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Abstract

During normal pregnancy, dramatically increased placental blood flow is critical for fetal growth and survival as well as neonatal birth weights and survivability. This increased blood flow results from angiogenesis, vasodilatation, and vascular remodeling. Locally produced growth factors including fibroblast growth factor 2 (FGF2) and vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGFA) are key regulators of placental endothelial functions including cell proliferation, migration, and vasodilatation. However, the precise signaling mechanisms underlying such regulation in fetoplacental endothelium are less well defined, specifically with regard to the interactions amongst protein kinases (PKs), protein phosphatase, and nitric oxide (NO). Recently, we and other researchers have obtained solid evidence showing that different signaling mechanisms participate in FGF2- and VEGFA-regulated fetoplacental endothelial cell proliferation and migration as well as NO production. This review will briefly summarize currently available data on signaling mediating fetoplacental angiogenesis with a specific emphasis on PKs, ERK1/2, AKT1, and p38 MAPK and protein phosphatases, PPP2 and PPP3.


Introduction


FGF2 is one of the most extensively studied members in FGF family, which consists of at least nine structurally related polypeptides (Klein et al. 1997, Powers et al. 2000). Not only is FGF2 expressed in endothelial cells but also it is thought to act as an autocrine factor. However, it is still not fully understood how FGF2 is released from the cells. The cellular response to FGF2 is mediated by binding and activating its high-affinity receptors that have cytoplasmic tyrosine kinase domains. Similar to FGF2, VEGFA is also a member of a family of structurally homologous growth factors with a potent angiogenic activity for vascular endothelial cells (Ferrara et al. 2003). Biological actions of VEGFA are initiated upon binding to its high-affinity receptors including VEGFR1 (Flt1) and VEGFR2 (Flk1/KDR; Gille et al. 2000, Ferrara et al. 2003). VEGFR2 is the major signal transducer of VEGFA, responsible for mediating VEGFA-stimulated major steps of angiogenesis (endothelial cell proliferation and migration) and vasodilatation, whereas VEGFR1 may inhibit VEGFR2-mediated endothelial functions (Gille et al. 2000, Ferrara et al. 2003). However, knocking down either of these receptors in the mouse impairs vascular growth and development during the early embryonic stage, ultimately leading to embryonic death, indicating that both VEGFR1 and VEGFR2 are important for vascular formation and growth during early embryonic stage (Fong et al. 1995, Shalaby et al. 1995).
Over the past decade, it has become clear that in addition to its vasodilatory activity, NO serves as a downstream signaling mediator regulating FGF2- and VEGFA-stimulated angiogenesis (Ziche et al. 1993, 1994, 1997a,b, Arnal et al. 1996, Noiri et al. 1997, Babaei et al. 1998, Murohara et al. 1998, Fulton et al. 1999, Morales-Ruiz et al. 2000). However, it is still not well defined what the signaling pathways are participating in FGF2- and VEGFA-promoted placental angiogenesis and how NO modulates placental angiogenesis.

**In vitro cell models**

As significant improvements in methodology have occurred over the past two decades (Manjunath et al. 2009, Morris 2009), it has become possible to analyze the role of individual signaling molecule in vivo. For example, it is now possible to specifically knock down an individual signaling component in a selected tissue or cell type beyond the embryonic stage using lentivirus-mediated RNA interference in vivo. However, owing to the complexity of signaling networks and technical difficulties, it is still extremely challenging to dissect individual signaling induced by a single factor in tissues and cells in vivo, specifically since the same signaling pathway could be activated simultaneously by a number of humoral (i.e. steroid hormone and peptide growth factors; Klein et al. 1997, Powers et al. 2000) and physical factors (i.e. shear stress and circumferential stress; Li et al. 2003, 2004, Osol & Mandala 2009, Sprague et al. 2009, 2010). Hence, most of our current knowledge on signaling mechanisms governing placental endothelial cell functions has been built on in vitro cell models.

It is well established that under normal physiological states, angiogenesis in vivo primarily occurs in microvasculature consisting of arterioles, capillaries, and venules, suggesting that endothelial cells isolated from these microvascular beds are the best cell models for studying placental angiogenesis. Indeed, over the last two decades, great efforts have been made to obtain microvascular endothelial cells from human placentas (Challier et al. 1995, Kacemi et al. 1997, Ugele & Lange 2001, Lang et al. 2003, Dye et al. 2004). These placental micro- and macrovascular (i.e. human umbilical and chorionic plate arteries and veins) endothelial cells differ in their embryological origins. For example, the villous capillary endothelia are generated de novo from mesodermally derived hemangioblastic cells in early placental villi, and the chorionic plate artery endothelia are derived from allantoic vessels in the connecting stack during early embryonic stage (Benirschke et al. 2006a,b, Wang & Zhao 2010). In addition, these placental microvascular endothelial cells could differ significantly from those generated from macrovessels (i.e. human umbilical veins) in their morphologies and in their responses to certain growth factors such as placental growth factor, but not to others such as FGF2 and VEGFA (Lang et al. 2003). These placental microvascular endothelial cells reportedly were enriched either from digesting enzyme effluents perfused through placentas via a chorionic artery (Lang et al. 2003) or from enzyme solutions applied to digest placental tissues or terminal villous vessels (Challier et al. 1995, Kacemi et al. 1997, Ugele & Lange 2001, Wang et al. 2003, Dye et al. 2004). These cell preparations, however, were inevitably composed of mixed populations of endothelial cells from both macro- and microvasculatures, possibly including all three types of microvasculatures (arterioles, capillaries, and venules). Specifically, the exact origin of these endothelial cells was hard to confirm due to the lack of specific markers for identifying or separating macro- or micro-vascular endothelium or each individual microvascular endothelium. Given the fact that different origins of endothelia are highly heterogeneous in global gene expression profiles, possibly leading to different cell phenotypes including morphologies, growth rates, and responses to stimuli (Chi et al. 2003, Lang et al. 2003, 2008, Aitebaono et al. 2008, dela Paz & D’Amore 2009, Rocha & Adams 2009), it is still questionable whether these mixed populations of cells could closely represent overall phenotypes of placental endothelial cells in vivo, especially after extensive expansion in vitro, during which cells derived from a specific locus are likely to become dominant.

On the other hand, endothelial cells isolated from relatively larger vessels, particularly placental arteries and veins (Zheng et al. 2005, Lang et al. 2008, Wang et al. 2009) as well as umbilical cord vessels (Chi et al. 2003) have been widely used for studying human endothelial functions because of their technical feasibility for obtaining a large number of cells with a high purity from a single type of vessel (Baudin et al. 2007). Since the cell proliferative responses to FGF2 and VEGFA were similar between endothelial cells isolated from the placental microvasculature and human umbilical veins (Lang et al. 2003), endothelial cells from those large vessels could be used as cell models for studying placental endothelial functions at least in regard to actions of FGF2 and VEGFA. Indeed, much of our current knowledge of regulation of human endothelial functions and signaling mechanisms has been obtained from these endothelial cell models.

**Protein kinases**

The cellular responses to FGF2 and VEGFA are mediated by activating their specific receptors that have cytoplasmic tyrosine kinase domains. Upon activation, these receptor-tyrosine kinases initiate a cascade of cellular protein phosphorylation by protein kinases (PKs), including ERK1/2, AKT1, and p38 MAPK (Cobb 1999, Boilly et al. 2000, Gille et al. 2000, Powers et al. 2000, Cross et al. 2003). ERK1/2, a threonine and tyrosine kinase, is phosphorylated and activated predominantly by MEK1/2 in the cytosol, translocates to the nucleus, and subsequently stimulates transcription of early response genes (Davis 1993, Blumer & Johnson 1994). AKT1 (also referred to as PKB) is a serine and threonine kinase, which is one major downstream target of PI3K. The MEK1/2/ERK1/2 and the PI3K/AKT1 signaling pathways are heavily involved in regulation of cell survival,
Figure 1 Effects of PD98059 and LY294002 on FGF2- and VEGFA-stimulated OFPAE cell migration. Cell migration was measured using a Multiwell BD Falcon FluoroBlok Insert System (8-0 μm pores, BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA). Cells were treated with 10 ng/ml of FGF2 or VEGFA in the absence or presence of PD98059 or LY294002 (1 h of pretreatment). Cells were counted after 16 h of treatment. (A) Representative images are shown, in which concentrations of PD98059 and LY294002 were at 40 and 6 μM respectively. Bar = 100 μm. (B) Data are expressed as means ± S.E.M. percentage of the controls. All data were analyzed using one-way ANOVA. When an F test was significant, data were compared with the control by Bonferroni’s multiple comparisons or Student’s t-test. *Significantly different from the control (n=5; P<0.05).
proliferation, and migration (Rousseau et al. 1997, Cobb 1999, Boilly et al. 2000, Gille et al. 2000, Powers et al. 2000, Vivanco & Sawyers 2002). p38 MAPK is also a serine and threonine kinase comprising at least four isoforms, α, β, γ, and δ, and is activated predominantly by MEK3/6. p38 MAPKα, β, and δ isoforms are ubiquitously expressed, whereas p38γ appears to be specially expressed in skeletal muscle. Activation of p38 MAPK was initially considered to be induced by environmental stress and inflammatory cytokines (Kyriakis & Avruch 1996); however, increasing evidence has shown that p38 MAPK also participates in growth factor-regulated cell functions including growth and migration (Boilly et al. 2000, Cross et al. 2003).

Activation of the ERK1/2, AKT1, and p38 MAPK is well known to play a critical role in FGF2- and VEGFA-stimulated endothelial cell proliferation and differentiation (Sa et al. 1995, D’Angelo et al. 1995, Matsumoto et al. 2002). However, the integration of these different kinases is extremely complicated in endothelium. It has been shown that activation of both ERK1/2 and PI3K is required for inducing a complete cell proliferation in response to FGF2, but not VEGFA in bovine choroidal endothelial cells (Zubilewicz et al. 2001). Similarly, activation of both ERK1/2 and p38 MAPK was also needed to induce FGF2-stimulated cell proliferation and migration in mouse spleen endothelial cells (Tanaka et al. 1999). These data suggest important mediation of parallel activation of both ERK1/2/PI3K and ERK1/2/p38 MAPK in growth factor-induced angiogenesis. On the other hand, inhibition of p38 MAPK has been shown to enhance VEGFA-induced angiogenesis, accompanied by prolonged ERK1/2 activation in human lung-derived microvascular endothelial (HLDME) cells (Isbrucker et al. 2003). Inhibition of p38 MAPK also promoted VEGFA-promoted endothelial cell survival, partially via enhancing activation of the PI3K/AKT1 pathway in bovine aortic endothelial (BAE) and human umbilical cord vein epithelial (HUVE) cells (Gratton et al. 2001). Thus, an antagonistic regulation between p38 MAPK and ERK1/2 or AKT1 may also be important for endothelial functions including angiogenesis.

In ovine fetal placental artery endothelial (OFPAE) cells that were derived from secondary and tertiary branches of umbilical cords of late pregnant ewes (Zheng et al. 2005), both FGF2 and VEGFA robustly induced activation of the ERK1/2, AKT1, and p38 MAPK pathways, which at least partially mediated FGF2- and VEGFA-stimulated cell proliferation and migration (Zheng et al. 1999, 2008, Wang et al. 2008, 2009, Liao et al. 2009, 2010, Song et al. 2009, Figs 1 and 2). Intriguingly, the MEK1/2/ERK1/2 and/or PI3K/AKT1 pathways differentially mediated the FGF2- and VEGFA-stimulated OFPAE cell proliferation and migration (Zheng et al. 2008, Figs 1 and 2). For example, inhibition of either the MEK1/2/ERK1/2 or PI3K/AKT1 pathway only partially attenuated the FGF2-stimulated cell proliferation, whereas it completely blocked the VEGFA-stimulated cell proliferation as well as the VEGFA-

Figure 2 Effects of SB203580 on FGF2- and VEGFA-stimulated cell proliferation and FGF2-stimulated cell migration in OFPAE cells. (A) A time course for FGF2- and VEGFA-induced phosphorylation of p38 MAPK. Note: 1) Both FGF2 and VEGFA (10 ng/ml) rapidly (~10 min) induced (P≤0.05) overall p38 MAPK phosphorylation; 2) FGF2 appeared to be much more potent in inducing p38 MAPK phosphorylation compared with VEGFA; and 3) FGF2 and VEGFA did not significantly alter protein levels of total p38 MAPK (p38). Data shown were collected after 10 min of FGF2 and VEGFA stimulation, pooled from all three p38 MAPK isoforms, and analyzed as described earlier. Data normalized to total p38 are expressed as means±S.E.M. fold of the controls. *Significantly different from the control (n=5; P≤0.05). (B) Effects of SB203580 on OFPAE cell proliferation and migration. Cell proliferation assay was carried out using a crystal violet method. Cells were treated with 10 ng/ml of FGF2 or VEGFA in the absence or presence of SB203580 (1 h of pretreatment). Cells were counted after 72 or 16 h of treatments, respectively, for cell proliferation and migration assays.
and FGF2-stimulated cell migration (Zheng et al. 2008, Fig. 1). Similarly, inhibition of p38 MAPK moderately suppressed the FGF2-stimulated cell proliferation and migration (Fig. 2), whereas it did not alter VEGFA-stimulated cell proliferation (Fig. 2) and migration (Liao et al. 2009, 2010). In addition, inhibition of MEK1/2 by PD98059 (a selective MEK inhibitor) significantly decreased FGF2- and VEGFA-induced p38 MAPK, but not AKT1 phosphorylation, whereas LY294002 (a selective PI3K inhibitor) and SB203580 (a selective p38 MAPK inhibitor) did not appear to alter ERK1/2 phosphorylation (Fig. 3). These observations suggest that activation of either the MEK/1/2/ERK1/2 or PI3K/AKT1 pathway only partially mediates FGF2-stimulated cell proliferation, but is sufficient to mediate the VEGFA-stimulated complete cell proliferation as well as FGF2- and VEGFA-stimulated complete cell migration in OFPAE cells (Zheng et al. 2008). Moreover, though activation of the p38 MAPK pathway critically mediated FGF2-stimulated cell proliferation and migration, it was not sufficient for mediating VEGFA-induced cell proliferation and migration in OFPAE cells (Zheng et al. 2006, Liao et al. 2010). These data imply that unlike the antagonistic roles of p38 MAPK in HLDME, BAE, and HUVE cells (Gratton et al. 2003), p38 MAPK plays a positive role in regulating FGF2-, but not VEGFA-stimulated angiogenic activities of OFPAE cells. It is noteworthy that distinct signaling pathways might differentially mediate endothelial cell responses to FGF2 and VEGFA in different origins of placental endothelial cells. For example, we have reported that the PI3K/AKT1 pathway, but not the MEK/ERK1/2 pathway, mediates FGF2-stimulated cell proliferation, whereas the MEK1/2/ERK1/2 pathway, but not the PI3K/AKT1 pathway, mediates VEGFA-stimulated cell proliferation in human placental artery endothelial (HPAE) cells (Wang et al. 2009). Thus, a complex signaling network may mediate placental angiogenesis via a parallel, synergistic, and/or antagonistic manner (Yashima et al. 2001), possibly depending on signaling pathways and other vascular beds.

**Protein phosphatases: PPP2 and PPP3**

After activation, PKs must undergo inactivation, returning to a status ready for the next stimulus. One such mechanism to inactivate PKs is to dephosphorylate these kinases by protein phosphatases. Reflecting the huge diversity and breadth of functions regulated by protein dephosphorylation, higher eukaryotes encode ~1000 protein phosphatase genes that can be classified into at least three families (Barford et al. 1998). Within each family, the catalytic domains are highly conserved, with functional diversity endowed by regulatory subunits. These protein phosphatases can also be cataloged into two major classes: tyrosine phosphatases and serine/threonine phosphatases, depending on their substrates (Liu et al. 2007). Serine/threonine phosphoprotein phosphatases (PPP), which specifically dephosphorylate phosphoserine and phosphothreonine residues, include PPP1, PPP2 (formally termed as PPP2A), PPP3 (PPP2B or calcineurin), and PPP1B (PPP2C; Aramburu et al. 2004, Wilkins & Molkentin 2004). PPP2, one of the most extensively studied members of PPP, is believed to make up most of total serine/threonine phosphatase activity in cells (Lechward et al. 2001, Sontag 2001). PPP2 consists of catalytic (C) and scaffolding (A) subunits, which can bind to at least another 18 regulatory (B) subunits to form a trimeric holoenzyme (see Lechward et al. (2001), Sontag (2001), Cho & Xu (2007), and Shi (2009) for details on PPP2 structures). In mammals, the subunit C of PPP2 has two major isoforms, α (PPP2CA) and β (PPP2CB), between which PPP2CA is the most abundant isoform with the mRNA level approximately tenfold more than PPP2CB in most tissues (Lechward et al. 2001, Sontag 2001). It is still unclear if these two catalytic subunits have distinct functions. However, PPP2CB cannot completely compensate for the absence of PPP2CA in early embryonic development, as knockdown of PPP2CA alone in the mouse leads to early embryonic death, mostly owing to impaired mesoderm formation (Gotz et al. 1998). PPP2 mediates a variety of essential cellular processes such as cell growth, protein synthesis, and metabolism (Lechward et al. 2001, Sontag 2001), which could be partially a result of dephosphorylating and inactivating PKs such as ERK1/2, AKT1, and p38 MAPK (Alessi et al. 1995, Camps et al. 2000, Janssens & Goris 2001, Silverstein et al. 2002, Lee et al. 2003, Liao & Hung 2004, Van Kanegan et al. 2005).

Similar to PPP2, PPP3 is also composed of catalytic and regulatory subunits, including three catalytic (α, β, and λ) and two regulatory (B1 and B2; Perrino et al. 2002, Aramburu et al. 2004, Wilkins & Molkentin 2004), among which α, β, and B1 are widely expressed in mammalian tissues, whereas

![Figure 3](https://example.com/image.png)

**Figure 3** Effects of PD98059, LY294002, and SB203580 on phosphorylation of ERK1/2, AKT1, and p38 MAPK induced by FGF2 and VEGFA in OFPAE cells. After 16 h of serum starvation, cells were treated with 10 ng/ml of FGF2 or VEGFA for 10 min in the absence or presence of PD 98059 (20 μM), LY294002 (5 μM), or SB203580 (10 μM; 1 h of pretreatment). Proteins (20 μg/lane) were subjected to western blotting using antibody against phospho-specific ERK1/2 (pERK1/2; 1:2000), AKT1 (pAKT1; 1:1000), or p38 MAPK (pp38; 1:1000).
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\( \lambda \) and B2 are primarily found in the testis and brain. The PPP3 \( \alpha \) (PPP3CA) appears to account for the majority (70–80\%) of total phosphatase activity of PPP3, while the \( \beta \) (PPP3CB) constitutes \( \sim 20–30\% \) (Im & Rao 2004). Like PPP2, PPP3 also participates in many cellular functions (e.g., immune responses and cardiac hypertrophy), partially via directly dephosphorylating members of nuclear factor of activated T cell (NFAT) transcriptional factors, which in turn could be modulated by ERK1/2 (Aramburu et al. 2004, Wilkins & Molkentin 2004). A role of PPP3 in mediating vascular development has been proposed as disruption of Ppp3r1, Nfatc3, and Nfatc4 genes in the mouse is embryonic lethal, due to impaired vascular development (Graef et al. 2001). This premise is further supported by the reports that suppression of PPP3 activity by its pharmacological inhibitor cyclosporin A (CsA) attenuated VEGFA-induced angiogenesis in HUVE cells (Hernandez et al. 2001) and intestinal microvascular endothelial cells (Rafiee et al. 2004). This inhibition by CsA was partially mediated by suppressing ERK1/2 and p38 MAPK activation (Rafiee et al. 2004, Farivar et al. 2005). Similar reciprocal relationships between PPP3 and ERK1/2 also have been reported in cardiomyocytes (Molkentin 2004) and in B cells (Gary-Gouy et al. 2006). However, in other types of cells, suppression of PPP3 activity could lead to completely opposite effects. For example, CsA has been shown to enhance ERK1/2 activation in human trophoblast cells (Du et al. 2007) and canine kidney epithelial cells (Kiely et al. 2003). Little is known about the mediation of PPP3 in activation of the PI3K/AKT1 cascade although it has been shown that PPP3 inhibition does not alter activation of the PI3K/AKT1 cascade in A549 cells (Wen et al. 2003).

The involvement of protein phosphatases in regulating endothelial functions has received much less attention compared with the PKs, particularly regarding the roles of PPP2/PPP3 in placental angiogenesis. Recently, we have identified the expression of PPP2CA and PPP3CA in OFPAE cells (Wang et al. 2008, Song et al. 2009). Nonetheless, suppression of PPP2CA protein expression by its specific siRNA did not significantly affect VEGFA- and FGF2-stimulated OFPAE cell proliferation (Song et al. 2009). On the other hand, knockdown of PPP3CA protein by its specific siRNA only moderately enhanced VEGFA-stimulated cell proliferation (~20\% increase), but not FGF2-stimulated cell proliferation. Thus, PPP3CA alone has a critical role in modulating VEGFA-stimulated cell proliferation, whereas PPP2CA alone does not have such a role in modulating both VEGFA- and FGF2-stimulated cell proliferation in OFPAE cells.

Failure of PPP2CA suppression to alter VEGFA- and FGF2-stimulated OFPAE cell proliferation is in disagreement with the previous reports showing that inhibition of PPP2 activity promoted cell motility in BAE cells and primary human mouth endothelial cells (Gabel et al. 1999, Young et al. 2002) and cell proliferation in BAE cells (Murata et al. 1996). In addition, although participation of PPP3 in VEGFA- but not FGF2-stimulated OFPAE cell proliferation was consistent with the previous reports using other endothelial cell types (Hernandez et al. 2001, Rafiee et al. 2004), the PPP3CA knockdown-enhanced VEGFA-stimulated OFPAE cell proliferation also contrasted with these previous reports (Hernandez et al. 2001, Rafiee et al. 2004). It is currently unknown whether different origins of endothelial cells cause these different or complete opposite actions of PPP3CA in modulating cell proliferation. Nonetheless, in those previous studies (Murata et al. 1996, Gabel et al. 1999, Hernandez et al. 2001, Young et al. 2002, Rafiee et al. 2004), inhibition of PPP2 and PPP3 activity was carried out by their pharmacological inhibitors (okadaic acid or cantharidin for PPP2 or CsA for PPP3). Given that the specificity of these pharmacological inhibitors is highly dependent on the doses, and relatively high doses of pharmacological PPP2 inhibitors were used in these studies, the effects of PPP2 and PPP3 inhibition on endothelial responses by their pharmacological inhibitors might result from suppression of multiple protein phosphatases. Moreover unlike these pharmacological inhibitors, which attenuate/block all catalytic subunits of PPP2 and PPP3, the siRNA used in OFPAE cells targeted only on \( \alpha \) of PPP2 and PPP3. This specific knockdown of a single catalytic subunit by the siRNA might cause upregulation of PPP2CB and PPP3CB to compensate the loss of phosphatase activity after PPP2CA and PPP3CA suppression, leading to differential modulation of different cell responses. Conversely, the different duration of PPP2 and PPP3 inhibition before growth factor stimulation can also contribute to such different cell responses caused by these pharmacological inhibitors (30 min–1 h) and siRNA (at least 16 h). This supposition is supported by a recent observation that chronic and acute inhibition of PPP2 induced the opposite regulation of ERK1/2 and AKT1 activation since the PPP2 siRNA induced chronic ERK1/2 and AKT1 hyperphosphorylation, downregulating signaling molecules upstream of Ras in response to growth factors including FGF2 (Van Kanegan et al. 2005). In OFPAE cells, suppression of PPP2CA and PPP3CA by their special siRNA did attenuate FGF2-induced ERK1/2 and AKT1 activation (Wang et al. 2008, Song et al. 2009). Thus, a negative feedback mechanism might be involved in PPP2CA and PPP3CA modulation of ERK1/2 and AKT1 activation induced by FGF2 in OFPAE cells.

The roles of protein phosphatases in the modulation of placental angiogenesis and underlying signaling are much more complicated than originally thought, as PPP2 and PPP3 differentially modulated the VEGFA- and FGF2-stimulated cell proliferation and signaling cascades in OFPAE cells (Wang et al. 2008, Song et al. 2009, Fig. 4). Moreover, protein phosphatases other than PPP2 and PPP3 such as MAPK phosphatase, and phosphatase and tensin homolog could play a more important role in differential modulation of ERK1/2, AKT1, and p38 MAPK activation in placental endothelial cells, ultimately regulating placental angiogenesis.
overexpression, Namba et al. 2003) ischemia models, as well as the considerably limited angiogenesis in eNOS knockout mice (Fukumura et al. 2001). Bussolati et al. (2001) have also proposed that VEGFR1 promoted formation of capillary networks in HUVE cells via NO, while inhibiting VEGFR2-mediated cell proliferation. Exogenous NO can also act as a crucial signal in the angiogenic response, in which NO promotes FGF2-induced endothelial cell differentiation into capillary tubes, while terminating the proliferative actions in both HUVE and calf pulmonary artery endothelial cells (Babaei et al. 1998). Thus, NO differentially regulates FGF2- and VEGFA-induced angiogenesis at different steps (i.e. proliferation/migration vs capillary tube formation).

Expression of eNOS and iNOS has been identified in the placenta of human, rhesus monkey, rat, and sheep (Conrad et al. 1993, Myatt et al. 1993, Zarlingo et al. 1997). In association with robust fetoplacental angiogenesis (Reynolds & Redmer 1995, Magnes & Zheng 1996), the NO level was increased in maternal circulation as pregnancy progresses in sheep (Vonnahme et al. 2005), and in late human pregnancy (Williams et al. 1997). In ovine cotyledons (fetal side of the placentome) during late pregnancy, eNOS, but not iNOS, was present in the fetal component of the placentome, primarily in microvascular endothelial cells in the villous core (Zheng et al. 2000), similar to the findings reported in the term placentae of rhesus monkeys, baboon, guinea-pig, rat, and sheep (Zarlingo et al. 1997). Together, with the observation that increased expression of eNOS but not iNOS protein runs parallel to increased total NO (nitrate and nitrite) production (Zheng et al. 2000), eNOS seems to be a predominant isoform of NOS responsible for the NO production in the fetal component of the placentome during late ovine pregnancy. In addition, these increases in eNOS protein expression and NO production in the fetal component of the placentome are temporally associated with increased placental vascular density and expression of FGF2 (Magnes & Zheng 1996, Zheng et al. 1997), supporting a critical role of NO in modulating fetoplacental angiogenesis.

Indeed, similar to the NO-mediated angiogenic responses in those endothelial cells reported (Ziche et al. 1993, 1994, 1997a,b, Parenti et al. 1998), exogenous NO (sodium nitroprusside (SNP), a potent NO donor) alone promoted OPFAE and HPAE cell proliferation (Zheng et al. 2006) and OPFAE migration (Liao et al. 2010). Of note, NO mediation of angiogenesis is highly dependent on NO levels. At relatively lower levels, NO might be pro-angiogenic as shown in OPFAE cells (Zheng et al. 2006, Liao et al. 2010) and in other endothelial cells (Fukuo et al. 1995, Hida et al. 2004), while at relatively high levels NO could act as a pro-apoptotic or anti-angiogenic factor (Kimura & Esumi 2003, Zheng et al. 2006). These seemingly contradictory observations are likely due to the reaction of NO with super-oxidants, which in turn forms peroxynitrite, causing...
cytostasis and apoptosis (Pacher et al. 2007, Frey et al. 2009). Interestingly, the stimulatory actions of exogenous NO on OFPAE cell proliferation and migration (Zheng et al. 2006, Liao et al. 2010) were not associated with increased mRNA expression of FGF2, VEGFA, or their major receptors (VEGFR1, VEGFR2, NP1, NP2, and FGR1; Zheng et al. 2006). This is contradictory to previous reports demonstrating existence of the VEGF/FGF2-NO reciprocal regulation between endothelial cells and the surrounding non-endothelial cells, including vascular smooth muscle cells, macrophages, keratinocytes, and tumor cells as described previously (Tuder et al. 1995, Dembinska-Kiec et al. 1997, Tsurumi et al. 1997, Ziche et al. 1997b, Frank et al. 1999, Dulak & Jozkowicz 2003, Namba et al. 2003, Zhang et al. 2003). In these studies, NO promoted angiogenesis via increasing VEGFA expression in the rat ischemic hindlimb (Namba et al. 2003) and brain (Zhang et al. 2003) or FGF2 expression in bovine coronary venular endothelium (Ziche et al. 1997b) or suppressing mRNA expression of VEGFA and/or its receptors (VEGFR1 and VEGFR2) in rat vascular smooth muscle (Tsurumi et al. 1997), in rat lung tissue (Tuder et al. 1995), and in rat renal mesangial cells (Frank et al. 1999). Thus, the observation that SNP-derived NO failed to alter expression of FGF2, VEGFA, and their major receptors in OFPAE cells cultured under standard cell culture conditions (37 °C, 5% CO2, 95% air; Zheng et al. 2006) implicates that the VEGF/FGF2-NO reciprocal regulation may not occur within placental endothelia, although such regulation may exist between placental vascular endothelia and smooth muscle cells. It is noteworthy that this discrepancy in such NO regulation could also be attributed to different NO donors used since they are known to differentially mediate the cellular responses, possibly due to differences in the amount and duration of NO generation (Dulak & Jozkowicz 2003). Moreover, in our studies, even after stimulated with VEGFA and FGF2 at physiological concentrations, OFPAE and HUVE cells under standard culture conditions produced much lower levels of NO (unpublished data) compared with those released from SNP at doses (1–10 μM) that stimulated OFPAE cell proliferation (Zheng et al. 2006). This suggests that under physiological conditions, NO generated by these endothelial cells might never reach dangerous levels, even when one assumes the existence of a positive feedback loop for VEGFA/FGF2 and NO between placental vascular endothelial and smooth muscle cells. This phenomenon could also be attributed to the fact that NO itself can negatively regulate eNOS dimerization, expression and/or activity in endothelial cells, possibly via S-nitrosylation, thereby decreasing NO production (Sheehy et al. 1998, Black et al. 1999, Ravi et al. 2004, Kopincova et al. 2011) and potentially preventing apoptosis.

What the downstream signaling is for exogenous NO-mediated angiogenesis is still poorly defined. We have found that an NO donor might activate different signaling pathways, depending on the origin of the cells utilized. For example, SNP induced activation of ERK1/2, but not AKT1 in OFPAE cells, whereas it activated both kinases in HPAE cells (Zheng et al. 2006). In addition, a previous report has also shown that NO donors (S-nitroso-1-gluthathione and S-nitroso-N-penicillamine) promote migration and angiogenesis of human and bovine endothelial cells via activation of the soluble guanylate cyclase (sGC)/cGMP/PJ3K/akt1 pathway (Kawasaki et al. 2003). However, OFPAE cells used (Zheng et al. 2006, Liao et al. 2010) did not have any detectable sGC activity and did not produce cGMP in response to SNP (Itoh et al. 1999). Thus, exogenous NO-stimulated angiogenic responses and NO-induced ERK1/2 activation (Zheng et al. 2006, Liao et al. 2010) are unlikely coupled to the sGC/cGMP pathway in OFPAE cells.


Activation of eNOS can be tightly regulated by multiple processes including phosphorylation and nitrosylation (Fulton et al. 2001, Boo & Jo 2003, Kopincova et al. 2011), the former having been recognized as critical for eNOS activation (Fulton et al. 2001, Boo & Jo 2003). Human eNOS can be phosphorylated on at least three residues: serine 116 (Ser116) and 1177 (Ser1177; Ser1179 in ovine and bovine) and threonine 495 (Thr495; Thr497 in ovine and bovine). Upon phosphorylation of these residues by the kinases, eNOS activity could be either enhanced (at Ser1177) or attenuated (at Thr495 and Ser116; Boo & Jo 2003). To date, one of the best-studied signaling pathways that mediate eNOS phosphorylation is PI3K/AKT1. It has been reported that VEGFA-activated PI3K/AKT1 directly phosphorylates eNOS Ser1179, leading to stimulating NO production, and
endothelial migration, proliferation, and capillary-like structure formation (Dimmeler et al. 1999, Fulton et al. 1999, Michell et al. 1999 Morales-Ruiz et al. 2000, Souttou et al. 2001). In OFPAE cells, shear stress-elevated NO production was associated with an increased NOS Ser1179 phosphorylation, which was blocked by PI3K inhibitors Wortmannin and LY294002, but not the MEK inhibitor U0126, suggesting that PI3K/Akt-eNOS Ser1179 is also a major signaling pathway for activating eNOS activity in OFPAE cells (Li et al. 2003, 2004). In contrast to Ser1177, the VEGFA-induced eNOS Ser116 phosphorylation was completely blocked by the PKC inhibitor calphostin, but not by either Wortmannin or U0126 (Kou et al. 2002). Thus, these data indicate that eNOS phosphorylation at different sites is controlled by a variety of PKs, coordinating eNOS activity and NO production.

Little is known about signaling pathways modulating eNOS dephosphorylation by protein phosphatases. It has been shown that PPP2 preferentially dephosphorylates eNOS at Thr497 and Ser1177, but not at Ser116, leading to deactivation of eNOS and impaired angiogenesis (Michell et al. 2001, Greif et al. 2002, Urbich et al. 2002, Leidi et al. 2010). Intriguingly, NO was also able to activate PPP2 to modulate chromatin folding in HUVE cells (Illi et al. 2008). Thus, the reciprocal interactions between protein phosphatases and NO might play a critical role in mediating placental angiogenesis.

Conclusions and perspectives

In conclusion, an increasing body of evidence either from our laboratories or other investigators has shown that FGF2 and VEGFA regulate fetoplacental angiogenesis via an extremely complex signaling network involving multiple of PKs and phosphatases as well as NO (Fig. 4). While much progress has been made, many difficult challenges still remain for dissecting signaling mechanisms underlying fetoplacental angiogenesis. For example, how can we isolate, establish, and maintain fetoplacental microvascular endothelial cell models with current and former graduate students (Drs Song Yang, Cai-feng Dai, Yi-Zhou Jang, and Mr Yan Li), and laboratory personnel (Ms Wen YX, Mr Austin JL, and Mr Phernetton TM) for their valuable contributions to this work. We thank Dr Ronald R. Magness for his critical reading of the manuscript. We also wish to acknowledge the financial support from the U.S. National Institutes of Health (HL64703 to J Z and HD38843 to Ronald R. Magness / J Z).
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