Insulin down-regulates insulin receptor substrate-2 expression through the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/Akt pathway
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Abstract

Insulin receptor substrate (IRS)-1 and IRS-2 are the major substrates that mediate insulin action. Insulin itself regulates the expression of the IRS protein in the liver, but the underlying mechanisms of IRS-1 and IRS-2 regulation are not fully understood. Here we report that insulin suppressed the expression of both IRS-1 and IRS-2 proteins in Fao hepatoma cells. The decrease in IRS-1 protein occurred via proteasomal degradation without any change in IRS-1 mRNA, whereas the insulin-suppressed IRS-2 protein was associated with a parallel decrease in IRS-2 mRNA without changing IRS-2 mRNA half-life. The insulin-induced suppression of IRS-2 mRNA and protein was blocked by the phosphatidylinositol (PI) 3-kinase inhibitor, LY294002, but not by the MAP kinase-ERK kinase (MEK) inhibitor, PD098059. Inhibition of Akt by overexpression of dominant-negative Akt also caused complete attenuation of the insulin-induced decrease in IRS-2 protein and partial attenuation of its mRNA down-regulation. Some nuclear proteins bound to the insulin response element (IRE) sequence on the IRS-2 gene in an insulin-dependent manner in vitro, and the binding was also blocked by the PI 3-kinase inhibitor. Reporter gene assay showed that insulin suppressed the activity of both human and rat IRS-2 gene promoters through the IRE in a PI 3-kinase-dependent manner. Our results indicate that insulin regulates IRS-1 and IRS-2 through different mechanisms and that insulin represses IRS-2 gene expression via a PI 3-kinase/Akt pathway.
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Introduction

Type 2 diabetes is caused by the impaired action of insulin, an essential hormone for maintaining glucose homeostasis. Insulin initiates its biological effects by binding to and activating its endogenous receptor tyrosine kinase, the insulin receptor (IR) (Hendricks et al. 1984). Insulin receptor substrate (IRS)-1 and IRS-2 are the two major receptor substrate proteins in most cells (Sun et al. 1991, 1995). Mice lacking IRS-1 or IRS-2 secondary to gene disruption show insulin resistance, confirming the indispensable role of IRS-1 and IRS-2 in normal insulin action in peripheral tissues (Waterfield & Greenfield 1991, Araki et al. 1994, Withers et al. 1998). More importantly, a high proportion of mice with a combined heterozygous defect in IR, IRS-1 and/or IRS-2 have diabetes, even though they have 50% of the normal concentrations of these molecules (Bruning et al. 1997, Kido et al. 2000). We also recently reported that the expression of IR, IRS-1 and IRS-2 was significantly decreased in obese IRS-1 heterozygous defective mice (Shirakami et al. 2002). These results suggest that not only the absence but also a decrease in IRS-1 and IRS-2 protein may contribute to the pathogenesis or progression of diabetes.

The liver is the major insulin target organ responsible for control of glucose homeostasis in the fasting state (DeFronzo 1997). Insulin signalling via the phosphatidylinositol (PI) 3-kinase pathway is a major mediator of the metabolic actions of insulin (Kanai et al. 1993, Cheatham et al. 1994, Dorrestijn et al. 1996). Both IRS-1-associated and IRS-2-associated PI 3-kinase activities are stimulated following insulin treatment of the liver; however IRS-2 signalling seems to be more important, since total insulin-induced PI 3-kinase activation is still near normal in the liver of IRS-1-deficient mice (Araki et al. 1994, Yamauchi et al. 1996, Withers et al. 1998). Low IRS-1 and IRS-2 protein levels have been found in patients with insulin resistance such as obesity and type 2 diabetes (Goodyear et al. 1995, Rondinone et al. 1997, Friedman et al. 1999) and in animal models with insulin resistance in multiple insulin-sensitive tissues, including the liver (Saad et al. 1992, Kerouz et al. 1997, Anai et al. 1998, Jiang et al. 1999, Shimomura et al. 2000), suggesting that the low expression level of IRS-2, and to a lesser
extent of IRS-1 expression, in the liver contributes to abnormal glucose homeostasis. Although the regulation of IRS-1 has been well studied (Araki et al. 1995, Saad et al. 1995, Matsuda et al. 1997, Sun et al. 1999, Haruta et al. 2000, Lee et al. 2000), little is known about the mechanisms that control IRS-2 protein levels in normal or abnormal states such as insulin resistance and diabetes. It has been reported that hyperinsulinaemic animal models, such as ob/ob mice and Zucker fatty rats, have low IRS-1 and IRS-2 protein levels in the liver (Saad et al. 1992, Gerfen et al. 1995, Anai et al. 1998, Shimomura et al. 2000). In contrast, the liver-specific knockout of the insulin receptor (LIRKO) mice, in which insulin signalling in hepatocytes is selectively impaired, show a marked increase in IRS-2 levels in the liver (Michael et al. 2000). These observations suggest that, as with IRS-1, insulin itself may be a major regulator of IRS-2 protein levels in the liver.

The present study was designed to determine the mechanism(s) of insulin effects on IRS-2 expression, and the potential signalling pathway(s) involved in such regulation. Studies were conducted using Fao rat hepatoma cells treated with insulin.

Materials and Methods

Materials

Antibody to IRS-1 was prepared as described previously (Sun et al. 1991). Polyclonal antibody to IRS-2 was purchased from Upstate Inc. (Waltham, MA, USA). Polyclonal antibodies to Akt, phospho-specific Akt (Ser 473), MAP kinase and phospho-specific MAP kinase (Tyr 204) were from New England Biolabs, Inc. (Beverly, MA, USA).

dCTP and [γ-32P]ATP were purchased from New England Nuclear Inc. (Woburn, MA, USA). Immuno-blotting apparatus was from Bio-Rad Laboratories (Richmond, CA, USA); the enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) Western blotting kit was from Clontech (Palo Alto, CA, USA). PD098059 was from New England Biolabs, Inc. and MG132 was from Calbiochem-Novabiochem Corp (La Jolla, CA, USA). FUGENE 6 transfection reagent was from Roche. The Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System was from Promega (Madison, WI, USA). PicaGene control vector, PGV-C2, was from Toyo Ink (Tokyo, Japan). Terminator sequencing mix was from Applied Biosystems-Perkin Elmer (Westerburn, Germany). All other common materials were from Sigma Chemical Co. (St Louis, MO, USA).

Construct of recombinant adenovirus

The adenovirus carrying dominant-negative Akt (DN-Akt/Akt-AA; substituted Thr 308 to Ala and Ser 473 to Ala) was constructed as described previously (Kitamura et al. 1998). The recombinant adenovirus was constructed by homologous recombination between the parental virus genome and the expression cosmid cassettes as described previously (Jones & Persaud 1998). The adenovirus with the same parental genome carrying the LacZ gene was used as a control.

Cell culture and adenovirus-mediated gene transfer

Fao cells were maintained on 150 mm diameter dishes in 1640 RPMI (Gibco) medium Fetal Bovine Serum with 10% at 37 °C and 5% CO2. The medium was changed every 2 days, and the cells were split every 7 days. For all assays, the cells were seeded into 60 mm dishes and cultured until confluent. For adenovirus-mediated gene transfer, Fao cells were cultured on 60 mm dishes. Confluent cells were incubated in 300 μl media containing the adenoviruses for 1 h at 37 °C, and then 4 ml RPMI medium supplemented with 10% FBS were added, and the cells were further cultured for 24 h. Next, the cells were serum-starved overnight, and then subjected to the assays described below. The adenoviruses were applied at a concentration of 3 × 109 plaque-forming units (PFU)/cm². The expression level of DN-Akt was determined by Western blot analysis with polyclonal Akt antibody, which recognizes both endogenous and transfected Akt.

Western blot analysis

For all experiments, cells were serum-deprived overnight in a medium containing 0.1% BSA and then, unless noted otherwise, incubated with or without insulin at a concentration of 100 nmol/l in RPMI medium supplemented with 0.1% BSA for the indicated time periods. In some cases, MG132 (50 μmol/l), PD098059 (50 μmol/l) and/or LY294002 (20 μmol/l) were added 30 min before the addition of insulin. Whole cell protein extracts were prepared by using buffer A (50 mmol/l HEPES (pH 7.5), 150 mmol/l NaCl, 1 mmol/l EDTA, 2 mmol/l NaVO4, 20 mmol/l Na3P2O7, 100 mmol/l NaF, 1% NP-40, 2 mmol/l phenylnethylsulphonyl fluoride (PMSF), 20 μg aprotinin/ml, and 10 μg leupeptin/ml) for 30 min at 4 °C, and insoluble protein was removed by centrifugation at 10000 g in a microcentrifuge. Protein content was determined by the method of Bradford (1976). The extract was then resolved directly in SDS-polyacrylamide gels after boiling in Laemmli SDS sample buffer. Approximately 50 μg protein samples were separated by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and transferred to PVDF membrane. The membrane was subjected to Western blotting with a Western blotting anti-insulin receptor antibody. The immunoreactive bands were visualized by enhanced chemiluminescence and quantified by densitometric analysis.
Northern blot analysis

Cells were serum-deprived overnight and then, unless noted otherwise, incubated with or without 100 nmol/l insulin for the indicated times as mentioned in the description of Western blot analysis. In some cases, cycloheximide (5 µg/ml), PD098059 (50 µmol/l) and/or LY294002 (50 µmol/l) were added 30 min before the addition of insulin. Total RNA was isolated using TRizol reagent (GIBCO-BRL, Gaithersburg, MD, USA). Approximately 20 µg total RNA were subjected to electrophoresis in 1% agarose gel. Ethidium bromide staining of the gel confirmed equal loading and integrity of the RNA. Northern blot analysis for IRS-1, IRS-2 and 36B4 (internal control) was performed as described previously (Tsuruzoe et al. 2001).

Half-life studies of IRS-1 and IRS-2 mRNAs

Confluent Fao cells were serum-deprived overnight in RPMI medium containing 0·1% BSA. After pretreatment with actinomycin D (5 µg/ml) for 15 min, cells were incubated with or without 100 nmol/l insulin for 0–90 min in the presence of actinomycin D. IRS-1, IRS-2 and 36B4 mRNA levels were measured by Northern blot analysis as described above.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay

A fragment corresponding to the sequence at nt −585 to −557 (5′-GAGTCACATGGTTGTTTGGCTTCTCT TAGT-3′ and 5′-ACTAAAGAGCAAAAACACAT GTGACTC-3′) of the human IRS-2 gene promoter (Iwamoto et al. 2002) was used as a probe for the electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA). The probe was end-labelled with [γ-32P]ATP using T4 polynucleotide kinase prior to the analysis. Nuclear extracts were purified from Fao cells as described previously (Gorski et al. 1986). Approximately 1·0 × 10⁴ c.p.m. of 32P-labelled probe were added to the nuclear extract, and incubated with 1 mg poly(dI-dC) in a binding buffer (50 mmol/l KCl, 20 mmol/l K₂PO₄ (pH 7–4), 6 mmol/l MgCl₂, 1 mmol/l β-mercaptoethanol, 20% glycerol, 0·5 mmol/l dithiothreitol, and 0·05 mmol/l PMSF) at room temperature for 30 min. In the competition assay, an excess amount (500-fold) of non-labelled DNA fragment was added before addition of the nuclear extract. DNA–protein complexes were resolved on 4% polyacrylamide gel with 0·5 Tris–Borate–EDTA buffer. The gel was dried and exposed to film (Kodak X-OMAT) for autoradiography with intensifying screen (Furukawa et al. 1999).

Cloning of the partial rat IRS-2 gene promoter

A partial rat IRS-2 gene promoter fragment was obtained by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification using a genomic DNA from Fao cells or Wistar rats as a template. PCR primer pairs A-1: 5′-ACGTTTAGCAAGG TAAAGACATCTAT-3′ (forward) and A-2: 5′-AAGTT GTGCTTTGAAATTTCTCTATAC-3′ (reverse), and B-1: 5′-TAAGATAAACCTCTGCTCTGAATTGTT TCA-3′ and B-2: 5′-TGCTGGCTAGGAAAAATG CGTTTCCATAG-3′ were designed based on the mouse IRS-2 gene sequence (White 1998). PCR conditions were as follows: denaturation, 96 °C, 2 min, annealing, 55 °C, 2 min, and extension, 72 °C, 6 min. Sequences of PCR fragments were determined by the ABI PRISM 310 Genetic Analyser.

Transient transfection of Fao cells and assay of luciferase activity

Luciferase reporter plasmids, pGL3-IRS2 (−834), pGL3-IRS2 (−1824) and pGL3-IRS2 (−2116), which contain various lengths of the human IRS-2 gene promoter, (−834 ~ −124, −1824 ~ −124, −2116 ~ −124 respectively) were kindly provided by Dr M Hashimamoto (Kobe University, Kobe, Japan). For the construction of luciferase reporter plasmids with partial rat IRS-2 gene promoter, a 398-bp fragment obtained by PCR with primers A-1 and A-2 was inserted at the SmaI site of pGV-C2 plasmids designed as PGV-C2-RatIRS2. The day before transfection, cells were transferred to 12-well culture dishes (2 × 10⁵ cells/well) to achieve 70 to 80% confluence at the time of transfection. Cells were transfected with 500 ng luciferase reporter construct DNA and 50 ng of the internal control plasmid pRL-TK with the use of FUGENE 6. Cells were serum-deprived for 8 h and then incubated with or without insulin at a concentration of 100 nmol/l overnight. In some experiments, LY294002 was added 30 min before insulin stimulation. The cell lysates were assayed sequentially for firefly and Renilla luciferase activities with the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System.

Statistical analysis

Data are expressed as means ± standard error of the mean (s.e.m.). Differences between two groups were evaluated by unpaired Student’s t-test. P<0·05 denoted the presence of a statistically significant difference.

Results

Insulin regulates IRS-1 and IRS-2 levels in Fao cells

To examine the effects of insulin on IRS-1 and IRS-2 protein expression, serum-starved Fao cells were incubated without or with variable concentrations of insulin for 24 h, and the protein levels of IRS-1 or IRS-2 were determined by immunoblotting with anti-IRS-1 or
anti-IRS-2 antibody respectively. Both IRS proteins showed a dose–response decrease in protein level with a half maximal effect at 8.8 nmol/l and 13.1 nmol/l for IRS-1 and IRS-2 respectively (Fig. 1A and B). Both IRS proteins also showed a concentration-dependent decrease in electromobility after insulin treatment with a much larger effect on IRS-2 than on IRS-1 (Fig. 1A).

Figure 1C shows the time course of the decrease of IRS-1 or IRS-2 protein in Fao cells following treatment with 100 nmol/l insulin for 2 to 24 h. After 6 h insulin treatment, the levels of IRS-1 and IRS-2 protein were significantly lower by ~93% and ~76% respectively, and this decrease was sustained until 24 h (Fig. 1C). Again, both IRS-1 and IRS-2 showed a decrease in electromobility. The shift was apparent after 2 h insulin treatment, continued for 24 h and was more marked for IRS-2 than for IRS-1 (Fig. 1A).

Figure 1C shows the time course of the decrease of IRS-1 or IRS-2 protein in Fao cells following treatment with 100 nmol/l insulin for 2 to 24 h. After 6 h insulin treatment, the levels of IRS-1 and IRS-2 protein were significantly lower by ~93% and ~76% respectively, and this decrease was sustained until 24 h (Fig. 1C). Again, both IRS-1 and IRS-2 showed a decrease in electromobility. The shift was apparent after 2 h insulin treatment, continued for 24 h and was more marked for IRS-2 than for IRS-1. To determine the mechanism responsible for the decrease in IRS proteins, the IRS-1 and IRS-2 mRNA levels were examined after treatment with 100 nmol/l insulin for 2–24 h by Northern blot analysis. Consistent with previous studies suggesting that insulin-induced down-regulation of IRS-1 protein occurred through its degradation (Araki et al. 1995, Sun et al. 1999, Haruta et al. 2000), the level of IRS-1 mRNA did not show any difference either in the presence or absence of insulin. In contrast, IRS-2 mRNA decreased by ~92% after 2 h insulin treatment, and this decrease continued until at least 24 h after insulin treatment.

**PI 3-kinase inhibitor blocks the insulin-induced decrease in IRS-2 mRNA and protein levels**

To elucidate the signalling pathway(s) that mediates the insulin-induced decrease in IRS-1 and IRS-2 protein, cells were pretreated with 50 µmol/l PD098059, a MAP Kinase-ERK kinase (MEK) inhibitor that blocks MAP kinase activation, or 50 µmol/l LY294002, a specific PI 3-kinase inhibitor, or both for 30 min. The cells were then incubated with or without 100 nmol/l insulin for 12 h. PD098059 pretreatment did not alter insulin effects on either IRS-1 or IRS-2 protein levels (Fig. 2A). On the other hand, LY294002 pretreatment abrogated the insulin-induced decrease in IRS-1 and IRS-2 protein levels. The same pretreatment also partially, but not...
completely blocked the insulin-induced decrease in electrophoretic mobility of the two proteins (Fig. 2A). The combined use of LY294002 and PD98059 compounds had the same effect on blocking the insulin-induced decrease in IRS-1 protein. Previous studies have suggested that insulin-induced down-regulation of IRS-1 is mediated via the proteasome–ubiquitin pathway (Sun et al. 1999, Haruta et al. 2000, Lee et al. 2000). In Fao cells MG132, a proteasome inhibitor, almost completely abrogated the insulin-induced decrease in IRS-1 protein (Fig. 2A). In contrast, the insulin-induced decrease in IRS-2 protein showed only a minimal change following MG132 treatment.

The effect of the above inhibitors on insulin-induced down-regulation of IRS-2 mRNA was also examined by Northern blot analysis with the cells treated with insulin for 2 h. PD098059 pretreatment had no effect on the insulin-induced decrease in IRS-2 mRNA (Fig. 2B). On the other hand, as seen in IRS-2 protein levels, LY294002 pretreatment significantly inhibited the insulin-induced decrease in IRS-2 mRNA levels (Fig. 2B). The effect of chemical inhibitors was further confirmed by the phosphorylation of downstream molecules in insulin signalling. ERK1/2 (p44/p42 MAP kinase) phosphorylation induced by insulin was blocked completely by PD098059 (data not shown). Insulin-induced serine phosphorylation of Akt (also known as protein kinase B (PKB)), which is a downstream molecule of the PI 3-kinase pathway, was also significantly but not completely blocked by treatment with the PI 3-kinase inhibitor, LY294002 (data not shown). Interestingly, pretreatment with LY294002 caused a significant increase in ERK1/2 phosphorylation compared with non-pretreated cells, although ERK phosphorylation was not observed in LY294002 and PD98059 pretreatment (data not shown).

Effect of Akt on the insulin-induced decrease in IRS-2 mRNA and protein

Akt has been implicated in the regulation of multiple genes by insulin (Ayala et al. 1999). To examine whether the PI 3-kinase/Akt signal is involved in the regulation of the IRS-2 gene, we inhibited this pathway by overexpression of the dominant-negative form of Akt (DN-Akt) using the adenovirus system. Expression of DN-Akt in the cells partially suppressed the insulin-induced reduction in IRS-2 mRNA levels without any effect on basal levels (Fig. 3A). Overexpression of DN-Akt also completely inhibited the insulin-induced reduction in IRS-2 protein. On the other hand, overexpression of DN-Akt resulted in only a partial attenuation of the insulin-induced decrease in IRS-1 protein (Fig. 3B). Considered together, these results suggest that insulin regulates IRS-2 mRNA and protein levels, at least in part, through the PI 3-kinase/Akt pathway.

Effect of cycloheximide on the insulin-induced decrease in IRS-2 mRNA

To determine whether insulin-induced down-regulation of IRS-2 mRNA expression was mediated via synthesis of
other protein(s), Fao cells were pretreated with cycloheximide, a protein synthesis inhibitor, for 30 min and then incubated with or without insulin for 2 or 6 h in the presence or absence of cycloheximide. Cycloheximide treatment for 2.5 h caused a significant increase in IRS-2 mRNA levels in the absence of insulin (Fig. 4A). Two-hour insulin stimulation reduced IRS-2 mRNA levels by 40% in the presence of cycloheximide, and this reduction was significantly smaller than in untreated cells. After 6 h, IRS-2 mRNA levels in cells treated with cycloheximide alone were comparable with those in control cells without insulin (Fig. 4B). Importantly, a decrease in IRS-2 mRNA by 6 h insulin treatment was diminished completely in the presence of cycloheximide.

Insulin has no effect on the half-life of IRS-2 mRNA

Insulin could affect mRNA levels by altering the rate of gene transcription or by changing the stability of mRNA (O’Brien & Granner 1996). Using reporter gene analysis, Zhang et al. (2001) indicated that insulin suppressed transcription of the IRS-2 gene. To examine the effect of insulin on the half-life ($t_{1/2}$) of mRNA, Fao cells were pretreated with 5 µg/ml actinomycin D, an inhibitor of RNA synthesis, for 15 min and were then incubated with or without insulin for 0–90 min in the presence of actinomycin D. In the presence of actinomycin D, the $t_{1/2}$ of IRS-1 and IRS-2 mRNAs in control cells were 26 ± 5.2 min and 59 ± 6.3 min respectively (data not shown). Importantly, insulin treatment did not alter the $t_{1/2}$ of IRS-1 and IRS-2 mRNAs. These results, together with those of previous studies (Zhang et al. 2001, Iwamoto et al. 2002), suggest that insulin decreases IRS-2 mRNA levels by reducing the rate of transcription of the IRS-2 gene.

**Binding of nuclear proteins with the insulin response element-like sequence on the IRS-2 gene is PI 3-kinase dependent**

Previous studies have shown that the insulin response element (IRE)-like sequence is found in both human (TGTTTTG at −574 to −568) and mouse (TGTTTTG at −1571 to −1565) IRS-2 gene promoters, and that the IRE on human IRS-2 is involved in the regulation of its transcription by insulin (Zhang et al. 2001, Iwamoto et al. 2002). To clarify if the effect of insulin on IRS-2 mRNA is PI-3 kinase-dependent, we examined the association of the IRE motif (nt −585 to −557) in the IRS-2 promoter with nuclear proteins prepared from insulin-stimulated Fao cells by EMSA. The interaction of IRE and nuclear proteins was increased at 2 h after insulin stimulation, and the increase in IRE–nuclear protein complexes continued for at least 6 h (Fig. 5A). The addition of excessive amounts of unlabelled IRE motif (nt −585 to −557) effectively inhibited the formation of the IRE–nuclear protein complex. Inhibition of PI 3-kinase by LY294002 resulted in suppression of the increase of the IRE–nuclear protein interaction, but PD98059 failed to produce the same results. Moreover, cycloheximide partially impaired the increase in nuclear proteins and IRE binding (Fig. 5B).
These results indicated that insulin-induced PI 3-kinase activation was involved in binding of nuclear proteins to the IRE motif, and the effect of insulin was mediated, at least in part, via synthesis of some proteins.

Insulin-induced suppression of IRS-2 gene promoter activity is mediated via PI 3-kinase

To confirm the role of PI 3-kinase pathway in insulin-induced suppression of IRS-2 gene transcription, luciferase reporter plasmids carrying various lengths of the human IRS-2 gene promoter, pGL3-IRS2 (−834), pGL3-IRS2 (−1824) and pGL3-IRS2 (−2116), were transiently introduced into Fao cells. Insulin suppressed luciferase activity of pGL3-IRS2 (−2116) by 68% (P<0.05) compared with that of non-insulin-treated cells (Fig. 6). Cells transfected with pGL3-IRS2 (−1824) or pGL3-IRS2 (−834) also showed marked reduction of luciferase activity in the presence of insulin (by 59% (P<0.05) and 55% (P<0.05) respectively).

Figure 4 Effects of cycloheximide (CHX), a protein synthesis inhibitor, on insulin-induced suppression of IRS-2 mRNA. Fao cells were pretreated without or with CHX (5 μg/ml) for 30 min and then incubated with insulin (100 nmol/l) for 2 (A) or 6 (B) h. Total RNA samples were subjected to Northern blot analysis of IRS-2 mRNA. Ethidium bromide staining of the 18S and 28S ribosomal RNA confirmed equal loading and integrity of the RNA. The lower panels show the quantitative results of IRS-2 mRNA levels. Data, expressed as percentages of IRS-2 mRNA in cells not treated with insulin and CHX, are presented as means ± S.E.M. of three independent experiments. *P<0.05, **P<0.01.
Pretreatment with LY294002 caused a slight but significant reduction in luciferase activity in pGL3-IRS2 (-2116) and pGL3-IRS2 (-2116). Luciferase activity in insulin-stimulated cells in the presence of LY294002 was significantly higher than in the absence of LY294002 (by 95%, 69% and 68% in cells transfected with pGL3-IRS2 (-2116), pGL3-IRS2 (-1824) and pGL3-IRS2 (-834) respectively. P<0.05). Importantly, there was no significant difference in luciferase activity between cells treated with LY294002 alone and those treated with LY294002 plus insulin in any of the cell lines. These results suggested that inhibition of PI 3-kinase by LY294002 was sufficient to abrogate the insulin-induced decrease in IRS-2 promoter activity.

Although the IRE-like sequence on the human IRS-2 gene, TGTTTTG located at position -574 to -568, and its surrounding sequence is not conserved in the mouse IRS-2 gene, an IRE sequence of TGTTTTG is located at position -1571 to -1565 on the mouse IRS-2 gene (Zhang et al. 2001). To examine the possible presence of a motif corresponding to this IRE sequence on the rat IRS-2 gene promoter, we cloned the partial rat IRS-2 gene promoter by PCR amplification using primers designed against mouse DNA sequence. We could amplify the 396 bp partial promoter sequence from the genome DNA of Fao cells and Wistar rats. There was no difference in the nucleotide sequence of this partial promoter region between Fao cells and Wistar rats. Alignment of the DNA sequences of IRS-2 promoter of rat, mouse, and human was investigated (Fig. 7A). The nucleotide sequences of this area have high homology among rat, mouse, and human. The TGTTTTG sequence corresponding to the IRE is found in this area, and is highly conserved among rat (nucleotide position is unknown), mouse (-1571 to -1565) and...
human (−1984 to −1978) with a single nucleotide substitution in the rat genome GGTTTTG. There is another IRE-like sequence CAAAATA near the TGTTTTG motif and it is conserved between rat (nucleotide position is unknown) and mouse (−1657 to −1650) (Fig. 7A). To examine if the rat DNA sequence including these CAAAATA and GGTTTTG motifs exhibited insulin responsiveness, we created a pGV-C2-Rat IRS2 plasmid, in which a partial rat IRS-2 gene promoter was inserted just before the SV40 promoter (Fig. 7B). The pGV-C2 showed no response to insulin stimulation. However, insulin stimulation resulted in about 44% suppression of the promoter activity of pGV-C2-Rat IRS2 (P < 0.05), while pre treatment with LY294002 prevented such insulin-induced suppression of pGV-B2-Rat IRS2 promoter activity (Fig. 7C).

**Discussion**

The IRS family of proteins represents the major intracellular substrates for insulin and insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I) receptor tyrosine kinases (White 1998). Among the IRS family members, both IRS-1 and IRS-2 have been shown to play crucial roles in glucose homeostasis and physical development (Araki et al. 1994, Tamemoto et al. 1994, Withers et al. 1998). Both IRS-1 and IRS-2 proteins are expressed in most tissues (Araki et al. 1993, Sun et al. 1995), and the expression level of these proteins is altered in abnormal states, such as insulin resistance or diabetes in humans (Goodyear et al. 1995, Rondinone et al. 1997, Friedman et al. 1999). In the liver, IRS-2 appears to be more important than IRS-1 in mediating insulin signalling, whereas in skeletal muscles, the IRS-1 is more important than IRS-2 (Araki et al. 1994, Yamauchi et al. 1996). Although several studies...

---

**Figure 7** Effect of insulin on the rat IRS-2 gene promoter activity. (A) Alignment of the DNA sequences of rat, mouse, and human IRS-2 gene promoters. Shaded letters show the conserved nucleotides across two (grey) or three (dark grey) species. A-1, A-2 and B-1 represent the position of PCR primers used for cloning of the rat IRS-2 gene promoter. Another PCR primer, B-2, is located at 60 bp downstream of this illustrated sequence. The enclosed TGTTTTG, GGTTTTG and CAAAATA are insulin response element (IRE)-like sequences. (B) Schematic structure of pGV-C2-Rat IRS2. The ~396 bp rat DNA fragment obtained by PCR amplification with primers A-1 and A-2 was inserted into pGV-C2 (pGV-C2-Rat IRS2). (C) Normalized firefly luciferase activity of cell lysates transfected with pGV-C2 or pGV-C2-Rat IRS2 under the indicated conditions was measured and expressed relative to that of pGV-C2 in the absence of insulin. Data are mean ± S.E.M. values of six independent experiments and two independent transfections. * P < 0.05. N.S., not significant.
have investigated the regulation of IRS-1 protein, the mechanisms that regulate IRS-2 protein expression are largely unknown. Both ob/ob mice and Zucker fatty rats, animal models of hyperinsulinaemia, have low IRS-1 and IRS-2 protein in the liver (Saad et al. 1992, Kerouz et al. 1997, Anai et al. 1998, Shimomura et al. 2000). In contrast, hypoinsulinaemia in streptozotocin (STZ) diabetic rats causes increased expression of IRS-1 and IRS-2 proteins in the liver (Saad et al. 1992, Shimomura et al. 2000). We have found recently that disruption of insulin signalling in the liver-specific knockout of the insulin receptor (LIRKO) mouse leads to a marked increase in IRS-2 protein in this tissue (Michael et al. 2000). These findings suggest that insulin itself regulates both IRS-1 and IRS-2 protein levels in the liver. In the present study, we found that these insulin effects are mediated via different mechanisms, and that insulin causes a decrease in both mRNA and protein levels of IRS-2 in Fao cells. Our results suggest that insulin-induced reduction of IRS-2 mRNA is probably due to the inhibition of IRS-2 gene transcription and is mediated through the PI 3-kinase/Akt pathway.

Insulin reduced IRS-1 protein levels in Fao cells without any significant change in its mRNA levels. This is similar to the effect of insulin on IRS-1 protein in 3T3-F442A and 3T3-L1 adipocytes (Rice et al. 1993, Araki et al. 1995). In these cells, this insulin effect was associated with a significant shortening of the half-life of IRS-1 protein. Recent studies have shown that insulin (and IGF-I) enhances the degradation of IRS-1 protein through a proteasomal degradation pathway in several cell types (Sun et al. 1999, Haruta et al. 2000, Lee et al. 2000). This insulin-induced increase in IRS-1 protein degradation is blocked by inhibition of the PI 3-kinase pathway. The present data indicate that this pathway is also operational in the liver, where insulin-induced suppression of IRS-1 protein is mediated by proteasomal degradation and is regulated via the PI 3-kinase pathway.

In contrast to IRS-1, treatment of Fao cells with insulin resulted in significant reductions in both IRS-2 mRNA and protein levels. Interestingly, our results showed that the effect of insulin on IRS-2 mRNA occurred earlier (within 2 h) than on the protein level (6 h). Although the proteasome inhibitor, MG132, had a minimal effect on insulin action on IRS-2 protein in the present study, Rui et al. (2001) have shown that the decrease in IRS-2 protein levels as a result of insulin treatment was partially blocked by pretreatment of fibroblasts and Fao cells with another proteasome inhibitor. Considered together, the results of our study and those of the above group suggest that insulin could reduce IRS-2 protein levels through two unique mechanisms: suppression of IRS-2 mRNA expression and acceleration of degradation of IRS-2 protein.

Our results also showed that the PI 3-kinase inhibitor, LY294002, but not the MEK inhibitor, PD098059, blocked insulin-induced suppression of both IRS-2 protein and mRNA. Furthermore, LY294002 almost completely inhibited the insulin-induced decrease in IRS-2 at the protein level, whereas its effect on the decrease in mRNA levels was significant although not complete. This again may suggest that IRS-2 protein levels may be controlled by insulin through mechanisms operational at both the protein and mRNA levels. The incomplete blocking of insulin-induced suppression of IRS-2 mRNA by LY294002 might be due to incomplete inhibition of this pathway, since downstream Akt phosphorylation was markedly reduced by this inhibitor, but was not completely blocked. However, it is also possible that a PI 3-kinase-independent pathway also contributes to the insulin-induced decrease in IRS-2 mRNA. LY294002 treatment caused enhanced ERK1/2 phosphorylation in our experiments, suggesting a signalling cross-talk between the PI 3-kinase pathway and the MAP kinase pathway in this cell line (Rommel et al. 1999, Zimmermann & Moelling 1999). However, it is unlikely that the effect of LY294002 on IRS-2 mRNA levels was mediated by MAP kinase activation, since both the PI 3-kinase and the MEK inhibitor also blocked the insulin-induced suppression of IRS-2 mRNA similar to the effect of LY294002 alone.

Akt is an immediate downstream effector of PI 3-kinase and mediates several of the biological actions of insulin such as glucose uptake (Kohn et al. 1996), glycosyn synthesis (Ueki et al. 1998), lipid metabolism (Degerman et al. 1997, Kitamura et al. 1999) and gene expression (Cichy et al. 1998). In the experiments using the adeno-virus system, we demonstrated that the dominant-negative Akt partially blocked the insulin-induced decrease in IRS-2 mRNA, suggesting that Akt is involved in the regulation of IRS-2 mRNA expression.

Actinomycin D prevents the synthesis of RNA and, therefore, it allows examination of the stability of RNAs that have already been synthesized. In our studies using actinomycin D, we found that the half-life of IRS-2 mRNA (24 ± 5·2 min) in Fao cells was much shorter than that of IRS-1 mRNA (59 ± 6·3 min) and it was not affected by insulin treatment. We have previously reported the t1/2 of IRS-1 mRNA in 3T3-F442A cells (190 ± 28 min) (Araki et al. 1995). The differences in the half-life of IRS-1 mRNA between Fao cells and 3T3-F442A cells suggest that the stability of IRS-1 mRNA may vary in different tissues. More importantly, insulin did not change the rate of decrease of either IRS-1 or IRS-2 mRNAs.

It is well known that insulin regulates the expression of several genes by regulating gene transcription or mRNA stability (O’Brien & Graner 1996). Since the suppression of IRS-2 mRNA by insulin in Fao cells is not due to the enhancement of the degradation of IRS-2 mRNA, insulin must modulate the transcription of the IRS-2 gene. Indeed Zhang et al. (2001) have reported that insulin suppressed the transcription of the IRS-2 gene by reporter gene analysis. Insulin has been shown to regulate gene
expression by acting through IREs (O’Brien & Granner 1996). The best described of these elements is an IRE with a T(G/A)TTT(T/G)(G/T) core sequence (Hall et al. 2000). The nucleotide sequences of the 5′ non-coding regions of the IRS-2 genes of human and mouse have been published in part, although the sequence in the rat species is not known (Sun et al. 1997, Vassen et al. 1999). The IRE core sequence is found in both human (TGTTTG at −574 to −568) and mouse (TGTTTTG; see refs in Zhang et al. 2001) IRS-2 gene promoter. This IRE on the human IRS-2 gene is involved in the regulation of its transcription by insulin (Zhang et al. 2001). In the present study, we found that nuclear proteins from Fao cells associated with the IRE fragment of the human IRS-2 gene in vitro. The association was completely blocked by the addition of an excessive amount of cold probes, confirming that the association is specific. The nuclear protein–probe complex was already visible in the basal state and, interestingly, the association was enhanced in nuclear extracts prepared from insulin-treated cells. More importantly, as LY294002 blocked the insulin-induced reduction in IRS-2 mRNA expression, the LY compound significantly attenuated the insulin-induced increase in the association of nuclear protein with the IRE probe. These results suggest the existence in Fao cells of a nuclear protein that could bind to the IRE sequence in IRS-2 gene. The affinity of the nuclear protein with the IRE sequence and/or the amount of the nuclear protein would be regulated by insulin via a PI 3-kinase pathway.

In the promoter analysis using reporter plasmids, insulin clearly suppressed the transcriptional activity of the human IRS-2 gene promoter. In this experiment, we used three types of reporter plasmids that contain several lengths of the IRS-2 gene promoter. Although the longest plasmid, pGL3-IRS2 (−2116), contains two IRE-like sequences (−574 to −568 and −1984 to −1978) while the others contain only one IRE (−574 to −568), the magnitude of the insulin-induced reduction in luciferase activity was similar in the three reporter plasmids. This might mean that the IRE (−574 to −568) is essential for insulin responsiveness of the human IRS-2 gene. In reporter gene analysis, LY294002 pretreatment caused a slight but significant reduction in luciferase activity in the absence of insulin. Although LY294002 could reduce basal promoter activity in the luciferase assay, LY294002 had no effect on basal IRS-2 mRNA levels shown in Northern blotting (Fig. 2B). The underlying mechanism of these effects is unclear at present. The luciferase assay reflects the amount of synthesized luciferase proteins, thus the results would not be completely identical with the results from Northern blotting which reflects the amount of mRNA. It may be possible that basal PI 3-kinase activity, which is activated by substances other than insulin, has some effect on the synthesis or stability of luciferase. We found that luciferase activity in insulin-stimulated cells in the presence of LY294002 was significantly elevated relative to that in the absence of LY294002. Importantly, there was no difference in luciferase activity between cells treated with LY294002 alone and LY294002 plus insulin. These results also support the notion that inhibition of PI 3-kinase by LY294002 was sufficient to prevent the insulin-induced decrease in IRS-2 expression.

Since Fao cells are a rat cell line, we confirmed that the rat IRS-2 gene promoter shows insulin responsiveness. For this purpose, we cloned partial rat DNA sequence and identified an IRE-like sequence corresponding to the IRE in the mouse IRS-2 gene (Zhang et al. 2001). The nucleotide sequence surrounding this IRE has high homology with mouse and human IRS-2 genes. There is another IRE-like sequence (CAAAATA) about 80 bp upstream of the TGTTTTG motif and it is conserved in the rat and mouse. The reporter plasmid pGV-C2-Rat IRS2, containing the partial rat IRS-2 gene promoter, has acquired insulin-responsiveness compared with its parental plasmid, pGV-C2, suggesting that insulin suppresses the rat IRS-2 gene transcription probably through this region that includes IRE-like sequences.

The identity of the nuclear protein(s) that binds to the IRE sequence of the IRS-2 gene is currently unknown. It has been shown that forkhead rhabdomyosarcoma transcription factor (FKHR) could bind to the IRE sequence of other insulin-responsive genes and is involved in the regulation of IGF-binding protein-1 gene expression by PI 3-kinase-mediated insulin signal (Guo et al. 1999). In a preliminary experiment, we found that a specific antibody for FKHR could reduce nuclear protein–IRE complexes in EMSA analysis, although a supershift of the band was not noted. Further studies using the IRS-2 gene promoter should help clarify the role of the IRE-associated nuclear proteins, including FKHR, in the regulation of IRS-2 gene transcription.

Our results also showed that cycloheximide, a protein synthesis inhibitor, blocked the insulin-induced reduction in IRS-2 mRNA, especially when the incubation period was long. This effect strongly suggests that this reduction, at least at a later time point, is mediated via a newly synthesized protein factor(s). Cycloheximide also attenuated the insulin-increased nuclear protein–IRE complexes in EMSA analysis. In general, binding of transcription factors with the cis-acting elements of the promoter sequence is up-regulated by increasing the amounts of such factors, translocation of the factors into the nucleus, and/or increasing their binding affinities (Orphanides et al. 1996, Roeder 1996). Thus, although our results do not simply mean that insulin stimulates the synthesis of nuclear proteins that bind to the IRE sequence, newly synthesized protein(s) by insulin stimulation should be necessary for the processes of protein–IRE interaction. It should also be noted that cycloheximide failed to block the insulin-induced reduction in IRS-2 mRNA levels over a short incubation period. This may suggest that insulin can
regulate IRS-2 mRNA levels independent with the synthesis of the protein factor(s) in earlier periods.

Recently Pirola et al. (2003) have shown that insulin-induced desensitization of insulin signalling in L6 myoblasts was correlated to a reduction in IRS-1 and IRS-2 protein levels, which was reversed by the PI 3-kinase inhibitor, LY294002. Together with this report and our current results, PI 3-kinase has been shown to be the chief molecule controlling insulin-induced down-regulation of IRS-1 and IRS-2 not only in the skeletal muscle but also in the liver.

In summary, the present study showed that insulin treatment reduced IRS-2 mRNA and protein levels in hepatocytes. Such a decrease was likely due to the repression of IRS-2 gene transcription by insulin via a process mediated by the PI 3-kinase/Akt pathway and by some nuclear proteins binding to the IRE sequence on the IRS-2 gene. These new findings should help clarify the role of IRS-2 protein and insulin resistance in the liver.
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