Effects of long-term treatment with resveratrol and subcutaneous and oral estradiol administration on pituitary function in rats
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Abstract

Hormone replacement therapy (HRT) has been used for several decades to treat menopausal discomforts. However, in the light of recent studies that draw attention to the potential hazards of conventional HRT, various attempts have been undertaken to search for alternatives to classical HRT. Phytoestrogens are claimed to be capable of positively influencing menopausal symptoms, including hot flushes. We designed a long-term study of 3 months to assess the effects of subcutaneous and orally fed 17β-estradiol (E2), as well as the actions of resveratrol (RES) on pituitary function in female rats. Our results have demonstrated that RES binds with a 10-fold lower affinity to estrogen receptor (ER)-α than to ERβ. The data from the in vivo study revealed that a dosage of 5 µg and 50 µg RES/kg bodyweight per day given to ovariectomized (OVX) rats achieved serum levels of 1·0 and 8·1 µM respectively. Long-term treatment of OVX rats with RES revealed no estrogenic potential on pituitary function in vivo as assessed by LH and prolactin secretion and by regulation of mRNAs for LHα, LHβ, and GnRH receptor. Subcutaneous treatment with E2 in silastic capsules exerted stronger effects on LH and prolactin secretion, as well as on LHβ, LHα, GnRH receptor, and ERβ mRNA regulation compared with orally applied estradiol benzoate despite comparable serum levels. Levels of aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR) mRNA in the pituitary were increased following OVX and attenuated by long-term E2 treatment, whereas RES did not modulate AhR mRNA expression.


Introduction

Estrogens, especially 17β-estradiol (E2), are pleiotropic gonadal steroids that affect many physiological functions including reproduction, bone metabolism, the cardiovascular system, and brain function. Menopause marks the end of the reproductive life span of women and is characterized by a dramatic drop in circulating estrogen. Symptoms associated with estrogen deprivation include vasomotor instability (hot flushes), genitourinary atrophy, osteoporosis, and depression. Hormone replacement therapy (HRT) has been successfully used to treat the symptoms of menopause because estrogen has strong suppressive effects on climacteric complaints. Recent studies, however, have uncovered a greater understanding of the hazards of HRT. The Women’s Health Initiative Study was abandoned because several adverse effects including higher risk for breast cancer and coronary heart disease outweighed the benefits of hormone treatment for postmenopausal women (Burger 2003, Derry 2004). Similar results were obtained from the more recent ‘Million Women Study’ (Beral 2003). These findings led to various attempts to search for alternatives to classical HRT. Epidemiological studies reveal that Asian women have a lower incidence of menopausal discomforts, uterine and breast cancer, and cardiovascular disease compared with Western populations (Bagchi et al. 2001); this might be due to the ingestion of higher amounts of phytoestrogens. Phytoestrogens are claimed to be capable of positively influencing menopausal symptoms and increasing bone density (Anderson & Garner 1998, Chiiehi 1999, Chiiehi et al. 1999, Ramsey et al. 1999). One representative of these compounds is trans-3,5,4-trihydroxystilbene (resveratrol; RES), a member of the stilbene group that is produced in many plants such as grape vines, berries, and peanuts. A growing body of evidence suggests that RES ameliorates postmenopausal symptoms including hot flushes, bone strength, mood swings, and brain function. It may also prevent many of the adverse side-effects observed after regular HRT (Bagchi et al. 2001). RES has been shown to exhibit anti-carcinogenic properties (ElAttar & Virji 1999, Bhat et al. 2001), to act as an...
Materials and Methods

Chemicals

17β-E2–3-benzoate (purity 98.5%) and 17β-E2 (purity 98%) were purchased from Sigma. RES (purity 99.2%) was obtained from Nabio Biotechnology, Shanghai, China.

Animals

All experiments were conducted according to the German animal welfare regulations under permission nos 509-42502/01-28-04, district authorities Braunschweig. The procedures conform with FRAME guidelines.

Parental female Sprague–Dawley rats were obtained from Fa. Winkelmann (Borchen, Germany) and given soy-free food. Offspring were raised under soy-free conditions to eliminate exposure to exogenous estrogenic compounds. Animals were kept under standard conditions: water and food ad libitum, lights on from 0600 to 1800 h, room temperature 23 °C, and relative humidity 55%. At the age of 4 months, rats were bilaterally OVX under isofluorane anesthesia.

Animal diet and batches of test compound

The chow was provided by Sniff special diets GmbH (Soest, Germany). Regular diet was the soy-free formulation Sniff SM R/M, 10 mm. The supplemented chow was prepared by mixing the test substances with this formulation to homogeneity before the process of pelletizing. Concentrations in 1 kg food were: E2B low 0.0043 g and E2B high 0.0173 g, RES low 0.084 g, RES high 0.84 g. All batches were prepared 1 week prior to the start of the experiment.

Hormone treatment and drug delivery

To assess the effects of constant exposure to E2 versus a cycling pattern of hormone delivery we implemented two experimental set-ups. The first group of animals received silastic capsules that were filled with 17β-E2 in sesame oil (1 mg/ml) or sesame oil as a vehicle. Capsules were implanted subcutaneously (n=11–12 animals/experimental group; one capsule/animal). This treatment paradigm produces constant exposure and blood levels of E2 that are equivalent to circulating hormone levels during the rat estrous cycle (Dubal et al. 1998). To ensure exposure to constant E2 levels during the whole experiment, capsules were changed three times. Age-matched intact rats served as controls. The second group of animals was fed with two doses of E2B. The low dose of E2B (E2B-l) consisted of 4.3 mg/kg food, the high dose (E2B-h) of 17.3 mg/kg food. OVX animals served as
controls \((n=9–12/\text{experimental group})\). All animals were compared with the intact random cycling animals. To assess the effect of long-term exposure to RES, OVX animals were fed with two doses of the phytoestrogen. The low dose consisted of 0·084 g RES/kg food, the high dose of 0·84 g/kg food. OVX rats served as the respective control group. After 3 months of treatment, animals were decapitated under CO₂ anesthesia. In order to minimize inter-individual variations due to circadian fluctuations of hormones, blood samples were obtained between 0800 and 1200 h.

**HPLC analysis**

To recover the substances from serum in detectable amounts for HPLC–u.v., enzymatic hydrolysis of potential metabolites was performed before serum extraction. Five hundred microliters of serum were diluted with 500 µl ammonium acetate buffer (pH 5·0) containing 1 mg β-glucuronidase \((\text{Helix pomatia} \, \beta\text{-glucuronidase Type H1; Sigma})\) and incubated overnight at 37 °C. Samples were prepared by the Strata X solid phase extraction method according to the manufacturer’s guidelines and eluted with ethanol. The eluted volume was evaporated to dryness in a speedvac with 4-methyl-umbelliferone (4MU) serving as an internal standard for the following reconstitution. Samples were reconstituted with 100 µl ethanol. Subsequently, samples were filtered through a PVDF membrane \((0·45\mu\text{m}/4 \text{ mm})\) filter to remove remaining protein pollutants. An injection volume of 20 µl was chromatographed over an NC 250 × 4·6 mm Hypersil–ODS 5·0 µm column (Bischoff, Leonberg, Germany). Serum spikes of 4MU, 4MU-glucuronide (4MUG), and 4MU-sulfate (4MUS) were included in each preparation as duplicate controls and detected by the fluorescence of free 4MU (RF–10A XL fluorescence detector; Shimadzu, Duisburg, Germany): 4MUG and 4MUS served as controls of hydrolysis with 4MU being their reference as well as control of extraction in comparison with the internal standard. Serum spikes with RES were analyzed versus controls (\(n=9–12/\text{experimental group})\). LH and prolactin were measured by a specific RIA supplied by the National Hormone and Pituitary Program of the NIH as described previously (Roth et al. 2001). E2 levels were assessed using a kit (E2 third generation; DSL, Sinsheim, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s guidelines. The specific activity of \({}_{125}^{}\text{I}\text{-iodine-E2 was 81·4 TBq mmol (2200 Ci/mmol); 40 000 c.p.m.}/100 \mu\text{l tracer were used in the assay.}

**Tissue preparation**

Pituitaries were removed, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at –70 °C until used. Trunk blood was collected and stored at 4 °C for 2–6 h. Samples were centrifuged at 3000 g for 10 min and serum was stored at –20°C until analysis.

**RNA extraction and reverse transcription**

Extraction of total RNA from pituitaries was performed using a RNeasy mini kit (Quiagen) according to the manufacturer’s guidelines. Reverse transcription was carried out in a total volume of 20 µl containing 1 × reaction buffer \((50 \text{ mM Tris–HCl, 75 mM KCl, 3 mM MgCl}_2, 50 \text{ mM dithiothreitol}, 100 \text{ ng random hexamer primer, 0·5 mM dNTPs, 200 U M-MMLV reverse transcriptase RNase H}^{-}\) (Promega), 4 U RNasin (Promega), and 200 ng total RNA. Samples were incubated for 10 min at 22 °C to allow primer annealing, reverse transcription was at 42 °C for 50 min, and finally RNA–cDNA hybrids were denatured for 10 min at 95 °C.

**Real-time PCR**

Real-time PCR reactions were based on the 5′-nuclease assay (Heid et al. 1996) which was run on an ABI Prism 7700 sequence detection system (TaqMan; PE Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Primers and probes were designed with the Primer Express software (PE Applied Biosystems, Weiterstadt, Germany) and purchased from Eurogentec (Seraing, Belgium). The hybridization probe is linked at the 5′-end to 6-carboxyfluorescein (FAM) as fluorogenic reporter dye and at the 3′-end to the fluorogenic group 6-carboxytetramethylrhodamine (TAMRA) which quenches the FAM emission spectrum. Primers and probes for ERα, ERβ, and GnRH receptor were described previously (Roth et al. 2001, Seidlova-Wuttke et al. 2003a,b), the PCR for AhR was carried out according to Lovekamp-Swan et al. (2003). Oligonucleotides, accession numbers (AC), and references for LHB and α-subunit are listed below.

- **LH-β** forward primer: 5′-ACCTTCAACCACAGCA TCTGT-3′; reverse primer: 5′-AGCTCAGGTAGT GCACACT-3′, TaqMan hybridization probe: 5′-FAM- CTGCCCTTGCCTCCGTGCTCA-TAMRA-3′ (AC: NM 012858 (Chin et al. 1983)); α-subunit: forward primer: 5′-TCTTGACCTTGAGGAAGT-3′, reverse
primer: 5’-GGTGCCCCCATCTATCAGTG-3’; Taq-Man hybridization probe: 5’-FAM-TGCCCTGGAGAAGCAACAGCCCAT-TAMRA-3’ (AC: V01252 (Godine et al. 1982)).

Amplification reactions were carried out in a 25 µl volume containing 1× TaqMan Universal PCR Master Mix (PE Applied Biosystems), 50–900 nM primers, 225 nM hybridization probe, and 2 µl cDNA. Subsequently, samples were amplified over 40 cycles. Each cycle consisted of a denaturation phase of 15 s at 95 °C and a hybridization/elongation phase of 1 min at 60 °C.

**ER ligand-binding assays**

In addition, subtype-specific ERα and ERβ ligand-binding assays were performed according to the method described by Kuiper et al. (1997) with the exception that bound and free tracer were separated by absorption on dextran-coated charcoal as described previously (Seidlova-Wuttke et al. 2003a). The tracer for the ligand-binding assay was 125I-labeled E2 (NEN, Dreieich, Germany). The recombinant ERα and ERβ proteins were obtained from PanVera (Madison, WI, USA).

**Statistical analysis**

Significant differences between the control and treatment groups were analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by Newman–Keuls post-hoc test (PrismTM; GraphPad, San Diego, CA, USA). P values <0·05 were considered significant.

Relative changes of mRNA levels were analyzed in the PCR experiments. For evaluation of the effect of OVX and E2 treatment, the mean value of the absolute data measured in the group of intact animals was set as 100%. All other values determined in the respective assay are expressed in relation to the average value of intact animals. For the assessment of the estrogenic potential of RES, the treatment groups were assayed in relation to the OVX control group that was set as 100%.

**Results**

Since a prerequisite for a given substance to act as an estrogen agonist is binding to the respective receptors we first determined the binding of RES to recombinant ERα and ERβ (Fig. 1). Non-labeled E2 displaced the radioactively labeled ligand from ERα with an IC$_{50}$ value of 11·24 nM (Fig. 1A). RES bound only weakly to ERα with an IC$_{50}$ of 14·61 µM. Thus, RES bound to ERα with a 1300-fold lower affinity than E2. With regard to ERβ, E2 bound with an IC$_{50}$ value of 1·958 nM (Fig. 1B). RES displaced E2 from ERβ with an IC$_{50}$ of 4·82 µM, demonstrating that the binding affinity for RES to bind to ERβ is 2500-fold lower than for E2.

![Figure 1](image-url) RES competes for ER binding. ER-binding assays were performed using recombinant ERα(A) or ERβ(B). Radiolabeled E2 competed with the indicated concentrations of RES (▲) or unlabeled E2 (■). The graphs show one representative out of three experiments. Values are means ± S.E.M.

We next determined serum E2 and RES levels in our animals (Table 1). Intact rats exhibited an average of 19·9 pg/ml E2. Levels dropped upon OVX to 8·3 or

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment group</th>
<th>E2</th>
<th>RES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intact</td>
<td>19·9 (± 2·2) pg/ml</td>
<td>238·3 ng/ml (1·044 µM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil</td>
<td>8·3 (± 3·4) pg/ml</td>
<td>1·854 ng/ml (8·125 µM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVX</td>
<td>9·5 (± 1·9) pg/ml</td>
<td>1·854 ng/ml (8·125 µM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2 (s.c.)</td>
<td>26·2 (± 3·5) pg/ml</td>
<td>1·854 ng/ml (8·125 µM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2B-I (oral)</td>
<td>40·3 (± 16·7) pg/ml</td>
<td>1·854 ng/ml (8·125 µM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2B-h (oral)</td>
<td>153·2 (± 49·6) pg/ml</td>
<td>1·854 ng/ml (8·125 µM)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E2 levels were measured by RIA, RES contents were assessed by HPLC. Data are shown as means ± S.E.M. n=9–12. Since the study was part of a multi-organic risk assessment, some of these data were also used in an aging study (Böttner 2005).
Implantation of silastic capsules gave rise to E2 levels of 26 pg/ml which represent values that occur in cycling animals. Animals fed with the low dose of E2 were characterized by 40 pg/ml E2 which lay well within the physiological range of cycling animals. In contrast, the high dose of orally fed E2B gave rise to serum levels of 153 pg/ml which represents a pharmacological dose.

After digest with a *Helix pomatia* extract and HPLC analysis we measured 238 ng/ml (1·0 µM) RES in the group of animals that was treated with the low dose and 1·85 µg/ml (8·1 µM) RES in animals that were fed with the high dose of the phytoestrogen.

To investigate the effects of long-term treatment with E2 or RES on pituitary function we first assessed serum hormone profiles. LH levels rose 25-fold upon OVX (Fig. 2). Constant exposure to E2 led to a suppression of LH similar to the situation in intact animals (Fig. 2A). In contrast, the low dose of orally fed E2 only partially suppressed OVX-induced LH secretion (Fig. 2B). In this case we observed reduction by 35%. The high dose of E2B led to a 90% reduction in LH levels; however, serum levels were still twice as high as in animals bearing silastic capsules. Treatment of OVX rats with RES had no significant effect on LH values (Fig. 2C).

Compared with intact rats, levels of prolactin decreased to 28% and to 19% in both OVX groups (Fig. 3). Constant exposure to E2 led to an increase of serum prolactin to 155 ng/ml (Fig. 3A). In contrast, orally fed E2 was only able to partially reverse the OVX-induced decrease (Fig. 3B). Animals treated with the low dose of E2 exhibited prolactin concentrations of 19 pg/ml, those fed with the high dose showed serum levels of 53 ng/ml, neither of which differed significantly from values obtained in OVX animals. RES did not cause any alterations in prolactin levels compared with OVX rats (Fig. 3C).

To assess whether changes in secretion patterns were paralleled by changes in transcription profiles we determined LH mRNA expression in the pituitary. Upon OVX we observed a 15-fold increase in LHβ subunit mRNA expression compared with intact animals (Fig. 4). Constant E2 exposure led to suppression of LHβ to the levels found in cycling animals. This effect was only achieved by the pharmacological dose of E2B whereas the physiological dose led only to a partial decrease in LHβ mRNA expression, thus reflecting the changes in LH secretion patterns described above. RES showed no reduction of OVX-induced LHβ mRNA levels.

Expression profiles for LHα mRNA mirrored the expression of LHβ mRNA. In OVX rats we observed a 5-fold increase of LHα mRNA expression that was attenuated in the presence of constant physiological levels of E2 (Fig. 5). Orally administered E2 decreased α-subunit mRNA levels dose dependently whereas treatment of OVX rats with RES did not affect transcription.

We next examined GnRH receptor mRNA contents in our long-term treatment model and after RES exposure.

![Figure 2](https://www.endocrinology-journals.org)
Figure 3  Serum levels of prolactin. Prolactin concentrations in serum samples were assessed by RIA. (A) Vehicle-treated, OVX rats exhibited decreased prolactin concentrations. Subcutaneous E2 raised prolactin serum levels compared with OVX and intact animals. (B) Orally fed E2B did not affect the OVX-induced decrease in prolactin. (C) Orally fed RES had no effect on prolactin concentrations in serum. Data are shown as means ± S.E.M., n = 9–12. *P < 0·05 vs intact, #P < 0·05 vs OIL/OVX.

Figure 4  Expression of LHβ subunit mRNA. Expression levels of LHβ were determined with real-time PCR. For evaluations of the effect of OVX and E2 treatment, the mean value of the absolute data measured in the group of intact animals was set as 100% (A and B). All other values determined in the respective experiment are expressed in relation to the average value of intact animals. (A) Oil-treated, OVX animals showed elevated LHβ mRNA levels that were suppressed after subcutaneous E2 treatment. (B) The OVX-induced rise in LHβ mRNA was dose-dependently attenuated by orally fed E2B. For the assessment of the estrogenic potential of RES, the treatment groups were assayed in relation to the OVX control group that was set as 100%. (C) Orally fed RES did not influence LHβ mRNA levels. Data are represented as means ± S.E.M., n = 9–12. *P < 0·05 vs intact; #P < 0·05 vs OIL/OVX.
The results for GnRH receptor mRNA mimicked the expression profile of LHβ mRNA expression. OVX induced GnRH receptor mRNA 7-fold compared with naive females (Fig. 6). Subcutaneously administered E2 reduced GnRH receptor mRNA expression to levels similar to those observed in intact rats. This effect could only be mimicked by the high dose of orally fed E2; the low dose, however, led only to a partial reduction of GnRH receptor mRNA expression. As demonstrated for the regulation of LHβ, GnRH receptor expression was not modulated by treatment with RES.

Long-term treatment with E2 showed differential effects on the expression pattern of ERs. Expression of ERα remained unaltered under any treatment (data not shown) whereas ERβ mRNA expression was upregulated following OVX (Fig. 7). Rats that received subcutaneous implants and those fed with the high dose of E2 showed downregulation of ERβ mRNA to the values of intact rats. The low dose of orally administered E2 diminished ERβ expression by two-thirds. Administration of RES to the animals did not influence ERβ mRNA levels.

Treatment with E2 modulated the mRNA expression of AhR (Fig. 8). Upon OVX, AhR mRNA increased to 184% and 213% compared with intact rats. In rats which were treated subcutaneously with E2, AhR mRNA expression was attenuated to levels comparable with those of untreated animals (Fig. 8A). Rats that were orally fed with the high dose of E2 showed suppression of AhR mRNA whereas animals that were fed with the low dose showed no significant decrease of OVX-induced AhR mRNA upregulation (Fig. 8B). Rats that were treated with RES showed no modulation of AhR gene expression (Fig. 8C).

Discussion

The results from our study revealed five important findings. (1) RES binds with low affinity to both ERs and with a 10-fold lower affinity to ERα than to ERβ. (2) With a dosage of 5 and 50 µg RES/kg bodyweight we achieved serum levels of 1.0 and 8.1 µM respectively. (3) Long-term treatment of OVX rats with RES reveals no estrogenic potential on pituitary function in vivo. (4) E2 treatment with implanted capsules exerts stronger effects on LH and prolactin secretion, as well as on LHβ, LHα, GnRH receptor, and ERβ mRNA regulation compared with orally applied E2B. (5) OVX leads to upregulation of AhR mRNA which is attenuated by E2 treatment. We have shown that RES binds to ERα with an IC50 of 14.6 µM and to ERβ with an IC50 of 4.8 µM. Although these values are in the range of previously described reports the data concerning interactions of RES with ERs are conflicting. Two reports describe that, in MCF-7 cells, RES binds to the ER with an IC50 of 10 µM or 100 µM (Gehm et al., Ashby et al. 1999).
Figure 6 Expression of GnRH receptor mRNA. Levels for OVX and E2-treated animals are expressed as a percentage of intact animals (A and B). (A) Oil-treated, OVX animals showed elevated GnRH receptor mRNA levels that were lowered after subcutaneous E2 administration. (B) E2B treatment led to a decrease of OVX-induced GnRH receptor mRNA concentrations. (C) RES-treated animals are shown in relation to the OVX control group. RES application showed no effect on GnRH receptor mRNA levels. Data are represented as means ± S.E.M., n=9–12. *P<0.05 vs intact; #P<0.05 vs OIL/OVX.

Figure 7 Regulation of ERβ mRNA. Levels for OVX and E2-treated animals are expressed as a percentage of intact animals (A and B). (A) OVX, vehicle-treated rats showed an upregulation of ERβ mRNA. Constant treatment with subcutaneous E2 decreased ERβ mRNA to the levels of control animals. (B) The OVX-induced rise in ERβ mRNA was dose-dependently attenuated by orally fed E2B. (C) RES-treated animals are shown in relation to the OVX control group. The phytoestrogen did not affect ERβ mRNA levels. Data are represented as means ± S.E.M., n=9–12. *P<0.05 vs intact; #P<0.05 vs OIL/OVX. Since some of these data are also part of an aging study the data in Fig. 7A are also used in that aging study (Böttner & Wuttke 2005).
In cell-free systems, Bhat & Pezzuto (2001) reported no binding of RES to ERα whereas ERβ was bound with an IC50 of 125 µM. Other studies demonstrate IC50 values of 58·5 µM and 8 µM for ERα, and 125 µM or 29 µM respectively for ERβ (Bowers et al. 2000, Mueller et al. 2004).

Having demonstrated that RES has the capability to bind to ERs, we addressed the question as to whether this effect has any biological significance. Since phytoestrogens have been implicated in the relief of menopausal symptoms including hot flushes (Bagchi et al. 2001) we used the OVX rat as a model to assess the putative estrogenic activity of RES on pituitary function. Upon OVX, LH levels rise due to a lack of negative feedback of E2 on pituitary and hypothalamic centers that control pulsatile GnRH release (Caraty et al. 1989, Shupnik 1996). Subsequently, neurotransmitters involved in generating GnRH pulses are elevated and cause hot flushes. Exogenous administration of E2 leads to suppression of GnRH release and thereby LH secretion and cessation of vasomotor symptoms. To address the question as to whether RES might be effective in the treatment of hot flushes, we monitored LH secretion in OVX rats that were fed with 5 or 50 mg RES/kg bodyweight. However, we could not detect any suppression of LH serum levels, or of any downregulation of LHβ and LHα mRNAs. Similarly, RES was ineffective in reversing the OVX-induced inhibition of prolactin release. These findings are in contrast to the in vitro effects of RES on the pituitary cell line PR1 (Stahl et al. 1998). Stahl et al. (1998) demonstrated that at a concentration of 10^-6 M, RES exerted estrogenic activities with regard to the regulation of prolactin secretion and mRNA synthesis.

The apparent discrepancy between the data derived from an in vitro study and results obtained in vivo might in part be explained by the different doses used in both reports. At 10^-6 M, Stahl et al. (1998) only detected a slight increase in thymidine incorporation and at this concentration the maximal stimulation of prolactin secretion was also observed. In our study, we determined serum levels of total RES of 1·0 and 8·1 µM. Taking into consideration that this value includes unconjugated, glucuronidated, and sulfated RES, the actual amount of free and thus biologically active proportion of RES might be lower than the values denoted above. This assumption is supported by a report describing that, in serum samples of mice fed with RES, glucorinidation and sulfatation of RES occurs (Yu et al. 2002). Given the low affinity of RES to ERα, it appears reasonable that our serum levels of active RES might not have been sufficient to activate ERα-mediated gene transcription.

Our in vivo observations showing no effects of RES on pituitary function are in agreement with previous reports investigating potential estrogenic effects of RES in rats. The stilbene derivative had only minimal in vivo effects in a study addressing its actions in growing rats (Turner et al. 1999). Another report also failed to detect reproducible
activity of RES in an immature rat uterotrophic assay (Ashby et al. 1999).

Another possibility for RES to negatively interact with E2 signalling is via the AhR. RES has been shown to bind to the AhR (Casper et al. 1999) and activation of this receptor may result in inhibition of ERα-mediated responses by favoring the proteasome-dependent degradation of ERα and interactions with promoter regions of E2 responsive genes (Safe & Wormke 2003). Since RES could also activate AhR signaling in the pituitary we determined whether we could detect AhR expression in this organ. Here we have demonstrated for the first time that OVX induces AhR mRNA levels in the pituitary 2-fold compared with intact animals. Chronic treatment with subcutaneous implants of E2 and the pharmacological dose of orally fed E2B attenuated this effect, whereas treatment of OVX rats with RES did not modulate the OVX-induced increase in AhR mRNA levels.

In addition, we assessed the effect of subcutaneous and oral long-term E2 treatment in our animals. To this end, we implemented a paradigm of subcutaneous constant E2 treatment that was designed to mimic constant delivery of E2 via transdermal patches. The other group of rats was fed with two doses of E2B to mimic the oral ingestion of E2 via a pill. These models were used to study the effect of the route of hormone delivery on pituitary function as assessed by LH and prolactin secretion as well as by regulation of LHβ, LHβ, GnRH receptor, and ERβ gene transcription. As expected, mean plasma LH concentrations were greatly elevated after 3 months of OVX. Subcutaneous treatment with E2 suppressed LH secretion to levels comparable with those observed in intact animals. In contrast, animals that were orally fed with the low dose of E2 exhibited only partial repression of LH secretion, whereas animals fed with the pharmacological dose showed suppression to levels observed in intact animals.

LH comprises two subunits including an α-subunit common to LH, follicle-stimulating hormone, and thyroid-stimulating hormone, as well as the unique LHβ subunit. Changes in LH mRNA expression result from transcriptional regulation of these separate subunits that have individual sensitivity to E2. In accordance with the literature, castration of female rats resulted in increased levels of both subunit mRNAs (Gharib et al. 1986, Papavasiliou et al. 1986). We observed the LHβ subunit mRNA was most strikingly regulated with post-OVX values that were 15-fold higher than in intact animals; α-subunit mRNA expression was stimulated to a lesser extent (5-fold). Treatment with E2 decreased the LHβ values in animals that were subcutaneously treated to 89% of intact animals. Animals fed with E2B showed, with the low dose, a partial decrease to 700% of intact animals, rats fed with the high dose exhibited values similar to those observed in intact animals. Similar results were observed concerning the regulation of the α-subunit and GnRH receptor mRNA, demonstrating that constant treatment with implants containing E2 showed more striking effects on the regulation of pituitary gene transcription than orally applied E2B.

Following OVX, prolactin levels were strongly suppressed. In response to chronic, subcutaneous treatment with E2, prolactin levels rose to values above those observed in intact animals. These findings are in good agreement with previous studies demonstrating that E2 stimulates prolactin secretion in rats by counteracting the effect of dopamine (Chen et al. 1970, Raymond et al. 1978). Furthermore, it is known that E2 modulates prolactin secretion from pituitary lactotrophs in a receptor-dependent fashion (Day et al. 1990, Seyfred & Gorski 1990). Surprisingly, rats that were orally fed with E2 only showed a tendency to elevated prolactin levels compared with OVX animals but this did not turn out to be significant. These data indicate that not only the doses of hormone but also the route of administration has an influence on the modulation of prolactin secretion. Several studies carried out in postmenopausal women have reported conflicting results regarding the effect of estrogen on prolactin secretion. Our results are consistent with reports showing that estrogens induce prolactin synthesis and raise serum prolactin levels in humans (Frantz et al. 1972, Yen et al. 1974). However, Alvarez-Tutor et al. (1999) described a persistent increase of prolactin levels after oral ethinyl-E2 treatment. Other studies observed no alterations in prolactin levels after transdermal estrogen treatment (Saucedo et al. 2002) or a consistent decrease after oral as well as transdermal treatment (Castelo-Branco et al. 1993).

Taken together, the results from our study have demonstrated that subcutaneous treatment with E2 is more efficient in influencing pituitary parameters than orally administered E2B. Furthermore, the lack of estrogenic activity of RES in our studies questions the effectiveness of this phytoestrogen in resolving postmenopausal discomforts including hot flushes.
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