Effect of heparin administration to sheep on the release profiles of circulating activin A and follistatin
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Abstract

Activin A and follistatin are normally present in relatively low amounts in the circulation. Heparin administration elicits a rapid and robust release of these proteins, although this phenomenon is poorly defined. In the present studies, the response to heparin administration was evaluated in the plasma of adult ewes in terms of whether it was dose-dependent, could be neutralized, was responsive to multiple stimulation, and the nature of the activin A and follistatin released. Activin A and follistatin were rapidly released by heparin in a dose-dependent manner (25, 100 or 250 IU/kg), with differences in the response as adjudged by peak concentration, timing of the peak and area under the curve. The heparin response could be blocked by pretreatment with protamine; conversely protamine injection alone (2 mg/kg) elicited release of follistatin but not activin A. Repeat administration of heparin at three-hourly intervals resulted in activin and follistatin responses to each injection, but each subsequent stimulation increased and extended the responses, consistent with saturation of the heparin clearance mechanism. Size exclusion chromatography of plasma samples confirmed that the majority of activin and follistatin released by heparin was a complex, whereas follistatin released by protamine was unbound. These data are consistent with a large pool of activin A and follistatin resident on extracellular matrices, with the rapid response implicating the vascular endothelium as the prime site of release following administration of these commonly used anticoagulant therapies.


Introduction

Activin A, a member of the transforming growth factor-β superfamily, consists of inhibin βA subunits formed into a dimer linked by disulphide bonds. Other activins are formed by dimerization of the β subunits, including activin B (βB–βB) and activin AB (βA–βB), whilst inhibin itself consists of a dimer of the inhibin α-subunit and a β-subunit (Ling et al. 1986b). Activin A was first identified as an enhancer of pituitary follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) release (Ling et al. 1986a,b, Vale et al. 1986) although subsequently, and consistent with its widespread expression, it is also involved in the regulation of erythropoiesis (Yu et al. 1989), mesoderm induction and bone morphogenesis (Mather et al. 1997). Recent investigations from our group and others have also implicated activin A as a cytokine and growth factor relevant to inflammatory diseases, wound healing, fibrosis and cardiovascular disease (Hübner et al. 1996, Jones et al. 2000, Phillips et al. 2001, Engelse et al. 2002).

Follistatin, first isolated due to its capacity to suppress FSH secretion by cultured pituitary cells (Esch et al. 1987, Robertson et al. 1987, Ueno et al. 1987), is a glycosylated, single-chain binding protein capable of neutralizing activin activities (Nakamura et al. 1990). The gene structure of follistatin revealed two precursors, a follistatin-344 form and a carboxyl terminal-truncated follistatin-317 form, which are generated via alternate splicing. These two transcripts produce follistatin-315 and follistatin-288 respectively, after the cleavage of the signal peptide (Sugino et al. 1993). The isoforms have different binding affinities for activin, with the Kd of follistatin-288 and follistatin-315 for activin estimated to be 46·5 and 432 pM respectively (Hashimoto et al. 2000). These isoforms also display different affinities for cell surfaces, with the truncated follistatin-288 isoform having a greater affinity, specifically for heparan sulphate proteoglycans (HSPG) (Sugino et al. 1993). Peptide and antibody mapping approaches have elucidated that follistatin contains a heparin binding site at residues 74–86 (Inouye et al. 1992, Sumitomo et al. 1995).

Many growth factors containing heparin binding sites have been documented as being released into the circulation by heparin analogues (Bobik & Campbell 1993). Consistent with the above biochemical analyses, a study in ewes showed that administration of unfractionated porcine
heparin caused an acute elevation in plasma concentrations of follistatin (Klein et al. 1996). This is most likely to occur from follistatin bound to HSPG associated with cell surfaces (Sugino et al. 1993, Klein et al. 1996, Hashimoto et al. 1997). Whether activin is also released by heparin was not investigated by Klein and colleagues and the activin structure does not contain a classical heparin binding motif or demonstrate any affinity for cell surfaces without the presence of follistatin (Yamane et al. 1996). Nevertheless, a subsequent study in patients undergoing cardiovascular surgical procedures showed that both activin A and follistatin concentrations increased immediately following the point in the surgical procedure where 5000 IU heparin were administered (Phillips et al. 2000). An analysis of the kinetics of the response in patients receiving heparin during coronary angiography procedures suggested that the majority of the activin and follistatin was released as a complex, but the disappearance curves and half-life kinetics for activin and follistatin differed (Phillips et al. 2000). The latter raised the possibility that the manner in which activin and follistatin are released, and potentially reassociate with cell surfaces, has multiple components. The current studies were initiated to explore these responses in more detail. Specifically, the aims were to define if the release of activin and follistatin by heparin was (i) dose-dependent, (ii) could be blocked by the use of the heparin blocking agent, protamine, (iii) was altered by repeated doses of heparin, i.e., was saturable, and (iv) was largely composed of activin complexed to follistatin.

Materials and Methods

Animals and general details

All experiments were conducted in accordance with the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Australian Code of Practice for the Care of Animals for Scientific Purposes (1997) and were approved by the Victorian Institute of Animal Sciences Animal Ethics Committee. Adult Corriedale ewes (separate animals for each experiment) were weighed (median weight 53 kg, range 44–61.5 kg), randomly allocated into groups of four animals, and housed indoors in individual pens with access to a maintenance ration of lucerne chaff with tap water available ad libitum. The day before intensive blood sampling, indwelling catheters (Dwellcath, Tuta Laboratories, Lane Cove, Australia) were inserted into the external jugular vein under local anaesthesia. A bleeding line (Manometer tubing, Tuta Laboratories) with a 3-way tap was attached to allow blood sampling. Throughout the studies, the patency of the catheters was maintained with 0·9% saline solution containing 37 mM disodium ethylenediaminetetra-acetic acid (EDTA, BDH Laboratory Supplies, Poole, Dorset, UK), which does not affect circulating concentrations of activin A or follistatin (Klein et al. 1996). Blood samples (5 ml) were immediately spun at 250 g at 4 °C in blood collection tubes containing EDTA (50 µl of 740 mM EDTA solution per tube). Plasma from each sample was stored at −20 °C until assayed.

Experimental details

In a first experiment, groups of four animals received an intravenous bolus injection of 0, 25, 100 or 250 U/kg bodyweight unfractionated porcine heparin (David Bull Laboratories, Mulgrave, Victoria, Australia) in 0·9% sterile saline solution. These doses were chosen to bracket the dose of heparin (5000 IU, Williams et al. 1989) used commonly in human cardiovascular procedures (assuming an average ewe bodyweight of 50 kg). The injection of heparin was administered via the bleeding line and was immediately followed by a flush of 10–15 ml EDTA-saline. Blood samples were collected at −5, 0, 5, 10, 15, 25, 40, 60, 120, 180, 240, 300 and 360 min relative to the heparin injection. Plasma samples were subsequently assayed for activin A and follistatin concentrations.

The effect of neutralizing heparin with protamine on the activin and follistatin response was examined in groups of four ewes receiving an intravenous injection of one of the following: 0·9% sterile saline, 100 U/kg heparin, a premixed solution of 100 U/kg heparin and 2 mg/kg protamine (Fisons Pharmaceuticals, Loughborough, Leics, UK), or 2 mg/kg protamine. The dose of protamine is that routinely used for human surgical procedures and which neutralizes the anticoagulant activity of heparin (Williams et al. 1989, Wright et al. 1993, Szalados et al. 1994). Blood sampling and plasma derivation were identical to that described above.

In a third experiment, groups of four animals received three intravenous injections of 100 IU/kg heparin or 0·9% sterile saline at 0, 3 and 6 h relative to the first injection. The treatment groups were (i) saline at 0, 3 and 6 h, (ii) heparin at 0 and saline at 3 and 6 h, (iii) heparin at 0 and 3 and saline at 6 h, and (iv) heparin at 0, 3 and 6 h. Blood samples relative to each injection were collected at similar time points to that described above.

Size exclusion chromatography was performed on selected samples to provide some preliminary analysis of the composition of the activin and follistatin entities released by heparin. This method was chosen over more sophisticated modes of separation because the primary question was to ascertain if activin and follistatin existed as complexes or in the unbound state. Sephadex G-75 was selected as the matrix to discriminate between the molecular weight sizes of unbound activin and follistatin (25 and 39–45 kDa respectively) and a complex of activin and follistatin (~100 kDa). Columns of Sephadex G-75 (Pharmacia Biotech, Uppsala, Sweden) were prepared with a bed volume of 20 ml as per the manufacturer’s instructions. The loading and running buffer was 0·01 M phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 0·2% bovine...
serum albumin (BSA, Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA). Samples were added as 1 ml loading volumes consisting of either 1 ml sheep plasma, human recombinant activin A (10 ng) or purified bovine follistatin (80 ng) diluted in 1 ml loading buffer, or human recombinant activin A (10 ng) or purified bovine follistatin (80 ng) added to 1 ml sheep plasma. Fractions of 0·5 ml were collected off the column and stored at −20 °C until assayed. For both activin A and follistatin, total recoveries from the fractionation studies were close to 100% for all samples.

Table 1 Parameters of circulating activin A and follistatin concentrations in ewes in response to different heparin doses (n=4 animals per dose). Data are means ± s.d. or median (range). Area under the curve is the total area of each treatment group (note that the response parameters for the control group are not presented given that the animals received the appropriate volume of non-heparinized saline solution)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heparin dose (IU/kg)</th>
<th>Activin</th>
<th>Follistatin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Peak concentration (ng/ml)</td>
<td>Timing of peak (min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>0·48 ± 0·21a</td>
<td>15 (5–20)a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0·47 ± 0·09a</td>
<td>40 (40-60)ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>0·89 ± 0·23b</td>
<td>120 (120–180)b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Within a column differing superscripts indicate significant (P<0·05) differences.

Assays

Activin A was measured in an enzyme-linked immunootisorbent assay (ELISA) format as previously described (Knight et al. 1996). This assay measures total activin A, that is both free, and due to a dissociation step, follistatin-bound activin A. The standard was human recombinant activin A. The mean sensitivity was 0·014 ng/ml, and the mean intra- and interassay coefficients of variation were 5·1% and 5·4% respectively.

Follistatin was measured using a radioimmunoassay (RIA), as previously described (Klein et al. 1991) as like the activin A assay it measures both free and bound forms. The polyclonal rabbit antibody employed in the assay (#202) measures a number of follistatin isoforms likely to be present in both circulatory and tissue-derived samples (Meinhardt et al. 1998). The assay employs purified heterologous bovine follistatin (Robertson et al. 1987) as standard and uses iodinated 35 kDa bovine follistatin as tracer. The assay sensitivity was 2·7 ng/ml, the mean ED50 was 13·3 ng/ml, and the intra- and interassay coefficients of variation were 6·4% and 10·2% respectively. Both assays have been validated for and show no non-specific interference when used for heparin-containing samples (Phillips et al. 2000).

Statistics

Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 2·01 Software (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). Activin A and follistatin concentrations were analysed using repeated-measures ANOVA, with Dunnet’s post-hoc test where significant differences were detected. Differences in peak concentrations were analysed by one-way ANOVA followed by the Neuman–Keuls post-hoc test. Because time to peak concentration was a discrete, non-Gaussian variable, this was analysed by the Kruskall–Wallis test, followed by the Dunns post-hoc test. A significance level of P<0·05 was used in all analyses.

Results

Dose–response effects of heparin

Exogenous heparin over a 16-fold range elicited a rapid release of both activin A and follistatin into the circulation (Table 1). At each of the three doses, proteins were elevated within 5 min of heparin administration, consistent with a rapid release from extracellular sites. However, the response characteristics for each heparin dose differed (Table 1). For activin A, increasing the dose from 25 to 100 IU/kg had no significant effects, whereas at 250 IU/kg there was a significant increase in the peak concentration, time to peak concentration and amount of activin A present in the circulation (area under the curve) compared with the 25 IU/kg dose. For follistatin, increasing the dose from 25 to 100 IU/kg affected the peak concentration and amount of follistatin in the circulation. However, no further increase in peak concentration was elicited by 250 IU/kg heparin, although the amount of follistatin in the circulation was significantly increased compared with either the 25 or 100 IU/kg dose. Animals which received saline only showed no detectable change in activin A or follistatin concentrations over the 6-h sampling period.

Neutralization of heparin with protamine

To determine if the release of activin and follistatin by heparin could be blocked by administration of protamine,
the moderate heparin dose (100 IU/kg) was chosen for subsequent experiments. As shown in the first experiment, the control group injected with saline vehicle showed no significant changes in activin A or follistatin (Fig. 1a), and those animals treated with heparin showed a robust response (Fig. 1b). When heparin was pretreated with 2 mg/kg protamine before injection, there was no significant change in activin A and follistatin (Fig. 1c), indicating that the protamine was able to ablate the stimulatory effects of heparin. Surprisingly, however, protamine administered in the absence of heparin resulted in a significant increase in follistatin but not activin A concentrations (Fig. 1d). Compared with the group receiving heparin, peak follistatin concentrations for the protamine group were lower (46.2 ± 14.3 vs 72.0 ± 5.1 ng/ml, mean ± S.E.M.) and took longer to reach peak concentrations (30 min (15–20) vs 20 min (20–40), median (range)), but follistatin remained elevated above pretreatment concentrations for longer (270 min (240–300) vs 180 min (120–240, median (range))).

**Effect of repeated doses of heparin**

To determine the response characteristics to repeated doses of heparin, animals were given either no, one, two or three injections of 100 IU/kg heparin at three-hourly intervals. As observed for the first experiment, a single injection of heparin elicited a rapid activin A and follistatin response in the circulation, followed by a less rapid clearance over 2 h (Fig. 2b). Subsequent challenges to heparin 3 h (Fig. 2c) and 6 h (Fig. 2d) later resulted in additional rapid responses. Despite a return towards basal levels of activin and follistatin, peak concentrations were increased following subsequent heparin challenges, although these peak concentrations were influenced by an increase in basal levels at the time of the subsequent injections.
challenge. For animals which had received three heparin challenges (Fig. 2d), activin A and follistatin concentrations 3 h after the third injection remained at peak levels. This suggested that the clearance mechanisms for heparin had become saturated.

**Composition of activin and follistatin released by heparin**

Activin A present in plasma from heparin-treated ewes ran at an apparent higher molecular weight following size exclusion chromatography than human recombinant activin A (25 kDa) in PBS, suggesting it was predominantly bound to follistatin (Fig. 3a). When plasma was spiked with 10 ng activin A, the majority of activin A detected in fractions coincided with the position of unspiked plasma. However, a component (approximately 15%) eluted in fractions 20–35, coinciding with the elution profile of human recombinant activin A in PBS but not directly replicating the profile.

In another separate series of fractionation studies, the elution characteristics of follistatin from various samples were investigated. The peak of follistatin activity in samples from heparin-treated animals eluted over fractions 6 to 14, as compared with follistatin activity in fractions from follistatin in PBS which eluted later, in fractions 9 to 16 (Fig. 3b). Conversely, when samples from a ewe treated with protamine were examined, the follistatin peak was at fractions 8 to 15, later than in those given heparin treatment. Furthermore, when plasma either low (normal) or high (LPS-treated ewes, Jones et al. 2000) in activin A was spiked with 60 ng follistatin, the follistatin peak eluted over a broader range (fractions 7 to 16), covering the elution range of both activin–follistatin complexes and free follistatin, as observed in the plasma from ewes treated with heparin and protamine respectively.

![Figure 2](https://example.com/fig2.png)

**Figure 2** Changes in the plasma concentrations of activin A (open circles) and follistatin (closed circles) following repeated injections of heparin or saline at 0, 180 and 360 min relative to the first injection. (a) No heparin injections, (b) heparin (100 IU/kg) at 0 min, (c) heparin at 0 and 180 min, and (d) heparin at 0, 180 and 360 min in adult Corriedale ewes. All Figures and values are representative of the mean (n=4 animals) ± S.E.M.
Discussion

This study supports and extends previous findings that activin A and follistatin are released into the circulation by interactions with heparin. It has further defined the response by demonstrating a number of parameters - that it is dose-dependent and saturable; that it can be ablated by cotreatment with protamine; that, intriguingly, protamine itself can initiate release of follistatin but not activin A; that repeat responses to heparin can be achieved; and that the majority of activin A and follistatin in the circulation following heparin administration occurs as a complex.

Soluble heparin and its analogues can bind and displace factors such as follistatin from HSPG on cell surfaces and in the extracellular matrix. As activin A itself does not exhibit any affinity for HSPG (Yamane et al. 1998) and the release of activin A by exogenous heparin is concurrent with that of follistatin, this suggests that a significant reservoir of activin–follistatin-288 complexes exist on extracellular matrices. This is consistent with the observations that follistatin is associated with cell surfaces and that activin bound to follistatin accelerates the internalization and lysosomal degradation of activin–follistatin complexes (Inouye et al. 1992, Hashimoto et al. 1997). The rapidity of the release, within 5 min, would infer that it occurs from the luminal surface of the vascular endothelium directly into the circulation. This is supported by the fact that the cell type that the majority of injected heparin reaches is the vascular endothelial cell (Hiebert & Jaques 1976, Gensini et al. 1984). It therefore seems reasonable that the rapid release of activin A and follistatin is a result of extracellular interaction of heparin with surface bound activin A and follistatin in the vascular endothelium. The source of this activin A and follistatin on the cell surface of vascular endothelial cells can arise from de novo synthesis of both follistatin and activin by vascular endothelial cell synthesis and secretion (McCarthy & Bicknell 1993, Michel et al. 1996, Braunman et al. 2000). Alternatively, it could result from the ‘trapping’ of circulating activin–follistatin that are secreted from many cellular sources (DePaolo 1997, Welt et al. 2002).

Further evidence that the activin and follistatin released by heparin were predominantly released as a complex was provided by size exclusion chromatography. Activin and follistatin present in plasma from heparin-treated ewes eluted as species that were larger than free activin or follistatin (presumably free) released by protamine. This is consistent with findings using a follistatin assay that detects the unbound component, which showed that the concentrations of ‘free’ follistatin in the circulation are very low (McConnell et al. 1998). However, this assay has not been used to assess the free versus bound components following heparin administration. Of interest was that there was no absolute consistency between activin A and follistatin when response parameters were examined with differing doses of heparin (Table 1). A possible explanation is that different populations of these proteins are released by heparin, most likely a predominant component of follistatin that is bound to activin A and a smaller proportion of ‘free’ follistatin.

The effect of heparin on activin and follistatin release could be neutralized by pre-incubating heparin with protamine. This presumably resulted from a direct interaction of the opposing charges of protamine and heparin preventing the dissociation of activin–follistatin complexes. However, protamine itself could release follistatin but not activin. The dissociation of follistatin by protamine from HSPG may indicate that the affinity follistatin has for HSPG ($K_d$ of 5 nM, Sugino et al. 1997) is not as high as that of protamine for these moieties. Previous studies have documented similar effects with heparin-binding enzymes...
such as extracellular superoxide dismutase (Karlsøn & Marklund 1988) and lipoprotein and hepatic lipases (Hultin et al. 1994). Alternatively, protamine’s strong positive charge may repel follistatin-288 directly from HSPG due to an ionic interaction between protamine and the stretch of positively charged basic amino acids in follistatin-288 (Inouye et al. 1992). Yamane and colleagues (1998) demonstrated that follistatin complexed to activin had an increased affinity for HSPG, suggesting that protamine may only disassociate follistatin-288 which is not complexed to activin, due to its lesser affinity for HSPG.

The results of multiple exposure to heparin suggested that activin–follistatin complexes could be repeatably released from extracellular binding sites. The inference is that membrane-bound follistatin is stable for some hours before internalization and degradation, consistent with in vitro studies showing that significant amounts of degradation products only appear in the medium after 12 h (Hashimoto et al. 1997). The increase in peak levels of activin and follistatin with repeated heparin challenge and the prolongation of peak levels suggests that the clearance of heparin became saturated. Unfractionated heparin is cleared through two components: a rapid saturable phase reflecting binding to the endothelium, and a slower nonsaturable phase associated with renal clearance (de Swart et al. 1982, Boneu et al. 1987, 1988, Young et al. 1999). These kinetics lend further support to the notion that the vascular endothelium is the primary source of activin and follistatin after heparin release.

The increase in follistatin and activin A following an injection of heparin could have implications for patients receiving heparin during surgery. Activin A and follistatin are potent multifunctional proteins in the context of cardiovascular function, with the recent finding that activin A overexpression maintains vascular smooth muscle cells in a contractile phenotype and inhibits neointimal formation (Engelse et al. 2002). A limitation with using assays that measure total activin A and follistatin for the current studies is that there is no distinction between ‘free’ and bound follistatin and activin A. Furthermore, currently an appropriate bioassay for plasma or serum activin A or follistatin does not exist. While the majority of activin A and follistatin released appeared to be complexed, as determined by chromatography, it cannot be ruled out that under some circumstances activin A and follistatin dissociate. The affinity of the activin–follistatin complex is very high (46.5 ± 0.37 pM, Hashimoto et al. 2000), but previously it has been shown that following heparin administration to patients, the disappearance time for activin A is significantly faster than for follistatin (Phillips et al. 2000). Furthermore, analysis of several parameters from the dose–response studies (Table 1) showed that the upswing of activin and follistatin profiles were concordant, but the disappearance kinetics of activin and follistatin did differ slightly, suggesting that there are subtle differences in the dissociation and association processes for each protein. The significance of these findings remains elusive and we are exploring in continuing studies whether activin A and follistatin released by heparin disappear from the circulation via multiple and possibly divergent pathways.
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