in Fig. 4.

Cyclin D1 expression does not correlate with tumour aggressiveness or differentiation in sporadic PETs

Because other studies have shown cyclin D1 to be a prognostic factor in human cancers (Bova et al. 1999, Keum et al. 1999, Samejima et al. 1999, Cuny et al. 2000, Itoi et al. 2000), cyclin D1 expression levels were analyzed for correlation with clinical tumour behaviour of these sporadic PETs. Tumours were categorized as benign or malignant based on the presence or absence of hepatic metastases. Of the six sporadic PETs that metastasized to the liver, four expressed increased levels of cyclin D1 (67%) versus two that did not (Table 1 and data not shown). Conversely, of the 25 sporadic PETs without hepatic involvement, 16 expressed increased levels of cyclin D1 (64%). Analysis according to tumour hormonal status (gastrinoma vs insulinoma vs nonfunctional tumours, etc.) also did not reveal any association with cyclin D1 overexpression. Thus there is no correlation between cyclin D1 overexpression and sporadic PET clinical aggressiveness or differentiation.

Discussion

In this study, 31 sporadic pancreatic endocrine tumours were analysed for the expression of cyclin D1 and 20 PETs (65%) showed overexpression by Western blot analysis. These findings were validated by IHC in a subset of tumours. Although our sample size is limited, this is one of only two such studies in these rare and generally small tumours.

Previous studies showed no gross chromosomal rearrangement or DNA amplification in the region of cyclin D1 in sporadic PETs. This was confirmed by our
Northern blot analysis that suggests in some sporadic PETs, post-transcriptional mechanisms are responsible for the up-regulation of cyclin D1 expression. Current studies in other cellular contexts demonstrated that the control of cyclin D1 expression by key growth regulatory pathways may be cell-type specific. We found activation of either the p38/MAPK or the Akt pathway in 80% (16 of 20) of sporadic PETs with cyclin D1 overexpression. In contrast, the ERK pathway is down-regulated in 75% of these tumours (15 of 20). These observational studies on these rare human tumours may provide important clues for growth dysregulation in sporadic PETs.

In addition, no correlation between cyclin D1 overexpression and clinical behaviour or tumour phenotype was found. Studies of various human cancers suggest that
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**Figure 2** Cyclin D1 expression by IHC in sporadic PETs. Cyclin D1 overexpression was confirmed by IHC in 5 tumours (3 tumours with cyclin D1 overexpression – 14T, 78T, 111T; 2 PETs without cyclin D1 overexpression – 226T, 250T). Representative photomicrographs are shown. (a) Tumour (14T) found to have cyclin D1 overexpression by Western analysis has nuclear as well as cytoplasmic staining of cyclin D1 by IHC. (b) Specificity of the antibody used in immunohistochemistry is demonstrated with peptide blocking. Cyclin D1 staining in the same tumour is completely abolished with peptide blocking. (c) Large dysmorphic tumour cells can also be seen in another tumour with cyclin D1 overexpression. (d) A PET found to have no cyclin D1 overexpression (226T) by Western analysis also has no significant staining of cyclin D1.
cyclin D1 overexpression may act either as a marker of tumour growth and aggressiveness, or as a marker of tumour differentiation. In sporadic PETs, however, neither aggressiveness (malignant vs benign behaviour) nor tumour differentiation (functional vs non-functional) correlate with cyclin D1 expression. It is possible that cyclin D1 dysregulation may occur before the tumour diverges into the different functional lineages or develops invasive behaviour. It may also be possible that cyclin D1 expression is a key indicator of generalized islet growth rather than tumour-specific changes. This is suggested by the findings of Rane et al. (1999) where activation of Cdk4 resulted in islet hyperplasia, but loss of Cdk4 led to insulin-deficient diabetes. Resolution of this issue will require functional studies in a suitable islet-specific model.

Recently, Chung et al. (2000) also found frequent overexpression of cyclin D1 in human PETs. While the frequency of overexpression was lower in their series (43% vs 65% in this study), they also found that cyclin D1 overexpression did not correlate with the hormonal subtypes of the PETs. Although a trend was suggested between cyclin D1 overexpression and tumour aggressiveness, this was not statistically significant. Similarly, we found no correlation between cyclin D1 overexpression and hepatic metastasis. Finally, while their study suggested that cyclin D1 up-regulation may be at the transcriptional level, we failed to show differences in cyclin D1 mRNA levels between cyclin D1 overexpressing and non-overexpressing tumours. This incongruity may be attributed to the small sample sizes in both of our studies (nine vs four tumours), and warrants further study.

In summary, we have shown that cyclin D1 is overexpressed in 65% of sporadic PETs. We have also shown that this cyclin D1 overexpression may be at the post-transcriptional level, and that the Akt, p38, and ERK pathways may play important roles in sporadic PETs. Further characterization of the G1/S transition dysregulation, as well as validation of the roles of these pathways by functional studies in suitable models, will yield a more complete understanding of the molecular mechanisms involved in the tumorigenesis of these rare tumours.
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