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Abstract

The present study assesses the effects of two isoflavones, genistein and glycitein, and equol – a product of intestinal bacterial metabolism of dietary isoflavones, on vitamin D receptor (VDR) expression in an intestinal HT29 cell line. Genistein and glycitein significantly upregulated the VDR transcription and translation in HT29 cells. The effect of equol was less pronounced.

Treating HT29 cells transfected with a vector containing the VDR promoter next to a luciferase reporter with genistein or glycitein resulted in significant upregulation of VDR promoter activity, in a manner similar to that induced by 17β-estradiol (E2). Again, the effect of equol was less pronounced. VDR luciferase promoter activity was upregulated most by genistein, then by glycitein and least by equol when the VDR promoter was cotransfected with estrogen receptor β.

Introduction

We previously demonstrated that 17β-estradiol (E2) regulates the transcription and expression of vitamin D receptor (VDR) in vivo in rat colonocytes (Schwartz et al. 2000) and duodenocytes (Liel et al. 1999), and in vitro in HT29 human colon cancer cells and MCF7 breast cancer cells (Gilad et al. 2005), by binding to estrogen receptor β (ERβ) and upregulating signal transduction through extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) 1/2 and the activator protein 1 (AP-1) site in the VDR promoter (Gilad et al. 2005). Studzinski et al. (2005) have recently demonstrated that the activation of VDR, which leads to monocytic differentiation of human myeloblastic HL60 cells includes the MAP/ERK kinase (MEK)–ERK and JNK mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs) and their positive and negative regulators and a downstream effector C/EBPβ. The signaling pathway is primarily activated in response to various intracellular factors, which are able to initiate intracellular signaling, ultimately causing altered regulation of gene expression (Nethrapalli et al. 2005, Zivadinovic & Watson 2005, Alexaki et al. 2006). The transcription factors CREB, c-Myc, Ets, AP-1, NF-kB, and Sp-1 are targets of the Raf–MEK–ERK cascade (Chang & Chen 2005).

E2 has been shown to increase the number of VDRs in an osteoblast-like cell line ROS 17/2–8, an increase associated with enhanced responsiveness of the cells to 1,25(OH)2D3 (Liel et al. 1992). Increased VDR expression as a result of E2 treatment has also been noted in other tissues and cell types, such as the uterus (Levy et al. 1984), liver (Chatterjee et al. 2005), and human breast cancer cells (Escaléira et al. 1993, Gilad et al. 2005). However, E2 has also been associated with enhanced breast and uterus carcinogenesis. Interest in soy and soybean constituents is driven primarily by reported potential health benefits in a variety of areas, including the prevention of cancer (Cross et al. 2004) and osteoporosis, improvement of bone health (Branca 1999, 2003, Branca & Lorenzetti 2005), and a lowered risk of cardiovascular diseases (Cos et al. 2003, Dixon 2004, Valachovicova et al. 2004, Beck et al. 2005). Their estrogenic activities may play an important role in their health-enhancing properties.

Many plants produce compounds that possess estrogenic activity in animals and are thus called phytoestrogens. These compounds have some structural similarity to the mammalian
estrogen E2, and the presence of a phenolic ring is a prerequisite for binding to the ER (Mueller et al. 2004).

Phytoestrogens are present in food as both aglycones and glucosides. The main phytoestrogens which are currently recognized are soybean isoflavones, mainly genistein, daidzein, and glycitein (mainly contained in soybean germ), and their glucosides (genistin, daidzin, and glycitin). The aglycone genistein (4’-7,5,3,7-trihydroxyisoflavone) is one of the primary isoflavones in soybeans. Soybeans also contain small amounts of glycine (4′,7-dihydroxy-6-methoxyisoflavone) and equol [7-hydroxy-3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)], a phytoestrogen metabolite from soybeans of the colon microflora. The ER-binding studies have demonstrated that these phytoestrogens have higher binding affinity for ERβ compared with ERα (Mueller et al. 2004). Genistein was found to be >1000-fold more potent at triggering transcriptional activity with ERα (An et al. 2001), indicating that genistein has a preference for cells expressing mainly ERβ versus those expressing mainly ERα.

Estrogen induces rapid activation of MAPK in colon and breast cancer cells (Gilad et al. 2005). The question arises whether phytoestrogens can induce similar activation through phosphorylation. The rapid effects exerted by genistein on growth factor-related signaling pathways are also demonstrated in ERα-positive MCF7 and ER-negative SKB3 cells, suggesting a potential mechanism by which genistein might affect the expression of genes responsive to factors acting through response elements, such as AP-1 and Sp-1 (Maggiorini et al. 2004). The AP-1 transcription factor consists of dimers of the Fos (Fos, Fra1, Fra2, and FosB) and Jun (Jun, JunB, and JunD) families of basic leucine zipper domain proteins. AP-1 is involved in several biological processes, including differentiation, proliferation, apoptosis, and oncogenic transformation (Jochum et al. 2001). Sp-1 is a member of a large family of zinc finger proteins and was one of the first transcription factors identified in mammalian cells (Dyan & Tjian 1983). The Sp-1 gene is ubiquitously expressed in a wide variety of mammalian cells, suggesting that these cells require Sp-1 as a promoter of essential genes (Suske 1999). In fact, GC boxes, which are Sp-1 binding sites, are often located near a large number of genes involved in cell growth and development (Black et al. 2001).

The present study was designed to investigate the effects of genistein, glycine, and equol on signaling pathways in HT29 cells, and to determine whether these interactions affect VDR transcription and translation similarly, or differently from the previously observed effects of E2.

Materials and Methods

Materials

Genistein, glycine, and equol, and all other biochemicals were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. Tissue-culture media and antibiotic antimycotic solution supplements were obtained from Biological Industries Ltd (Kibbutz, Beit Haemek, Israel). The PhosphoPlus p42/44 MAPK antibody kit was from New England Biolabs, Inc. (Beverly, MA, USA). Monoclonal human anti-VDR antibodies, anti-pan-Jun antibody (detects c-Jun, Jun B, and Jun C), and anti-Sp-1 antibody were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. (Santa Cruz, CA, USA). Rabbit anti-ERβ polyclonal antibody was from Chemicon (Temecula, CA, USA), and ERα monoclonal antibody was from Cell Signaling Technology, Inc. (Beverly, MA, USA). The enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) kit was from Amersham Biosciences. The protein determination kit, based on bichinonic acid, was obtained from Pierce (Rockford, IL, USA). ICI182, 780, a specific ER inhibitor, was from Tocris (Ellisville, MO, USA). To study the involvement of the MAPK signal-transduction pathway, the cells were treated with E2 and phytoestrogens in the presence or absence of 10 μM of the MEK 1/2 phosphorylation inhibitor U0126 from Calbiochem–Novabiochem Corp. (San Diego, CA, USA).

Cell lines and culture conditions

HT29 colon cancer cells were cultured in Dulbecco Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% (w/v) fetal calf serum (FCS), 1% (w/v) l-glutamine, and 0.2% (w/v) antibiotic antimycotic solution–1 and were maintained under a humidified atmosphere and 5% CO2 at 37 °C. The cells were grown to 80–90% confluence and the medium was replaced every other day.

Treatment of cells

The cells were harvested, washed twice by centrifugation in PBS and then cultured in DMEM with phenol red (PR) or DMEM without PR supplemented with 10% charcoal-stripped FCS, 1% l-glutamine, and 0.2% antibiotic antimycotic solution–1. Cells were treated with E2 and phytoestrogens in the presence or absence of 10 μM of the MEK 1/2 phosphorylation inhibitor U0126 from Calbiochem–Novabiochem Corp. (San Diego, CA, USA).

Transient transfections

The 1.5 kb human VDR, promoter fragment, inserted into the basic vector pGL2 containing the luciferase reporter gene, was a generous gift from Prof. H F DeLuca (Department of Biochemistry, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, USA).
VDR promoter was cotransfected with the ERβ expression plasmid (a generous gift from Prof. M Muramatsu, Department of Biochemistry, Saitama Medical School, Japan), pCXN2-hERβ. Empty pCXN2 was cotransfected in control cells. The 3XAP-1-LUC and the 3XP-1-LUC plasmids were constructed by insertion of a double-stranded 40-mer deoxyoligonucleotide containing three copies of the AP-1 or Sp-1 consensus sequences in the upstream region of the SV40 promoter at the XhoI site of the pGL3 promoter (Promega Corp.).

In all the transient transfections, a vector expressing β galactosidase (β-gal) was always cotransfected in order to standardize the transfection assay. Plasmids were transfected using lipofectin 2000 (GIBCO/BRL, Paisley, UK). An unmodified pGL2 basic vector with no promoter activity was used as a control. The stimulation of Sp-1 or AP-1-LUC vectors and the VDR promoter was induced by treatment of transfected cells for 48 h with 10⁻⁵ M genistein, glycitein, or equol, or 10⁻¹⁰ M E2. Luciferase activity was assessed in each sample and standardized relative to β-gal activity. All the experiments were performed in triplicate.

**Protein determination**

Protein concentration in the different cell lysates was determined by a micro bicinchoninic acid-based protein assay using BSA as the standard protein.

**Western-blot analysis**

The cells were lysed, electrophoresed on a 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) polyacrylamide gel, transferred to a nylon membrane (Amersham Biosciences), blocked in 10⁻³ M Tris–base and 0.1 M sodium chloride containing 5% (w/v) dry non-fat milk, incubated with monoclonal human anti-VDR antibody, and subsequently incubated with a secondary antibody coupled to horseradish peroxidase. Proteins were visualized using an ECL kit (Amersham Biosciences). The signal intensities were analyzed by a BAS1000 Bio-Image Analyzer (Fujix, Tokyo, Japan) and the densities were quantified with the NIH computer program ImageJ 1.19.

To determine ERK1/2 phosphorylation, the cells were plated in six-well plates in DMEM-PR and gradually deprived of FCS as follows: cells were exposed for 2 days to 0-5% charcoal-stripped FCS-DMEM-PR, and then to media devoid of FCS for 48 h including different concentrations of genistein, glycitein, or equol. Western-blot was performed on cell lysates using a rabbit polyclonal phospho-p42/44 MAPK (Thr202/Tyr204) antibody.

**RT-PCR analyses**

RNA isolation was performed using Tri Reagent solution (MRC, Cincinnati, OH, USA). RT-PCR assay was performed using the Promega kit assay. The specific selected VDR primers were as follows: 5’-ATGCGCATCTGCATCGTCTC-3’ and 5’-GCACCCGACAGGTGCTCCTA-3’. The PCR protocol was 5 min at 94 °C, then 31 cycles (1 min, 94 °C; 1 min, 54 °C; 1 min, 72 °C), and finally 10 min at 72 °C.

**Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay**

The cells were treated as above with E2 and phytoestrogens for 48 h. ChIP assay was performed essentially as described by Yan et al. (2001). Cells were treated with 1% (v/v) formaldehyde, followed by the addition of 0.125 M glycine. The cells were pelleted and resuspended in 200 μl SDS lysis buffer (1% w/v SDS, 10 mM EDTA, and 50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.1) containing protease inhibitors, and cell nuclei were isolated using a Dounce homogenizer and pelleted. The nuclear fraction was then sonicated with a sonic dismembrator (Fisher, Pittsburgh, PA, USA) at 80% maximum power for six 20 s pulses on ice. Nuclear lysates were diluted tenfold in immunoprecipitation buffer (0.01% SDS, 1% w/v Triton X-100, 1.2 mM EDTA, 16.7 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.1, 167 mM NaCl, and protease inhibitors). Chromatin solutions were incubated overnight at 4 °C with 5 μg anti-pan-Jun antibody (a major component of the transcription factor AP-1) or 5 μg Sp-1 antibody, or control rabbit IgG antibody. The immune complexes were then mixed with 60 μl of 50% (w/v) protein A/G plus agarose (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.) saturated with salmon sperm dsDNA (Superarray, Frederick, MD, USA). The immune complexes were eluted by adding 250 μl 1% SDS in 0.1 M NaHCO₃ to the pelleted beads and then incubated at room temperature for 15 min. Then 20 μl of 5 M NaCl were added with 1 μg RNase (Sigma Chemical Co.). The complexes were incubated at 65 °C for 4 h. The DNA was recovered by ethanol precipitation and purified by using Qiagen spin columns (Qiagen). The eluted DNA was dissolved in 20 μl Tris–EDTA (TE) buffer and analyzed by PCR. VDR promoter primer sequences were: 5’-TGGTTGACGCAGCAGGAGGAG-3’ and 5’-AACCTGTTCGTCTCTGGTGGGCAG-3’. The reaction was subjected to an initial denaturation step for 5 min at 95 °C followed by 30 cycles of denaturation (0.5 min at 94 °C), annealing (0.5 min at 58 °C), and extension (0.5 min at 72 °C). Then the reaction was subjected to a final extension time of 5 min at 72 °C. PCR products were analyzed on a 2% agarose gel containing ethidium bromide.

**Intracellular free calcium**

Intracellular free calcium ions [Ca²⁺] were detected after treatment with E2 (10⁻¹⁰ M), or 10⁻⁵ M genistein, glycitein, or equol for 8 h by acetomethoxyl (AM) ester of calcium green-1 (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA) according to the protocol provided by the manufacturer using a FACStar flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, Mansfield, MA, USA); 10 000 events were acquired for every sample and analyzed using the CellQuest program (BD Biosciences). Each experimental treatment was performed in duplicate.
Statistical analyses

Data presented represent mean ± S.E.M. or S.D. Differences between the controls and the treatments were evaluated by Student’s t-test.

Results

Effect of E2, genistein, glycitein, or equol on intracellular calcium in HT29 cells

The effect of isoflavones on intracellular free calcium was evaluated as an initial indication for their activity. We expected a rise in free calcium following treatment with E2 and E2-related agonists, in a manner similar to that previously reported (Dopp et al. 1999). Indeed, Table 1 shows an increase in free calcium following 8 h treatment with the isoflavones genistein and glycitein, as well as with E2, but not with equol, while EGTA AM (ethylene-bis(oxyethylenenitrilo) tetraacetic acid tetrace-toxy methyl ester), a calcium chelator, scavenged the calcium-dependent fluorescent signal. These results indicate a direct effect on intracellular calcium mobilization by isoflavones.

Effect of E2, genistein, glycitein, or equol, or a combined treatment of each with vitamin D on proliferation of HT29 cells

When HT29 cells were treated with several concentrations of E2 (not shown), equol, or glycitein (at concentrations of $10^{-4}$ to $10^{-8}$ M), HT29 proliferation was not affected and remained the same as control cells (Fig. 1B and C). E2 at concentrations of $10^{-7}$ to $10^{-11}$ M did not exert any significant effect on HT29 proliferation after 3 or 6 days. However, when HT29 cells were exposed to increasing concentrations of genistein ($10^{-4}$–$10^{-6}$ M), a dose-dependent inhibition of proliferation was obtained.

Table 1 Intracellular free calcium following treatment with E2 and phytoestrogens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Calcium-green 1 fluorescence (mean ± S.D.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>276 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>254 ± 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>526 ± 51*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genistein</td>
<td>395 ± 48*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycitein</td>
<td>307 ± 45*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equol</td>
<td>298 ± 45*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGTA AM</td>
<td>125 ± 15*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cells were treated with E2 ($10^{-8}$ M), or genistein, glycitein, or equol ($10^{-5}$ M) for 8 h. Intracellular free calcium was detected using acetomethoxyl (AM) ester of calcium green-1 relative to C1 (control cells containing 0.01% DMSO) or C2 (control cells containing 0.0067% ethanol (EtOH)). For specificity of intracellular free calcium determinations we used EGTA AM ($8 \times 10^{-6}$ M). *P<0.01, †P<0.05 compared with the respective controls, C1 for E2, C2 for phytoestrogens. S.D. = standard deviation.

Figure 1 Effect of phytoestrogens on HT29 cancer cell growth. HT29 cells were treated with different concentrations of genistein (A), glycitein (B), or equol (C) for 3 and 6 days. Medium was replaced every other day. Control cells were treated with the phytoestrogen vehicle (DMSO) at the maximum concentration used in the experiment, 0.01%. *P<0.05.

When HT29 cells were exposed to combined treatment of E2, genistein, or glycitein with vitamin D for 6 days, the effect of the combined treatments on proliferation was more significant than treating HT29 cells with vitamin D alone (Fig. 2). A similar trend was observed following 3 days of incubation, but results only reached statistical significance at 6 days of incubation (not shown). This was not the case when the cells were treated with a combination of equol and vitamin D, at either 3 (not shown) or 6 days of incubation, relative to vitamin D alone.
Effect of genistein, equol, or glycitein on VDR protein expression compared with E2

We examined the upregulation of VDR protein expression following the exposure of HT29 cells to the phytoestrogens genistein, glycitein, or equol for 6 days, as compared with E2’s effect, by western-blot analysis. Phytoestrogens exerted a milder upregulatory effect on VDR expression relative to the significant upregulation obtained following E2 treatment at much lower concentrations (Fig. 3A–D). Genistein was the most effective phytoestrogen in upregulating VDR expression, the effects being evident at concentrations of 10^{-6} M and becoming gradually more significant at 10^{-7} and 10^{-8} M (Fig. 3B). Glycitein also upregulated VDR expression, exerting a peak effect at a concentration of 10^{-6} M (Fig. 3C). Equol was only effective in upregulating VDR expression at its maximal concentration (10^{-5} M, Fig. 3D).

Effect of E2, genistein, glycitein or equol on VDR mRNA levels in HT29 colon cancer cells

When HT29 cells were treated for 6 days with 10^{-5} M genistein, glycitein, or equol VDR transcription was effectively upregulated (Fig. 4). The effect exerted by the phytoestrogens was comparable with that on mRNA expression following treatment with 10^{-8} M E2. Again, similar to E2, phytoestrogens seem to upregulate transcription of the VDR gene.

The role of ERs in VDR regulation by E2, genistein, glycitein, or equol

Combined treatment with E2, genistein, equol, or glycitein and the specific ER inhibitor ICI182, 780 for 6 days inhibited E2, genistein, and glycitein-mediated activation of VDR (Fig. 5). Equol was ineffective in this regard, and therefore the effect of ICI182, 780 was not measurable. This finding suggests that phytoestrogens regulate VDR in HT29 cells similar to E2-mediated VDR expression, i.e., via a process involving ER (Gilad et al. 2005).

Transfection assays

To demonstrate whether phytoestrogens have a direct effect on transcriptional activity of the VDR promoter, we performed transient transfection assays in HT29 cells with the luciferase reporter vector pGL2 containing the 1.5 kb region of VDR. The transfected cells were treated for 48 h with genistein (10^{-6} and 10^{-5} M), glycitein (10^{-6} and 10^{-5} M), or equol (10^{-6} and 10^{-5} M), and their effects were compared with that exerted by E2 (10^{-5} and 10^{-4} M). All of these treatments upregulated luciferase activity on their own, similar to E2 (Fig. 6A–D). Upregulation of VDR expression following treatment with E2 or genistein was most significant when ERβ was cotransfected with the VDR promoter in HT29 cells; with glycitein, the upregulatory effect was less pronounced and with equol, coexpression of VDR with ERβ did not add to the effect of the phytoestrogen alone.

Phosphorylation of ERK 1/2 by glycitein, genistein, and equol

We previously demonstrated upregulation of VDR by E2 through activation of the ERK1/2 signaling pathway (Gilad et al. 2005). The present study aimed to assess whether, similar to E2, genistein, equol, or glycitein can induce rapid cellular signaling effects. To this end, we measured ERK 1/2...
phosphorylation following treatment with these phytoestrogens. Genistein and glycitein activated ERK 1/2 phosphorylation within 5 min of exposure to a concentration of 10^{-5} M (Fig. 7B and C), similar to E2 (Fig. 7A); in contrast, equol only exerted ERK 1/2 activation following 10 min of exposure (Fig. 7D), while shorter exposure periods did not induce any phosphorylation activity.

The effect of phytoestrogens on the activity of Sp-1 or AP-1 transcription factors

The luciferase reporter expression vector 3×Sp-1-LUC was transfected into HT29 cells, which were then treated with different concentrations of E2, genistein, glycitein, or equol for 48 h. The cells were then analyzed for luciferase activity. We found similar and significant upregulation of Sp-1-dependent transcriptional activity induced by E2, genistein, and glycitein (Fig. 8A–C); upregulation was less striking for equol (Fig. 8D).

Similarly, the luciferase reporter expression vector 3×AP-1-LUC was transfected into HT29 cells, which were then treated with E2, genistein, glycitein, or equol. The cells were analyzed for luciferase activity, and we found upregulation of AP-1-dependent transcriptional activity only following exposure to E2 (10^{-8} and 10^{-10} M; Fig. 9A), but not to any of the phytoestrogens tested in the present study, i.e., genistein (Fig. 9B), glycitein (Fig. 9C), or equol (Fig. 9D) at 10^{-8} M.

The luciferase reporter expression vector 3×AP-1-LUC was transfected into HT29 cells, which were then treated with different concentrations of E2, genistein, glycitein, or equol for 48 h. The cells were then analyzed for luciferase activity. We found similar and significant upregulation of Sp-1-dependent transcriptional activity induced by E2, genistein, and glycitein (Fig. 8A–C); upregulation was less striking for equol (Fig. 8D).

Similarly, the luciferase reporter expression vector 3×AP-1-LUC was transfected into HT29 cells, which were then treated with E2, genistein, glycitein, or equol. The cells were analyzed for luciferase activity, and we found upregulation of AP-1-dependent transcriptional activity only following exposure to E2 (10^{-8} and 10^{-10} M; Fig. 9A), but not to any of the phytoestrogens tested in the present study, i.e., genistein (Fig. 9B), glycitein (Fig. 9C), or equol (Fig. 9D) at 10^{-8} M.

The luciferase reporter expression vector 3×AP-1-LUC was transfected into HT29 cells, which were then treated with different concentrations of E2, genistein, glycitein, or equol for 48 h. The cells were then analyzed for luciferase activity. We found similar and significant upregulation of Sp-1-dependent transcriptional activity induced by E2, genistein, and glycitein (Fig. 8A–C); upregulation was less striking for equol (Fig. 8D).

Similarly, the luciferase reporter expression vector 3×AP-1-LUC was transfected into HT29 cells, which were then treated with E2, genistein, glycitein, or equol. The cells were analyzed for luciferase activity, and we found upregulation of AP-1-dependent transcriptional activity only following exposure to E2 (10^{-8} and 10^{-10} M; Fig. 9A), but not to any of the phytoestrogens tested in the present study, i.e., genistein (Fig. 9B), glycitein (Fig. 9C), or equol (Fig. 9D) at 10^{-8} M.
and 10^{-5} M, concentrations which had proven effective at upregulating Sp-1 activity and ERK1/2 phosphorylation.

**UO126 inhibits E2- and phytoestrogen-mediated VDR expression**

In order to link MAPK activation with Sp-1 activation and VDR expression, we exposed wild-type HT29 cells or HT29 cells transfected with 3×Sp-1-LUC to E2 (10^{-8} M) and the phytoestrogens genistein, glycitein, or equol (10^{-5} M) concomitantly with 10 μM of the MEK1 and MEK2 inhibitor UO126. UO126 downregulated E2 and phytoestrogen-mediated upregulation of Sp-1-dependent transcriptional activity (Fig. 10A) and concomitantly inhibited dramatically VDR expression (Fig. 10B) indicating that MAPK signaling cascade is directly related to the control of VDR expression and Sp-1 activation by E2 and phytoestrogens.

**ChIP analysis of VDR promoter**

The regulatory region of the VDR promoter, covering the amino acid sequence from −120 to +10 (Fig. 11B), contains one AP-1 site and multiple (5) Sp-1 binding motifs. Luciferase assays testing the role of E2 and phytoestrogens in AP-1 and Sp-1 transcriptional activity revealed that Sp-1 is regulated, while AP-1 is not, following treatment with phytoestrogens, whereas both the transcription factors are upregulated following treatment with E2. The *in vivo* association of AP-1 and Sp-1 with the regions on the VDR promoter known to bind these transcription factors following the treatment with E2 was confirmed by ChIP assay. Chromatin fragments were treated briefly with formaldehyde to cross-link DNA-binding proteins to the chromatin of control HT29 cells or of those stimulated with E2 or phytoestrogens. The isolated chromatin was subjected to sonication followed by immunoprecipitation with c-pan-Jun

---

**Figure 5** Effect of ICI182, 780 on VDR protein expression. Cells were treated with 10^{-8} M E2, or 10^{-5} M genistein (GE), glycitein (GL), or equol (Eq) for 6 days in the presence or absence of the E2 receptor inhibitor ICI182, 780 (10^{-6} M). The blots were stripped and re-probed with β-actin, which was used as a loading control. One representative experiment of three identical ones is shown.

**Figure 6** Effect of ERβ expression on VDR promoter activity. HT29 cells were transfected with plasmids containing the 1.5 kb hVDR promoter fragment in a pGL2 basic vector in front of the luciferase reporter gene concomitant with pCXN2-hERβ, an ERβ expression plasmid (or the appropriate empty pCXN2 control vector) and then treated with 10^{-8} and 10^{-10} M E2 (A) and 10^{-6} or 10^{-5} M genistein (B), glycitein (C), or equol (D). Cells were analyzed for luciferase activity following 48 h of treatment (*P<0.05, **P<0.01).
or Sp-1 antibody, and the DNA from the immunoprecipitates was isolated. From this DNA, a 180 bp fragment of the VDR promoter containing the AP-1 and Sp-1 sites (−120 to +10, Fig. 11B) was amplified by PCR. Figure 11A shows that the level of pan-Jun cross-linked to the VDR-AP-1 site of the VDR promoter increased only in cells exposed to E2 relative to controls, whereas in cells exposed to phytoestrogens, no effect was observed. In addition, Fig. 11A shows that the level of Sp-1 cross-linked to the VDR-Sp-1 sequence of the VDR promoter was dose-dependently increased in cells treated with genistein, glycitein, and E2 relative to the control, while the effect of equol was less pronounced.

**Discussion**

The isoflavones genistein and glycitein, as well as E2, but not equol, affected intracellular free calcium concentrations, indicating a direct effect on intracellular calcium mobilization by isoflavones. We tested calcium mobilization since previous studies have indicated that estrogens or estrogenic-like substances trigger the release of Ca$^{2+}$ from intracellular stores. This finding suggests that the agonist activates a cell surface receptor rather than the conventional slowly acting, gene-stimulating nuclear ER (Morley et al. 1992, Dopp et al. 1999). This direct non-genomic effect may be one of the earliest signals of these molecules in intestinal cells. We aimed at assessing whether isoflavones, through additional non-genomic pathways, have the ability to upregulate VDR

---

**Figure 7** MAPK activation in HT29 cells. Cells were cultured in PR-free DMEM without FCS for 48 h and then treated for 5–20 min with $10^{-8}$ M E2 (A), $10^{-5}$ M genistein (B), $10^{-5}$ M glycitein (C), or $10^{-5}$ M equol (D). Control cells (C) were treated with 0.0067% EtOH (A) or 0.01% DMSO (B–D). MAPK was probed with anti-MAPK antibodies (anti-ERK1 and ERK2) to detect total MAPK band expression, or with anti-phospho-MAPK antibody to detect phosphorylated proteins.

**Figure 8** Effect of estrogen or phytoestrogen treatment on Sp-1 transcription factor. HT29 cells were transfected with plasmids containing the 3×Sp-1 fragment in a pGL2 basic vector in front of the luciferase reporter gene and then treated with different concentrations of E2 (A), genistein (B), glycitein (C), or equol (D) for 48 h. Cells were analyzed for luciferase activity (*$P<0.05$, **$P<0.01$).
Figure 9  Effect of estrogen or phytoestrogen treatment on AP-1 transcription factor. HT29 cells were transfected with plasmids containing the 3×AP-1 fragment in a pGL2 basic vector in front of the luciferase reporter gene and then treated with different concentrations of E2 (A), genistein (B), glycitein (C), or equol (D) for 48 h. Cells were analyzed for luciferase activity (*P<0.05, **P<0.01).

Figure 10  Effect of UO126 on Sp-1 activation and VDR expression. (A) HT29 cells were transfected with plasmids containing the 3×Sp-1 fragment in a pGL2 basic vector in front of the luciferase reporter gene and then treated with 10^{-5} M phytoestrogens, 10^{-8} M E2 in the presence or absence of 10^{-5} M UO126. Cells were analyzed for luciferase activity (*P<0.05, **P<0.01 compared with UO126 treatment to E2 and phytoestrogen treatment in the absence of UO126). (B) HT29 cells were treated with 10^{-5} M phytoestrogens, 10^{-8} M E2 in the presence or absence of 10^{-5} M UO126 for 6 days. Cell extracts were analyzed by western-blot using a VDR antibody. One representative experiment of two identical ones is shown. The blots were stripped and re-probed with β-actin, which was used as a loading control.
expression in HT29 colon cancer cells, similar to the recently reported effects of E2 (Gilad et al. 2005). We found that genistein, glycitein and, albeit to a much lesser extent, equol, upregulate VDR transcriptional and translational activity. However, the effects induced by E2 were more pronounced than those obtained following phytoestrogen treatment at similar or even higher concentrations. In general, the most significant effects were exerted by genistein, and the weakest by equol, genistein's effect being intermediate in this respect. The specific ER inhibitor ICI182, 780 blocked genistein- and glycitein-mediated VDR upregulation, similar to its inhibitory effect on E2 upregulation of VDR expression, suggesting that the phytoestrogens mediate VDR expression via a process involving ER. Since HT29 cells express only ERβ (Gilad et al. 2005), we conclude that phytoestrogens, similar to E2, upregulate VDR following binding to ERβ.

Glycitein (4',7-dihydroxy-6-methoxyisoflavone) accounts for 5–10% of the total isoflavones in soy food products. A study of the competitive binding abilities of glycitein and E2 to ER has indicated that glycitein has weaker estrogenic activity than genistein (Song et al. 1999). This data could explain the weaker effect exerted by glycitein on VDR expression relative to E2 and genistein.

Equol was the least effective phytoestrogenic factor in the upregulation of VDR in HT29 cells. The explanation for this may reside in the fact that we utilized a racemic equol preparation and not pure enantiomers. Recently, Muthyala et al. (2004) reported that in binding assays, S-equol has a higher binding affinity with a preference for ERβ over ERα (ERβ/ERα = 13-fold), which is comparable with that of genistein (ERβ/ERα = 16), than R-equol, which binds more weakly and with a preference for ERα over ERβ (ERβ/ERα = 0-29). Therefore, a racemic preparation will bind less avidly to ERβ, the main ER detected in HT29 cells (Gilad et al. 2005), and the active concentration able to bind to ERβ will be at most half, not accounting for the putative competition between the two enantiomers for binding to ERβ, or the steric disturbances involved in that competition.

Cotransfection of ERβ and VDR, promoter significantly increased genistein and glycitein's ability to upregulate VDR expression, suggesting that ERβ is involved in the control of VDR activity. The effect of equol was less impressive and again, this may be due to the use of a racemic preparation. These results confirm the importance of ERβ expression in the VDR regulation exerted by either E2 or phytoestrogens.

Next, we aimed at analyzing downstream non-genomic signaling pathways, such as stimulation of kinase cascades induced by phytoestrogens and E2 in the regulation of VDR. Many different types of signaling machinery culminate in ERK activation, and different phytoestrogens may have differing abilities to activate distinct membrane-initiated signal cascades that lead to a variety of cellular functions (Watson et al. 2005). We tested the ability of genistein, glycitein, and the phytoestrogen metabolite equol to mimic E2’s influence through activation of the ERK1/2 signal-transduction pathway (Gilad et al. 2005). We found that genistein and glycitein, similar to E2, exhibit temporal upregulation of ERK1/2 phosphorylation, while equol is less reactive in this regard. Genistein is an ERβ-selective agonist of transcription (Mueller et al. 2004) and has a greater affinity for ERβ than ERα. It seems that glycitein exerts similar effects. Equol was not effective in activating the ERK1/2 signal-transduction pathway. Again, the reason may be the use of a racemic preparation.

Since MAPK pathways (ERK, JNK, and p38) can activate AP-1 and Sp-1 sites and the E2 receptors ERα and ERβ modulate transcription of AP-1- and Sp-1-containing promoters, (Schultz et al. 2005), and since the VDR promoter contains Sp-1 and AP-1 consensus sites, we analyzed the role of these transcription factors in phytoestrogen regulation of VDR. We demonstrated a clear functional role for Sp-1 binding sites in phytoestrogen regulation of the human VDR promoter. AP-1 binding sites within the VDR promoter are involved in mediating the effects of additional agents, such as forskolin, dexamethasone and certain growth factors (Byrne et al. 2000).

Our previous studies demonstrated that in HT29 cells, E2 upregulates VDR expression following binding to ERβ, activation of signal-transduction pathways and expression of VDR by a mechanism involving the AP-1 site (Gilad et al. 2005). In the present study, we measured AP-1- and Sp-1-driven luciferase activity and compared the ability of phytoestrogens to upregulate AP-1 and Sp-1 activity relative to that of E2. We observed a direct effect of Sp-1 transactivation and showed that activation of Sp-1 by E2 is similar to that exerted by genistein and glycitein, and to a lesser extent, equol. However, the phytoestrogens genistein, glycitein, and equol did not have E2’s ability to upregulate VDR through the AP-1 transcription sites.
These observations suggest that additional transcription binding sites present in this promoter, like AP-1, are required for regulation by E2 but not by phytoestrogens. Differential activation of AP-1 and Sp-1 by E2 and the phytoestrogens genistein, glycitein, and equol was further validated by ChIP assays. An increase in Sp-1 binding to the respective consensus sites in the VDR promoter was obtained following treatment with E2 or the phytoestrogens genistein, glycitein and, to a lesser extent, equol. These results were in full agreement with the luciferase assays, in which we demonstrated similar activation of Sp-1 by E2 and the phytoestrogens. In contrast, gain in Jun binding was observed only in chromatin fractions immunoprecipitated with anti-pan-Jun antibody (which detects c-Jun, Jun B, and Jun C) from HT29 cells treated with E2 but not with the phytoestrogens, again supporting the results from the luciferase assays. The molecular basis and significance of the difference between E2 and phytoestrogens remain to be determined. We assume that the more pronounced effect exerted by E2 as compared with the phytoestrogens may reside in this difference in molecular targeting.

Our results indicate that genistein and glycitein, but not equol, can activate signal transduction similar to E2 and upregulate VDR transcription by regulating the Sp-1 transcription factor. Similar to our studies, Wietzke and collaborators (Wietzke & Welsh 2003, Wietzke et al. 2005), using reporter gene assays with truncated and mutated versions of GC-rich regions, identified three Sp-1 consensus binding sites involved in the regulation of VDR promoter. Of particular interest, mutations in discrete Sp-1 binding sites abolished the responsiveness of this promoter to 1,25(OH)2D3, estrogen and the phytoestrogen, resveratrol.

Luciferase reporter gene assays with the Sp-1-Luc vector revealed that Sp-1 is inhibited by the specific MAPK kinase inhibitor UO126, just as occurs with VDR. These experiments allow us to conclude that upstream and downstream events in the signaling cascade are all interrelated and all participate in the control of VDR expression by E2 as well as by phytoestrogens.

Vitamin D synthesizing (CYP27B1) and catabolic (CYP24) hydroxylases are synthesized also by colonocytes. To maintain colonic accumulation of 1,25(OH)2D3, its catabolism needs to be restricted. Cross et al. (2005) suggest that phytoestrogens in soy are responsible for decreased CYP24 expression. These assumptions were supported by the fact that 17β-estradiol can elevate CYP27B1 expression in rectal tissue of postmenopausal women. Our reported effect can be considered in addition to the effect reported by Cross et al. (2005) and emphasize the importance of phytoestrogens in relation to 1,25(OH)2D3 bioavailability.

Cumulatively, our results suggest that the enhanced consumption of soy products may correlate to a decreased incidence of colon tumorigenesis, which may be a direct consequence of enhanced expression of VDR and consequently enhanced bioavailability of 1,25(OH)2D3 impinging on vitamin D’s anti-proliferative and pro-differentiation action.
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