Prolactin delays hair regrowth in mice
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Abstract

Mammalian hair growth is cyclic, with hair-producing follicles alternating between active (anagen) and quiescent (telogen) phases. The timing of hair cycles is advanced in prolactin receptor (PRLR) knockout mice, suggesting that prolactin has a role in regulating follicle cycling. In this study, the relationship between profiles of circulating prolactin and the first post-natal hair growth cycle was examined in female Balb/c mice. Prolactin was found to increase at 3 weeks of age, prior to the onset of anagen 1 week later. Expression of PRLR mRNA in skin increased fourfold during early anagen. This was followed by upregulation of prolactin mRNA, also expressed in the skin. Pharmacological suppression of pituitary prolactin advanced dorsal hair growth by 3-5 days. Normal hair cycling was restored by replacement with exogenous prolactin for 3 days. Increasing the duration of prolactin treatment further retarded entry into anagen. However, prolactin treatments, which began after follicles had entered anagen at 26 days of age, did not alter the subsequent progression of the hair cycle. Skin from PRLR-deficient mice grafted onto endocrine-normal hosts underwent more rapid hair cycling than comparable wild-type grafts, with reduced duration of the telogen phase. These experiments demonstrate that prolactin regulates the timing of hair growth cycles in mice via a direct effect on the skin, rather than solely via the modulation of other endocrine factors.


Introduction

Hair growth in mammals is not continuous. Instead, hair follicles cycle through successive phases of active hair growth (anagen), involution (catagen) and quiescence (telogen) (Muller-Rover et al. 2001). The reactivation of follicles to start a new anagen phase is characterised by the reinitiation of cell proliferation in the secondary hair germ, regeneration of the lower half of the follicle and growth of a new hair. In mice, successive generations of hairs are held in the follicle for some time before the older shafts are shed (exogen) (Milner et al. 2002). Also in mice, hair follicle cycling is synchronised, with waves of hair regrowth commencing in the axillae and progressing ventro-dorsally before reaching the head and tail. The timing of these synchronised cycles is age-related, but varies with sex and strain (Muller-Rover et al. 2001).

Hair follicle cycling is influenced by a variety of hormones (Ebling et al. 1991, Alonso & Rosenfield 2003), including the pituitary hormone prolactin. Prolactin receptors (PRLR) have been localised to hair follicles (Craven et al. 2001) and expression of mRNAs encoding one long (PRLR-L) and two short forms of the receptor (PRLR-S2 and PRLR-S3) have been demonstrated in murine skin. Furthermore, the concentration of these receptors varies with follicular activity (Foitzik et al. 2003). PRLR knockout mice grow slightly longer hair and moult at a younger age than wild-type littermates, indicating that prolactin signalling is involved in the timing of progression through the hair cycle phases (Craven et al. 2001). However, the secretion of other hormones, including oestrogen, progesterone and parathyroid hormone (PTH), is also altered in PRLR knockout mice (Bole-Feyso et al. 1998, Clement-Lacroix et al. 1999). Oestrogen (Oh & Smart 1996, Chanda et al. 2000) and PTH (Schilli et al. 1997) have been implicated previously in hair cycle regulation. Thus, it remains unclear whether the advanced hair cycle phenotype of PRLR knockout mice is mediated directly by the loss of prolactin signalling within hair follicles or indirectly by perturbation of other hormones.

Few studies have directly assessed the effect of exogenous prolactin on hair growth. Organ cultured hair follicles spontaneously enter a catagen-like state, and this process is accelerated by added prolactin (Foitzik et al. 2003). However, only in vivo models are presently capable of representing other phases of the hair cycle. Mohn (1958) reported that both spontaneous and plucking-induced follicle growth was unchanged following i.m. injections of prolactin to rats. Similar observations had been made following injections of crude prolactin extracted from pig and ox pituitaries (Emmens 1942). Conversely, in a number of other species, hair follicle cycling is governed by seasonal changes to produce a summer and winter moult, and prolactin has been implicated as the principal endocrine regulator of this process.
demonstrates that prolactin directly affects hair cycling phenotype of the unoperated PRLR knockout. This result was seen in transplanted skin, consistent with the mice with normal hormone levels. Accelerated follicle cycling was seen in the skin and regulated during the follicle growth cycle (Fotzik et al. 2003). Both locally produced and systemic prolactin will contribute to the concentration of prolactin to which receptors are exposed.

In this study, we aimed to elucidate the influence of prolactin on the timing of follicle cycle phases in mice. First, we characterised prolactin signalling activity in the skin of Balb/c mice, as indicated by plasma prolactin concentrations, and expression of PRLR variants and prolactin mRNA in the skin leading up to the growth of the second generation of coat hairs. Secondly, pituitary prolactin secretion was suppressed with bromocriptine (BRC), and exogenous prolactin administered to regulate profiles of circulating prolactin. These treatments altered follicle growth cycles and identified a period of prolactin responsiveness during the telogen phase. Thirdly, we established that prolactin exerts an effect directly on the skin. Mouse skin lacking PRLRs was grafted onto mice with normal hormone levels. Accelerated follicle cycling was seen in transplanted skin, consistent with the phenotype of the unoperated PRLR knockout. This result demonstrates that prolactin directly affects hair cycling mechanisms within the skin.

Materials and Methods

Experimental animals

Inbred female Balb/c mice and PRLR knockout mice (Ormandy et al. 1997) were housed at the Ruakura Small Animal Colony, Hamilton, New Zealand and fed a diet of standard mouse pellets and fresh tap water available ad libitum. They were maintained at a constant temperature of 22 °C under a photoperiod regime of 14 light:10 darkness. Mice were housed in family groups until the progeny were weaned at 21–22 days of age into groups of 4–6 mice. The experimental procedures were carried out with the approval of the Ruakura Animal Ethics Committee in accordance with the New Zealand Animal Welfare Act (1998).

Profiles of circulating prolactin and PRLR mRNA in skin

Concentrations of prolactin in blood plasma and of mRNA encoding PRLR and prolactin in dorsal skin were determined in 54 untreated Balb/c mice of post-natal ages varying between 15 and 39 days. At 3-day intervals, groups of four mice were anaesthetised with CO₂ and their blood was sampled by heart puncture just prior to euthanasia by cervical dislocation. This blood was collected into EDTA-containing syringes and centrifuged to separate plasma, which was then stored frozen (−20 °C). Dorsal and axillary skin was fixed in phosphate-buffered 10% formalin for histological assessment of hair follicle growth stage (Nixon 1993). Additional dorsal skin from each animal was snap frozen in liquid nitrogen for measurement of prolactin and PRLR mRNA by quantitative PCR.

Hormone manipulations

Circulating prolactin was pharmacologically manipulated in the period preceding and during the growth of the second generation of hair (G2). A group of mice receiving no hormonal treatment (control; n = 8) was compared with groups of mice whose endogenous pituitary prolactin was suppressed using s.c. pellets releasing 250 μg/day of bromocriptine mesylate for up to 60 days (Innovative Research of America Inc., Sarasota, FL, USA). These BRC implants were surgically inserted at 15 days of age (n = 6) or 22 days of age (n = 4).

Ovine prolactin (500 μg/day) dissolved in sodium bicarbonate buffer (0·2 M; pH 9) was administered via osmotic mini-pumps (Alzet Model 1003D, 1001 or 1002; Alza Corporation, Palo Alto, CA, USA) to groups of BRC-treated mice (n = 2–4 per group). The prolactin administration commenced at 18, 22 or 26 days of age and lasted for 3 or 14 days after which time osmotic pumps were removed. The BRC implants remained in place for the duration of the experiment. At the end of each treatment period, the osmotic pumps were removed and a blood sample was collected from the tail. Following completion of hair regrowth, blood was collected from all animals.

Three further mice received domperidone (Sigma Chemical Co.) via an osmotic pump (1·8 mg/kg per day; dissolved in polyethylene glycol 400 at a concentration of 20 mg/ml), to induce a sustained increase in endogenous pituitary prolactin secretion. The mice received domperidone from 18 to 30 days of age and were compared with untreated littermates.

Assessment of hair growth

To monitor hair growth patterns, the coats of all mice in the hormone manipulation experiments were dyed black at 22–26 days of age, when all hair follicles were in telogen (Craven et al. 2001). Subsequent pelage growth was ascertained by daily visual examination for the presence of new, unstained hairs. Records were made of the age at which hair first emerged from axillary skin (where the wave of new hair growth across the body commences) and dorsal skin (a finding commonly reported in other studies). To assess whether the age of weaning influenced hair cycling, comparisons in hair regrowth were also made between untreated siblings weaned at 18 or 24 days of age (n = 3 per group).
The distribution of hair cycle stages among follicles in untreated Balb/c mice was determined by histomorphology (Nixon 1993, Muller-Rover et al. 2001). Skin sections were stained by the Sacpic method (Nixon 1993), and at least 30 longitudinally sectioned follicles were assessed from each of three mice for each age from 24 to 39 days. To establish precisely the time at which cell proliferation within the follicle bulb commences, proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) immunohistochemistry was performed on sections from four mice per day of age (from days 18–24 for axillary skin; days 25–30 for dorsal skin). Sections of formalin-fixed skin tissue were washed in citrate buffer (pH 6.0; 10 min), and blocked in PBS/4% BSA/Tween for 2 h prior to overnight incubation with monoclonal anti-PCNA antibody (Clone PC10; Dako Corporation, Carpinteria, CA, USA) diluted in the ratio of 1/500 in antibody diluent (Dako Corporation). After three washes with PBS, these sections were incubated for 1 h with streptavidin–horseradish peroxidase conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (Dako Corporation) diluted in the ratio of 1:100 in antibody diluent. After further PBS washes, sites of antibody binding were visualised with diaminobenzidine (Sigma Chemical Co.) intensified with H2O2 and NiCl2 solution. Follicles exhibiting more than three PCNA positive cells per section in the bulb epithelium were considered to have entered anagen.

Follicles were categorised as either telogen (short follicles with no PCNA staining), anagen I (short follicles and PCNA positive), anagen II (enlarged bulb starting to form a proliferating germinal matrix), anagen III (formation of red-staining inner root sheath and hair cone), anagen IV–V (formation of picrophilic keratinised hair shaft) or anagen VI where the new hair tip has emerged from the epidermis (Nixon 1993, Parry et al. 1995).

Radioimmunoassays

Murine prolactin was assayed using rabbit antiserum to murine prolactin (National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (NIDDK) mouse prolactin RIA kit; reagents AFP-6476C; AFP-10777D and AFP-131078; Torrance, CA, USA). Murine prolactin was iodinated by the iodogen technique (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA), using [125]iodide (New England Nuclear, Wilmington, DE, USA). The assay method was essentially as prescribed for the NIDDK reagents. The separation of the antibody-bound label was by secondary antibody precipitation using excess sheep anti-rabbit serum (generated at AgResearch, Hamilton, New Zealand). Sensitivity using murine prolactin standards was 1 ng/ml. Intra-assay coefficient of variation was 13-4%.

Ovine prolactin was assayed using an ovine prolactin assay (NIDDK ovine prolactin RIA kit) (Nixon et al. 1993). Sensitivity using ovine prolactin standards was 0.6 ng/ml. Intra-assay coefficient of variation at 90 ng/ml was 13.7%. Cross-reactivity between murine and ovine prolactin was low; using the ovine RIA, <1 ng/ml was measured in mouse plasma containing 30 ng/ml murine prolactin or in standard solutions of 100 ng/ml murine prolactin.

PRLR and prolactin gene expression

Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol (Invitrogen Corporation), and DNA was removed using RNase-free DNase I (DNA-free Kit, Ambion, Austin, TX, USA). First, strand cDNA was generated by reverse transcription (RT) with random hexamer primers, using the Superscript II Preamplification System (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Real-time PCR was carried out using TaqMan (PRLRL, prolactin and 18S rRNA) or SYBR Green (PRLRS2 and PRLRS3) reaction mixes and the ABI PRISM 7900HT Sequence System and Sequence Detection System 1.6 software (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Primers and probes used were as previously reported (Craven et al. 2001) with the exception of a more efficient PRLRL reverse primer (5’-CTCGTCCTCATTTGTCACACTT-3’). PCR reactions using 0.25 µl of the RT reaction product were performed in triplicate, with results compared with a standard curve. The expression of 18S rRNA was used as internal control according to the manufacturer’s guidelines (Eukaryotic 18S rRNA PDAR, Applied Biosystems). Levels of mRNA are reported relative to the overall experimental mean for each analysed gene.

Grafting PRLR knockout skin onto scid host mice

Skin for grafting was obtained from four 24-day old homozygous PRLR knockout mice on a 129Sv background strain (Ormandy et al. 1997, Craven et al. 2001), and from four littermates or closely related mice that were wild type for PRLR. Explants of shaved scapular skin (2×10 mm) were prepared in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) with 10% foetal calf serum and grafted onto 5-week old NOD−scid host mice of corresponding sex. Ten host mice each carried two grafts on their scapular regions, one of each donor genotype. The grafts were sutured and covered with bandages for 14 days. Hair growth began in some grafts at 17 days after surgery. Pigmented hairs growing from donor skin contrasted with the white coats of the host mice, allowing new hair growth in the grafts to be seen. The graft sites were clipped to skin level (<0.5 mm) during the growing phase at 7-day intervals (males) or 10–11-day intervals (females), or as necessary to permit measurement of growth increments. The lengths of dark hairs arising from the two graft sites, and from the surrounding host skin, were recorded at 2–3–day intervals until 89 days after surgery, and the durations of hair growth from PRLR knockout and wild-type grafts were compared.

Statistical analysis

Prolactin RIA, PRLR and prolactin mRNA quantitative PCR and hair growth observational data were compared by pairwise Student’s t-tests or one-way ANOVA. The data are presented as group means and s.e.m.
Results

Hair cycling, plasma prolactin and gene expression in untreated Balb/c mice

The timing of progression through the hair cycle phases was determined in unmanipulated wild-type Balb/c mice. Histological analysis showed that the first anagen terminated at 17–19 days in the dorsal skin. Following a brief telogen phase, the second anagen began with cell division in the hair bulb (anagen I), initially in the axilla. In this region, 45% of follicles exhibited increased PCNA immunostaining in hair bulb epithelial cells (> 3 cells per section) at 22 days of age. On the dorsum, 36% of follicles were PCNA positive at day 26, bulb enlargement and structural reorganisation (anagen II) was first observed at 29 days of age, and predominated at 32 days (Fig. 1A). Thereafter, follicles progressed through anagen III (33 days) and anagen IV (34–35 days). In live animals, the mean age at which new hair was first observed emerging from the dorsal skin (anagen VI) was day 36 ± 0.2, although some anagen III–V follicles persisted until 38 days of age (Fig. 1B).

Figure 1 Histological characterisation of the first hair replacement cycle. Results for the dorsal skin of untreated female Balb/c mice are shown. (A) PCNA immunolocalisation in hair follicles: (a) no PCNA is detected in a lower telogen follicle (26 days of age), (b) induction of anagen is characterised by presence of PCNA in the hair germ during anagen I (27 days of age), (c) proliferating cells of the germinal epithelium in an anagen II follicle (29 days of age), (d) anagen III follicle (33 days of age), (e) anagen IV follicle (33 days of age). Arrows indicate PCNA localisation in nuclei. Bars = 50 µm (B) Summary of histological assessment showing percentage of dorsal hair follicles in various stages of the hair cycle. Data represent the mean proportions of follicles in each stage, obtained by assessing > 30 follicles from each of three mice per time point. Error bars indicate S.E.M. + Indicates mean age when PCNA immunohistochemical labelling within hair germ first occurs and * indicates mean age when hair is first observed emerging through the skin surface.
Circulating prolactin levels changed while the skin was passing through this follicle growth cycle. Mean prolactin concentration in untreated females was 17 ± 10 ng/ml at 15–18 days of age. Prolactin concentration then increased, rapidly at first and then more slowly to 76 ± 20 ng/ml at 39 days of age \((P < 0.01; \text{Fig. 2A})\). Thus, changes in circulating prolactin preceded changes in hair cycle phase.

The expression of PRLR and prolactin in skin also changed during the follicle cycling period. Expression in dorsal skin of three isoforms of PRLR was assessed using real time PCR (Fig. 2B). Levels of PRLRL mRNA were low throughout the telogen period preceding the first hair replacement cycle. At 33 days of age, expression began increasing to reach maximal levels during anagen (36–39 days). Similar expression profiles of PRLRS2 and PRLRS3 mRNA were found, with levels increasing during early anagen. Compared with the PRLRL isoform, 3–4 more PCR cycles were required to amplify the truncated receptor isoforms, suggesting that they are expressed at lower levels in skin. Expression of prolactin mRNA in the skin was similarly depressed during telogen and then increased until late anagen.

**Plasma prolactin and pelage replacement in prolactin-manipulated mice**

To directly determine the effect of prolactin on follicle cycling, endogenous prolactin was suppressed with BRC and replaced with exogenous prolactin administered at different ages. BRC suppressed circulating prolactin in implanted mice (6 ± 4 ng/ml) as compared with untreated controls (84 ± 14 ng/ml; \(P < 0.001\)). Osmotic pumps delivering 500 μg/day ovine prolactin resulted in mean ovine prolactin levels of 353 ± 81 ng/ml, measured at the time of pump removal.

**Figure 2** Characterisation of prolactin signalling capability during the first hair replacement cycle. Results for untreated female Balb/c mice are shown. (A) Plasma prolactin concentrations were measured by radioimmunoassay. (B) Relative expression of mRNA encoding PRLR isoforms and prolactin ligand was assessed in dorsal skin by real time PCR. Expression levels are normalised to ribosomal 18S rRNA levels. Error bars indicate S.E.M. of four animals per time point.
In the mice receiving BRC implants at 15 days, hair regrowth first appeared in the axillary regions at 28.2 ± 0.2 days of age. This is 3 days earlier than axillary follicles of untreated controls (31.1 ± 0.2 days of age; P < 0.01; Fig. 3). When the implants were not inserted until 22 days of age, hair regrowth (31.5 ± 0.6 days of age) was similar to controls. A similar trend was observed in the dorsal region. Mice receiving BRC at 15 days of age had earlier hair regrowth than controls (32.8 ± 0.4 vs 36.0 ± 0.4 days of age; P < 0.001), but the age of hair emergence in the mice receiving BRC at 22 days was intermediate (33.5 ± 0.5 days of age).

In the mice receiving exogenous prolactin as well as BRC, hair regrowth was delayed in comparison with mice receiving only BRC. Thus, in dorsal skin, the premature hair regrowth in mice treated with BRC alone (at 32.8 ± 0.4 days of age; P < 0.01) was reversed by the administration of prolactin for 3 days, commencing at 18 days of age (regrowth at 35 ± 0.1 days of age; P < 0.05). Furthermore, if prolactin treatment was extended to 14 days, dorsal hair cycles occurred later still (39.5 ± 0.5 days of age; P < 0.01). Similarly, late dorsal regrowth was observed if prolactin treatment commenced at 22 days of age (39.3 ± 0.5 days of age; P < 0.01), but not if infusion commenced at 26 days (32.5 ± 0.5 days of age). In axillary skin, prolactin only reversed the effect of BRC if treatment commenced at 15 days. Bearing in mind that hair regrowth in the axilla normally precedes that in the dorsum, these results indicate that prolactin only has an effect when administered sufficiently early in telogen.

The effect of altered prolactin signalling was also investigated by treating mice with domperidone, which increased circulating prolactin to 142 ± 30 ng/ml, compared with untreated control levels of 89 ± 8 ng/ml (P < 0.01). The treatment between 18 and 30 days of age resulted in delayed hair cycles (37.3 ± 0.3 days of age) as compared with mice receiving the diluent only (35.3 ± 0.7 days of age; P < 0.05). This result is evidence that the altered hair cycling seen in mice treated with exogenous prolactin was not an artefact caused by ovine prolactin exerting non-physiological bioactivity in a murine host.

As the timing of prolactin treatments that affected hair cycles coincided with weaning, we tested whether the age of weaning influenced the onset of anagen. Mice weaned at 18 days underwent hair cycles at the same age as littermates weaned at 24 days of age (dorsal hair emergence at 34.0 ± 0.6 and 34.3 ± 0.3 days of age respectively). This suggests that any stress or hormonal changes associated with early weaning were without measurable hair growth consequences.

**Grafting PRLR knockout skin onto scid host mice**

To determine whether prolactin effects on hair cycling are mediated by direct action of the hormone on hair follicles, skin from PRLR knockout mice and wild-type littermates was grafted onto immunodeficient hosts. Each host received two grafts, one of knockout skin and another of wild-type skin (Fig. 4A), allowing direct comparison of the two genotypes in a normal endocrine setting. All the 20 pairs of grafts were successfully established on scid mouse hosts. In general, grafted mouse skin exhibited three anagen phases over 15 weeks following transplantation, interspersed with periods of telogen (Fig. 4B). Previous studies in our laboratory have shown that the initial hair cycle is induced by the surgical manipulation. It consistently occurs 10–20 days after the grafting procedure and does not correspond to normal hair cycle times in either hosts or donors. This initial grafting-induced anagen is represented in Fig. 4B by grey bars.
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The PRLR genotype had no effect on the timing of the grafting-induced hair cycle, but subsequent cycles were advanced in knockout skin. Hair growth was also less well synchronised within knockout grafts, and there was a marked sex difference in cycle timing (Fig. 4B). The start of the second growth period in knockout grafts preceded the wild type by 5.9 ± 1.9 days ($P<0.01$) in females and 3.4 ± 1.8 days ($P<0.05$) in males. For both sexes, the length of time that no hair growth was observed was also lower in knockout compared with wild-type grafts (Fig. 4B; $P<0.05$). Thus, there was a shorter duration of telogen in the knockout as compared with wild-type skin, even when both were exposed to the same set of systemic factors. Circulating prolactin concentrations in scid and wild-type 129Sv mice (i.e. the PRLR knockout background) were similar, but both were tenfold lower than seen in PRLR knockout (Fig. 4C).

**Discussion**

The phenotype of PRLR knockout mice implicated prolactin as an endocrine regulator of hair cycle timing. In
this study, we showed that changes in circulating prolactin levels were associated with progression through the hair cycle and with changes in prolactin signalling status in skin. Hair follicle cycling in young Balb/c mice was synchronised, with dorsal follicles entering catagen at 17–19 days of age and then remaining in telogen until around day 26. In the same animals, circulating prolactin was relatively low for the first 3 weeks after birth, in agreement with previous data (Barkley 1979), but began increasing from day 18. Thus, changes in circulating prolactin are associated with the transition into catagen, consistent with the possibility that prolactin has a causal role in inhibiting hair growth. We confirmed this role by showing that re-entry to anagen was advanced when circulating prolactin secretion was suppressed with BRC, but delayed when mice were treated with exogenous prolactin.

In unmanipulated mice, expression of the PRLR and prolactin genes in skin also changed through the hair cycle, but these changes occurred later than the changes in circulating prolactin. Thus, expression levels were low during telogen and early anagen but increased markedly by the 5th week of age, in accordance with previous studies that also report higher levels of PRLR transcripts during anagen (Craven et al. 2001, Foitzik et al. 2003). The lagged response of both full length and short form PRLR mRNAs in relation to circulating prolactin may reflect ligand-mediated receptor upregulation (Soboleva et al. 2005) as has been reported in a number of organs including kidney, liver, mammary gland (Barash et al. 1986) and adipose depots (Ling et al. 2000), as well as skin (Nixon et al. 2002). Assuming protein translation occurs, a functional role has yet to be ascribed for prolactin synthesised within the integument, but maintenance of tonic signalling during periods of low pituitary secretion has been postulated (Ben-Jonathan et al. 1996). Overall, these results suggest that the sensitivity of skin to systemic prolactin changes throughout the hair cycle.

More generally, hair cycling is likely to be influenced by prolactin signalling status within the skin as well as circulating prolactin levels per se. The low prolactin signalling potential in mouse pups, due to low concentrations of both circulating ligand and cellular receptors, may underlie the brevity of the first telogen phase. Subsequent telogen periods become progressively longer (Ebling et al. 1991), against a background of increasing prolactin and increasing PRLR expression.

The effects of both BRC and prolactin administration were influenced by the time at which treatment commenced. BRC affected hair regrowth on the axilla only at 15 days of age, but on the dorsum at 15 and 22 days of age. Similarly, prolactin administration starting at 18 and 22 days of age reversed the effects of BRC on dorsal follicle cycling, but axillary follicles were only affected by prolactin treatment from 18 days. These dorso-ventral differences in response correspond to the difference between body sites in the timing of the hair growth wave. Thus, the period of sensitivity to altered prolactin signalling appears to be directly related to the follicle growth cycle, with only telogen follicles able to respond. Once follicles enter early anagen, they exhibit a commitment to continued growth that cannot be altered by perturbing prolactin signalling. Our results are diagrammatically summarised in Fig. 5.

It is likely that follicles become resensitised to prolactin later in anagen. Prolactin has been shown to inhibit the growth of anagen follicles in mice (Foitzik et al. 2003) and in sheep (Pearson et al. 1999). Prolactin is able to hasten catagen in murine (Foitzik et al. 2003) and human (Foitzik et al. 2006) skin organ culture. Hence, the inhibitory effect of prolactin can be seen in species with both seasonal and non-seasonal hair cycling patterns. Furthermore, prolactin is inhibitory at different stages of the follicle cycle, with the ability to reduce hair length (Craven et al. 2001) or shorten anagen, as demonstrated in sheep, or to lengthen telogen as we have demonstrated in Balb/c mice.

Hair regrowth affected by BRC was not as premature as that observed in PRLR-gene disrupted mice (Craven et al. 2001). This difference might reflect residual prolactin due to
incomplete suppression or locally synthesised prolactin, which is not suppressed by BRC (Ben-Jonathan et al. 1996). It is also possible that a BRC-induced mechanism unrelated to prolactin is acting to influence the induction of anagen. For example, the pro-opiomelanocortin system (Paus et al. 1999) may be upregulated via activation of BR-C-sensitive dopamine receptors (Farrell et al. 1992). However, prolactin-negative effects of BRC on hair cycles were consistent with other manipulations of prolactin.

Removal of prolactin through ablation of the pituitary gland has been shown previously to advance hair cycles in rats (Mohn 1958, Ebling et al. 1991). However, this effect was attributed to the withdrawal of adrenal and gonadal steroids. Advanced hair follicle activity is also stimulated by hypophysectomy, gonadectomy, adrenalectomy and administration of thyroxine (Ebling et al. 1991). In general, these treatments need to be carried out well in advance of the timing of expected hair eruption, which is also consistent with sensitivity during telogen and some degree of follicle growth autonomy after the initiation of anagen. Similarly, glucocorticoid treatments can block follicle regrowth, but only if applied prior to anagen induction (Stenn et al. 1993).

PRLR-deficient mice have grossly elevated levels of circulating prolactin due to ablation of the feedback signalling that regulates the pituitary lactotrophs (Grattan et al. 2001). However, the regulation of other hormones is also perturbed in these mice. For example, circulating concentrations of oestradiol are lower in female knockout mice at oestrous (37 pg/ml compared with 53 pg/ml in wild-type mice) (Clement-Lacroix et al. 1999). Oestradiol has been shown to have a direct inhibitory effect on hair growth cycles (Ebling et al. 1991), and oestradiol receptors have been located in the dermal papillae of telogen follicles (Oh & Smart 1996). Oestradiol is also increased (Clement-Lacroix et al. 1999) and has been implicated previously in hair cycle regulation (Schilli et al. 1997).

Thus, prior to this study, it was unclear whether prolactin exerted its effect directly on the follicle or indirectly by influencing alternative endocrine pathways (Craven et al. 2001). By transplanting skin lacking prolactin-signalling capability to a normal endocrine environment, and observing that a shorter telogen period is retained, we have demonstrated that prolactin acts via a direct mode of action. Although considerable variation in follicle behaviour occurred, with respect to both follicles within and between grafts, differences between genotypes and sexes were evident. Variation in hair growth between males and females, even in PRLR-deficient mice, may arise due to systemic differences related to the sex of the host. Furthermore, these transplantation experiments do not exclude the possibility that indirect effects of PRLR ablation exert an additional intra-cutaneous influence on follicle cycling. However, the results demonstrate a skin-autonomous effect, and given the strong localisation of PRLR and PRLR mRNA in the outer root sheath and secondary hair germ of hair follicles (Craven et al. 2001, Foitzik et al. 2003), it is reasonable to conclude that prolactin acts directly on the follicle.

In contrast to suppressive effects of oestradiol (Oh & Smart 1996, Chanda et al. 2000), glucocorticoids (Stenn et al. 1993) and ACTH (Ebling et al. 1991), the immunosuppressant drug cyclosporin A (CsA) is a known hair growth stimulant that can induce telogen follicles into anagen prematurely (Paus et al. 1989, Maurer et al. 1997). Although the mechanism of its action within the hair follicle is unclear, CsA is known to complex with cyclophillins, which interact directly with the PRLR and its signal transduction factors. These molecules have propyl isomerase activity that induces structural changes within the PRLR intracellular domain and, along with further interactions with JAK2 (cyclophilin A) (Syed et al. 2003) and Stat5 and protein inhibitor of activated stat (PIAS) (cyclophilin B) (Ryczyn & Cleveenger 2002), are able to potentiate prolactin-induced gene transcription. Therefore, follicle stimulatory actions of CsA, which possibly arise from decreased PRLR signal transduction, are consistent with the inhibitory nature of prolactin reported here.

At the cellular level, the function of prolactin within the follicle remains unclear. Different mammalian cells are known to exhibit a variety of responses to prolactin stimulation, including changes in cell proliferation, migration and apoptosis (Bole-Feytos et al. 1998, Cleveenger et al. 2003). In follicles, PRLR, have been localised to keratinocytes in the middle of the follicle outer root sheath (Craven et al. 2001, Nixon et al. 2002, Foitzik et al. 2003), up to and including the bulge region. The bulge region is thought to contain a reservoir of stem cells, the daughters of which migrate down the outer root sheath to repopulate the rapidly proliferating germinal matrix in the lower follicle (Oshima et al. 2001, Stenn & Paus 2001). Germinal matrix cells then differentiate to form the hair shaft. Catagen is thought to be induced, at least in part, by the loss of proliferative activity in the germinal matrix. We therefore speculate that prolactin may exert its effects on follicle cycling by modulating the migration of precursor cells in the outer root sheath. This could be achieved by regulating the migration of the germinal matrix (Sano et al. 1999, Young et al. 2003). Such inhibition of migration would deprive the germinal matrix of cells with proliferative potential, thereby either extending the telogen phase or terminating anagen.

In summary, we have demonstrated that prolactin acts directly within the skin to modulate the timing and duration of telogen. Follicles are responsive to prolactin signalling only during some phases of the growth cycle. This point has implications for the design of experiments involving prolactin and hair growth, and may explain the failure of some previous attempts to alter hair cycles by increasing circulating prolactin. The influence of prolactin on human follicle growth is becoming increasingly recognised (Orfanos & Hertz 1988, Paus 1991, Krause et al. 2000, Alonso & Rosenfield 2003, Foitzik et al. 2006). The results of our experiments suggest
the potential for new strategies to address human hair growth disorders involving perturbed follicle cycling, including telogen effluvium and hirsutism (Orfanos & Hertel 1988).
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