Evidence that lactotrophs do not differentiate directly from somatotrophs during chick embryonic development
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Abstract

It is generally accepted that, in mammals, lactotrophs differentiate from somatotrophs through an intermediate cell type, the mammosomatotroph. However, little information exists about mammosomatotrophs and their relationship with lactotroph development in non-mammalian vertebrates. We reported previously that corticosterone (CORT) can induce both somatotroph and lactotroph differentiation in cultures of chicken embryonic pituitary cells. Our current objectives were to determine the abundance of mammosomatotrophs during chicken pituitary development, to identify mammosomatotrophs during CORT induction of lactotrophs, and to explore whether lactotrophs induced by CORT are derived from somatotrophs. Cells that produced prolactin (PRL) only, growth hormone (GH) only or both hormones simultaneously were detected by three approaches – dual immunofluorescence, a combination of immunofluorescence and immunocytochemistry (ICC), and by ICC using combinations of antibodies to GH and PRL. Mammosomatotrophs were not detected between embryonic day (E) 16 and E20, even though lactotrophs increased from nearly absent to greater than 10% of all pituitary cells during this period. CORT induced more than 10% of all E13 pituitary cells to produce PRL, while the percentage of mammosomatotrophs remained at less than 1% of all cells. When cells from the cephalic and caudal lobes of the anterior pituitary were treated separately, CORT increased GH cells in cultures from the caudal lobe. No PRL cells were found in the caudal lobe. In the cephalic lobe, CORT increased lactotrophs, while GH cells were barely detected. In summary, mammosomatotrophs are rare during chicken pituitary development, and CORT does not induce lactotrophs from somatotrophs. These findings indicate that, unlike in mammals, lactotrophs do not differentiate from somatotrophs during chicken embryonic development.


Introduction

The anterior pituitary contains five major hormone-secreting cell types. Corticotrophs produce adrenocorticotrophin, gonadotrophs secrete follicle-stimulating hormone and luteinizing hormone, thyrotrophs secrete thyroid-stimulating hormone, somatotrophs secrete growth hormone (GH) and lactotrophs secrete prolactin (PRL). Although morphological studies suggest that all of these cell types arise from a progenitor cell type in Rathke’s pouch (Dasen & Rosenfeld 2001), differentiation of the five cell types does not occur at the same time during development. Usually, the first differentiated cell is the corticotroph, followed by differentiation of gonadotrophs, thyrotrophs, somatotrophs, and lactotrophs in that order (Nemeskeri et al. 1988, Simmons et al. 1990, Dubois & Hemming 1991, Japon et al. 1994).

Our group has used the chicken embryo as a model to study the mechanisms underlying somatotroph and lactotroph differentiation in the anterior pituitary. The chicken is a good model because of the ease of access to the embryo without maternal interactions. In addition, the pattern of pituitary cell differentiation in chickens is comparable to that in mammals (Barabanov 1991). In chickens, somatotrophs first appear between embryonic day (E) 12 and E14 (Porter et al. 1995). Prolactin cells differentiate by E15 to E19 (Harvey et al. 1979, Barabanov 1985, Ishida et al. 1991, Kansaku et al. 1994, Woods & Porter 1998).

Somatotrophs and lactotrophs belong to the acidophil classification of cells in the pituitary gland. Studies indicate that pituitary acidophils have phenotypic plasticity and are able to alter their hormonal profiles according to the physiological state of the animal (Frawley et al. 1985,
Leong et al. 1985, Hooghe-Peters et al. 1988, Frawley 1989, Porter et al. 1990, 1991, Pasolli et al. 1994). Much evidence from rats, mice, and humans supports the theory that a portion of lactotrophs differentiate from somatotrophs via mammosomatotrophs during development (Frawley & Boockfor 1991, Voss & Rosenfeld 1992, Rhodes et al. 1994). However, there is little information about the appearance of mammosomatotrophs and their relationship with somatotrophs and lactotrophs during chicken development. On the other hand, we have shown that corticosterone (CORT) can induce both somatotroph and lactotroph differentiation in cultures of chicken embryonic pituitary cells (Morpurgo et al. 1997, Dean & Porter 1999, Bossis & Porter 2000, 2003, Porter et al. 2001, Bossis et al. 2004, Fu & Porter 2004). Do the lactotrophs induced by CORT differentiate from somatotrophs via mammosomatotrophs? The purpose of this study was to identify and enumerate mammosomatotrophs during chicken development and to determine whether or not the lactotrophs induced by CORT originate from somatotrophs through mammosomatotrophs.

Materials and Methods

Primary pituitary cell cultures

Cell culture reagents were purchased from Gibco Invitrogen (Grand Island, NY, USA). Hormones and other chemicals were obtained from Sigma Chemical Co. (St Louis, MO, USA). CORT was first dissolved in 100% ethanol to 10⁻³ M and further diluted to the required concentrations with culture medium. Animals used in this study were Avian×Avian strain chicken embryos purchased from Allen’s Hatchery (Seaford, DE, USA). All experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Maryland. Eggs were incubated in a humidified incubator at 37.5 °C. The pituitary glands were isolated from chicken embryos under a dissecting microscope. Pituitary glands were pooled and then monodispersed as previously described (Woods & Porter 1998). For experiments presented in Figs 1–3, three intact pituitary glands were pooled for each age tested in each replicate experiment. For the comparison of caudal lobe and cephalic lobe cells presented in Fig. 4, the caudal and cephalic thirds of the anterior pituitary glands from 12 embryos were dissected in situ within the sella turcica and pooled for each independent replicate experiment. In all experiments, different pools from different embryos were evaluated for each of the replicate experiments, which were performed on different days. One millilitre of pituitary cell suspension (1.5 × 10⁶ cells/ml) in serum-free culture medium (1:1 mixture of Phenol Red-free medium 199 and Ham’s F12, supplemented with 0.1% BSA, 5 µg/ml bovine insulin, 5 µg/ml human transferrin, and penicillin/streptomycin) was transferred into each well of a sterile 12-well tissue culture plate and cultured in a cell culture incubator at 37.5 °C in a humidified atmosphere of 95% air and 5% CO₂. The medium was replaced with fresh medium on the third day.

Immunocytochemistry (ICC)

Cultured pituitary cells or cells immediately after isolation were washed and diluted in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) to a concentration of 10⁶ cells/ml. The cells were then attached for 1 h to the bottom of poly-l-lysine-coated 24-well tissue culture plates and fixed with 3.7% formaldehyde in PBS for 15 min. Cells were then permeabilized with 0.1% Tween-20/0.1% Triton X-100 for 8 min and then quenched with 0.3% H₂O₂ for 5 min. Cells were then blocked with 1% normal goat serum for 30 min at room temperature and incubated with rabbit anti-chicken PRL antiserum (1:8000 in PBS) or rabbit anti-chicken GH antiserum (1:4000 dilution in PBS) overnight at 4 °C. Cells were then further processed using the rabbit VECTASTAIN ABC kit from Vector Laboratories (Burlingame, CA, USA). Finally, PRL- or GH-positive cells were developed by incubating with substrate (VIP kit, Vector Laboratories). The GH and PRL antisera used have been described and validated previously (Lopez et al. 1995, Porter et al. 1995). Data shown are the percentage of PRL- or GH-containing cells among at least 300 cells counted per well. Immunocytochemistry was performed to detect the cells reacting with GH antiserum only (somatotrophs), PRL antiserum only (lactotrophs) and their mixture (all acidophils). The proportions of immunopositive cells to all pituitary cells were calculated. If bichormonal mammosomatotrophs exist, the putative proportion of mammosomatotrophs can be estimated as follows: (% of mammosomatotrophs)=(% of somatotrophs + % of lactotrophs)−(% of acidophils).

Double immunostaining

To detect mammosomatotrophs directly, double immunostaining was performed by combining the ABC method described above (ICC) with immunofluorescence. Pituitary cells were attached to glass slides coated with poly-l-lysine and subjected to ICC using rabbit anti-chicken PRL antiserum (1:8000), VECTASTAIN ABC kit and VIP substrate (Vector Laboratories). Next, the cells were reacted with mouse anti-chicken GH monoclonal antibody (1:1000), followed with rhodamine-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (1:50). This was performed on cells from E18, E19 and E20. The mouse anti-chicken GH monoclonal antibody was generously provided by Dr Luc Berghman, Texas A&M University, USA and its validation has been reported previously (Berghman et al. 1987, 1988).

Dual labelling immunofluorescence

Cells were washed and resuspended in DMEM and allowed to attach to the surface of poly-l-lysine-coated
slides for 1 h in the cell culture incubator. The cells were fixed in 3-7% formaldehyde for 20 min at room temperature, washed (3 × 5 min) in PBS, permeabilized in 0.1% Triton X-100/0.1% Tween 20 for 8 min, and blocked for 1 h in 5% normal goat serum at room temperature. Then the slides were incubated in a diluted mixture of two primary antibodies (rabbit anti-chicken PRL antiserum at 1:4000 dilution and monoclonal anti-chicken GH antobody at 1:1000 dilution, diluted in 1% normal goat serum) overnight at 4 °C in humidified conditions. The slides were rinsed in PBS (4 × 5 min) and in PBS with 0.1% Tween 20 (1 × 10 min). The slides were then incubated in the dark for 1 h in diluted second antibody solution in 2.5% normal goat serum with 0.025% Tween-20, which was a mixture of rhodamine red-X-conjugated affinity pure goat anti-rat IgG (1:50 dilution) and fluorescein (FITC)-conjugated affinity pure goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:100 dilution) (Jackson Immunoresearch Labs, Westgrove, PA, USA). The slides were washed (3 × 5 min) in PBS and (1 × 5 min) in PBS with 0.05% Tween-20. After air-drying, the slides were mounted in VECTORSHIELD (Vector Laboratories) and observed under a fluorescence microscope.

**Statistical analysis**

Within each replicate experiment, a single percentage of a given cell type was determined across triplicate wells or slides. This single percentage for that replicate experiment was then used in the statistical analysis across the independent replicate experiments of a given study. All percentage data were transformed by taking the log of the arcsin prior to analysis of variance using the MIXED models procedure of the Statistical Analysis System (SAS, SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). All data were from at least three completely separate replicate experiments using pools of pituitary cells from different embryos. Numbers of replicate experiments are provided in the legend for each figure. Differences between treatments were tested using Tukey’s Studentized range test and were considered significant at P<0.05.

**Results**

*Are the initial lactotrophs during normal development also mammosomatotrophs?*

Studies in mammals indicate that the initial lactotrophs also produce GH. Our first set of experiments was designed to determine if this was also the case during chick embryonic development. Pituitaries from E18, E19 and E20 chicken embryos were dispersed and subjected to ICC for GH and PRL. ICC was performed to detect cells reacting with GH antiserum only (somatotrophs), PRL antiserum only (lactotrophs) and both antisera in combination (total acidophils). The proportions of immunopositive cells to all pituitary cells were calculated. The proportions of PRL and GH cells and total acidophils were determined directly by ICC. For each replicate experiment and age, the percentage of PRL cells was added to the percentage of GH cells to yield the sum of the PRL and GH cells as a fourth group of results. Percentages of GH cells, PRL cells, total acidophils and the sum of PRL and GH cells were then compared across ages by ANOVA of the results from 3 to 4 replicate experiments for each age. The results are presented in Fig. 1. The percentages of somatotrophs were 12.0 ± 0.9% on E18 (n=3), 18.0 ± 2.1% on E19 (n=4) and 17.7 ± 1.3% on E20 (n=3). The percentage of GH immunopositive cells on E19 was significantly larger than that on E18 (P<0.01) but not different from that on E20. The proportion of lactotrophs was 2.4 ± 0.5% on E18 (n=3), 6.0 ± 1.1% on E19 (n=4) and 10.7 ± 1.8% on E20 (n=3). The percentage of lactotrophs at each age was different from each of the other ages (P<0.05). When pituitary cells were immunostained with GH and PRL antisera
simultaneously, the percentages of immunopositive cells (total acidophils) were 13·1 ± 3·6% on E18 (n = 3), 21·5 ± 1·1% on E19 (n = 4) and 28·7 ± 0·5% on E20 (n = 3). The proportions were significantly different among the stages (P < 0·01). Within each age, the number of total acidophils was compared with the sum of the PRL and GH cells to test for the presence of mammosomatotrophs. The sum of the GH and PRL cells was not significantly different from the percentage of total acidophils at any age tested (P > 0·10). These results indicate that cells which contained both GH and PRL, and thus would be counted twice in the summed percentages of individual GH and PRL ICC but only once in ICC using both antisera simultaneously, were not detectable. This finding suggests that mammosomatotrophs were rare or nonexistent in this experiment.

To ensure that inclusion of both antibodies did not interfere with the detection of PRL or GH, E20 cells were subjected to ICC with the PRL antiserum alone or in the presence of the GH monoclonal antibody. The proportion of lactotrophs detected with the PRL antiserum alone (8·6 ± 1·6%) was not different from the results obtained when the GH monoclonal antibody was included (7·7 ± 0·5%). In a similar analysis in which slides were analysed by double immunostaining, the GH monoclonal antibody and the PRL antiserum reacted to separate cells, and cells reacting to GH and PRL antibodies simultaneously could not be found in any stage examined (data not shown). Co-localization of PRL and GH was also not detected through dual labelling immunofluorescence on E16, E17, E18, E19 and E20 pituitary cells, even though the abundance of lactotrophs increased dramatically during this period. Representative results from triplicate slides in each of 4 replicate experiments on E16, E17, E18, E19 and E20 are shown in Fig. 2A–E. Throughout this analysis of normal development, no cells were found by dual labelling immunofluorescence that contained both GH and PRL. To confirm that mammosomatotrophs can be detected readily using this technique, we performed dual-label immunofluorescence for rat GH and PRL on cultured rat pituitary P0 cells. The results are shown in Fig. 2I and J. Consistent with previous reports, all of the PRL cells in the rat P0 cultures contained GH or were mammosomatotrophs. Moreover, the abundance of lactotrophs detected in chicken pituitary cells on E20 by immunofluorescence with the PRL antiserum alone (6·3 ± 1·5%) was not different from that determined in the presence of the GH monoclonal antibody (7·7 ± 1·9%). Similarly, the abundance of somatotrophs detected with the GH monoclonal antibody alone (11·6 ± 2·5%) was not different from that determined in the presence of the PRL antiserum (14·7 ± 2·3%), verifying that the presence of one antibody does not interfere with detection of the heterologous antigen. Taken together, these results indicate that mammosomatotrophs do not exist during the period of chicken embryonic development when lactotrophs differentiate.

Figure 2 Dual-labelling immunofluorescence of PRL cells and GH cells. Pituitary cells were isolated from E16 (A), E17 (B), E18 (C), E19 (D) and E20 (E) embryos and immediately subjected to dual-label immunofluorescence for GH and PRL on cultured rat pituitary P0 cells. The results are representative of triplicate slides performed in each of 4 replicate experiments for each age. (F–H) E13 pituitary cells were cultured in vitro for 4 days with 10−7 M CORT. Cells were viewed under different filters to view green fluorescence (F and G) or red fluorescence (H). The results shown in F indicate most PRL cells (green) and GH cells (red) were stained separately. Because of the leaking of red fluorescence through the filter used to view green fluorescence, the overall colour of cells stained with both green and red fluorescence is yellow, as indicated by arrows. Panel H is the same field as in G and shows GH cells stained with red fluorescence. (I and J) The rat pituitary P0 cell line was subjected to immunofluorescence for PRL (I) and GH (J). The results clearly show that nearly all PRL cells also contained GH. Arrows indicate the presence of cells positive for PRL with weak GH staining, and arrowheads indicate cells positive for GH with weak PRL staining.
Are there mammosomatotrophs among lactotrophs induced by corticosterone?

We have shown that CORT induces the appearance of PRL-containing lactotrophs and GH-containing somatotrophs in cultures of chicken embryonic pituitary cells. This set of experiments was designed to assess whether the glucocorticoid-induced lactotrophs are in fact mammosomatotrophs. In order to find out whether or not those lactotrophs induced by CORT also produce GH, E13 pituitary cells were cultured in vitro with CORT (10⁻⁹ M) for 4 days. PRL- and GH-containing cells were detected with dual labelling immunofluorescence on day 4 (Fig. 2F-H). Monoclonal anti-chicken GH antibody and rabbit anti-chicken PRL antiserum were used. Then rhodamine red-X-conjugated affinity-purified goat anti-rat IgG and FITC-conjugated affinity-purified goat anti-rabbit IgG were used to show GH cells and PRL cells respectively. In Fig. 2F, nearly all PRL cells and GH cells were stained separately. Only one cell, indicated by an arrow, was stained with both red and green fluorescence, leading to an overall yellow colour. Fig. 2 G shows PRL cells stained with green fluorescence. Fig. 2H is the same field as in Fig. 2 G and shows GH cells stained with red fluorescence. Arrows in G and H show one mammosomatotroph that was labelled with both green and red fluorescence, also leading to an overall yellow colour shown in Fig. 2 G. In order to determine the percentage of mammosomatotrophs induced by CORT, the percentage of PRL cells, GH cells, and mammosomatotrophs were counted (Fig. 3). Consistent with our previous report (Fu & Porter 2004), CORT significantly increased the percentages of both lactotrophs and somatotrophs. No mammosomatotrophs were detected in cells from untreated cultures. In CORT-treated cultures, the percentage of mammosomatotrophs among all pituitary cells was 0·98 ± 0·85% (n=3). Consequently, some mammosomatotrophs among the lactotrophs induced by CORT do exist, but the percentage of mammosomatotrophs is very low.

Do corticosterone-induced lactotrophs and somatotrophs arise from the same precursor population of cells?

The anterior pituitary in birds can be anatomically divided into two regions, the cephalic and caudal lobes. In adult birds, lactotrophs are mainly located in the cephalic lobe of the anterior pituitary, while somatotrophs are mainly localized in the caudal lobe of the anterior pituitary (Hansen & Hansen 1977, Jozsa et al. 1979, Mikami 1986, Thommes et al. 1987, Berghman et al. 1992, Lopez et al. 1995, Ramesh et al. 1996). In order to determine from where the glucocorticoid-induced lactotrophs and somatotrophs arise, cephalic and caudal lobe cells from E13 embryos were cultured separately for 1 and 4 days with or without CORT (10⁻⁹ M). The cells were then subjected to ICC for GH or PRL. Results are shown in Fig. 4.

CORT significantly increased the proportions of GH-containing cells (24 ± 4%) relative to control (7 ± 1%, n=3) in cultures from the pituitary caudal lobe after one day of treatment. No PRL-containing cells were found in the caudal lobe, even after four days of incubation with CORT. In the cephalic lobe cell cultures, CORT significantly increased the proportions of lactotrophs after four days of treatment (12 ± 1%) relative to control (1 ± 0·1%, n=3, P<0·05). No response was found after one day of treatment. GH cells were barely detected in the cephalic lobe. The results clearly demonstrate that CORT-induced PRL cells and GH cells result from different precursor cell populations located in the cephalic and caudal lobes respectively.

Discussion

It is generally accepted that, in mammals, lactotrophs arise from somatotrophs or a common precursor cell type during development. This theory is supported by evidence from studies in rats, humans, mice and other species. In rats, the appearance of lactotrophs occurs around birth and approximately one week after GH cell differentiation. Using a sequential plaque assay, Hoeffler et al. (1985) analysed the
proportions of mammosomatotrophs and single hormone secretors, which either secrete GH or PRL, present in neonatal day 5 pituitaries. Their results indicated that about 36% of acidophils were mammosomatotrophs, but less than 2% of all acidophils secreted PRL only. Consequently, they concluded that almost all of the initial PRL cells in rats are mammosomatotrophs. A subpopulation of GH cells was found at or before birth that only secreted GH but contained PRL mRNA (Hooghe-Peters et al. 1988). In humans, PRL cells appear at least 1 month later than the initial appearance of GH cells. Mulchahey & Jaffe (1988) performed sequential plaque assays on human fetal pituitary cells (aged 18–22 weeks) and found that about 22% of acidophils were mammosomatotrophs, while less than 9% of acidophils were PRL only cells. Therefore, more than two-thirds of the PRL—secreting cells are mammosomatotrophs at that age in humans. The strongest evidence supporting the idea that lactotrophs differentiate from somatotrophs was provided from transgenic mice studies (Behringer et al. 1988, Borrelli et al. 1989). In transgenic mice with targeted ablation of GH cells during fetal development, the lactotroph population was also nearly abolished, indicating that the majority of lactotrophs arise directly from GH—expressing cells. On the other hand, a small population of PRL cells remained in those transgenic mice lacking GH cells, suggesting that a small proportion of lactotrophs arises from non-GH producing acidophils. Despite this compelling evidence, there exists contrasting evidence not supporting the theory. In fetal mice, a few pituitary cells express both GH and PRL mRNA, but the majority of PRL mRNA—expressing cells did not express GH mRNA (Dolle et al. 1990). Shirasawa et al. (1990) did not detect mammosomatotrophs in fetal bovine pituitary glands. In fetal rats, PRL cells were mainly present in the anterior half of the pituitary, whereas GH cells were predominantly present in its posterior half, and the mirror section technique revealed that PRL and GH were contained in different cells (Watanabe & Haraguchi 1994). In neonatal rats, the percentage of mammosomatotrophs reported by Takahashi (1995) was not as high as that reported by Hoeffler et al. (1985). These differences from studies in rats and mice may be due to the different age, sex and physiological status of the animals used, and the different techniques used. Despite this conflicting evidence from rats and mice, many current models describing anterior pituitary development still support the theory that lactotrophs arise from somatotrophs or at least a common progenitor cell (Frawley & Boockfor 1991, Voss & Rosenfeld 1992, Rhodes et al. 1994, Asa 2001, Dasen & Rosenfeld 2001, Burgess et al. 2002, Scully & Rosenfeld 2002).

Figure 4 Responses of cephalic and caudal lobe anterior pituitary cells to CORT in vitro. Cells from the cephalic and caudal lobes of E13 embryonic anterior pituitaries were cultured separately for 1 and 4 days with or without CORT (10⁻⁹ M). The cells were then subjected to ICC for GH or PRL. PRL-containing cells and GH-containing cells were rare in the caudal and cephalic lobes respectively (not shown). Data represent the means ± S.E.M. of 3 replicate experiments. Means with different letters are significantly different (P<0.05).
However, the situation in non-mammalian vertebrates might be different. Different somatotroph and lactotroph localizations were found in most non-mammalian vertebrates (Porter & El Halawani 2001). For example, in one reptile studied (Chalcides chalcides), PRL cells were clustered in the rostral anterior pituitary and in the medial anterior pituitary. Somatotroph cells were found in the caudal anterior pituitary (Ferrandino et al. 2001). As mentioned before, the anterior pituitary in birds can also be anatomically divided into two regions, the cephali and caudal lobes. Lactotrophs are mainly located in the cephali lobe, while somatotrophs are mainly localized in the caudal lobe of the anterior pituitary (Hansen & Hansen 1977, Jozsa et al. 1979, Mikami 1986, Thommes et al. 1987, Berghman et al. 1992, Lopez et al. 1995, Ramesh et al. 1996). Based on these findings, we hypothesized that mammomosomatotroph cells might not exist during chick embryonic development, and lactotroph cells may not differentiate from cells producing GH. In order to detect the existence of mammomosomatotrophs during chick development, pituitaries from chicken embryos of three ages, E18, E19 and E20, were subjected to ICC and double immunostaining for GH and PRL. Our current data show that mammomosomatotrophs are not detected during this developmental period in the chicken. Dual labelling immunofluorescence also failed to detect mammomosomatotrophs on E16, E17, E18, E19 or E20. Our results indicate that the initial lactotrophs to develop in the chicken do not also produce GH. This observation does not support a role for mammomosomatotrophs as an intermediate cell type during normal lactotroph differentiation.

Our previous results demonstrated that CORT could not only induce somatotroph differentiation in vivo and in vitro (Morpurgo et al. 1997, Dean & Porter 1999, Bossis & Porter 2000, Porter et al. 2001, 2003, Bossis et al. 2004), but could also induce lactotroph differentiation in pituitary cell cultures (Fu & Porter 2004). Induction of lactotrophs by CORT in vitro requires at least three days, whereas CORT treatment for as short as 16 h increases the percentage of GH cells (Porter et al. 2001). Therefore, lactotroph induction needs longer CORT exposure compared with somatotroph induction by CORT. Based on the theory that lactotrophs arise from somatotrophs, our next question addressed whether those lactotrophs induced by CORT are derived from the somatotrophs induced by CORT. Results from dual immunofluorescence indicated that the vast majority of PRL cells and GH cells induced by CORT were distinctly separate, and very few cells contained both hormones. The percentage of potential mammomosomatotrophs was less than 1% of all cells. No mammomosomatotrophs were detected in the control cultures. Consequently, lactotrophs induced by CORT are not mammomosomatotrophs nor are they likely to be derived from somatotrophs.

Current dogma holds that lactotrophs differentiate from somatotrophs or a common precursor cell type during development, at least in mammals. We have shown that glucocorticoids can induce the appearance of lactotrophs as well as somatotrophs in chicken embryonic pituitary cell cultures, consistent with a common developmental mechanism and lineage for somatotroph and lactotroph differentiation. However, lactotrophs and somatotrophs reside at opposite ends of the anterior pituitary, suggesting that lactotrophs may not differentiate directly from somatotrophs in non-mammalian vertebrates. Our present study addressed whether glucocorticoid-induced lactotrophs and somatotrophs arise from a common precursor population. To pursue this question, we cultured anterior pituitary caudal lobe and cephali lobe cells separately. Our hypothesis was that lactotrophs induced by CORT arise in the cephali lobe, while somatotrophs induced by CORT arise in the caudal lobe. Our results confirmed our hypothesis. Nearly all somatotrophs induced by CORT were found in caudal lobe cell cultures, and lactotrophs induced by CORT were found exclusively in cephali lobe cell cultures. Furthermore, CORT induction of both lactotrophs and somatotrophs was not influenced by the separate culture of cephali lobe and caudal lobe cells. Therefore, the presence of somatotrophs was not necessary for CORT induction of lactotrophs and vice versa. These results clearly indicate that somatotrophs and lactotrophs arise from separate precursor cell populations, residing in the caudal lobe and cephali lobe, respectively, of the chick anterior pituitary gland.

Our current investigation indicates that mammomosomatotrophs are nonexistent during normal chicken pituitary development and are rare among PRL and GH cells induced by CORT. However, all of our studies tested for the presence of PRL and GH within the same cell at one point in time. Thus, it is possible that cellular GH content was too low to detect or was lost before PRL was induced within the same cell. However, we do not favour these possibilities. Regarding sensitivity of GH and PRL detection in the current study, we showed that CORT induced expression of both GH- and PRL-containing cells to readily detectable levels. Yet, the two hormones remained expressed almost exclusively in separate cells. This would suggest that the lack of mammomosomatotrophs during development was not due to poor sensitivity of the techniques used. Regarding the possibility that GH production is turned off prior to initiation of PRL synthesis, we found that CORT induced both GH and PRL production but in different lobes and in different cells. Lactotrophs induced by CORT were found in the cephali lobe of the anterior pituitary and were not derived from somatotrophs induced by CORT, which were found in the caudal lobe. The caudal and cephali lobe cells were isolated on day 13 of embryonic development, before large numbers of either cell type differentiate. Thus, our present findings do not support the possibility that early somatotrophs present in the caudal lobe of the chick anterior pituitary migrate into the cephali lobe and cease...
producing GH before differentiating into lactotrophs, because somatotrophs and lactotrophs were induced among caudal and cephalic lobe cells cultured separately. However, it remains possible that GH and PRL cells arise from a common precursor cell during development that produces neither hormone. Subpopulations of this precursor cell population may migrate to the caudal and cephalic lobes of the anterior pituitary, where GH and PRL gene expression is induced respectively. We conclude that lactotrophs do not differentiate directly from somatotrophs during avian embryonic development.
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