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Abstract

Estrogens have significant impact on bone mineral metabolism. Besides the classical estrogen receptors (ERα and ERβ), a transmembrane G-protein-coupled receptor (GPR30) has been demonstrated to mediate estrogenic effects. We aimed to study whether GPR30 is expressed in bone cells and if so, whether the level of expression is developmentally regulated. Metaphyseal bone biopsies were collected from the tibia in 14 boys and 6 girls, all at different stages of puberty. GPR30 protein expression was studied by immunohistochemistry in paraffin-embedded sections. GPR30-positive osteocytes and osteoblasts were quantified and linear regression analysis was applied. Cytosplasmic GPR30 expression was detected in osteoblasts, osteocytes, and osteoclasts. Osteocytes were more frequently positive for GPR30 than osteoblasts (58 ± 4% vs 46 ± 3% positive cells respectively, P < 0.05). Detailed analysis demonstrated that GPR30 positivity declined during pubertal development in osteocytes (R = −0.56, P < 0.01) but not in osteoblasts (R = −0.31, P > 0.05). No sex difference was observed in the numbers of GPR30-positive osteoblasts or osteocytes. Furthermore, GPR30 expression did not correlate with chronological or bone age. In conclusion, the novel ER GPR30 is expressed in osteoblasts, osteocytes, and osteoclasts suggesting that non-genomic estrogen signaling via GPR30 may exist in bone. However, the functional role of GPR30 in bone tissue remains to be elucidated.
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Introduction

Estrogen (17β-estradiol) is an important regulator of bone metabolism, which is illustrated by the low bone mass phenotype in a man carrying a mutation in estrogen receptor-α (ERα; Smith et al. 1994) and in individuals with a deficiency in aromatase activity (Morishima et al. 1995). Furthermore, girls and boys with hypogonadism are known to develop osteopenia, and even though sex steroid replacement in adulthood can increase bone mineral density (BMD) in these individuals, they do not reach adult BMD values (Vanderschueren et al. 2005).

A large proportion of the total bone mass is accumulated during pubertal development in both genders (Bonjour et al. 1994). It has been proposed that bone mass accrual is mediated by the direct action of estrogens on classical ERs. ERα is expressed in osteoblasts and osteocytes in vitro and in vivo (Erskine et al. 1988, Komin et al. 1988, Braimian et al. 1995, Kusec et al. 1998, Zaman et al. 2006). Mature osteoclasts have been reported to express ERα (Oursler et al. 1991, 1994), while others have found expression in osteoclast precursors but not in mature cells (Kusec et al. 1998, Batra et al. 2003). Another ERβ, has been shown to be expressed in osteoblasts, osteocytes, and osteoclasts (Vidal et al. 1999, Braimian et al. 2001).

Previous in vitro studies in osteoblasts and osteocytes have demonstrated that estrogen can stimulate non-genotypic responses via the Src/Shc/ERK pathway (Kousteni et al. 2001). However, the in vivo role of non-genomic estrogen signaling in bone growth and development is unknown. The description of G-protein-dependent estrogen signaling and membrane localization of estrogen-binding sites has led to the speculation about a trans-membrane ER (Prossnitz et al. 2007). In the late 1990s, a putative receptor was cloned by four different groups (Owman et al. 1996, Carmeci et al. 1997, Takada et al. 1997, O’Dowd et al. 1998). G-protein-coupled receptor 30 (GPR30) mRNA has been demonstrated to be expressed in several tissues, including central nervous system (O’Dowd et al. 1998, Brailoiu et al. 2007), liver (Owman et al. 1996, O’Dowd et al. 1998), and ovary (Wang et al. 2007). Recently, two groups have independently shown that GPR30 acts as a membranous ER and mediates non-genomic estrogen signaling (Revankar et al. 2005, Thomas et al. 2005).

Some functions of estrogen-mediated GPR30 signaling have been suggested previously. These include the non-genomic...
actions such as MAP kinase activation in breast cancer cells (Filardo et al. 2000), as well as anti-apoptotic (Kanda & Watanabe 2003) and cell growth stimulatory (Kanda & Watanabe 2004) effects on keratinocytes. Recently, GPR30 was demonstrated to mediate the proliferative effects of estrogens in endometrial (Vivacqua et al. 2006a), thyroid (Vivacqua et al. 2006b), and ovarian cancer cells (Albanito et al. 2007), suggesting a role in the development of pathological conditions. Interestingly, GPR30 is differentially regulated by gonadotropins in normal ovarian cells (Wang et al. 2007), indicating that GPR30 may also be important in the regulation of normal estrogen physiology. The cellular and developmental differences in GPR30 expression have been recently demonstrated in human adrenal tissues (Baquedano et al. 2007) and growth plate (Chagin & Savendahl 2007), indicating that GPR30 may mediate local estrogen-dependent effects on cell proliferation and differentiation.

In this study, our aim was to establish whether GPR30 is expressed in human bone cells and whether there is any correlation between the expression level and the pubertal stage.

Materials and Methods

Patients and tissues

Tissue samples were obtained from 14 boys and 6 girls who were subjected to epiphyseal surgery to arrest longitudinal bone growth. The patients suffered from leg length difference or extreme tall stature (Table 1). Biopsies containing metaphyseal bone were taken from the tibial and femoral growth plates employing a bone marrow biopsy needle (8 gauge, Gallini Medical Products and Services, Mirandola, Italy) and immediately fixed in 4% formaldehyde, decalcified, and embedded in paraffin as described previously (Nilsson et al. 2003). The pubertal staging of the patients was performed by a trained pediatric endocrinologist. This study was pre-approved by the local ethics committee at the Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden and informed consent was obtained from each patient and both parents.

Immunohistochemistry

After deparaffinization, immunohistochemical staining of GPR30 in metaphyseal bone sections was performed. Intrinsic peroxidase activity and non-specific binding were blocked by incubation with 3% H2O2 for 10 min, followed by a blocking with 3% horse serum for 1 h. Antigen retrieval was performed in citrate buffer, pH 6.0, for 15 min at +95 °C. The samples were incubated with rabbit anti-serum against human GPR30 (1:1500, a kind gift from Dr E Prossnitz, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM, USA) overnight at +4 °C. After washing, secondary biotin-conjugated anti-rabbit antibody (1:1000, Jackson Immunoresearch Europe Ltd, Suffolk, UK) was added and incubated for 1 h. Bound antibodies were detected with avidin-conjugated peroxidase (1 h incubation) and 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (3 min; Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA). Sections were counterstained with Alcian blue for 2 min. Pre-immune rabbit serum was used as a negative control. Specificity of the anti-GPR30 antibody

Table 1 Background characteristics of study subjects and levels of G-protein-coupled receptor 30 (GPR30) expression in osteoblasts and osteocytes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient no.</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (year: months)</th>
<th>Tanner pubertal stage</th>
<th>GPR30 in osteoblasts (% pos. cells)</th>
<th>GPR30 in osteocytes (% pos. cells)</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>9:6</td>
<td>B1</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>79.9</td>
<td>Leg length difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>12:7</td>
<td>B1–2</td>
<td>65.4</td>
<td>78.9</td>
<td>Leg length difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>12:8</td>
<td>B2</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>58.3</td>
<td>Leg length difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>12:6</td>
<td>B2</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>Constitutional tall stature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>14:2</td>
<td>B2–3</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>60.6</td>
<td>Leg length difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>13:8</td>
<td>B3</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>Leg length difference and CHL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>12:3</td>
<td>G1</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>Marfan’s syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>12:3</td>
<td>G3</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>Leg length difference and FF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>14:3</td>
<td>G2</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>74.8</td>
<td>Constitutional tall stature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>14:10</td>
<td>G3</td>
<td>55.2</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>Leg length difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>15:4</td>
<td>G3–4</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>64.8</td>
<td>Leg length difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>14:7</td>
<td>G3–4</td>
<td>69.0</td>
<td>74.8</td>
<td>Leg length difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>16:0</td>
<td>G4</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>Leg length difference and FF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>13:5</td>
<td>G4</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>Leg length difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>14:7</td>
<td>G4</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>59.1</td>
<td>Leg length difference and FTFF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>16:4</td>
<td>G4</td>
<td>52.1</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>Constitutional tall stature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>14:3</td>
<td>G4–5</td>
<td>63.3</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>Leg length difference and FF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>14:0</td>
<td>G4–5</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>47, XYY syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>14:1</td>
<td>G4–5</td>
<td>64.8</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>Leg length difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>12:2</td>
<td>G5</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>Klinefelter’s syndrome</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

% pos. cells, percentage of positive cells; CHL, congenital hip luxation; FF, femur fracture; FTFF, femur, tibia, and fibula fractures.
GPR30-positive cells as the dependent variable. A used as the independent variable, and the percentage of trends. Pubertal stage, chronological age, or bone age was Regression analysis was used to evaluate developmental

The specificity of the GPR30 antibody used in this study has been well documented, both in human cell lines (Revankar et al. 2005) and tissue sections (Chagin & Savendahl 2007). With an identical immunohistochemical protocol (Chagin & Savendahl 2007), we detected a high level of GPR30 expression in human bone cells. More detailed analysis demonstrated that osteocytes and osteoblasts (Fig. 1A and D) as well as multinucleated osteoclasts (Fig. 1A, B and F) expressed GPR30. GPR30 immunostaining was cytoplasmic in all cells (Fig. 1D and F). Histochemical TRACP staining on comparable sections confirmed the presence of osteoclasts (data not shown). We further confirmed the presence of GPR30 in osteoclasts by performing double staining with TRACP (Fig. 1G) and observed a co-localization of these two proteins (Fig. 1H). No GPR30 staining was observed in negative controls (Fig. 1C and E).

Quantitative analysis of GPR30 expression revealed that osteocytes were more often positive than osteoblasts (58 ± 4% vs 46 ± 3% respectively, P < 0.05). Furthermore, the newly embedded osteoid–osteocytes appeared to be more frequently positive for GPR30 than osteocytes deeper in the bone matrix (data not shown). When analyzing all samples, we did not observe any gender differences in GPR30 expression. In boys, the percentages of positive osteocytes and osteoblasts were 56 ± 6% and 44 ± 5% respectively, and in girls 63 ± 6% and 50 ± 3% respectively. Even though we observed GPR30 expression in osteoclasts, we could not perform any statistical analysis due to small numbers of these cells.

Expression of GPR30 during pubertal development

When evaluating developmental trends, we observed that the number of GPR30-positive osteocytes varied between different pubertal stages. More cells were positive at earlier than at later pubertal stages. Detailed analysis demonstrated that the number of GPR30-positive osteocytes declined during pubertal development but significantly correlated with the pubertal stage only in girls (R = −0.89, P < 0.05, Fig. 2A), although there was a trend toward a decline in boys as well (R = −0.51, P > 0.05, Fig. 2A).

When separately analyzing patients whose only diagnosis was leg length difference (thus excluding patients with constitutional tall stature and Marfan’s, Klinefelter’s, and XXY syndromes), a similar decline in the number of GPR30-positive osteocytes was observed during pubertal development (R = −0.61, P < 0.05).

In osteoblasts, the level of GPR30 positivity did not correlate with pubertal stage either in boys (R = −0.19, P > 0.05 Fig. 2B) or in girls (R = −0.59, P > 0.05, Fig. 2B). Similarly, for both boys and girls whose only diagnosis was leg length difference, the number of GPR30-positive osteoblasts did not correlate with pubertal stage (R = −0.19, P > 0.05).

Total numbers of bone cells per area were similar in all patients (data not shown), thus confirming that our finding was not dependent on an overall decline in osteocyte or osteoblast density during pubertal development. Furthermore, when the GPR30-positive osteoblasts and osteocytes were plotted against chronological age or bone age, no correlation was observed (data not shown).
Discussion

This study is the first report of GPR30 expression in human bone cells. We observed an intensive GPR30 staining in all three cell types of bone, i.e. osteoblasts, osteocytes, and osteoclasts. The staining was cytoplasmic, which is in accordance with recent reports showing that functional GPR30 has intracellular localization (Filardo et al. 2006, Smith et al. 2007), most likely on the endoplasmic reticulum (Revankar et al. 2007). Since the ligands for GPR30 (i.e. estrogens) are membrane permeable, the intracellular localization is certainly consistent with its function. The fact that osteocytes were more frequently positive for GPR30 than osteoblasts suggests that GPR30 expression is differentially regulated during cell differentiation. Supporting this, the highest level of GPR30 expression was recently observed in terminally differentiated chondrocytes in the human growth plate (Chagin & Savendahl 2007).

Our detailed analysis of the GPR30 staining indicates that the number of GPR30-positive osteocytes negatively correlates with pubertal progression. However, a major limitation of our
show that osteoblasts, osteocytes, and osteoclasts all express GPR30 suggesting that non-genomic estrogen signaling via GPR30 may exist in bone. However, more mechanistic and functional studies are needed to confirm this.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by Oskar Huttunen Foundation, Jalmari and Kauha Ahokas Foundation, the Swedish Research Council (K2007-54X-15073-04-3), HKH Lovisas Förening för Barnsjukvård, Finnish Cultural Foundation, Research and Science Foundation of Farmos, Sällskapet Barnavård, and Stiftelsen Frimurare Barnhuset i Stockholm. We thank Dr Ola Nilsson and Dr Henrik Wehtje for their tissue collection. The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

References

Filardo EJ, Quinn JA, Bland KI & Frackelton AR, Jr 2000 Estrogen-induced activation of Erk-1 and Erk-2 requires the G protein-coupled receptor

Figure 2 Decline in GPR30-positive (A) osteocytes and (B) osteoblasts during pubertal progression. The relationship between the percentages of GPR30-positive (A) osteocytes and (B) osteoblasts in pubertal stage (Tanner) were analyzed by linear regression. The correlation coefficients (R), P values (P), and regression lines for girls (solid) and boys (dashed) are indicated.
homolog, GPR30, and occurs via trans-activation of the epidermal growth factor receptor through release of HB-EGF. Molecular Endocrinology 14 1649–1660.


Received in final form 28 February 2008
Accepted 29 February 2008
Made available online as an Accepted Preprint 29 February 2008