Identification of salsolinol in the mediobasal hypothalamus of lactating ewes and its relation to suckling-induced prolactin and GH release

Tomasz Misztal¹, Konrad Górski¹, Dorota Tomaszewska-Zaremba², Edyta Molik³ and Katarzyna Romanowicz¹

Departments of ¹Endocrinology and ²Neuroendocrinology, The Kielanowski Institute of Animal Physiology and Nutrition, Polish Academy of Sciences, 05-110 Jabłonna n/Warsaw, Poland
³Department of Sheep and Goat Breeding, Agricultural University in Cracow, Mickiewiczna 24/28 Street, 30-059 Cracow, Poland
(Correspondence should be addressed to T Misztal; Email: t.misztal@ifzz.pan.pl)

Abstract

The push–pull perfusions of the infundibular nucleus–median eminence (IN/ME) were made in lactating ewes (n = 7) twice, to identify dopamine (DA)-derived salsolinol and the changes in its extracellular concentration in response to suckling. The perfusate collecting period in every ewe consisted of control non-suckling period, 1000–1230 h (five perfusates), and suckling period, 1230–1500 h (next five perfusates). Simultaneously, blood samples were collected from 1000 to 1500 h at 10-min intervals. The perfusate concentrations of salsolinol and DA were measured by HPLC, and plasma prolactin and GH concentrations were assayed by the RIA. Mean concentrations of salsolinol in perfusates collected from the anterior and posterior parts of the IN/ME (according to post-mortem localization of a perfusion site) increased significantly (P<0.05 and P<0.001 respectively) during the suckling period, when compared with those noted during the non-suckling period. While no DA was found in the anterior part, only vestigial amounts of DA were found in a few perfusates collected from the posterior part. Salsolinol was not detected in the IN/ME of ewes 10 weeks after weaning (seasonal anoestrus). Mean plasma prolactin and GH concentrations during suckling were significantly (P<0.001) higher than those noted during the non-suckling period. In conclusion, our current study reveals that salsolinol is present in the IN/ME of lactating ewes and that its extracellular concentration increases during suckling. Moreover, it supports the role of salsolinol as a neurotransmitter involved in the regulatory process of prolactin secretion at least during lactation.


Introduction

Regulation of prolactin secretion from the anterior pituitary in lactating females is not fully recognized. It is accepted that the hypothalamic neuroendocrine dopaminergic (NEDA) system exerts a dominant and inhibitory effect on prolactin and that prolactin acts in a short-loop feedback manner to regulate its own secretion by stimulating the NEDA system (Freeman et al. 2000). Studies on rodents showed that during late pregnancy, the NEDA system had a reduced ability to respond to prolactin (Grattan & Averill 1995, Andrews et al. 2001) and that this impaired negative feedback continued into lactation (Arbogast & Voogt 1996, Anderson et al. 2006), allowing the maintenance of increased prolactin secretion to promote lactogenesis. Increased secretion of prolactin is also responsible for the stimulation of maternal behaviour and may contribute to the suppression of the stress response during lactation (Grattan 2002). Maintenance of increased lactotroph activity during lactation seems to require additional factor(s) enhancing prolactin synthesis and release. Recently, some hypothalamic compounds are thought to play the role of prolactin–releasing factor (PRF; Maruyama et al. 1999, Curlewis et al. 2002, Samson et al. 2003) and amongst them, salsolinol that paradoxically may be produced by the inhibitory NEDA system (Mravec 2006).

As reported by Naoi et al. (1996, 2002), salsolinol can be synthesized from dopamine (DA) and acetaldehyde or alternatively, from DA and pyruvic acid by forming an intermediate metabolite, salsolinol-1-carboxylic acid. This DA–originated compound, which is well known from its involvement in the progression of a disease characterized by dysfunctional dopaminergic neurons (Moser et al. 1995, Antkiewicz-Michaluk 2002), was found to be present in neuro-intermediate lobe (NIL) as well as median eminence (ME) extracts of male, intact and ovariectomized female rats (Toth et al. 2001). Salsolinol concentration has been shown to be elevated during situations when prolactin secretion is increased from the anterior lobe of the pituitary gland (Toth et al. 2001), and salsolinol binds specifically with the pituitary cells of lactating rats (Toth et al. 2002, Homicsko et al. 2003).
More recently, it has been shown that a cAMP-coupled mechanism is probably involved in the prolactin-releasing action of salsolinol at the level of lactotrophs (Randai et al. 2005). In the current study, we hypothesized that salsolinol, as a putative neurotransmitter, was present in the infundibular nucleus IN/ME of lactating ewes and that its extracellular concentration in the IN/ME could increase in response to suckling stimulus in a manner similar to plasma concentration of prolactin. In addition, the secretion of growth hormone (GH) was monitored throughout the experiment since both prolactin and GH are known to respond to suckling (Wehrenberg & Gaillard 1989, Negro & Marnet 2002).

Materials and Methods

Animals and managements

All animal procedures were conducted in accordance with the Polish Guide for the Care and Use of Animals (1997) and approved by the Local Ethics Committee.

Seven mature ewes (3- to 4-year-old) were mated naturally in September and lambed in the following February. They were maintained indoors in individual pens under natural lighting conditions (52° N, 21° E). The animals were fed twice daily a diet formulated to provide 100% of the National Research Institute of Animal Production recommendations for pregnancy and lactation (Norms 1993) with water available ad libitum. All ewes were implanted bilaterally (left and right) with a stainless steel guide canullae (1.4 mm o.d.) into the IN/ME during the second month of pregnancy. The implantation was performed under general anaesthesia, through a drill hole in the skull, in accordance with the stereotaxic co-ordinate system for sheep hypothalamus (Welento et al. 1969) and procedure described by Traczyk & Przekop (1963), positions: frontal, 29.5–31 mm and sagittal, 1-2 mm. The guide canullae were fixed to the skull with stainless steel screws and dental cement. The external opening to the canal was closed with a stainless steel cap. The placement of the push–pull cannula was confirmed after slaughtering (after weaning), by the infusion of a small volume of blue ink.

Experimental design

Perfusions of the IN/ME were made with the Ringer–Locke solution by the push–pull method (Misztal et al. 2004). All ewes were perfused twice (two sides) with a 3-day interval between 28 and 32 days of lactation. The tubes for perfusates contained 50 µl of 0.1 mM ascorbic acid, an antioxidant for catecholamines and were kept in an ice bath during sampling. The flow rate was 7 µl/min and the volume of one perfusate collected during the 30-min period was about 250 µl. The total time of perfusion was 6 h, including a pre-perfusion period from 0900 to 1000 h to eliminate the changes in catecholamines release caused by insertion of the push–pull cannula and the collecting period from 1000 to 1500 h. The collecting period in every ewe consisted of control non-suckling period, from 1000 to 1230 h (five perfusates), and suckling period, from 1230 to 1500 h (next five perfusates). Immediately after filling, the tubes were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C until assayed for salsolinol and DA.

During the experiment, nursing sheep were kept together with their lamb(s) in comfortable cages, where they could lie down and had unrestrained access to hay. Lamb(s) had restrained access to mother’s udder from 0900 to 1230 h, having a visual and tactile contact in front of mother. After this time, lamb(s) were allowed to suck mother’s milk (always from the sixth perfusate). The suckling was then monitored to the end of the experiment. Simultaneously, blood samples were collected from 1000 to 1500 h at 10-min intervals, through a catheter inserted into the jugular vein a day before the experiment. After centrifugation in heparinized tubes, plasma was stored at −20°C until prolactin and GH assay. Additionally, in four selected ewes, perfusion was performed 10 weeks after weaning. All perfusions were done with calibrated 1·0 ml gas-tight syringes and a CMA/100 microinjection pump (Stockholm, Sweden).

Post-mortem localization of the push–pull cannula revealed that in four ewes the perfusion site was located just behind the optic chiasm, in the anterior part of the IN/ME and in three ewes in the posterior part of the IN/ME (Fig. 1). The tip of the push–pull cannula inserted on the day of perfusion was placed within the IN on each side of the brain. Because an area of about 1·5 mm in diameter – IN and some part of ME proximate to IN – could be perfused, these formations were considered as the site of perfusion. Four series of perfusates (n = 4) collected from the anterior part of the IN/ME (unilateral collection from four ewes) and...
six series of perfusates \((n=6)\) collected from the posterior part (bilateral collection from three ewes) were taken to be analyzed.

**Analytical techniques**

The concentrations of salsolinol as well as DA in the perfusates were analyzed using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with electrochemical detection (Tomaszewska-Zaremba et al. 2002). Per fusates were centrifuged for 15 min and filtered through a 0.22 \(\mu\)m membrane GVWP; 50 \(\mu\)l aliquots of each filtrate were injected into a LC-18-DB (15 cm \(\times\) 4.6 mm ID, 5 \(\mu\)m) Supelco column protected by a superguard LC-18-DB 5 \(\mu\)m Supercosil, 2 cm precolumn. The column was coupled with an electrochemical detector (Hewlett Packard HP 1049 A Programmable Electrochemical Detector, Santa Clara, CA, USA) equipped with a glassy carbon working electrode and Ag/AgCl reference electrode. The oxidative potential of the electrochemical detector was 0.650 V. Samples were eluted isocratically with a mobile phase consisting of 0.01 mol/l NaCl, 0.001 mol/l EDTA, 53–55 mg/l octal sulphate sodium salt, 12% CH\(_3\)OH; the pH of the mobile phase was 3.6. The mobile phase was filtered through a 0.22 \(\mu\)m GVWP membrane and degassed under vacuum with ultrasonic agitation. The flow rate was 0.8 ml/min. Stock solutions of standards were stored at \(-20^\circ\)C. The limits of detection were 10 pg/50 \(\mu\)l for salsolinol and 5 pg/50 \(\mu\)l for DA.

The concentration of prolactin in plasma was assayed by the RIA double-antibody method, using anti-ovine prolactin and antirabbit \(\gamma\)-globulin antisera as described by Wolińska et al. (1977). The prolactin standard was synthesized and kindly provided by Prof Kazimierz Kochman from our Institute (Kochman & Kochman 1977). The assay sensitivity for prolactin was 2 ng/ml and the intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variation were 9 and 12\% respectively.

The concentration of GH in plasma was assayed by the RIA double-antibody method, using anti-bovine GH and antirabbit \(\gamma\)-globulin antisera as described by Dvorak et al. (1978). The assay sensitivity for GH was 0.6 ng/ml and the intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variation were 5.9 and 10.2\% respectively.

**Statistical analysis**

Because of the different localization of dopaminergic nuclei in the ovine hypothalamus (Thiery 1991), the statistical analysis for salsolinol was done separately for the anterior \((n=4)\) and posterior \((n=6)\) parts of the IN/ME, while the changes in the concentrations of prolactin and GH were analyzed together \((n=10)\) due to the same protocol of the experiment used for all ewes. The effect of suckling on salsolinol concentration was first examined by ANOVA for repeated measurements and then for consecutive perfusates by one-factor ANOVA followed by the Tukey’s post hoc test (Statistica, StatSoft, Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA). The effect of suckling on the secretion of prolactin and GH was examined by ANOVA for repeated measurements. The Tukey’s post hoc test was used for comparisons of plasma prolactin and GH concentrations between the 30-min periods, corresponding to the consecutive perfusates. All data are expressed as means \(\pm\) S.E.M.

**Results**

**Suckling**

Episodic suckling was observed during the second part of perfusion, from 1230 to 1500 h, when lamb(s) were allowed to suck mother’s milk. However, the most intensive suckling occurred at the beginning (first 30 min) and during the last hour of this period.

**Perfusate salsolinol and DA concentrations**

The means \((\pm\) S.E.M.) concentration of salsolinol in perfusates collected from the anterior part of the IN/ME \((n=4)\) during the non-suckling period was 140.92 \(\pm\) 26.67 pg/50 \(\mu\)l perfusate and increased significantly \((P<0.05)\) during the suckling period to 293.42 \(\pm\) 47.84 pg/50 \(\mu\)l perfusates. Due to high fluctuations in the release of salsolinol in the individual animals, there were no significant differences in the mean concentrations of this compound between the consecutive perfusates. However, a clear tendency for an increase in the salsolinol concentration was visible, at least during the first hour of suckling (sixth and seventh perfusate, Fig. 2).

The concentration of salsolinol in perfusates collected from the posterior part of the IN/ME \((n=6)\) during the non-suckling period was 13.32 \(\pm\) 2.90 pg/50 \(\mu\)l perfusate and increased significantly \((P<0.001)\) during the suckling period to 96.70 \(\pm\) 5.52 pg/50 \(\mu\)l perfusates. The mean concentrations of salsolinol in consecutive perfusates collected from the posterior part during suckling were significantly \((P<0.05, P<0.01\) and \(P<0.001)\) higher than the concentrations noted in perfusates collected before suckling (Fig. 2). Interestingly, the extracellular content of salsolinol in the posterior part of the IN/ME was lower than that in the anterior part. The mean patterns of salsolinol release detected in both parts of the IN/ME reflect the different salsolinol responses to suckling stimulus in individual ewes, as shown in Fig. 3.

While DA was not detected in perfusates collected from the anterior part of the IN/ME, only vestigial amounts of DA were found in a few individual perfusates collected from the posterior part of the IN/ME during the non-suckling period (data not shown). On the contrary, salsolinol was not detected in the perfusates collected from the IN/ME of ewes \((n=4)\) 10 weeks after weaning (seasonal anoestrus), while the mean DA concentration in particular ewes ranged from 35.66 \(\pm\) 7.51 to 90.0 \(\pm\) 36.40 pg/50 \(\mu\)l of perfusate.
The mean plasma prolactin concentration during the non-suckling period was 93.35 ± 2.91 ng/ml and increased significantly (P < 0.001) during the suckling period to 146.10 ± 5.84 ng/ml. Prolactin concentration increased significantly (P < 0.01 and P < 0.001) during the first 10–30 min of suckling (corresponding to the sixth perfusate) and maintained on a high level to the end of the experiment, when compared with the concentrations noted during the consecutive 30-min periods before suckling (Fig. 4).

The mean plasma GH concentration before suckling was 4.42 ± 0.25 ng/ml and increased significantly (P < 0.001) during the suckling period to 7.58 ± 0.46 ng/ml. As in the case of prolactin, GH concentration increased significantly (P < 0.001) during the first 10–30 min of suckling period, when compared with the concentrations noted during the consecutive 30-min periods before suckling. Interestingly, marked increases in GH concentration corresponded to two periods of intensive suckling (P < 0.01 and P < 0.001, Fig. 4).

Figure 2 Mean salsolinol concentrations (pg/50 μl) in perfusates collected from the anterior (n = 4, top) and posterior (n = 6, bottom) parts of the infundibular nucleus–median eminence (IN/ME) of lactating ewes during the non-suckling (1000–1230 h, white bars) and suckling (1230–1500 h, grey bars) periods. Note that salsolinol concentration in the anterior part is higher than in the posterior part of the IN/ME. ab, P < 0.05; ac, P < 0.01; ad, P < 0.001.

Figure 3 Representative patterns of salsolinol release within the infundibular nucleus–median eminence (IN/ME) in individual lactating ewes during the non-suckling (1000–1230 h) and suckling (1230–1500 h, grey arrows) periods: one represents an anterior part (black circles) and two other represent a posterior part (white and grey circles) of the IN/ME.

Figure 4 Mean plasma concentrations of prolactin (top) and GH (bottom) in lactating ewes (n = 10) during the consecutive 30-min periods from 1000 to 1500 h: non-suckling period 1000–1230 h (white bars); suckling period 1230–1500 h (grey bars). Blood samples collected every 10 min. ab, P < 0.05; ac, P < 0.01; ad, P < 0.001.
Suckling of the nipple by the neonate or powerful prolactin stimulus, involved in maintaining a milking is one of the most important factors, providing a concentration of prolactin and in part to these in GH increase in prolactin and GH concentrations which corresponded to periods of intensive suckling (see above).

**Discussion**

This study provides the first data on the presence of salsolinol, a derivative of DA, in the hypothalamus of lactating ewes. As hypothesized, an increase in the extracellular concentration of this compound within the IN/ME occurred in response to suckling, and it was closely related to the changes in plasma concentration of prolactin and in part to these in GH concentration.

The role of prolactin and GH in maintenance of lactation in sheep is well established. In this species, both these hormones seem to be important for galactopoiesis process (Hooley et al. 1978, Tucker 1994). Suckling of the nipple by the neonate or milking is one of the most important factors, providing a powerful prolactin stimulus, involved in maintaining a prolonged state of hyperprolactinaemia (Grattan 2002). Investigating a temporal course of prolactin and GH secretion in the individual ewes, our data show a high similarity to those obtained on lactating rats (Saunders et al. 1976, Riskind et al. 1984, Wehrenberg & Gaillard 1989) or cows (Negrão & Marnet 2002). It has been proposed that suckling or milking works by decreasing prolactin-inhibiting factor (DA) from the hypothalamus, and thereby increasing prolactin levels. They may also act to increase the effectiveness of any putative PRF.

For years, the attention has been focused on the activity of the NEDA system in lactating females. Classically, the NEDA system secretes DA, a dominant suppressor of the synthesis and release of prolactin. An activity of the NEDA is under feedback control by prolactin, creating together a regulatory feedback mechanism (Freeman et al. 2000). Prolactin through binding to its receptors specifically activates signal transducer and activator of transcription 5b (STAT5b) in dopaminergic neurons, leading to the increased expression and activity of tyrosine hydroxylase, the rate-limiting enzyme in DA synthesis (Ma et al. 2005a,b). During lactation, dopaminergic neurons are unresponsive to prolactin (Arbogast & Voogt 1996), which results from reduced STAT5b signalling due to increased expression of cytokine-inducible SH2 domain-containing protein (CIS; Anderson et al. 2006). This last molecule is a member of the family of proteins known to negatively regulate prolactin signalling. This study demonstrated that in lactating rats, CIS level in dopaminergic, neurons increased in the presence of suckling pups (Anderson et al. 2006).

There is a growing number of experimental data suggesting that the dopaminergic regulatory process of prolactin secretion during lactation may be mediated by salsolinol. This catecholisoquinoline may be synthesized directly from DA and acetaldehyde or indirectly, forming salsolinol-1-carboxylic acid and further to salsolinol (Naoi et al. 1996, 2002). Although not all enzymes of an indirect pathway of salsolinol synthesis are known, this compound and its metabolites can be detected in many areas of the brain that are also rich in DA (Toth et al. 2001, Naoi et al. 2002). In the current study, we have shown that salsolinol is present in the IN/ME of lactating ewes. A higher concentration of salsolinol and its variability found in the anterior than the posterior part of the IN/ME suggest that the main source of this compound may be the dopaminergic cells from the IN A12 and/or these from the retrochiasmatic area (Thiery 1991). Our data did not show, however, a direct proof for salsolinol synthesis in these dopaminergic nuclei. So far, the presence of salsolinol in the ME has been shown in rats (Toth et al. 2001). Musshoff et al. (2000), studying human brain areas involved in the aetiology of alcoholism and Parkinsonism have also found salsolinol present in the hypothalamus. Also, NIL of the pituitary gland in rats (Toth et al. 2001) and more recently the posterior pituitary in bovine (Hashizume et al. 2008) have been indicated as the sites of salsolinol detection. However, salsolinol found in bovine pituitary extract seemed to originate from the hypothalamic dopaminergic neuron terminals rather than be synthesized in the gland. The presence of salsolinol in the IN/ME of our ewes does not preclude that it can be detected within the ovine posterior pituitary, although efferent projections of the NEDA system have also been found in this structure (Gayrard et al. 1995). Interestingly, in our lactating ewes, no DA or only slight amounts of DA were detected in...
catecholamines are involved in the modulation of the release of GH and/or somatostatin. The evidence exists that salsolinol may play the role of PRF. Especially, during intensive suckling stimulus following 4-h separation. They also demonstrated that salsolinol can elevate prolactin release in pituitary cell cultures as well as in hypophysectomized rats bearing anterior lobe transplants under the kidney capsule. Moreover, [3H]-salsolinol has been shown to bind specifically to the homogenate of the anterior lobe as well as NIL obtained from lactating rats (Toth et al. 2001, 2002, Homicsko et al. 2003). Recently, Hashizume et al. (2008) demonstrated that a single i.v. injection of salsolinol significantly and dose-dependently stimulated the release of prolactin in goats, and the intracerebroventricular treatment stimulated prolactin release in calves. Taken together, ours and other data show that in mammals, in specific physiological conditions, salsolinol may play the role of PRF. Especially, during lactation, salsolinol may mediate suckling-induced release of prolactin.

To our knowledge, there is no data linking hypothalamic salsolinol release with regulation of GH secretion. According to data of Toth et al. (2001) obtained in rats, salsolinol did not affect the secretion of other pituitary hormones, except prolactin. Our results only focus attention on some details in the pattern of GH secretion during the period of suckling. Although there was no relationship between the number of lambs nursed and salsolinol level, the prolactin response in ewes nursing twins was more potent than in ewes nursing a single lamb. Toth et al. (2001) found that salsolinol concentrations in NIL of lactating rats revealed parallel increases with plasma prolactin in response to a brief suckling stimulus following 4-h separation. They also demonstrated that salsolinol can elevate prolactin release in pituitary cell cultures as well as in hypophysectomized rats bearing anterior lobe transplants under the kidney capsule. Moreover, [3H]-salsolinol has been shown to bind specifically to the homogenate of the anterior lobe as well as NIL obtained from lactating rats (Toth et al. 2001, 2002, Homicsko et al. 2003). Recently, Hashizume et al. (2008) demonstrated that a single i.v. injection of salsolinol significantly and dose-dependently stimulated the release of prolactin in goats, and the intracerebroventricular treatment stimulated prolactin release in calves. Taken together, ours and other data show that in mammals, in specific physiological conditions, salsolinol may play the role of PRF. Especially, during lactation, salsolinol may mediate suckling-induced release of prolactin.

To our knowledge, there is no data linking hypothalamic salsolinol release with regulation of GH secretion. According to data of Toth et al. (2001) obtained in rats, salsolinol did not affect the secretion of other pituitary hormones, except prolactin. Our results only focus attention on some details in the pattern of GH response to suckling, which may relate to salsolinol presence within the hypothalamus. Similarly to prolactin, the secretion of GH increased during the periods of intensive sucking and what was interesting, GH rise was in some cases abrupt and more potent than that of prolactin. Participation of salsolinol in the regulatory process of GH release in lactating ewes, if possible, could affect its action on the neurons synthesizing GH-releasing hormone and/or somatostatin. The evidence exists that catecholamines are involved in the modulation of the release of these two neuropeptides (McMahon et al. 2001). It requires, however, further detailed research on the salsolinol action in ovine hypothalamus in different physiological stages.

In conclusion, our current study reveals that DA-derived salsolinol is present in the IN/ME of lactating ewes and that its extracellular concentration increases during suckling. Moreover, it supports the role of salsolinol as a neurotransmitter involved in the regulatory process of prolactin secretion at least during lactation.
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