Characterisation of the rapid release of activin A following acute lipopolysaccharide challenge in the ewe
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Abstract

A series of experiments were conducted in adult ewes to delineate the release profile of activin A and its relationship to other cytokines following an i.v. injection of the bacterial cell wall component, lipopolysaccharide (LPS). Following this challenge, plasma activin A increased rapidly and appeared to be released in a biphasic manner, slightly preceding the release of tumour necrosis factor-α (TNFα) and before elevation of interleukin (IL)-6 and follistatin levels. The concentration of activin A was correlated with body temperature during the response to LPS. A second experiment compared cytokine concentrations in matched blood and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) samples. This revealed that activin A was not released centrally in the CSF following a peripheral LPS injection, nor was TNFα or the activin binding protein, follistatin, but IL-6 showed a robust elevation. In a third experiment, the stimulus for activin A release was examined by blocking prostaglandin synthesis. Flurbiprofen, a prostaglandin synthesis inhibitor, effectively attenuated the fever response to LPS and partly inhibited cortisol release, but the cytokine profiles were unaffected. Finally, the bioactivity of TNFα and/or IL-1 was blocked using soluble receptor antagonists. These treatments did not affect the initial release of activin A, but blockade of TNFα depressed the second activin peak. These studies define more rigorously the release of activin A into the circulation following acute inflammatory challenge. The response is rapid and probably biphasic, independent of prostaglandin-mediated pathways and does not depend upon stimulation by TNFα or IL-1. The data suggest that activin A release is an early event in the inflammatory cascade following the interaction of LPS with its cellular receptor.


Introduction

Inflammation is a multifaceted process essential for the resolution of trauma or infection, where tissue injury is repaired and infectious agents are eliminated. This occurs at cellular, tissue and systemic levels, mediated by the release of a wide range of cytokines. A key component of the inflammatory response is the ordered and structured release of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as tumour necrosis factor-α (TNFα), interleukin (IL)-1β and IL-6, classic early release cytokines which stimulate prostaglandin production both peripherally and centrally (Kluger 1991, Ushikubi et al. 1998, Ek et al. 2001). The elevation in body temperature (fever) is induced and coordinated by the release of these cytokines which act as endogenous pyrogens. The fever response represents an adaptive mechanism to facilitate host resistance and to inhibit the spread of pathogens (Kluger 1991).

As well as these classic cytokines, activin A levels are also elevated in response to inflammation. This dimeric protein is a member of the transforming growth factor-β (TGFβ) superfamily, yet is structurally and functionally distinct from TGFβ. Activin A was originally isolated as a protein involved in reproduction, but is now known to have widespread actions in many tissues at various phases of life (Phillips 2003). Activin A is a dimer of two inhibin βA subunits with inhibin, another TGFβ superfamily member, formed by one α and one β subunit (Phillips 2001). Activin B is formed from two inhibin βB subunits, although immunomodulatory activities for activin B together with inhibin have not been demonstrated.

We have previously shown that activin A is released rapidly into the circulation following an injection of the inflammatory mediator, lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (Jones et al. 2000). LPS functions through a specific Toll-like
cascade of events through the adapter protein, nuclear factor-kB (NF-kB) (Hanada & Yoshimura 2002). In vitro studies have revealed that activin A can act in either a pro- or anti-inflammatory manner in a number of different cell types and cell lines. Activin A is capable of antagonising IL-6-stimulated production of acute phase proteins and IL-1β bioactivity in mononuclear cell lines (Brosh et al. 1995, Ohguchi et al. 1998), but is also capable of stimulating the production of inflammatory cytokines, prostanoids and nitric oxide synthase in activated macrophages (Nüssing & Barsig 1999). These data indicate that activin A release during inflammation, through its interaction points with other cytokines, may be important in modulating inflammatory responses. Importantly, activin has been more recently implicated in a number of inflammatory conditions, such as in wound healing (Hübner et al. 1996). Follistatin is a binding protein for activin which can neutralise the majority of its actions, and is also upregulated in various inflammatory models (Phillips et al. 2001). With acute inflammation, such as that induced by LPS, the release of follistatin occurs later than that of activin A, suggesting that follistatin production may be a downstream consequence of activin A activation. In the clinical setting, activin A and follistatin are elevated in the serum of patients with septicemia (Michel et al. 2003a), and follistatin is higher in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) of patients with meningitis (Michel et al. 2000). Activin A levels are also elevated in the synovial fluid of rheumatoid arthritis patients (Yu & Dolter 1997), and activin A expression is co-localised and correlates with IL-1β expression levels in inflammatory bowel disease (Hübner et al. 1997).

The precise mechanisms and stimuli for activin A and follistatin release during inflammation are not well understood. Our earlier description of the response following LPS challenge (Jones et al. 2000) was not focused particularly on the rapid release of activin A. Therefore, the current aim was to define more rigorously the early acute release of activin A and to determine whether it was related to and affected by other cytokines released early in the inflammatory response. A complementary aim was to establish if the rapid release of activin A was affected by blocking critical components of the inflammatory cascade. Thus, prostaglandin release was blocked with the prostaglandin synthase inhibitor, flurbiprofen, and the bioactivity of TNFα and IL-1 was blocked using specific receptor antagonists. As key cytokines, such as IL-6, are released into both the circulation and the CSF following exposure to LPS, activin A and follistatin concentrations were measured in both compartments. All the studies were performed in a well-characterised model of i.v. injection of LPS in adult conscious ewes, which mount a similar inflammatory response to that of human subjects.

Materials and Methods

Animals and general experimental details

All experiments were conducted in accordance with the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia (NHMRC) Code of Practice for the Care of Animals for Scientific Purposes (1997) and were approved by the Victorian Institute of Animal Sciences Animal Ethics Committee.

For Experiments 1, 3 and 4, adult Corriedale ewes (median weight 62·5 kg, range 44–74·5 kg) were randomly allocated to treatment groups and housed indoors in individual pens with access to a maintenance ration of lucerne chaff and freely available tap water. For Experiment 2, adult Corriedale ewes were fitted with guide tubes in the third cerebral ventricle at least 1 month before the current studies, as previously described (Barker-Gibb et al. 1995). The day before experiments, indwelling catheters (Dwellcath; Tuta Laboratories, Lane Cove, Australia) were inserted into the external jugular vein under local anaesthesia. A bleeding line (Manometer tubing; Tuta) with a three-way tap was attached to the catheter and run to the back of the pen to allow blood samples to be collected with minimal disturbance to the animal. Throughout the studies, patency of the catheters was maintained with 0·9% saline solution containing 37 mM dipotassium EDTA (BDH Laboratory Supplies, Poole, Dorset, UK), which does not affect circulating concentrations of activin A or follistatin. Blood samples (5 ml) were centrifuged (250 g at 4 °C) in tubes containing EDTA (50 µl 740 mM EDTA solution per tube). Plasma was stored at −20 °C until assayed. In Experiment 2, CSF samples (2 ml) were collected from the guide tube accessing the third ventricle and were stored at −20 °C until assayed. Between CSF sampling, artificial sterile CSF (150 mM NaCl, 1·2 mM CaCl₂, 1 mM MgCl₂, 2·8 mM KCl) was infused into the third ventricle using a portable infusion pump (Graseby Medical Ltd, Gold Coast, Australia) at a rate of 50 µl/h. Body temperature in all studies was measured using a digital rectal thermometer.

LPS (E. coli serotype 0127:B8; Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA) was administered as an i.v. bolus injection of 50 µg in 5 ml isotonic, non-pyrogenic saline solution. This dose of LPS has been used routinely in ewes to stimulate a predictable but moderate acute inflammatory response (Jones et al. 2000). The prostaglandin synthesis inhibitor, flurbiprofen (Sigma), was dissolved in 95% ethanol at a concentration of 200 mg/ml and injected i.v. at a dose of 2 mg/kg in 5 ml non-pyrogenic saline. This dose has been used previously in sheep to block prostaglandin release (Harris et al. 2000). Animals in control groups received, at equivalent volumes or doses, non-pyrogenic saline solution instead of LPS and/or 95% ethanol instead of flurbiprofen. Pegylated-recombinant met-human-soluble
TNFα receptor (sTNFαR) (Amgen, Thousand Oaks, CA, USA) was injected at a dose of 1 mg/ewe in 5 ml non-pyrogenic saline. Recombinant met-human-IL-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1RA) (Amgen) was injected as a bolus of 5 mg/ewe followed by an infusion of 3 mg/h per ewe in non-pyrogenic saline over the next 4 h. The doses of these antagonists have been used previously to block the effect of LPS on TNFα-induced mortality in baboons, IL-6 synthesis in human peripheral blood cells and growth hormone secretion in sheep (Granowitz et al. 1992, Daniel et al. 2001, Rosenberg et al. 2001).

Experiment 1: characterisation of the early release of activin A following LPS treatment

The aim of this experiment was to define the pattern of acute release of activin A and its temporal relationship to the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines. Furthermore, as the fever response to LPS stimulation is classically biphasic (Kluger 1991), it was ascertained whether the activin A and follistatin responses followed this pattern. Ewes were treated with 50 µg LPS (n=5) or vehicle (n=3) and matched blood samples and temperature readings were collected before injection, at 10 min intervals for the first hour after injection, then every 15 min until 8 h after injection. Two additional samples were collected at 24 and 32 h after LPS injection. Plasma samples were assayed for activin A, follistatin, TNFα and IL-6.

Experiment 2: comparison of peripheral vs central response to LPS

This experiment determined whether activin A was released within the central nervous system (CNS) following LPS injection, as has been documented for other cytokines such as IL-6 (Klir et al. 1993). Animals with third ventricular guide tubes were given an i.v. injection of 50 µg LPS (n=6) or vehicle (n=4). Blood samples were collected and temperatures recorded as in Experiment 1. CSF samples were collected at 20 min intervals and, where appropriate, in synchrony with blood sampling. Plasma and CSF were assayed for activin A, follistatin, TNFα and IL-6. To determine whether activin A either had a central effect on cytokine release or was pyrogenic like other key cytokines such as IL-6 (Kluger 1991), a small pilot study was conducted in four ewes prepared for third ventricular sampling. A larger study was not undertaken because of the limited availability of highly purified activin A. The animals received either 1 (n=5) or 5 µg (n=2) of human recombinant activin A (National Hormone and Pituitary Program (NHPP, Torrance, CA, USA)), in 0.1 ml non-pyrogenic saline infused over 2 min into the third ventricle of the brain. Blood and CSF were assayed for activin A, follistatin, TNFα and IL-6.

Experiment 3: blockade of the LPS-stimulated fever response with flurbiprofen

This experiment investigated whether activin A release was dependent on a prostaglandin-mediated mechanism. Further, the relationship between fever and activin A release was investigated to identify whether there was a direct relationship between fever and activin A release. Flurbiprofen, a prostaglandin synthase inhibitor, was used to ablate the LPS-induced fever response. Previous studies have also demonstrated that several LPS-mediated responses such as cortisol (Massart-Leen et al. 1992), oxytocin, growth hormone (Massart-Leen & Vandeputte-Van Messom 1991) and gonadotrophin-releasing hormone (GnRH) release (Harris et al. 2000) are fully or partially prostaglandin-dependent. Four groups of animals (n=5/group) received either vehicle only (ethanol and saline), flurbiprofen alone, LPS alone or flurbiprofen plus LPS. Either flurbiprofen or the ethanol vehicle was administered i.v. 30 min before LPS injection. Blood samples were collected and matched temperature readings were made using the same protocol as in Experiment 1 and plasma was assayed for activin A, follistatin, TNFα and IL-6.

Experiment 4: blockade of TNFα and IL-1 effects following LPS stimulation

The aim of this experiment was to study whether activin A release was affected by blocking the action of the pro-inflammatory cytokines, TNFα and IL-1. Four groups of animals (n=5 per group) received i.v. injections of either LPS (50 µg) or vehicle (saline), or sTNFαR (1 mg/ewe), or IL-1RA (5 mg/ewe and 1 mg/h per ewe) or sTNFαR plus IL-1RA. Previous studies have demonstrated that administration of these cytokine antagonists during endotoxaemia can reduce cytokine production, mortality rates and growth hormone release (Granowitz et al. 1992, Daniel et al. 2001, Rosenberg et al. 2001). The doses of the antagonists were based on the studies of Daniel et al. (2001). Blood samples were collected and matched temperature readings were made using the same protocol as in Experiment 1 and plasma was assayed for activin A, follistatin, TNFα and IL-6.

Assays

Activin A was measured in an ELISA format as previously described (Knight et al. 1996). This assay measures both free and follistatin-bound activin and has been extensively validated for both sheep plasma and CSF. The standard was human recombinant activin A (NHPP). The mean sensitivity was 0.01 ng/ml, and the mean intra- and inter-assay coefficient of variation (CV) values were 3.9 and 5.1% respectively.

Follistatin was measured by an RIA as previously described (Klein et al. 1991), which measures both free...
and bound forms. The assay employs purified heterologous bovine follistatin as standard and uses iodinated bovine follistatin as tracer, as previously described (Robertson et al. 1987). The assay sensitivity was 2·7 ng/ml, the mean ED_{50} was 13·3 ng/ml and the intra- and inter-assay CV values were 6·4 and 10·2% respectively.

TNFα was measured by an ELISA specific for the ovine form as previously described (Jones et al. 2000) with minor modifications. The standard employed was ovine recombinant TNFα (Centre for Animal Biotechnology (CAB), University of Melbourne, Australia). The sensitivity of the assay was 0·5 ng/ml, and the intra- and inter-assay CV values were both <10%. IL-6 was detected by ELISA as previously described (Jones et al. 2000), using antibodies specific to ovine IL-6 (Epitope Technologies, Melbourne, Australia). The standard was ovine recombinant IL-6 from CAB. The sensitivity of the assay was 0·2 ng/ml and the intra- and inter-assay CV values were <10 and 12% respectively.

Cortisol was measured using an RIA as previously described (Bocking et al. 1986). This assay employs sheep antiserum raised against human cortisol. The standard employed was purified cortisol (Sigma) and the tracer was [3H]cortisol (Amersham). The sensitivity of the assay was 0·5 ng/ml and the intra- and inter-assay CV values were both <15%.

For the measurement of cytokine levels in plasma samples assayed by ELISA the appropriate standard preparation was diluted in PBS (0·01 M) with 5% BSA. Because of the low protein content of CSF, the standard diluent used in CSF assays was 0·05% BSA in PBS. A 20% solution of BSA in PBS (25 µl) was added to the wells before the addition of CSF samples as this was found to enhance the reproducibility of the assay.

Data analysis

All plasma and temperature data were log transformed before statistical analysis. Repeated-measures ANOVA was used to identify significant effects of treatment. Where appropriate, cytokine and temperature responses were estimated using an area under the curve (AUC) function (GraphPad version 2·01; GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). The AUC was calculated for the data presented in Experiment 4 and expressed as a percentage as compared with the LPS alone–treated group. The two peaks in the temperature and activin A profiles were assessed independently (Phase I and Phase II), defined as 0–2 h (Phase I) and 2–24 h (Phase II). Follistatin, TNFα and IL-6 responses were assessed as monophasic profiles. When significant treatment effects were identified, post-hoc multiple comparisons were carried out using Dunnett’s test. To analyse differences between treatment groups a paired t-test was used. Correlation analyses in Experiment 1 were performed over the entire activin profile using the Spearman test.

Results

Experiment 1: characterisation of the early release of activin A following LPS treatment

Fever developed within 1 h of LPS injection and exhibited a classic biphasic pattern of response, initially peaking at 1·3 h and again at 3·5 h (P<0·01). The first significant (P<0·01) increase in temperature occurred 50 min after LPS (Fig. 1A). The release of activin A following LPS injection was rapid, with the first detectable increase in concentration above baseline levels occurring at 50 min (P<0·01), consistent with the first significant increase in body temperature. Like the fever response, the profile of activin A was biphasic, although the clear distinction of two peaks varied from animal to animal, with the mean peak times being at ~1 h and ~3·75 h (Fig. 1B). Correlation analyses showed that for all five ewes treated with LPS, there was a significant (P<0·01) association between temperature and activin A concentrations over the entire profile; the median Spearman correlation coefficient, r_s, was 0·68 (range 0·54–0·77). The correlation for one of these animals from Experiment 1 is represented in Fig. 1F.

The elevation in plasma levels (P<0·01) of activin A slightly preceded that of TNFα (Fig. 1C) (activin: 50 min vs TNFα: 60 min). The TNFα response was in the form of a monophasic peak within 2 h, although concentrations remained elevated for up to 3 h. In contrast, IL-6 was released later than either activin A or TNFα, with the first significant (P<0·01) elevation in plasma levels seen at 3·75 h, remaining elevated until 8 h after LPS (Fig. 1D). Follistatin release was delayed and prolonged compared with activin A; plasma levels increased slowly from 3 h, peaked at 8 h and remained significantly elevated for 24 h (P<0·01) (Fig. 1E).

Experiment 2: comparison of the peripheral vs central response to LPS

Plasma profiles following i.v. LPS were generally consistent with those described for Experiment 1. Although the second peak of activin A release was diminished and an extended release of TNFα was apparent, the profiles of IL-6 and follistatin were similar (Fig. 2A–D). In contrast, while TNFα levels were uniformly below assay sensitivity, activin A and follistatin were detectable in CSF, with no apparent change following the LPS challenge (Fig. 2A–C). CSF levels of IL-6, however, were elevated in response to LPS treatment, with kinetics similar to those seen in plasma (Fig. 2D). It was notable that plasma IL-6 concentrations were around 3-fold higher than in matched CSF samples. Control animals, injected with non-pyrogenic saline, did not display any significant change in plasma or CSF levels of cytokines or in rectal temperature (data not shown).
A pilot study showed that central administration of activin A elevated the concentrations in CSF (data not shown). Peak levels achieved in CSF (\(\sim 3.5\) ng/ml) were about 30 times greater than those measured in CSF from untreated animals. However, following the administration of activin A, no changes were noted in CSF or plasma concentrations of TNF\(\alpha\), IL-6 or follistatin, or in the rectal temperature profiles (data not shown).

Figure 1. The response of adult ewes to an injection of LPS (50 \(\mu g\)) (○), \(n=5\) or non-pyrogenic saline (●), \(n=3\), in terms of (A) body temperature, (B) activin A, (C) TNF\(\alpha\), (D) IL-6 and (E) follistatin. Panel (F) represents the association between temperature and activin A concentrations in an individual ewe. Values in (A–E) are means ± S.E.M.
Experiment 3: blockade of the LPS-stimulated fever response with flurbiprofen

Injection of flurbiprofen at 2 mg/kg 30 min before LPS almost prevented the first peak of the traditional biphasic fever response and completely ablated the second peak (Fig. 3A). An injection of flurbiprofen alone had no significant effect on rectal temperature except for a minor and transient increase in temperature in the first 30 min (data not shown). This effect appeared to be non-specific as small increases in body temperature were also detected in the control group, perhaps reflecting the increased activity of the animals with the commencement of blood sampling. Despite blocking the fever response in LPS-treated ewes, flurbiprofen in conjunction with LPS did not perturb the release profiles for activin A, TNFα, IL-6 or follistatin (Fig. 3B–E). Nevertheless, cortisol concentrations in these animals revealed that treatment with flurbiprofen significantly (P < 0·01) inhibited the release of cortisol between 40 min and 2 h, as compared with the LPS-treated group (Fig. 3F). Furthermore, flurbiprofen alone had no effect on plasma cytokine concentrations (data not shown).

Experiment 4: blockade of TNFα and IL-1 effects following LPS stimulation

Following an injection of LPS in animals administered sTNFαR and/or IL-1RA, there was an increase in the peak temperature levels in the first fever response and a prolonged second fever peak, but no significant (P > 0·05) increase in the AUC was apparent (Fig. 4A and Fig. 5A). The release of activin A in response to LPS challenge was once again biphasic as observed in Experiment 1 (Fig. 4B). The administration of either antagonist or both together had no effect on the initial release of activin A (Fig. 4B and Fig. 5B). However, all combinations of administration of the antagonists had a similar level of suppression on the second release of activin A, although only sTNFαR significantly suppressed the second peak of activin A in plasma (P < 0·03, Fig. 5B). The release of follistatin was suppressed most by the blockade of TNFα (P = 0·054, Fig. 4C and Fig. 5C), which also caused the greatest suppression of activin A levels in plasma. Release of TNFα was not significantly altered following the administration of either antagonist (Fig. 4D and Fig. 5D). However, the release of IL-6 was suppressed by 35% with the blockade of TNFα (Fig. 5E). Interestingly,...
although blockade of IL-1 alone had no effect on IL-6 release, the effect of combined blockade of IL-1 and TNFα appeared synergistic as the IL-1RA had virtually no effect alone. The release of IL-6 was suppressed by more than 50%, whereby IL-6 levels were significantly suppressed between 2 and 8 h following LPS ($P<0.01$; Fig. 4E and Fig. 5E).

**Discussion**

This study significantly expands earlier observations that activin A is released into the bloodstream as an early event in the body’s response to acute inflammatory challenge (Jones et al. 2000). The present studies also demonstrate that the release of activin A does not involve prostaglandins.
or IL-1 and that at least the initial release of activin A is not dependent on TNFα release. Further, activin A release appears to be independent of the centrally mounted fever response despite an apparently close temporal association. While the purpose of such a profound burst of activin A release remains to be resolved, it seems reasonable to propose that, as for TNFα, this factor is an early ‘alarm’ response in the coordinated sequence of events that together form part of a defence system to combat infection at the local, tissue and systemic levels in the host.

In a previous study (Jones et al. 2000), it was reported that levels of plasma activin A were elevated within 1 h of LPS challenge. In the present study, the selection of more appropriate sampling timepoints allowed the release profile to be defined more rigorously. Using statistical criteria, activin A was first elevated 50 min after LPS and coincident with temperature, preceded the first elevation of TNFα, and peaked distinctly earlier than IL-6. In vitro studies with various cell types have shown that activin A mRNA expression and/or protein production can be
stimulated by pro-inflammatory cytokines such as TNFα and IL-1β (Shao et al. 1992, 1998). However, the present in vivo data indicate that when the bioactivity of TNFα and IL-1 was blocked using specific antagonists, the release of activin A in the initial peak was unchanged and was independent of the stimulatory effects of TNFα or IL-1. However, inhibition of TNFα bioactivity resulted in a diminution of the second phase of the activin response. These data raise the possibility that LPS, through its action on TLR4, may directly stimulate the release of activin A through specific NF-κB effects without the intermediary action of other cytokines. While it is possible that the

![Figure 5](https://www.endocrinology.org)

**Figure 5** Cytokine responses, represented as the AUC relative to LPS stimulation alone, following treatment with soluble TNFα and/or IL-1 receptor antagonists. Groups of ewes (n=5) were treated with LPS alone, LPS and sTNFαR, LPS and IL-1RA or LPS and both antagonists. Data are mean ± S.E.M. *P<0.05; **P<0.01. For the temperature (A) and activin A (B) responses, each phase of the biphasic response is depicted (Phase I open bars and Phase II filled bars), whereas for follistatin (C), TNFα (D) and IL-6 (E) is given. The actual response profiles are depicted in Fig. 4.
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Messom 1991, Massart-Leen et al. 1992, Harris et al. 2000). These data also negate the possibility of a direct link between activin A and the fever response to LPS. This conclusion is further supported by the pilot study where activin A (1 or 5 µg) administered into the third ventricle did not induce any pyrogenic effect. It is likely that this lack of response observed in sheep was not due to the use of recombinant human activin A, as the protein is highly conserved between species, with one amino acid difference between human, bovine, ovine, porcine and rat sequences. Furthermore, recombinant human activin A injected into rats was demonstrated to increase the concentrations of red blood cells and haemoglobin (Schwall et al. 1989).

Despite the strong increase in plasma levels, no change in CSF concentrations of activin A were detected following peripheral LPS challenge. This selectivity in response was similar to that of TNFα. In contrast, IL-6 showed both peripheral and CNS responses and, of the major pro-inflammatory cytokines, appears to be the only example that has this property (Cocenia et al. 1993). It should be pointed out, however, that the CNS can respond to immune challenge by releasing activin A, as shown by elevated CSF concentrations in a rabbit model of meningitis (Michel et al. 2003b) and in human subjects with meningitis (U Michel, S Ebert & D J Phillips, unpublished observations). These data, taken together with the sheep studies, suggest activin A release is confined to the compartment in which LPS is present. Furthermore, these data support the hypothesis that cellular activin A release requires a direct interaction with LPS or immediate downstream mediators, as LPS is unable to cross the blood–brain barrier (Dascombe & Milton 1979). LPS has been shown to induce release of activin A from fibroblasts and upregulate the transcription of activin Bα mRNA in vitro, indicating that it may directly stimulate activin A synthesis and secretion (Shao et al. 1992, 1998). Furthermore, as the initial peak of activin A release was unaffected, and only the second peak was suppressed by blockade of TNFα, these data infer that the initial activin A release is stimulated directly downstream of LPS–TLR4 interactions, does not require NF-κB induction and precedes TNFα actions.

The release of activin A preceded the release of follistatin by a number of hours and would suggest that the activin A released is unbound and therefore bioactive. Intriguingly, it may suggest that activin A is stimulating the subsequent release of follistatin. In vitro studies have shown activin A is capable of stimulating the release of follistatin (Shintani et al. 1997, Russell et al. 1999) as a short-loop feedback mechanism to limit the actions of activin. Furthermore, LPS can stimulate the release of IL-1 and IL-6 directly (Phillips et al. 1996, Vasilesc et al. 1996), which in turn are capable of regulating the production of follistatin (Phillips et al. 1996, Russell et al. 1999), lending weight to a high level of complexity in the regulation of activin activity. Alternatively, the release of follistatin may be unrelated to that of activin and may represent a direct stimulation by LPS, IL-1 and IL-6, as shown by in vitro studies using vascular endothelial cells (Michel et al. 1996). However, the failure of IL-1RA to influence that pattern of follistatin release after LPS makes it more likely that this response is a direct result of activin A stimulation.

It is likely that activin A release is directly downstream of the TLR4–LPS signal and the likely source(s) of activin A release are cell types responsive to LPS, that is, cells expressing the components of a functional TLR4 receptor complex. This includes immune-responsive cells, such as monocytes, macrophages, neutrophils and also vascular endothelial cells (Shimazu et al. 1999). In vitro experiments have shown induction of activin Bα mRNA and protein in response to LPS and pro-inflammatory cytokines in monocytes and macrophages (Shao et al. 1992). Further, we have noted significant localisation of activin A in infiltrating macrophages in a rabbit model of meningitis (Michel et al. 2003b). A recent study showed that activin A release from monocytes and bone marrow stromal fibroblasts was markedly enhanced by the cognate interaction with T-cells in conjunction with cytokine stimulation, suggesting a complex level of interaction in activin A release from these cells (Abe et al. 2002). Neutrophils are also a key component in the initial response to innate host defence (Gregory & Wing 2002), with a role for neutrophils suggested from immunohistochemical studies of human endometrium, where strong neutrophil staining for activin Bα subunit was present (Leung et al. 1998). We and others have also implicated vascular endothelial cells as a potential source of both activin A and follistatin following LPS challenge, but currently these studies are confined to in vitro observations (Michel et al. 1996, Brauman et al. 2000).

The important elements highlighted by this study are that activin A release following stimulation by LPS is likely to be biphasic, it is released rapidly suggesting that at least the initial component is pre-stored, and, most importantly, activin A release precedes that of follistatin suggesting that activin A would be bioavailable. In the broader context, the functional significance of these findings remains elusive due to the difficulty in generating models where the activin release is blocked by pharmacological means (such as concurrent administration of follistatin) or through transgenic approaches (for example, the perinatal lethality of the activin Bα knockout mouse (Matzuk et al. 1995)). Nevertheless, in vitro approaches suggest that activin A can have both pro- and anti-inflammatory actions depending on both cellular and temporal contexts (Phillips et al. 2001). For instance, activin A stimulates the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines, prostanooids and nitric oxide synthase in activated macrophages (Nüsing & Barsig 1999), yet in other contexts it can antagonise the signalling of pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-1β and IL-6 (Brosh...
et al. 1995, Ohguchi et al. 1998). Furthermore, these studies suggest activin A release may require a direct interaction between LPS and its receptor, which is currently under investigation.

The duality of activin activity is consistent with the well-documented inflammatory actions of TGFβ, which is structurally related to activin A and shares the intracellular Smad signalling system. Intriguingly, targeted disruption of Smad3, common to both activin and TGFβ, results in impaired inflammatory responses (Ashcroft et al. 1999, Yang et al. 1999). Of particular interest will be to differentiate the effects of activin-mediated vs TGFβ-mediated effects on inflammatory processes. Furthermore, of particular importance will be to determine the precise events involved in the release of activin A following LPS binding its receptor and identifying the cell type(s) responsible for the initial rapid release.
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