IL1α and IL4 signalling in human ovarian surface epithelial cells
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Abstract

The human ovarian surface epithelium (hOSE) is a mesothelial layer that surrounds the ovary and undergoes injury and repair cycles after ovulation-associated inflammation. We previously showed that IL4 is a key regulator of progesterone bioavailability during post-ovulatory hOSE repair as it differentially up-regulated 3β-HSD1 and 3β-HSD2 mRNA transcripts and total 3β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase activity whereas it inhibited androgen receptor (AR) expression. We now show that the pro-inflammatory effect of IL1α on 3β-HSD1 expression is mediated by nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB), whereas its anti-inflammatory action on 3β-HSD2 expression is exerted via p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) and NF-κB signalling pathways. The anti-inflammatory IL4 effects on 3β-HSD1 and 3β-HSD2 mRNA expression are mediated through STAT6 and PI3K signalling networks. IL4 effects on AR and 3β-HSD2 expression involve the p38 MAPK pathway. We also document that IL4 up-regulates lysyl oxidase (LOX) mRNA transcripts, a key gene for extracellular matrix (ECM) deposition and inhibits IL1α-induced expression of cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) mRNA, a gene involved in breakdown of ECM, showing a further role in post-ovulatory wound healing. We conclude that IL1α and IL4 actions in the post-ovulatory wound healing of hOSE cells are mediated by different signalling transduction pathways. The p38 MAPK signalling pathway may have possible therapeutic benefit in inflammation-associated disorders of the ovary, including cancer.
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Introduction

The human ovarian surface epithelium (hOSE) is an epithelial layer of mesodermal origin that surrounds the ovary. This compartment of the ovary is considered the main source of epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC; Auersperg et al. 2001). Natural reproductive events such as ovulation, accompanied by inflammation and fuelled by pre- and post-ovulatory reproductive hormones (i.e. gonadotrophins, oestrogens, androgens and progesterone) are believed to protect from or promote the development of EOC (Fathalla 1971, Espey 1994, Risch 1998, Ness & Cottreau 1999). Identifying the local anti-inflammatory steroidal mechanisms that normally protect OSE at ovulation could facilitate novel molecular networks. IL4 effects on AR and 3β-HSD2 expression involve the p38 MAPK pathway. We also documented that IL4 up-regulates lysyl oxidase (LOX) mRNA transcripts, a key gene for extracellular matrix (ECM) deposition and inhibits IL1α-induced expression of cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) mRNA, a gene involved in breakdown of ECM, showing a further role in post-ovulatory wound healing. We conclude that IL1α and IL4 actions in the post-ovulatory wound healing of hOSE cells are mediated by different signalling transduction pathways. The p38 MAPK signalling pathway may have possible therapeutic benefit in inflammation-associated disorders of the ovary, including cancer.
Besides the role of IL1α in post-ovulatory wounding, we also reported that IL1α substantially induced both 3β-HSD1 and 3β-HSD2 mRNA expression along with total 3β-HSD protein and activity. Moreover, IL4 attenuated AR mRNA and AR protein levels without affecting expression of PR mRNA. These data established IL4 to have a role in the alleviation of inflammation and restoration of post-ovulatory stigma with potential therapeutic advantage in malignancy (Papacleovoulou et al. 2009b). IL4 is a T-lymphocyte-associated cytokine that is involved in several cell immune responses with a principal role in ameliorating inflammation-associated diseases such as cancer through inhibition of Th-1 responses (Nelms et al. 1999, Godfrey et al. 2000, Nagai & Toi 2000). IL4 action is mediated through its coupling to the IL4 receptor (IL4R) which is expressed in several immune cell types as well as in epithelial cells, including the ovarian cell surface (Burke et al. 1996). Transactivation of IL4R commonly results in activation of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K), ERK1/2 MAPK or signal transducers and activators of transcription protein 6 (STAT6) signalling pathways (Sun et al. 1993, Reichel et al. 1997).

We now elaborate the signalling transduction pathways that are involved in IL1α and IL4 effects on hOSE cells. We also report that IL4 suppresses IL1α-induced cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) mRNA transcripts and induces lysyl oxidase (LOX) mRNA levels, both key genes in extracellular matrix (ECM) degeneration and deposition, respectively, further supporting its fundamental role in the repair mechanisms of the ovarian cell surface.

**Table 1** Basic clinical profile of patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient no.</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Surgery</th>
<th>Reason for surgery</th>
<th>Cycle day</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Gene tested</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Oophorectomy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IL1α+IL4</td>
<td>3β-HSDs, AR, PR, LOX, COX-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>TAHBSO</td>
<td>Fibroids</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>IL1α+IL4</td>
<td>3β-HSDs, AR, LOX, COX-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>TAH</td>
<td>Fibroids</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>IL1α+IL4</td>
<td>3β-HSDs, AR, COX-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>TAHBSO</td>
<td>Fibroids</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>IL1α+IL4</td>
<td>3β-HSDs, AR, COX-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>DiagLapar</td>
<td>Pelvic pain</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>IL1α+IL4</td>
<td>3β-HSDs, AR, PR, COX-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>TAH</td>
<td>HMB</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>PD98059</td>
<td>3β-HSDs, AR, LOX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>TAH</td>
<td>Fibroids</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>PD98059</td>
<td>3β-HSDs, AR, LOX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>DiagLapar</td>
<td>Mid-cycle pain</td>
<td>(19) Luteal</td>
<td>PD98059</td>
<td>3β-HSDs, AR, LOX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>TAHBSO</td>
<td>HMB</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>SB203580</td>
<td>3β-HSDs, AR, LOX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>TAHBSO</td>
<td>Prophylactic</td>
<td>(21) Luteal</td>
<td>SB203580</td>
<td>3β-HSDs, AR, LOX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>DiagLapar</td>
<td>Pelvic pain</td>
<td>(15) Follicular</td>
<td>SB203580</td>
<td>3β-HSDs, AR, LOX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>TAH</td>
<td>Prophylactic</td>
<td>(22) Luteal</td>
<td>SB203580</td>
<td>3β-HSDs, AR, LOX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>TAHBSO</td>
<td>HMB</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>BAY117082</td>
<td>3β-HSDs, AR, LOX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>TAHBSO</td>
<td>Prophylactic</td>
<td>(21) Luteal</td>
<td>BAY117082</td>
<td>3β-HSDs, AR, LOX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>DiagLapar</td>
<td>Pelvic pain</td>
<td>(15) Follicular</td>
<td>BAY117082</td>
<td>3β-HSDs, AR, LOX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>DiagLapar</td>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>(9) Follicular</td>
<td>BAY117082</td>
<td>3β-HSDs, AR, LOX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>DiagLapar</td>
<td>Mid-cycle pain</td>
<td>(19) Luteal</td>
<td>LY294002</td>
<td>3β-HSDs, AR, LOX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>LapSter</td>
<td>Fibroids</td>
<td>(21) Luteal</td>
<td>LY294002</td>
<td>3β-HSDs, AR, LOX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>DiagLapar</td>
<td>Dysemenorrhoea</td>
<td>(4) Follicular</td>
<td>LY294002</td>
<td>3β-HSDs, AR, LOX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>DiagLapar</td>
<td>Dysemenorrhoea</td>
<td>(28) Luteal</td>
<td>Leflunomide</td>
<td>3β-HSDs, AR, LOX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>TAHBSO</td>
<td>Fibroids</td>
<td>(13) Luteal</td>
<td>Leflunomide</td>
<td>3β-HSDs, AR, LOX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>DiagLapar</td>
<td>Pelvic Pain</td>
<td>(9) Follicular</td>
<td>Leflunomide</td>
<td>3β-HSDs, AR, LOX</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TAH, total abdominal hysterectomy; TAHBSO, total abdominal hysterectomy and bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy; HMB, heavy menstruation bleeding; DiagLapar, diagnostic laparoscopy; NS, not specified due to irregular cycle, follicular/luteal phases for menstrual cycles ranging from 28 to 35 days.

**Materials and Methods**

**Subjects**

Primary hOSE cells were collected from 18 pre-menopausal women (mean age 36 years) who underwent surgery for non-malignant, benign gynaecological disorders, such as fibroids, heavy menstruation and pelvic pain. Written consent to obtain tissue was provided by all patients and the Lothian Research Ethics Committee (LREC) approved the project (project numbers 05/S1103/14 and 04/S1103/36). Clinical profile of each patient is listed in Table 1.

**Collection and culture of primary hOSE cells**

Ovarian surface epithelial cells were brushed from the ovarian surface and cultured as described previously (Rae et al. 2004a, Papacleovoulou et al. 2009b). ‘Flakes’ of hOSE cells from individual patients were then inoculated into donor calf serum pre-coated T75 flasks (Corning Inc. Glass Works, Corning, NY, USA) containing MCDB 105: M199 media (1:1 v/v), 15% (v/v) FBS, 2 mM l-glutamine, 50 μg/ml streptomycin and 50 IU/ml penicillin (all obtained from Life Technologies Inc., Sigma Chemical Co. and Cambrex, Berkshire, UK) in a humidified tissue culture incubator gassed with 95% air, 5% CO2 at 37 °C. Medium was refreshed every 7 days until cells reached confluence (2–4 weeks). A further advantage of 2- to 4-week cell culture in FBS-containing medium before experimentation was neutralisation of any disparity of different hormonal levels among individual patients that could be encountered as a result of cell collection at different stages of...
the menstrual cycle or different pathological conditions (Yong et al. 2002, Rae et al. 2004a). This step appeared essential, since the use of multiple patients to produce sufficient replicates for each distinct experiment allowed us to overcome restrictions in cell numbers along with limitations in long-term culture of cells from the same subject. Moreover, all the conclusions we make are based on the reproducibility of data obtained from at least three separate patients and therefore are potentially reflective of a broader in vivo physiology. The purity of epithelial cultures was confirmed with phase-contrast microscopy and by staining with a mouse monoclonal antibody that immunoreacts with cytokeratins 5, 6, 8 and 17 (Papacleovoulou et al. 2009b).

Experimental treatments

After the establishment of confluent cellular monolayers, the culture medium was removed and cells from an individual patient were washed with Dulbecco's PBS (DPBS; Sigma) and enzymatically dispersed by incubation in 5 ml trypsin/EDTA (Sigma) in Hank's balance salt solution (0·05% w/v trypsin, 0·5 mM EDTA) at 37 °C for 5 min. The resultant cell suspension was washed with 10 ml DPBS and centrifuged at 800 g for 3 min. Cell pellets were then re-suspended in 1 ml pre-warmed FBS-containing culture medium and the cell number counted using a haemocytometer. Cell viability was assessed using trypan blue (Sigma) staining exclusion criteria and ranged between 85 and 95%. Cell suspensions were adjusted to 3·5 × 10⁵ viable cells per well (35 mm) of six-well culture plates. After establishment of a cell monolayer (24 h), the culture medium was replaced with serum-free medium containing 0·01% (w/v) BSA instead of FBS for 24 h. Based on dose- and time-dependent data previously described (Rae et al. 2004a, Papacleovoulou et al. 2009a,b), cells were exposed to IL1α, IL4 (0·5 ng/ml; R&D Systems, Abingdon Science Park, Abingdon, UK) or both in the absence or presence of signalling pathway inhibitors (Merck Biosciences) for 48 h. Cells receiving vehicle (DMSO) served as controls.

Culture and experimental treatments of the OSE-C2 cell line

Given the limited numbers of primary hOSE cells that can be obtained, OSE-C2 cells were used as a model for signal transduction studies (Papacleovoulou et al. 2009a). Cells were enzymatically dispersed and seeded into six-well culture plates. Cell attachment was allowed for 24 h before serum starvation for another 24 h in culture medium with 0·01% (w/v) BSA, followed by experimental treatments with serial concentrations of IL1α and IL4 (0·5, 5·0 and 10 ng/ml) for 0, 15, 30, 60 min and 8 h to test activation of NF-κB and p38 MAPK signalling pathways.

Cell harvest, RNA purification and quantity analysis

After experimental treatments, the cell monolayers (six-well 35 mm plates) were washed twice with 1 ml DPBS. Homogenisation of cells was achieved by lysis in 0·35 ml guanidine thiocyanate-containing buffer (lysis buffer, RLT; Qiagen) supplemented with 0·01% (v/v) β-mercaptoethanol (Sigma) to allow release of intracellular RNA. The resultant cell lysate was transferred to a 2 ml eppendorf tube. Lysates were then processed for RNA purification using the RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen) as per manufacturer’s guidelines. Extracted RNA was quantified using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer (ND-1000, Nanodrop Technologies, Inc., Wilmington, DE, USA) as per supplier’s instructions.

Quantitative (q) reverse transcription real-time PCR

Two-step Taqman quantitative PCR (qPCR) was performed to measure the transcription levels of human β3-HSD1, β3-HSD2, AR, PR, LOX and COX-2 mRNA. DNase-treated RNA (200 ng/25 μl reaction) was reverse transcribed to cDNA using a first-strand cDNA synthesis kit (AB Applied Biosystems, Applera, UK) with random hexamers as the priming system. cDNA (2 μl) was used for qPCR, using commercial Applied Biosystems reagents. Each reaction was carried out in duplicate. Reaction setup followed by data analysis was performed as per manufacturer’s instructions (ABI Applied Biosystems). The primers and probes for β3-HSD1, β3-HSD2 and LOX transcripts were purchased pre-validated (Assay-On-Demand; Applied Biosystems), with specified amplification efficiencies of 100 (± 10%) with the slope C_T method (−3·32). Primers for AR and PR transcripts were those described earlier (Papacleovoulou et al. 2009b), while the primer/probe set for COX-2 were: forward 5′-CC-TTCTCTCTTGCTGCTGATG-3′, reverse 5′-AACCCTCATTGGACAGGAAGCT-3′ and probe 5′-FAM-TCGCCGACTCCTTGGGTTGACA-TAMRA-MGB-3′ (NCBI accession number U_04636) as described previously (Rae et al. 2004a). These also produced consistent amplification efficiencies within the range of 100±10% in multiplex analyses with 18S. A ribosomal 18S primer/probe set that was also purchased pre-validated with the slope C_T method (−3·32; Assay-On-Demand; Applied Biosystems) was also included and served as an internal reference control (18S C_T values were subtracted from target gene C_T values; ΔC_T). In each experimental set, the mean ΔC_T of the control (sample with no treatments) was subtracted from the mean ΔC_T of each sample (ΔΔC_T). Finally, the relative copy number between the control and each sample (fold change) was determined by the formula 2^{-ΔΔC_T}. Mean values reflecting the PCR cycle when the target transcript started to be accumulated relative to 18S (mean ΔC_T in a 40 cycle PCR) for basal transcript levels were 16±4±2 for β3-HSD1, 22±3 for β3-HSD2, AR 17±4±1 for AR, 21±8±2 for PR, 9±1±1 for LOX and 17±2±2 for COX-2. Samples were evaluated in 96-well plates using an ABI Prism 7900 Sequence Detector (Applied Biosystems). The specificities of the various primer/probe sets were examined in mRNA samples from a variety of human reproductive and adrenal tissue samples and no aberrant outcomes were noted. In the case of the HSD3B1
Effects of IL4 on steroid-related genes in IL1α-treated hOSE cells. Primary hOSE cells were treated with IL4 (0.5 ng/ml) in the presence or absence of IL1α (0.5 ng/ml) and quantitative real-time PCR was performed to measure 3β-HSD1 and 3β-HSD2 mRNA (A, b = P<0.05 and c = P<0.001) or AR and PR mRNA (B, b = P<0.05). Combined data of cells from five patients. Data are presented as mean±s.e.m. Multiple measures of ANOVA were used to identify statistically significance among different treatment groups. Bars with different letter symbols denote statistically different datasets.

Results

Effects of IL4 on steroid-related gene regulation in IL1α-treated hOSE cells

Effects of pro-inflammatory IL1α and anti-inflammatory IL4 on 3β-HSD1, 3β-HSD2, AR and PR transcriptional regulation were tested in treated and untreated hOSE cells (Fig. 1A and B). As expected, IL4 substantially increased 3β-HSD1 and 3β-HSD2 mRNA levels, whereas IL1α attenuated 3β-HSD1 and increased 3β-HSD2 mRNA transcripts. However, in IL4 plus IL1α (0.5 ng/ml) co-treated cells for 48 h, IL4 appeared to override the IL1α response of 3β-HSD1 and 3β-HSD2 mRNA (Fig. 1A, upper and lower panels, respectively; n=5, b = P<0.05 and c = P<0.001). Likewise, co-treatment did not alter IL4-attenuated AR mRNA (Fig. 1B, upper panel; n=5 and b = P<0.05). No effect on PR mRNA was observed with

Statistical analysis

All data from each experimental set were combined and presented as means±s.e.m. The numbers of replicate experiments are denoted by the number (n) of independent replicates (different passage numbers in the case of OSE-C2 cells and cells from separate patients in the case of primary hOSE cells) and are given in figure legends and text. Basic statistical analysis was performed by one-way ANOVA with the GraphPad Prism 4.00 software (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). Repeated measures ANOVA and Newman–Keuls post hoc testing were run for multiple comparisons. To avoid any potential bias yielded by transformations through the equation $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_T}$ for the optimisation of the relative copy number of the target genes compared with the untreated control sample (that is always set at 1), all statistics were performed at the dC_T level (subtraction of the 18S C_T value from the C_T value of the target gene), thus avoiding a situation of no variability about the mean of control groups. A P value <0.05 was taken as statistically significant in robust datasets. All P values given in the Results section reflect statistical difference relative to untreated control cells. Different superscript script numbers between column bars represent significance between different treatments.
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any of the cytokines either alone or in combination (Fig. 1B, lower panel).

Effects of MAPK signalling pathways in IL1α and IL4 mediation of 3β-HSD and AR mRNA expression

To examine if IL1α and IL4 exert their effects on 3β-HSD1, 3β-HSD2 and AR mRNA transcripts through activation of an ERK1/2 and/or a p38 MAPK-related pathways, hOSE cells were treated in vitro with 50 μM of PD98059, a selective inhibitor for ERK1/2 or 10 μM SB203580 that specifically inhibits the p38 MAPK pathway in the presence or absence of 0·5 ng/ml of IL1α or IL4 for 48 h (Bazaine et al. 2005, Moon et al. 2007).

Suppression of the ERK1/2 pathway did not affect IL1α-mediated (b = P<0·05) or IL4-elevated 3β-HSD1 and 3β-HSD2 mRNA levels (c = P<0·001; Fig. 2A and B respectively). The effects of the cytokines on 3β-HSD1, 3β-HSD2 and AR mRNA levels did not appear to involve ERK1/2 signalling pathways. Moreover, the same inhibitor did not affect the IL4-attenuated AR mRNA (Fig. 2C; b = P<0·05). Intriguingly, addition of the p38 MAPK inhibitor to hOSE cells did not further inhibit IL1α-decreased 3β-HSD1 mRNA levels and it did not affect the IL4-increased levels of 3β-HSD1 mRNA (Fig. 3A; n = 4, b = P<0·05 and c = P<0·001). Addition of the SB203580 p38 MAPK inhibitor significantly suppressed 3β-HSD1 and 3β-HSD2 mRNA. When added in combination with IL1α and IL4, induction of 3β-HSD2 mRNA levels (0·5 ng/ml) for 48 h were completely blocked (Fig. 3B; n = 4, c = P<0·05 and d = P<0·001), suggesting that p38 MAPK is indispensable for 3β-HSD2 but not 3β-HSD1 transcriptional regulation. IL4-suppression of AR mRNA was reversed when SB203580 was added in IL4-treated hOSE cells (Fig. 3C; n = 4 and b = P<0·05).

The role of the NF-κB signalling pathway in IL1α and IL4 mediation of 3β-HSD and AR mRNA expression

Considering that IL1α responses are commonly mediated by NF-κB–associated inflammatory pathways and given that IL1α plus IL4 (0·5 ng/ml) did not have an additive effect on the transcriptional regulation of 3β-HSD1, 3β-HSD2 and AR mRNA levels (Fig. 1), we tested the role of NF-κB pathway in IL1α and IL4 responses in hOSE cells. Effects of IL1α on 3β-HSD1 and 3β-HSD2 were blocked in the presence of 1 μM BAY117082 (Skurk et al. 2004), whilst IL4-induced 3β-HSD1 and 3β-HSD2 mRNA levels were not affected (Fig. 4A and B; n = 4, b = P<0·05 and c = P<0·001). The same inhibitor slightly but not significantly reversed IL4-attenuated AR mRNA (Fig. 4C; b = P<0·05).

The role of the PI3K signalling pathway in IL1α and IL4 mediation of 3β-HSD and AR mRNA expression

To test if IL1α and/or IL4 action involved the PI3K signalling transduction pathway to exert their effects on the transcriptional regulation of 3β-HSD1, 3β-HSD2 and AR mRNA expression, we suppressed PI3K signalling pathway activity with the selective inhibitor, LY294002 (10 μM; Fig. 5; Vlahos et al. 1994). Stimulatory effects of IL4 (0·5 ng/ml) on 3β-HSD1 and 3β-HSD2 mRNA levels were partially but significantly attenuated (Fig. 5A and B, respectively; n = 3, c = P<0·001 and d = P<0·01). Moreover, in vitro addition of LY294002 completely blocked IL1α-increased 3β-HSD2 mRNA with no effect on IL4-attenuated AR mRNA (Fig. 5B and C, respectively; n = 3 and b = P<0·05).

Figure 3 Effects of SB203580 on IL1α and IL4 actions. Primary hOSE cells were treated with IL4 or IL1α (0·5 ng/ml) in the presence or absence of 10 μM SB203580 and quantitative real-time PCR was performed to measure 3β-HSD1 (A), 3β-HSD2 (B) and AR (C) mRNA (b = P<0·05 and c = P<0·001). Combined data of cells from three separate patients. Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m. Multiple measures of ANOVA were used to identify statistical significance among different treatment groups. Bars with different letter symbols denote statistically different datasets.

Figure 4 Effects of BAY117082 on IL1α and IL4 actions. Primary hOSE cells were treated with IL4 or IL1α (0·5 ng/ml) in the presence or absence of 1 μM BAY117082 and quantitative real-time PCR was performed to measure 3β-HSD1 (A), 3β-HSD2 (B) and AR (C) mRNA (b = P<0·05 and c = P<0·001). Combined data of cells from four separate patients. Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m. Multiple measures of ANOVA were used to identify statistical significance among different treatment groups. Bars with different letter symbols denote statistically different datasets.
The role of the STAT6 signalling pathway in IL1α and IL4 mediation of 3β-HSD and AR mRNA expression

The STAT6 pathway is ubiquitously activated by IL4 and is the major component of transcriptional regulation of IL4-responsive genes (Reichel et al. 1997). Therefore, we suppressed the STAT6 pathway in vitro by treatment of hOSE cells with the STAT6 inhibitor, leflunomide (100 μM), in the presence or absence of IL1α or IL4 (0-5 ng/ml) for 48 h (Akiho et al. 2005). Stimulatory effects of IL4 on 3β-HSD1 and 3β-HSD2 mRNA levels were significantly reduced (Fig. 6A and B, respectively; n = 3, b = P<0.05, c = P<0.001 and d = P<0.01). On the other hand, IL4-reduction of AR mRNA (6C), along with IL1α-mediated 3β-HSD transcripts, was not affected.

Activation of NF-κB and p38 MAPK pathways in the OSE-C2 cell line

We previously reported that the OSE-C2 cell line responds similarly to primary hOSE cell cultures (Papacleovoulou et al. 2009a). Therefore, we tested potential activation of the inflammatory NF-κB pathway in response to IL1α and IL4 treatment on this cell line. Serial concentrations of IL1α at several time points resulted in activation of the p65 (NF-κB subunit) and p38 MAPK signalling pathways (Fig. 7). Phospho-p65 was activated at all IL1α concentrations tested. Activation appeared to fade after 60 min of treatment. The p38 MAPK appeared to be activated within 15 min of IL1α treatment but started to fade out after 60 min. On the other hand, IL4 did not affect the NF-κB pathway, but activated the p38 MAPK signalling pathway when examined at the 15 and 30 min time points (Fig. 7).

Roles of IL4 in ECM deposition

As expected, IL1α induced COX-2 mRNA, whereas IL4 did not affect it (Fig. 8A, upper panel; n = 5 and b = P<0.001). However, IL4 attenuated IL1α-induced COX-2 mRNA expression levels approximately twofold (Fig. 8A, upper panel, b vs c P<0.05). The treatment of primary hOSE cells with IL4 also resulted in the induction of LOX mRNA expression (Fig. 8A, lower panel; n = 5 and c = P<0.01). Moreover, the LOX mRNA increase appeared to be triggered by IL1α treatment as well (Fig. 8A, lower panel; n = 5 and b = P<0.05), confirming previous studies (Rae et al. 2004b). Nevertheless, IL4-induced LOX mRNA expression was more profound than that occurring with IL1α treatment. Importantly, p38 MAPK signalling appeared to mediate LOX mRNA transcriptional levels by IL4 and IL1α (Fig. 8B, upper panel; n = 4, b = P<0.05 and c = P<0.01), whereas NF-κB signalling pathway was not involved in IL1α-stimulated LOX mRNA expression (data not shown). Besides, the p38 MAPK signalling pathway, IL4-induced LOX mRNA was shown to be PI3K dependent (Fig. 8B, lower panel; n = 3, b, c = P<0.05 and d = P<0.01).

Discussion

Herein, we show a panel of signalling transduction pathways that are potentially involved in the effects of IL1α and IL4 on 3β-HSD1 and 3β-HSD2 transcripts. We also show that besides the role of IL4 in sustaining progesterone bioavailability and downstream action in hOSE cells (Papacleovoulou et al. 2009b), IL4 is also essential for COX-2 and LOX mRNA regulation, genes that are essential for ECM breakdown and deposition.
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involvement in cell death and cell apoptosis. Our data are
suggestive that, at least in hOSE cells, IL1α-induced p38 MAPK triggers apoptotic effects through induction of
transcriptional activity of apoptotic-associated genes such as
3β-HSD1 and 3β-HSD2 mRNA. Physiologically, follicular
rupture is followed by sloughing of the ovarian cell surface, a
process that is considered to be mediated by apoptosis
(Murdoch 1999). Accordingly, most of the IL1-regulated
genes that involve p38 MAPK are proteolytic (e.g. t-PA),
ECM-related (e.g. gelatinase; Funakoshi et al. 2001) or pro-
inflammatory (e.g. COX-2; Ogata et al. 2007). Intriguingly,
mRNA expression of these genes is altered in post-ovulatory
inflammation and tissue remodelling of hOSE (Murdoch
1999, Rae et al. 2004a, Gubbay et al. 2005). Besides, the pro-
inflammatory-related genes mediated by IL1α-induced p38 MAPK,
herein we show that transcriptional regulation of anti-inflammatory and apoptotic genes such as 3β-HSD1 is also possible, potentially reflecting a negative feedback loop
mechanism of IL1α action through which hOSE recovers from tissue damage. Further support of this concept is the fact that IL1α massively up-regulates 11β-HSD1 mRNA and activity in hOSE, thereby sustaining local anti-inflammatory glucocorticoid regeneration to counteract hOSE post-
ovulatory damage (Yong et al. 2002). Remarkably, IL1α-
induction of 11β-HSD1 mRNA is also reversed by the
SB203580 inhibitor (Rae MT, unpublished observations).
Moreover, the p38 MAPK pathway appeared to be indispensable for the anti-inflammatory responses of IL4 in
3β-HSD2 and AR transcriptional activity but not in 3β-
HSD1 mRNA expression, indicative that the same signal can result in differential regulation of target genes. This
concordance with the studies on IL1α-induced 3β-HSD2 mRNA indicates that transcriptional regulation of this gene involves p38 MAPK action. Interestingly, p38 MAPK is not notable as a universal signalling pathway for IL4 action but is cell type-dependent (Hunt et al. 2002).

The effects of the cytokines on 3β-HSD1, 3β-HSD2 and AR mRNA did not appear to involve ERK1/2 signalling pathways consistent with the mitogenic role of this group of MAPKs along with the proposed anti-inflammatory and pro-
apoptotic roles of 3β-HSD1 and 3β-HSD2 in hOSE cells. On the other hand, ERK1/2 activation by FSH and HGF to trigger cytoproliferation of hOSE has been described (Choi et al. 2002, Gubbay et al. 2004).

We are the first to show that a NF-κB pathway is involved in transduction of 3β-HSD1 and 3β-HSD2 mRNA by cytokines in hOSE cells treated with BAY117082. The involvement of this pathway in pro-inflammatory IL1α effects on 3β-HSD1 is consistent with the nature of NF-κB responses. However, this pathway also appears to be involved in the stimulation of 3β-HSD2 mRNA by IL1α. IL1α activated NF-κB to induce 3β-HSD2 and thus progesterone formation and action through PR could in turn abolish pro-inflammatory NF-κB responses. In support of this, it has been proposed previously that NF-κB and PR mutually suppress each other’s activity (van der Burg & der Saag 1996).

IL4-induced 3β-HSD1 and 3β-HSD2 mRNA levels were not affected by BAY117082, implying that IL4 exerts its anti-
inflammatory effects through antagonising IL1α-induced
pro-inflammatory effects. IL4 anti-inflammatory activities appear to be achieved through inhibition of the pro-inflammatory NF-κB responses and activation of pro-apoptotic p38 MAPK pathway. This is consistent with our findings in OSE-C2 cells, a cell line that was previously shown to behave similarly to primary hOSE cells (Papacleovoulou et al. 2009a), where IL4 treatment could induce phospho-p38 MAPK but not the phospho-p65 signalling pathway.

IL1α-induced 3β-HSD2 mRNA levels were also found to be a result of activation of the PI3K signalling pathway. Although the PI3K pathway is not the most common target of IL1R1 transactivation, recent studies in rat Sertoli cells suggest involvement of this pathway in IL1 signalling cascades (Riera et al. 2007). In addition, the PI3K pathway appeared to be a component in the effects of IL4 on 3β-HSD1 and 3β-HSD2 mRNA. This pathway is mainly involved in mitogenic activities of IL4, since it usually activates the AKT proto-oncogene that sustains cell proliferation and survival and blocks apoptosis (Franke et al. 1997). In support of this, IL4 is mainly secreted during the luteal phase of the menstrual cycle when ovarian tissue remodelling and repair of the stigma take place (Papacleovoulou et al. 2009b). Physiologically, IL4-stimulated 3β-HSDs through PI3K activation to induce local generation of progesterone in hOSE might be a mechanism through which IL4 monitors controlled proliferation of only integral epithelial cells. As such, genetically damaged cells undergo progesterone-associated apoptosis during post-ovulatory repair. Also, in breast cancer cell lines, PI3K signalling pathways were demonstrated to be an intermediate component of IL4-induced 3β-HSD activity (Gingras et al. 2000). On the other hand, impaired PI3K signalling at post-ovulatory repair might lead to dysfunction of transcription of PI3K-related genes. This could have profound implications in

the aetiology of ovarian cancer as links between amplification of PI3K components and EOC have been described (Mills et al. 2001, Wong et al. 2001).

The STAT6 pathway is ubiquitously activated by IL4 and is the major component of transcriptional regulation of IL4-responsive genes (Reichel et al. 1997). It is not clear from the present data if the multiple signalling pathways that participate in IL4 responses are parallel or complementary. Thus, STAT6 transactivation might involve PI3K activity as seen in the case of 3β-HSD1 or even p38 MAPK as seen in the case of 3β-HSD2 mRNA.

Surprisingly, the STAT6 and PI3K signalling pathways that are commonly activated by IL4 did not appear to be involved in IL4-attenuated AR mRNA expression. Despite the fact that IL4 can influence the regulation of AR, not only in hOSE cells, but also in other cell systems, there is no evidence of STAT6 involvement in these effects and there are also no reports of STAT6 recognition sites in the promoter of AR gene (Lee et al. 2003). A summary of the effects of the various inhibitors is depicted in Fig. 9.

In the presence of IL1α, IL4 attenuated IL1α-induced COX-2 mRNA expression levels approximately twofold, suggesting that IL4 inhibited prostaglandin synthesis during post-ovulatory repair, alleviating the degradation of connective tissue. At the same time, IL4 massively induced 3β-HSD mRNA and 3β-HSD protein and activity and thus capacity of local progesterone biosynthesis (Papacleovoulou et al. 2009b). Progesterone has also been documented to impede IL1α-stimulation of COX-2 mRNA levels (Rae et al. 2004a). It seems that IL4 directly impacts upon IL1α-stimulated COX-2 mRNA levels, but at the same time it promotes intracrine
generation of progesterone (through induction of 3β-HSD) that in turn suppresses IL1α-increased COX-2 mRNA expression (Rae et al. 2004a), indicative of a potential positive feedback loop mechanism.

The treatment of primary hOSE cells with IL4 also resulted in the induction of LOX mRNA expression. Proper transcriptional and translational regulation of LOX is fundamental for the deposition of ECM and the integral construction of the hOSE cell layer. Moreover, the LOX mRNA increase appeared to be triggered by IL1α treatment as well, confirming previous studies (Rae et al. 2004b). Nevertheless, IL4-induced LOX expression was more profound than that occurring with IL1α treatment, suggesting that LOX is more essential during post-ovulatory repair of the stigma. Importantly, combined effects of both cytokines were not additive, confirming our hypothesis of IL4-mediated inhibition of IL1α pro-inflammatory responses. In physiology, LOX mRNA expression in response to IL1α at the apex of ovulation is probably essential for minimisation of the injury of the inflamed tissue; however, a profound elevation during post-ovulatory repair secures regeneration of the ovarian cell surface on a fully structured connective tissue. This is further supported by the finding that IL4 abolished IL1α-elevated COX-2 mRNA expression levels that potentially reflects an attenuated synthesis of prostaglandins that participate in degradation of the ECM. In addition, we have shown that TGF-β1 up-regulated LOX mRNA in OSE-C2 cells (Papacleovoulou G, Critchley HOD, Hillier SG, Mason JI, unpublished observations, 2007), consistent with the anti-proliferative effects of TGF-β1 in hOSE cells (Choi et al. 2001). Accordingly, LOX has been demonstrated to be elevated after ovulation in perch (Langenau, et al. 1999), as well as in final follicle maturation in the rat ovary (Harlow, et al. 2003), indicating its dynamic role in post-ovulatory ovarian tissue remodelling. Collectively, all these responses further support the potential function of LOX in the early stages of wound healing processes and protection from malignant transformation/invasion. Actions of cytokines in COX-2 and LOX mRNA are summarised in Fig. 10.

Importantly, p38 MAPK signalling appeared to mediate LOX mRNA transcriptional levels by IL4 and IL1α, once again attesting to the anti-inflammatory nature of this signalling pathway at the ovarian cell surface. Furthermore, NF-κB signalling pathways were not involved in IL1α-stimulated LOX mRNA expression (data not shown). This is consistent with previous studies proposing that the tumour suppressive effects of LOX are mediated through inhibition of the NF-κB pathway and associated pro-inflammatory responses (Wu et al. 2007). Moreover, this agrees with our suggestion that IL4 antagonises IL1α pro-inflammatory responses through inhibition of NF-κB and activation of the p38 MAPK pathway. Besides the p38 MAPK signalling pathway, mediation of IL4-induced LOX mRNA by the PI3K pathway is consistent with its involvement in IL4-stimulated 3β-HSD1 and 3β-HSD2 mRNA expression in primary hOSE cells (Fig. 10).

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that IL1α and IL4 utilise different panels of signalling molecules to mediate 3β-HSD1 and 3β-HSD2 mRNA transcription, probably explaining their differential effects on these genes. The p38 MAPK appears essential for IL4 actions on 3β-HSD2, AR and LOX mRNA, establishing this signalling pathway as a fundamental regulator of post-ovulatory wound healing with prospective use to target inflammation-associated disorders of the ovary, including cancer.
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