Estrogen-triggered delays in mammary gland gene expression during the estrous cycle: evidence for a novel timing system
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Abstract

During the estrous cycle and beginning in estrus, the mammary gland undergoes pregnancy-like development that depends on transcriptional regulation by the estrogen and progesterone receptors (ER, PR) and Pax-2 as well as the action of the growth factors Wnt-4 and RANKL. In this report, we first describe the decay and delayed expression of ERα, PR, and Pax-2 proteins as well as depression of Wnt-4 and RANKL mRNA coincident with the strong estrogen surge in proestrus. In time-course studies using ovariectomized mice, a single estrogen injection replicated these delays and caused an 18 h delay in Wnt-4 expression. Molecular time-delay systems are at the core of cellular cycles, most notably the circadian clock, and depend on proteasome degradation of transcriptional regulators that exhibit dedicated timing functions. The cytoplasmic dynamics of these regulators govern delay duration through negative transcription/translation feedback loops. A proteasome inhibitor, PS-341, blocked estrogen-stimulated ERα, PR, and Pax-2 decay and proteasome chymotryptic activity, assayed using a fluorogenic substrate, was elevated in proestrus correlating with the depletion of the transcription factors. The 18-h delay in Wnt-4 induction corresponded to the turnover time of Pax-2 protein in the cytoplasm and was eliminated in Pax-2 knockout mammary tissue, demonstrating that Pax-2 has a unique timing function. The patterns of estrogen-triggered ERα, PR, and Pax-2 turnover were consistent with a negative transcriptional feedback. Retarding the expression of ERα, PR, and Pax-2 may optimize preparations for pregnancy by coordinating expression of critical receptors and transcription factors with rising estrogen and progesterone levels in estrus. The estrogen surge in proestrus has no defined mammotropic function. This study provides the first evidence that it is a synchronizing signal triggering proteasome-dependent turnover of mammary gland ERα, PR, and Pax-2. We hypothesize that the delays reflect a previously unrecognized timing system, which is present in all ovarian target tissues.
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Introduction

The mammalian estrous cycle is an endocrine clock that communicates time through periodic oscillations in the concentration of the ovarian steroids, estrogen and progesterone. In tissues such as the mammary gland and uterus, the estrogen and progesterone receptor (ER, PR) systems monitor hormone concentration and transduce this information into time-appropriate developmental responses. Broadly speaking, two opposing developmental phases of the estrous cycle are timed in this way. A ‘preparative’ phase marked by elevated estrogen and progesterone levels during estrus leaves ovarian target tissues primed for pregnancy. A second phase begins as progesterone concentrations decline in diestrus and is marked by the apoptotic destruction of the earlier preparations. By far the most dramatic endocrine feature of the estrous cycle is a strong estrogen pulse in diestrus/proestrus that defines the boundary between these two developmental phases and signals the start of a new cycle (Fig. 1A) (Schedin et al. 2000, Fata et al. 2001). In the mammary gland, estrous cycle-driven preparations for pregnancy are indistinguishable from early pregnancy as the complexity of the ductal system increases markedly in each case (Robinson et al. 1995, Liu et al. 1996, Schedin et al. 2000, Fata et al. 2001). This begins during estrus when small lateral branches extend off larger ducts to become the scaffolding for the morphogenesis and differentiation of milk producing alveoli (Silberstein 2001, Brennan & Brown 2004). Recent work has shown that the development of these small branches is dependent on the growth factor Wnt-4 (Brisken et al. 2000), with alveolar growth requiring the growth factor RANKL. RANKL is the ligand for receptor activator of nuclear factor-kappaB (RANK) and is induced by progesterone (Fata et al. 2000, Brisken et al. 2002).

Pax-2 is a proto-oncogene transcription factor characterized by a paired domain and a partial homeobox. It is active during the development of kidney and central nervous system where it stimulates stem cell proliferation,
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while restraining apoptosis (Maulbecker & Gruss 1993 Stuart & Gruss 1996). In the mammary gland, Pax-2 is expressed in a sub-population of stem cells thought to participate in regenerating mammary parenchyma for each new estrous cycle and pregnancy (Silberstein 2001, Silberstein et al. 2002). The availability of Pax-2 knockout mammary tissue allowed testing of the in vivo role of the transcription factor in mammary development and it was found that loss of function inhibited estrogen- and progesterone-stimulated development of the small ductal branches characteristic of early pregnancy. This phenotype was previously described in Wnt-4 knockout mammary glands, where progesterone-stimulated branching was blocked (Brisen et al. 2000). The similarities in the two mutant phenotypes led us to hypothesize that Pax-2 influences Wnt-4 expression (Silberstein et al. 2002).

The acute estrogen pulse in proestrus stimulates the secretion of pituitary luteinizing hormone/follicle-stimulating hormone (LH/FSH) leading to ovulation, but has no known role in the preparation of the mammary gland for pregnancy. In characterizing natural estrogen and progesterone regulation of Pax-2 during the estrous cycle and using the expression of ERα and PR as controls for steroid action, we discovered that ERα, PR, and Pax-2 proteins underwent turnover in proestrus that delayed their expression until estrus. We now report evidence that this turnover and resulting time-delays are due to estrogen-triggered proteasomal proteolysis. The characteristics of these time-delays are similar to those found in other molecular timing systems such as circadian clocks, indicating that delays in ERα, PR, and Pax-2 expression reflect an underlying estrous cycle-responsive timing system in the mammary gland. Evidence is presented that Pax-2 is a molecular component of this timing system that schedules Wnt-4 expression. We discuss a function for the delays and timing system as adaptations to ensure optimal mammary development in anticipation of pregnancy.

### Materials and Methods

#### Animals and hormone or proteasome inhibitor (PS-341) treatments

All animal protocols were approved by the local Animal Research Committee and are in accord with National Institutes of Health (NIH) guidelines. Hormonally intact, virgin Balb/C female mice 12–24 weeks of age (ca 25 g) were used for estrous cycle staging. For time-course and dose–response studies, mice were ovariectomized at 2 months and treated with estrogen or progesterone after waiting at least 3 weeks for depletion of endogenous steroids. Hormone injections were administered s.c. with either estrogen (5 μg Depo-estriadiol, Pharmacia/Upjohn, Kalazamoo, MI, USA) or progesterone (3 mg Depo-provera; Pharmacia/Upjohn). Similar doses, administered simultaneously, induce pregnancy-like mammary development in endocrine-ablated mice (Nandi, 1959). The proteasome inhibitor PS-341 (gift of Millennium Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Cambridge, MA, USA) was administered i.v. via a tail vein at 0.5 mg/kg in normal saline (LeBlanc et al. 2002). Sporadic toxicity was seen at this dosage and affected animals were discarded.

#### Estrous cycle staging

Starting at 3 months of age, mice were estrus staged regularly in mid-morning through examinations of vaginal smears (Champlin et al. 1973). After assigning stages, animals were anaesthetized at mid-day and mammary glands removed. Since estrus occurs at night, animals for this stage were examined in late afternoon and the mammary glands removed in early evening.

**Pax-2-homozygous recessive (null) mammary tissue**

The Pax-2 null condition is embryonic lethal. To study mutant mammary tissue, 17-day Pax-2 null C3H embryos...
were removed from the uterus and the mammary anlage excised. The rescue and clonal expansion of Pax–2 null mammary tissue has been described in detail elsewhere (Silberstein et al. 2002). To control for physiological and hormonal variation, gene expression in mutant and wild-type mammary parenchyma were compared in the same animal. To accomplish this, a fragment of mutant mammary parenchyma was transplanted into an inguinal mammary fat pad on one side of a Balb/C nu/nu mouse creating a chimeric mammary gland comprised of C3H Pax–2 null parenchyma in wild-type stroma. The contralateral gland served as a control and was transplanted with wild-type C3H mammary tissue. Host mammary parenchyma was removed (cleared) prior to transplantation (Young 2000). Transplants were allowed to grow for at least 6 weeks to establish a ductal network after which animals were ovariectomized and treated with estrogen or progesterone as described above.

Protein extraction and Western immunoblotting
Protein extraction and Western immunoblotting (WIB) were carried out using standard procedures. Mammary glands were flash frozen and powdered under liquid nitrogen. A minimum of two nuclear and cytoplasmic lysate fractions were prepared from 60 μg tissue powder using NE-PER Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Extraction Reagents (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA) following the kit protocol. Protein concentration was determined using the bicinchoninic acid (BCA) Protein Assay Reagent Kit (Pierce). Electrophoresis, transfer and immuno-probing were done using standard procedures. Antibodies to Pax–2 (Covance, Berkeley, CA, USA), PR, and ERα (sc-538, sc-542; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) were used. The specificity of antibodies against ERα and PR was demonstrated with cognate blocking peptides that eliminated all signals (not shown). The specificity of the Pax–2 antibody was inferred by WIB of lysates from 293 cells over-expressing Pax–2b (not shown) (Cai et al. 2002).

Proteasome chymotrypsin assay
Mouse mammary gland lysates were prepared for fluorometric assay of 20S proteasome chymotryptic activity. The assay is based on the rate of cleavage of a four-peptide chymotryptic subunit attached to a fluorescent methyl coumarin reporter (LLVY-AMC, Chemicon, Temecula, CA, USA). Assay buffer and conditions were used according to the Chemicon Proteasome Activity Assay Kit. All the reactions were performed in triplicate at different lysate volumes and proportionality checked to ensure that rates were in the linear range of the assay. Protein concentration was determined by the BCA method and used to calculate specific activity. The specificity of the assay was tested by adding proteasome inhibitors such as lactacystin or PS–341, which resulted in between 85 and 90% inhibition. Statistical differences were analyzed with Student’s t-test. The proteasome inhibitory effect of injected PS–341 was tested and validated by assaying proteasome-specific chymotryptic activity, which inhibited mammary gland 20S proteasome enzyme activity by approximately 85% for up to 8 h.

Reverse transcriptase (RT)-PCR
Mouse mammary gland RNA (3 μg) isolated as previously described (Silberstein et al. 2002) was reverse transcribed using the Bio–Rad iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit under standard conditions. Reaction products were purified by the QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen), and dried under vacuum to a volume of 25 μl. Reverse transcription reactions were evaluated by expression of the ‘housekeeping’ gene glyceraldehyde–3–phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH).

Semi-quantitative PCR was used to investigate expression of ERα, PR, Wnt-4, Wnt-6, RANKL, and GAPDH using a Perkin–Elmer Gene Amp PCR System 2400 thermal cycler. Reaction products were analyzed by electrophoresis in 2% agarose gels. Pax–2 and ERα primers were designed using MacVector software (MacVector 6.5, Oxford Molecular Group, San Francisco, CA, USA); other primers were taken from the literature as noted in the online Supplement. PCR primer sequences and amplification conditions are shown in Table 1. GAPDH is a ‘housekeeping’ gene whose expression remains relatively constant during mammary development (Atwood et al. 1995).

Quantitative (real time) PCR Plasmids containing a human Pax–2 insert were obtained from ATCC Molecular Genomics Resources for use as a Pax–2 standard or control sequence (American Type Tissue Culture Collection, Manassas, VA, USA, catalog no. 9520324). Isolation of plasmids from 1.5 ml liquid cultures of amp-resistant DH10B cells was performed using the QiPrep Spin Miniprep Kit (250) from Qiagen. Clones were verified by restriction digestion as well as by standard PCR. A standard dilution series of the plasmid preparations was made in the range of 10^2–10^10 copies/μl. DNA concentrations were measured using the Nanodrop ND–1000 spectrophotometer (Nanodrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE, USA). Each 20 μl real-time PCR was performed in triplicate. Reaction mixes contained 4 μl plasmid or RT product, 1 μM Pax–2 F5/B5 primers (see online Primer Table), and Bio–Rad iQ SYBER Green Supermix 2× mix (Bio–Rad). Reactions were performed in a Bio–Rad real-time PCR detection system (iQ model iCycler, Bio–Rad). The reaction protocol consisted of the following cycles: 95 °C for 5 min, 40 cycles of 94 °C for 1 min, 58 °C for 2 min, and 72 °C for 3 min, followed by 72 °C for 5 min, 95 °C for 1 min, twice, and 140 cycles of 95 °C for 10 s, decreasing 0.5 °C after the second cycle for melt curve data collection.
Table 1 | PCR primer sequences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer set</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Product size (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ERz</td>
<td>5'-CGAGGAGGGAGATGTGGAAG-3'</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR</td>
<td>5'-CGAGGAGGGAGATGTGGAAG-3'</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pax-2</td>
<td>5'-AGGAGGAGGGAGATGTGGAAG-3'</td>
<td>276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wnt-4</td>
<td>5'-AGGAGGAGGGAGATGTGGAAG-3'</td>
<td>276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wnt-6</td>
<td>5'-AGGAGGAGGGAGATGTGGAAG-3'</td>
<td>276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RANKL</td>
<td>5'-AGGAGGAGGGAGATGTGGAAG-3'</td>
<td>276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>5'-AGGAGGAGGGAGATGTGGAAG-3'</td>
<td>276</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PCR amplification conditions: ERz: 94°C for 5 min, 35 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 58°C for 45 s, and 72°C for 30 s, followed by an extension step of 72°C for 7 min. PR: 94°C for 1 min, 55°C for 2 min, 72°C for 2 min for 29 cycles, then 72°C for 5 min. PR and ERz: 94°C for 5 min, 35 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 65°C for 35 s, and 72°C for 1 min for 10 cycles, then 94°C for 35 s, 60°C for 35 s, 72°C for 1 min for 5 cycles, 94°C for 35 s, 55°C for 35 s, 72°C for 1 min for 5 cycles, 94°C for 35 s, 50°C for 35 s, 72°C for 1 min for 5 cycles, 94°C for 35 s, 45°C for 35 s, 72°C for 1 min for 5 cycles, followed by 72°C for 7 min. Primers: (Hovey et al. 2001). Wnt-4 and Wnt-6, a standard touchdown protocol was used (Don et al. 1991). Primers: Cathrin Brisken (personal communication). RANKL: 94°C for 35 s, 65°C for 35 s, 72°C for 1 min for 10 cycles, then 94°C for 35 s, 60°C for 35 s, 72°C for 1 min for 5 cycles, 94°C for 35 s, 55°C for 35 s, 72°C for 1 min for 5 cycles, 94°C for 35 s, 50°C for 35 s, 72°C for 1 min for 5 cycles, 94°C for 35 s, 45°C for 35 s, 72°C for 1 min for 5 cycles, followed by 72°C for 7 min. Primers: (Brisken et al. 2002). GAPDH: 94°C for 2 min, 25 cycles of 94°C for 35 s, 55°C for 35 s, and 72°C for 30 s, followed by an extension step of 72°C for 7 min. Primers: Invitrogen.
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Results

*Turnover of Pax-2, PR, and ERα protein during the estrous cycle*

To investigate the dynamics of Pax-2 protein during the estrous cycle, mature female mice that had undergone at least two complete estrous cycles were staged by vaginal histology. After killing early in each stage of the cycle, their mammary glands were surgically excised, extracts of nuclear and cytoplasmic were prepared, and the expression of Pax-2, PR, and ERα protein investigated by WIB. Pax-2 protein levels were elevated in diestrus I and II, decreased dramatically in proestrus, and then were restored during estrus (Fig. 1B,C). The simultaneous depletion of both nuclear and cytoplasmic isoforms indicated a net loss of protein, rather than a transfer of Pax-2 between these compartments, while the absence of generalized protein depletion indicated that the loss of Pax-2 protein was specific (Fig. 1H,I). Estrous cycle expression of ERα and PR isoforms was investigated to determine whether protein loss extended to other regulatory proteins essential to mammary gland secretory development. PR and ERα proteins were also depleted in proestrus and restored in estrus mirroring the flux of Pax-2 (Fig. 1D–G). With the possible exception of PR-A in metestrus, there was no evidence that transfer between the nuclear and cytoplasmic compartments accounted for Pax-2, PR, and ERα dynamics during the estrous cycle.

The fact that the turnover of Pax-2, PR, and ERα was initiated around proestrus suggested a possible connection to the estrogen surge in that stage. To investigate whether our staging of proestrus coincided with the surge, we predicted that PR transcription, which is driven by estrogen, should be elevated in proestrus. PR mRNA levels were analyzed by semi-quantitative RT-PCR and peak PR transcription was detected in proestrus confirming that our proestrus staging followed the estrogen surge (Fig. 1J). Real-time PCR was used to detect low-copy number Pax-2 transcripts and, as with PR, the highest levels were also detected during proestrus suggesting that the Pax-2 gene is sensitive to changing estrogen concentrations (Fig. 1K).

Estrogen stimulates turnover of Pax-2, PR, and ERα

As noted, the main endocrine feature in late diestrus II/early proestrus is the strong estrogen pulse. Therefore, it was of interest to investigate whether estrogen could account for the observed turnover of Pax-2, PR, and ERα proteins. To address this question requires testing the effects of an estrogen pulse in isolation, removed from endogenous ovarian steroids and the estrous cycle. Surgical removal of the ovaries (ovariectomy) leaves an 'estrous cycle null' animal that is the standard experimental model for studying the effects of ovarian hormones. In ovariectomized mice, depending on the individual transcription factor and whether it was in the
nucleus or cytoplasm, a single estrogen injection replicated all or some elements of natural loss and restoration seen during the estrous cycle. Focusing first on protein loss, estrogen caused a dramatic downregulation of nuclear Pax-2, PR, and ERα starting 1–2 h after treatment (Fig. 2A,C,E). Interestingly, Pax-2 protein, which was nearly absent in the mammary glands of untreated animals (time zero), was moderately induced 1 h after treatment and then depleted. This contrasted with nuclear PR and ERα proteins, which were relatively abundant in the absence of estrogen and underwent depletion only after hormone treatment. The loss of Pax-2 and PR in the cytoplasm was also dramatic, but occurred over a longer period; cytoplasmic Pax-2 reached a nadir at 12 h compared with 4 h in the nucleus. For PR, cytoplasmic and nuclear PR both reached a nadir at 2 h, but lower cytoplasmic levels persisted to 4 h with some recovery apparent by 8 h. Unlike the Pax-2 and PR, cytoplasmic ERα levels steadily declined beginning at 4 h until the end of the study at 24 h. Taken together, the absence of generalized protein loss, relatively even protein loading (Fig. 2G) and the observation that transfers between the nuclear and cytoplasmic compartments appear unlikely to account for the observed changes, supports a conclusion that Pax-2, PR, and ERα proteins were specifically targeted for depletion.

During the estrous cycle, replenishment of Pax-2, PR, and ERα protein apparently begins sometime in proestrus and extends into estrus (Fig. 1B–G). Since this probably takes place as estrogen levels rebound from their trough in early proestrus, it raised the question of whether the restoration of each protein depended on a second ‘dose’ of estrogen or whether a single estrogen pulse can stimulate restoration as well as loss. The results of the estrogen repletion/time-course study show that, in addition to degradation, a single dose of estrogen also stimulated restoration of Pax-2, PR, and ERα protein (Fig. 2A,C,E). As with degradation, the timing of restoration within the nuclear and cytoplasmic compartments differed for each protein. Nuclear Pax-2 levels increased at 8 h, cytoplasmic levels after 12 h. Levels of PR increased by 12 h in each compartment, while ERα transiently increased from 4 to 8 h only in the nucleus. The time-course of Pax-2 and PR protein expression was also studied in the uterus and found to undergo decay and replenishment after estrogen treatment, but with timing different from that seen with the mammary gland (data not shown).

**Estrogen-stimulated proteasome activity and Pax-2, PR, and ERα protein turnover**

Ligand-activated degradation of ERα, PR, and other steroid receptor proteins by the ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS) is well known and degradation times for mammary ERα and PR (Fig. 2: 18± h vs 2–4 h) approximated the times...
observed for UPS-dependent ERα and PR degradation in cell lines (Alarid et al. 1999, El Khissiin & Leclercq 1999, Lange et al. 2000, Nawaz & O’Malley 2004, Tsai et al. 2004). To investigate possible proteasome modulation of estrogen-stimulated turnover of mammary gland Pax-2, PR, and ERα, ovariectomized mice were treated with a specific inhibitor of 20S proteasome chymotryptic activity, PS-341, via tail-vein injection 1 h prior to an estrogen injection and animals sacrificed up to 24 h after estrogen treatment.

PS-341 strongly inhibited the turnover of nuclear Pax-2, PR, and ERα protein and further differentiated Pax-2 dynamics from that of PR or ERα (Fig. 2B,D,F). For Pax-2, but not the other proteins, after PS-341 treatment and prior to estrogen injection, a proteasome blockade caused significant build-up of Pax-2 (compare Fig. 2A and B, time = 0 h), demonstrating that proteasome-dependent Pax-2 turnover was highly active in the mammary glands of endocrine-ablated animals and, therefore, did not require estrogen activation. After estrogen treatment, PS-341 caused nuclear Pax-2 protein levels to rise and remain elevated for the 24-h duration of the experiment except for a dramatic decline at 8 h, (Fig. 2B). This decline coincided with a build-up of nuclear Pax-2 in the untreated animals (Fig. 2A), indicating that it could be due to decreased turnover. Pax-2 mRNA levels were at baseline at 8 h, demonstrating that this is in fact the case (Fig. 3A). Elevation of Pax-2 nuclear protein levels by decreased proteolysis at 8 h, contrasted dramatically with elevated Pax-2 protein at 12 h, which coincided with the transcriptional peak (Fig. 3A). At 12 h (and also at 1 h), new transcription apparently overcomes Pax-2 proteolysis. Nuclear ERα degradation was strongly inhibited by PS-341 from 1 to 4 h after estrogen treatment, while inhibition of nuclear PR turnover was delayed until 2 h and then extended for the duration of the study. The timing of PS-341 effects on the turnover of cytoplasmic Pax-2 and PR differed markedly from nuclear turnover and reflected the generally later cytoplasmic turnover of the proteins (Fig. 2B,D). For ERα, effects on cytoplasmic turnover coincided for the most part with effects on nuclear turnover (Fig. 2F).

**Estrogen-regulated Pax-2, PR, and ERα transcription**

The targeted degradation of Pax-2, PR, and ERα proteins and inhibition by PS-341 are strong evidence for proteasome action. A third signature of proteasome action is when protein loss occurs against a background of relatively stable levels of cognate transcript. To investigate Pax-2, PR, and ERα protein dynamics with respect to transcription, total RNA was extracted from mammary glands from ovariectomized
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**Figure 3** Time-course of estrogen-dependent changes in Pax-2, PR, and ERα mRNA. (A) Pax-2 mRNA. Real-time PCR. Relative expression was calculated by normalizing the data points to a ‘no treatment’ value (time, 0 h) of 1.0. Error bars represent s.o. * indicates that the 12-h time point was significantly different from all other time points except the 1-h time. $P < 0.05$ Student’s t-test; **, 1-h time point was significantly different from 12 h at $P < 0.01$. Three animals were used per time point, except for five at 12 h. (B) Progesterone receptor, standard RT-PCR. (C) Estrogen receptor. (D) GAPDH, mRNA loading. Levels of GAPDH were stable during the treatment period indicating that the estrogen effects were gene-specific.
Levels of Pax-2, PR, and ERα transcript did not remain stable after estrogen treatment. Pax-2 transcript concentration was elevated 1 h after estrogen treatment (Fig. 3A), echoed by increases in Pax-2 protein in both compartments at that time point (Fig. 2A). Transcript and protein levels then declined between 2 and 8 h indicating that lower protein levels reflected transcriptional as well as proteosomal regulation. By contrast, the sharp increase in Pax-2 nuclear protein at 8 h did not reflect enhanced transcription, but rather coincided with decreased proteasome action (see Fig. 2B, 8 h). This was a striking counterpoint to an approximately fourfold increase in Pax-2 transcripts and elevated Pax-2 protein at 12 h that followed the nadir in Pax-2 cytoplasmic protein (Fig. 2B, 12 h). Unlike Pax-2, PR transcript levels were stable up to 2 h, while cognate protein was lost in both compartments at the latter time suggesting pure proteasome action (Fig. 3B). Increased transcript levels at 8 h followed a nadir in PR protein between 4 and 8 h. For ERα, declining transcript levels after 4 h mirrored similar dynamics for cognate proteins also beginning at 8 h. These results indicate that for Pax-2 and PR, observed protein levels reflect a complex balance between proteolysis and transcription. Also, for each gene, the greatest increase in transcription was delayed several hours after the estrogen pulse and followed a nadir in cognate protein in the cytoplasm.

Proteasome chymotrypsin activity during the estrous cycle

Collectively, our findings are consistent with proteasome mediation of estrogen-stimulated Pax-2, PR, and ERα protein loss and suggest that this mechanism could account for the delays in the expression of each protein that followed the estrogen surge in proestrus. To evaluate proteasome activity during the estrous cycle, the primary (chymotryptic) peptidase activity of the proteasome was assayed in mammary tissue taken from each stage in the estrous cycle (Fig. 4). Degradation of the proteasome-specific, fluorogenic chymotrypsin substrate, LLVY-AMC, was elevated by about 40% (significance: *, $P<0.01$; **, $P<0.001$; Student’s t-test) during proestrus compared with the other stages. A similar pattern and increase was seen with uterine tissue (not shown). Therefore, the highest proteasome chymotryptic activity correlated with loss of Pax-2, PR, and ERα protein in proestrus, indicating that the UPS cascade was present and activated, and could thus participate in degradation of the three proteins.

Turnover of Pax-2 and PR is correlated with expression of genes critical to mammary secretory development

Pax-2, PR, and ERα are proteins that are essential for mammary secretory development. Therefore, we hypothesized that the delays caused by their turnover might influence functional development. To investigate this possibility, the estrous cycle patterns of expression of two molecular markers of secretory development, Wnt-4 and RANKL, were compared with that of their putative transcriptional regulators, Pax-2 and PR.

Estrous cycle expression of Wnt-4 and RANKL mRNA was analyzed by standard RT-PCR on total RNA extracted from staged mice. Wnt-4 transcript levels were depressed in proestrus and elevated in estrus, mirroring the pattern of Pax-2, PR, and ERα protein (compare Figs 5A and 1). The related mammotropin growth factor, Wnt-6 is, by contrast, expressed in the mammary gland in late pregnancy and, as expected, its expression was not correlated with the dynamics of either Pax-2 or the steroid receptors (Fig. 5B) (Weber-Hall et al. 1994). The expression of RANKL was depressed in proestrus and then elevated in estrus closely following the restoration of PR protein and the coordinate rise in its cognate ligand (Fig. 5C). The renewed expression of Wnt-4 and RANKL in estrus was consistent with the expected commencement of secretory development in that stage and suggested a functional relationship between Pax-2, PR, or ERα turnover and the timing of estrous cycle secretory development.

Figure 4

Proteasome chymotryptic activity at different stages of the estrous cycle. Enzyme activity was assayed using the LLVY-AMC fluorogenic substrate in multiple lysates prepared from two independent sets of estrus-staged animals and normalized to a value of 1.0 for diestrus 1. Non-proteasome-specific chymotrypsin activity was determined by adding PS-341 to a reaction; proteasome-specific activity was then determined by subtracting nonspecific activity (gray insets) from total activity. Statistical significance for proteasome-specific activity (black) was significantly greater in proestrus compared with either diestrus stage (*, $P<0.01$) or in estrus and metestrus (**, $P<0.001$).

Pax-2 regulates the timing of estrogen-induced Wnt-4 expression

The coincident loss and recovery of Pax-2 and Wnt-4 from diestrus II to estrus suggested that the timing of Pax-2 and Wnt-4 expression might be related through the estrogen pulse and Pax-2 proteolysis. To investigate this question, the
estrogen supplemented, ovariectomized mouse model was again employed, this time to follow the time-course of Wnt-4 mRNA expression with respect to Pax-2 protein turnover. After estrogen treatment, there was an 18-h delay before steady-state Wnt-4 transcript levels dramatically increased (Fig. 6A). The same 18-h delay was observed in a second mouse strain indicating that it is a conserved property of estrogen-induced Wnt-4 expression in the mouse mammary gland (Fig. 6B). This delay coincided with the time taken for Pax-2 to be lost from the cytoplasm (Fig. 2A). In contrast to estrogen, the induction of Wnt-4 by progesterone occurred over a much shorter period, between 1 and 8 h post-treatment depending on mouse strain (Fig. 6D,E).

The coincident restoration of cytoplasmic Pax-2 protein and induction of Wnt-4 mRNA by estrogen at 18 h was consistent with a Pax-2/Wnt-4 regulatory relationship. To directly test, this hypothesis required investigating Wnt-4 expression in the absence of Pax-2, in Pax-2-null mammary tissue. Therefore, we employed a transplant system in which Pax-2 knockout mammary tissue was surgically implanted into mammary fat pads that had been previously cleared of host epithelium. Earlier studies showed that these Pax-2 null transplants develop a normal ductal system, but fail to form minor branches (Silberstein et al. 2002). For controls, syngeneic wild-type mammary tissue was transplanted into the opposite (contralateral) gland, so the mutant and wild-type tissues grew within the same wild-type connective tissue in the same animal. Therefore, mutant and control tissues were subject to identical endocrine and physiological conditions.

When mice carrying mutant and wild-type mammary glands were ovariectomized and then treated with estrogen, the timing of Wnt-4 expression in the Pax-2 mutant tissue was dramatically affected. While Wnt-4 induction in the control tissue exhibited the 18-h delay, Wnt-4 mRNA expression in mutant tissue occurred much more rapidly, 1 h after injection, and peaked 10 h early, at 8 h (Fig. 6C). Importantly, the loss of Pax-2 only affected the timing of Wnt-4 expression and not steady-state transcript levels, which were equivalent in the knockout and wild-type tissues (Fig. 6B, C; compare signal strength at 18 h vs 8 h). This effect was specific for Wnt-4 insofar as the expression of numerous other genes associated with mammary development later in pregnancy, including Wnt-6, the prolactin receptor, IGF-2, IGF-1 receptor, and RANKL were unaffected by the Pax-2 mutation (data not shown). In contrast to estrogen,
progesterone treatment had little or no effect on either the timing or level of Wnt-4 expression in Pax-2 knockout mammary glands (Fig. 6E, F). The studies of Wnt-4 expression in wild-type and Pax-2 null mammary tissues lead us to conclude that Pax-2 can specifically delay expression of estrogen-induced Wnt-4. Furthermore, the duration of the Wnt-4 delay is correlated with the time necessary to degrade pre-existing cytoplasmic, not nuclear Pax-2 (compare Fig. 2A, timing of Pax-2 protein degradation with Fig. 6A, B, Wnt-4 expression). While Pax-2 clearly affects the timing of Wnt-4 expression, early Wnt-4 expression at 2 h in wild-type mice (Fig. 6A, B) indicates that Pax-2 may not be the sole determinant of timing.

**Pax-2 gene expression is estrogen-responsive**

ERα and PR are the archetypal estrogen-responsive genes; by monitoring the changing concentrations of ovarian steroids, they regulate constellations of genes in a variety of cells and tissues during the estrous cycle. By contrast, transcription factors dedicated to timing the estrogen response of a single gene during the estrous cycle have not been described. If the Pax-2 gene encodes such a protein, then it should be responsive to estrogen concentration. The observation that peak Pax-2 mRNA levels during the estrous cycle occurred in proestrus suggests this is, in fact, the case (Fig. 1K). To directly test the sensitivity of the Pax-2 gene to estrogen, ovarioectomized mice were injected with different concentrations of the hormone and Pax-2 mRNA levels were analyzed by quantitative RT-PCR 8 h later. The PR gene is responsive to estrogen concentration and was included as a control. For Pax-2 the lowest doses of estrogen were stimulatory, with higher doses being slightly inhibitory (Fig. 7A). PR expression was also induced, but only by the higher doses of estrogen (Fig. 7B). The twofold increases in Pax-2 and PR expression were of roughly the same magnitude as those seen under natural conditions in the estrous cycle (Fig. 1).

The sensitivity of a gene to different estrogen concentrations is conferred by multiple, dispersed ERE (Kraus et al. 1994, Sathy et al. 1997). To investigate further the potential of Pax-2 to respond directly to estrogen, the murine Pax-2 gene was analyzed for these and related motifs. A large number of estrogen-responsive motifs (328), including perfect and half EREs, were found primarily within introns throughout the gene along with non-ERE, SP-1, and AP-1 motifs (96 and 22, respectively) that can interact with half EREs to activate gene expression in the presence of liganded ERα. Pax-2 had half as many progesterone responsive elements as EREs (160) (Table 2).

The possibility that estrogen concentration-dependent expression of Pax-2 has functional consequences with respect to Wnt-4 was investigated by studying Wnt-4 mRNA expression relative to the expression of Pax-2 protein and estrogen concentration. The concentrations of estrogen that stimulated the strongest induction of Pax-2 transcript and protein (1 ng) also stimulated maximal induction of Wnt-4 transcripts (Fig. 7C). Levels of GAPDH varied somewhat, but could not account for the differences in Wnt-4 expression. PR mRNA expression was not correlated with either Wnt-4 or Pax-2 expression.

**Discussion**

During the estrous cycle, periodic surges of estrogen and progesterone stimulate rudimentary mammary gland secretory development. This begins during estrus and is timed to coincide with ovulation and possible fertilization thereby anticipating pregnancy. The dynamics of the proteins that are essential for secretory development, ERα, PR, and Pax-2, have not previously been investigated in the context of the estrous cycle. Unexpectedly, these proteins were subjected to turnover in proestrus when relatively high levels in diestrus declined dramatically and were subsequently restored in estrus (Fig. 1). Loss of ERα in primate (Rhesus monkey) mammary glands in the late follicular phase of the estrous cycle (comparable to diestrus II/proestrus in mice) has been described and suggests that turnover of mammary ERα in proestrus also occurs in higher mammals (Cheng et al. 2005).

The observation that ERα was depleted around the estrogen surge in late diestrus suggested that estrogen might trigger downregulation of its receptor in the mammary gland as it does in nonmammary ovarian target tissues (Horigome et al. 1988). Estrogen did in fact stimulate turnover of ERα, PR, and Pax-2 protein when it was injected into an estrogen-depleted (ovarioectomized) mouse (Fig. 2). While it is not possible to relate the time-course data directly to estrous cycle timing (no reproducible ‘time zero’ exists on which to base an estrous cycle time-course study), this study does demonstrate that estrogen, acting alone, can stimulate the depletion as well as renewal of each protein. An artificial estrogen surge therefore broadly mimicked its natural counterpart indicating that the estrogen pulse in proestrus is the most likely candidate to activate turnover of the three transcription factors during the estrous cycle.

The most striking observations in this study were, by far, the time-delays in Pax-2, PR, and ERα expression in proestrus and after an estrogen treatment in the ovarioectomized mouse. In eukaryotic cells, time-delayed gene expression is central to the function of cyclic molecular systems such as the circadian and somite-segmentation clocks as well as mitosis (den Elzen & Pines, 2001, Hirata et al. 2002, McGowen, 2003, Pourquie & Goldbeter, 2003, Van Gelder et al. 2003). Reduced to essentials, these time-delays depend on regulated protein stability, typically by phosphorylation and ubiquitination, and all share molecular mechanisms that include: (1) proteasome-dependent proteolysis, (2) proteins dedicated to regulating timing, and (3) regulation of gene expression by negative feedback (Monk, 2003, Van Gelder et al. 2003, Eide et al. 2005). In addition, recent evidence from circadian clock studies have demonstrated that the molecular mechanisms governing time-delays lasting several hours or more (long-duration delays) reside in the cytoplasm, not the...
Figure 7  Estrogen dose-responsiveness of Pax-2, PR, and Wnt-4 expression. (A) Pax-2 mRNA. Error bars represent s.d. and response to the 1 ng estrogen response (*) was significantly greater than the response to 10 ng at P<0.05, Student's t-test. (B) PR mRNA. Response to the 1 μg estrogen response (*) was significantly greater than the 1 ng response at P<0.05, Student's t-test. For (A) and (B), ovariectomized animals were treated with the noted doses of estrogen by subcutaneous injection and killed after 8 h to avoid, in the case of Pax-2, possible later masking of estrogen stimulation by the Pax-2 mRNA peak at 12 h. Two sets of animals were treated and three reverse transcription reactions analyzed for each dose. Relative expression levels were calculated by normalizing values from hormone treated animals to the value from untreated, ovariectomized mice. (C) Pax-2 protein vs Wnt-4 mRNA. Ovariectomized animals were treated with the noted estrogen doses for 14 h to allow for protein loss, followed by expression after the increase in Pax-2 transcript level at 12 h. A set of three animals per dose was used. Results are representative of two WIB experiments and, for mRNA, two reverse transcription reactions. (1) Pax-2 WIB, nuclear protein. RT-PCR; (2) Wnt-4; (3) PR; (4) GAPDH, loading control.
Pax-2 was analyzed for ERE. SP-1 and AP-1 motifs were included in this analysis because proteins recognizing these sites can bind liganded estrogen receptors and confer estrogen responsiveness to a gene (Hall et al. 2001, Petz et al. 2002). No EREs were found in the Pax-2 5′UTR; however, genes with GC-rich promoters, such as Pax-2, can be activated by estrogen in the absence of EREs by an estrogen/ER protein complex binding to a ERE half-sites (Stayner et al. 1998). Such genes typically have one or more SP-1 motifs in their 5′UTR; the Pax-2 5′UTR contained six. Activation can also occur via estrogen/ERE-Fos-Jun complexes that bind to AP-1 sites, 24 of which were found primarily in downstream, intronic sites. Intronic sites were also rich in other relevant regulatory motifs: 12 full EREs with perfect or near-perfect palindromes and 332 half motifs were discovered, all but nine of the latter within introns. One hundred and eight SP-1 motifs were found, of which only five were in exons and 18 were in the 3′UTR. One intronic progesterone receptor responsive element was found along with 166 half-sites, most intronic.

Table 2 Estrogen and progesterone-relevant regulatory motifs in the Pax-2 gene

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Size (bp)</th>
<th>ERE</th>
<th>SP-1</th>
<th>AP-1</th>
<th>PRE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>Half</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>Half</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5′ UTR</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exon</td>
<td>1221</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intron</td>
<td>77,373</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3′ UTR</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pax-2 was proteasome-dependent. The delays in expression caused by the turnover of each protein varied indicating that rates of proteasomal degradation were subject to independent regulation. Supporting a role for UPS action, a significant increase in proteasome chymotryptic activity was detected during proestrus coinciding with increased turnover of the three transcription factors in that stage. Taken together, these results indicate that estrogen-stimulated protein degradation via the UPS is the most likely explanation for the depletion of mammary gland ERα, PR, and Pax-2 during proestrus. These results are consistent with time-delay mechanisms in circadian systems and lead us to conclude that the UPS is an important element of a time-delay system in the mammary gland.

Negative transcription/translation feedback loops, in which a gene product directly or indirectly inhibits its own expression, are the hallmark of molecular time-delay systems (Van Gelder et al. 2003). The loss and restoration of each of the three transcription factors nominally fit a pattern of self-inhibition as the degradation of pre-existing protein preceded its restoration (Fig. 2). Supporting the feedback inhibition hypothesis, in the estrogen time-course study peak transcription of Pax-2 and PR, was correlated with maximum loss of cognate protein. The likelihood that estrogen naturally stimulates the same phenomenon during the estrous cycle is indicated by the fact that in proestrus, peak Pax-2 and PR transcription coincided with the lowest levels of cognate protein. Regarding molecular mechanisms of feedback inhibition, the Pax-2 promoter has no consensus Pax-2 binding sequences so inhibition is probably not due to direct binding of Pax-2 to its own promoter (Picker et al. 2002). Alternatively, feedback regulation could occur via a transcription factor controlled by Pax-2, specifically WT1, the Wilms tumor suppressor gene. WT1 is co-expressed with Pax-2 in mammary gland stem cells and Pax-2 transactivates the WT1 promoter, whereas WT1 represses Pax-2 expression (Ryan et al. 1995, Dehbi et al. 1996, Stayner et al. 1998, Silberstein et al. 2002). Consistent with an estrous cycle Pax-2/WT1 interaction, changes in the expression of WT1 isoforms were detected during proestrus (data not shown).

The mechanism(s) that trigger delayed ERα, PR, and Pax-2 expression appear to be separate from those that govern the delay duration. For example, the onset of Pax-2, PR, and ERα proteolysis in the nucleus (and possibly the cytoplasm) was synchronous or nearly so as the loss of all three proteins in the nucleus was nearly complete by 2 h. This relatively rapid loss was consistent with UPS action and the common understanding that a primary UPS function is the sharp, negative regulation of transcription factor activity (Pickart 2004). In striking contrast to rapid nuclear decay, loss of Pax-2 and ERα in the cytoplasm took 10 h or more to reach a nadir. Focusing on Pax-2, this resulted in a long-duration delay that was dominant in that it, and not nuclear decay, determined the timing of apparent negative self-regulation of Pax-2 as well as the duration of the Wnt-4 transcriptional delay (Figs 2, 3, and 6). This result indicates that cytoplasmic pathways govern the timing of the Pax-2 time-delay feedback
mechanism. Furthermore, it indicates that adjustments to the timing of expression of genes such as Wnt-4 originate in the cytoplasm as well. The molecular basis for long-duration delays in circadian clocks was a mystery until very recently when a cytoplasm-based mechanism was shown to depend on the time constant of dissociation of PER and TIM heterodimers prior to their entry into the nucleus (Dunlap 2006, Meyer et al. 2006). The cytoplasmic locus of the long-duration Pax-2/Wnt-4 timing system therefore supports our hypothesis that molecular mechanisms found in classic circadian time-delay systems also operate in the mammary gland in response to the estrous cycle estrogen pulse.

At the cellular level, all known biological time-delays involve proteins, such as PER and TIM in circadian clocks, which serve dedicated timing functions (Van Gelder et al. 2003). The discovery that Pax-2 ablation eliminated the 18-h delay in estrogen-induced Wnt-4 expression is evidence that under the special conditions of pulsed estrogen, delay is a Pax-2 function and retardation of Wnt-4 expression is a Pax-2 phenotype in the mammary gland. There is evidence that Pax-2 normally delays Wnt-4 expression in proestrus since, if it did not, the estrogen surge should have rapidly induced the growth factor causing peak expression in proestrus. In fact, Wnt-4 peaked not in proestrus but during estrus, consistent with an expression delay of roughly 18 h (Fig. 5). As a putative component of an estrogen-driven timing system, Pax-2 was predicted to be responsive to changing estrogen concentrations. This was initially indicated by the peak in Pax-2 mRNA in proestrus mirroring estrogen-induced PR mRNA; dose–response experiments directly confirmed Pax-2 estrogen responsiveness (Figs 1, 7). Importantly, the latter studies supported a regulatory link with Wnt-4 expression as concentrations of estrogen that maximally induced Pax-2 protein after a delay also maximally elevated steady-state Wnt-4 mRNA. It has been suggested that dispersed ERE motifs in the PR gene enable fine-tuned regulation of PR expression by titering estrogen concentration and converting this information into timing signals during the estrous cycle (Kraus et al. 1994). A search for ERs in the Pax-2 gene revealed multiple dispersed motifs that would enable Pax-2 to directly monitor estrogen flux by detecting changing concentrations of liganded ERα. In light of its Wnt-4 delaying function and estrogen responsiveness, we conclude that Pax-2 is a strong candidate for an estrous cycle-specific delay or timing gene, a function not previously imagined for any gene in an ovarian target tissue.

Concerning the biological relevance of delays, the nurturing of newborns is critical to species survival; molecular adaptations that anticipated lactation by optimizing mammary secretory development during the estrous cycle would therefore not be surprising. Cellular responses to estrogen are limited by ERα concentration and the strongest estrogen responses are therefore expected when new receptor protein appears in synchrony with elevated estrogen (Webb et al. 1992). A mammary gland timing system that both induced new ERα and delayed its expression until estrus (at 24 h), could optimize estrogen action by coordinating the expression of new receptor with the estrogen rebound in that stage. Delayed expression of PR and Pax-2 was also consistent with the optimization hypothesis. RANKL, a factor necessary for development of milk secreting alveoli and an indicator of progesterone action, was expressed during estrus where it marked the coincident appearance of newly synthesized PR and surging progesterone. The optimizing effect of the Wnt-4 delay would be twofold, prevention of premature ductal development in proestrus and increased concentration of Wnt-4 in estrus, both of which should enhance secondary ductal branching providing a more extensive framework on which to develop alveoli. For each of the three transcription factors then, a biologically relevant reason for delaying their expression after the estrogen surge in proestrus can be offered: retarding expression should enhance secretory development.

Considering the molecular data and the arguments for biological relevance, we conclude that there is good evidence for an estrogen-activated, estrous cycle-responsive timing system in the mammary gland whose function is to interpret a relatively crude time signal, the estrogen pulse in proestrus, and locally temporalize gene expression to optimize preparations for pregnancy. Since feedback regulation of transcription is a central feature of most cellular functions, it has been posited that delay-regulated ultradian transcriptional cycles should be common (Monk 2003). Nevertheless, few have been observed, no doubt due to their transience and the conditions necessary for their detection, which include synchronous cell populations and measurements with high temporal resolution. In this light, we suggest that the estrogen pulse in proestrus synchronizes cells and gene expression and our staged sampling fortuitously captured `snapshots’ of the downstream delays. The relatively high temporal resolution of the time-course studies in a system that had been artificially synchronized by estrogen injection also captured the delays enabling more detailed analysis. To resolve the temporal boundaries of this timing system during the estrous cycle, will therefore require similar high-resolution analysis of the diestrus to estrus interval.

To summarize, three lines of evidence support an estrous cycle responsive timing system in the mammary gland: (i) delays: delayed expression of ERα, PR, and Pax-2 share molecular regulatory features with the classic circadian clock systems that include targeted proteolysis by proteasomes, feedback regulation, and a cytoplasmic locus for long-duration delays; (ii) timing genes: Pax-2 displayed a unique Wnt-4 timing function; (iii) functionality: the timing of Wnt-4 and RANKL expression correlated with delayed expression of Pax-2 and PR, and delayed ERα expression correlated with rising systemic estrogen, all of which could optimize secretory development. Our preliminary results showing time-delays and proteasome activity in uterine tissue suggest that timing systems with properties similar to the mammary system are likely to operate in all ovarian target tissues.
In conclusion, the analysis of ovarian target tissue responses to the estrous cycle has historically focused on genes governing developmental phenotypes during the different stages. Genes exhibiting temporal phenotypes, although well known in other time-delayed systems, have not previously been considered in this context. We now suggest that the complex repertoire of temporal responses in ovarian target tissues means that many genes with direct and indirect timing functions await discovery. This understanding offers a novel conceptual base from which to deepen our understanding of the molecular regulation of estrous cycle responsiveness in the mammary gland as well as in other ovarian target tissues.
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