Embryonic stem cell-derived trophoblast differentiation: a comparative review of the biology, function, and signaling mechanisms

M Giakoumopoulos^{1,2} and T G Golos^{1,2,3}

¹Wisconsin National Primate Research Center, Departments of ²Obstetrics and Gynecology and ³Comparative Biosciences, University of Wisconsin–Madison, 1223 Capitol Court, Madison, Wisconsin 53715-1299, USA

Correspondence should be addressed to T G Golos
Email
golos@primate.wisc.edu

Abstract

The development of the placenta is imperative for successful pregnancy establishment, yet the earliest differentiation events of the blastocyst-derived trophoblast that forms the placenta remain difficult to study in humans. Human embryonic stem cells (hESC) display a unique ability to form trophoblast cells when induced to differentiate either by the addition of exogenous BMP4 or by the formation of cellular aggregates called embryoid bodies. While mouse trophoblast stem cells (TSC) have been isolated from blastocyst outgrowths, mouse ESC do not spontaneously differentiate into trophoblast cells. In this review, we focus on addressing the similarities and differences between mouse TSC differentiation and hESC-derived trophoblast differentiation. We discuss the functional and mechanistic diversity that is found in different species models. Of central importance are the unique signaling events that trigger downstream gene expression that create specific cellular fate decisions. We support the idea that we must understand the nuances that hESC differentiation models display so that investigators can choose the appropriate model system to fit experimental needs.

Key Words

- Placenta
- Stemcell
- Embryo
- Pregnancy

Journal of Endocrinology
(2013) **216**, R33–R45

Introduction

Theories of embryological development date back to Aristotle's time (382–322 B.C.) with the concept of epigenesis, where it was thought that the embryo developed from an amorphous mass derived from the mother. Aristotle believed that the male contribution of sperm was what gave the soul to this mass and helped guide development (Aristotle, translated by Peck (1943)). Other early thinkers believed in the preformationist theory where a mini-individual (homunculus) existed within the germ cell and initiated embryonic development (Magner 2002). While current knowledge has

advanced beyond these early hypotheses, a deeper understanding of the events in early embryogenesis and the key regulators involved in the establishment of a healthy pregnancy remains a goal only incompletely realized. Early pregnancy loss is thought to occur in 10–25% of all clinically recognized pregnancies, and preeclampsia and other hypertensive disorders that can be linked to placental biology affect 5–8% of pregnancies in the USA (<http://www.americanpregnancy.org/pregnancycomplications/miscarriage.html>; <http://www.preeclampsia.org/health-information/faq>). Thus, the basic developmental

mechanisms that direct placentation are of high clinical relevance.

The first differentiation event in the preimplantation mammalian embryo is the formation of the trophectoderm that will contribute the trophoblast compartment of the placenta. The responsibilities of the trophoblasts include signaling the presence of the conceptus to the maternal reproductive and immune systems and acquiring the vital nutrition necessary for fetal growth during pregnancy. As placentation is the earliest morphogenetic event in pregnancy, animal models and embryos have contributed significantly to studies of placental development, with mouse trophoblast stem cells (TSC) providing an important research tool while a fully equivalent cell line has not been isolated in primates. The isolation of human embryonic stem cells (hESC) from blastocyst stage embryos has provided a unique and powerful embryonic surrogate to begin understanding human development and overcoming the obvious ethical limitations of working with human embryos (Thomson *et al.* 1998). These hESC have been used to identify approaches that induce trophoblast differentiation, aimed to provide an understanding of the mechanisms, which support a commitment to the trophoblast lineage in embryonic development. Herein, we will review the similarities and differences, where known, in mouse and human trophoblast differentiation and placental development. The differentiation of trophoblast cells from hESC will be highlighted on a functional and mechanistic level, presenting current thinking on the signaling events necessary to achieve trophoblast differentiation.

Trophoblast development

Mouse placental development

During the initial stages of placental development, both mouse and human pregnancy presents a deep interstitial implantation and the development of a hemochorial placenta where the trophoblasts are in direct contact with the maternal blood (Pijnenborg *et al.* 1981). Although both are hemochorial, organization that allows the placental trophoblast to interface with maternal blood differs between the two. In the mouse, the fetal blood vessels within the placenta are interconnected to form complex capillary networks among which maternal blood vessels intertwine and thus form a placental labyrinth (Rossant & Cross 2001, Cross 2005). The trophoblasts line channels through which the maternal blood circulates within the labyrinth, forming the exchange surface

between fetal and maternal blood. In distinction, in the human (as well as in old world nonhuman primates), a villous placenta forms in which the trophoblasts develop villi that arborize into terminal branches that have few interconnections (Kingdom *et al.* 2000). Within these villi, the fetal vasculature develops, and as the villi have a trophoblast surface and display extensive branching, a large surface area is created for gas and nutrient exchange between the mother and fetus. Thus, the organization of the maternal–fetal exchange surface is distinct between these two placentas.

Differences between the human and mouse placenta can also be seen in the morphology and phenotype of the trophoblasts that arise during development. In the mouse, at the time of implantation, the trophectoderm cells that lie away from the inner cell mass (ICM) halt division but undergo endoreduplication, thus forming the trophoblast giant cells. These cells eventually form the outer regions of the ectoplacental cone surrounding the conceptus (Rossant & Cross 2001, Cross 2005). The ectoplacental cone is also composed of diploid trophoblast cells that give rise to the spongiotrophoblast that forms the outer structural layer of the placenta (Rossant & Cross 2001, Cross 2005). The syncytiotrophoblasts within the mouse placenta are multinucleate cells that lie within the labyrinth and are the direct interface for gas and nutrient exchange between the maternal and fetal vasculatures.

Both the trophoblast giant cells and the spongiotrophoblast secrete many factors that support the establishment and maintenance of pregnancy. These factors include hormones, angiogenic and tissue remodeling factors like placental lactogens, proliferin, vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), matrix metalloproteinases, and urokinase-type plasminogen activator (Soares *et al.* 1996, Achen *et al.* 1997, Groskopf *et al.* 1997, Vuorela *et al.* 1997, Teesalu *et al.* 1998, 1999, Rossant & Cross 2001, Cross 2005). We will not discuss mouse placental physiology in detail here, and the reader is referred to other excellent reviews for further detailed discussion (Rossant & Cross 2001, Cross 2005).

Human placental development

As with the mouse blastocyst, the human blastocyst upon apposition and adhesion to the uterine luminal epithelium rapidly penetrates to the endometrial stroma, where the formation of a multinuclear syncytium, and proliferating cytotrophoblasts advance embryonic remodeling of the superficial endometrium to become surrounded by maternal tissues (Carter & Pijnenborg 2011).

As the human placenta continues its development, the cytotrophoblasts are the main proliferating trophoblasts that give rise to the cytotrophoblast columns. The cytotrophoblasts fuse to form the syncytiotrophoblasts that cover the branch-like protrusions (villi) that erupt from the cell columns. The syncytiotrophoblasts is the primary endocrine and transport interface directly exposed to maternal blood in the intervillous space that forms from the erosion of maternal decidual vessels during implantation and early placental growth (Castellucci and Kaufmann, 2006). Growth factors such as VEGF, angiopoietins, angiostatins, and placental growth factor act within the human villi to control placental villous vasculogenesis and angiogenesis (Kingdom *et al.* 2000). Also arising from the cytotrophoblasts in the human placenta are the extravillous cytotrophoblasts that leave the cell column and migrate into the maternal decidua. Further discussion of these cells appears below.

Human and mouse placentation: remodeling of maternal tissues

A notable similarity between mouse and human placental development is the migration of the trophoblastic cells into the maternal decidua and their remodeling of the spiral arterioles (Pijnenborg *et al.* 1981). In the mouse, the trophoblast giant cells invade the maternal arterioles during the early postimplantation period, followed by the glycogen trophoblasts that carry out later interstitial migration and invasion resulting in dilated arteries that lack elastic lamina and smooth muscle cells but are lined with trophoblasts that form canals that carry maternal blood to the base of the placenta (Adamson *et al.* 2002, Cross 2005). The maternal blood then percolates back through the labyrinthine space providing nutrients to fetus via the syncytiotrophoblast transport (Adamson *et al.* 2002, Cross 2005). In the human, the extravillous trophoblasts reside in the trophoblast cell columns and undergo an epithelial-mesenchymal transition, where they migrate, invade, and remodel the maternal spiral arterioles. More specifically, the interstitial extravillous trophoblasts will migrate into the stroma of the maternal decidua and come into close contact with uterine immune cells that aid in vessel remodeling (Kaufmann *et al.* 2003). The endovascular extravillous trophoblasts will appear within the lumen of maternal vessels but there is still controversy on the mode of invasion of the extravillous trophoblasts in the human placenta (Kaufmann *et al.* 2003). One possibility is that trophoblasts from the decidua come into close contact with the outside of the

vessels (intravasation), remove the smooth muscle, and eventually line the vessels. Alternatively, trophoblasts presumably enter vessels proximal to the placenta, arrive in the lumen, move opposite to the maternal blood flow, and proceed to remodel and line the maternal vessels (extravasation; Kaufmann *et al.* 2003, Pijnenborg *et al.* 2006). Regardless of the pathway that they take, a significant outcome of the initial invasion in the human is to create vascular plugs in the maternal vessels, thereby decreasing the arterial pressure toward the implantation site (Hamilton & Boyd 1966). The extended remodeling creates dilated maternal vessels resulting in a low-pressure, high-output environment providing ample nutrition to the developing fetus (Pijnenborg *et al.* 1980, 1982, Kingdom *et al.* 2000). Much work still remains to uncover the details regarding trophoblast differentiation and function during formation of the placental-decidual interface; therefore, the development of tractable model systems is imperative for the field.

Trophoblast lineage and stem cell differentiation

TSC were first isolated from the polar trophectoderm and from the extraembryonic ectoderm of mouse blastocysts (Tanaka *et al.* 1998). Maintenance of the undifferentiated state and self-renewal in mouse TSC are controlled by a combination of fibroblast growth factor 4 (FGF4), heparin, and secreted factors from fetal fibroblasts (Tanaka *et al.* 1998, Rielland *et al.* 2008). Activin A or transforming growth factor β 1 (TGFB1) can replace conditioned medium from fetal fibroblasts to maintain mouse TSC (Erlebacher *et al.* 2004, Roberts & Fisher 2011). It was subsequently demonstrated that TSC could also be directly derived from mouse ESC (mESC) through repression of the Pit1-Octamer-Unc86 (POU) transcription factor *Oct4* (*Pou5f1*) and in the presence of FGF4 and feeder cells (Niwa *et al.* 2000).

Transcriptional control

Mouse molecular genetics has provided extensive information regarding the molecular mechanisms that drive differentiation of TSC and placental development. Of central importance are the genes involved in trophoblast lineage development. Table 1 lists the factors discussed in this review and gives a brief description of the significance of the genes discussed in more detail below. Although the caudal-type homeobox gene *Cdx2* has been classified as a key regulator in determining trophoblast fate, it is itself

Table 1 Genes with significant relevance to trophoblast differentiation and placental development

Gene symbol	Summary of significance (see text for details)
<i>Fgf4</i>	Maintenance of mouse TSC proliferation
<i>Tgfb1</i>	Maintenance of mouse TSC proliferation
<i>Oct4 (Pou5f1)</i>	ESC pluripotency transcription factor
<i>Cdx2</i>	Directs mouse trophoblast lineage selection and proliferation
<i>Tead4</i>	Trophoblast lineage selection upstream of <i>Cdx2</i>
<i>Hippo</i>	Indirect <i>Tead4</i> regulator
<i>Yap (Yap1)</i>	<i>Tead4</i> co-activator
<i>Trim (Trat1; ectodermin)</i>	Nodal expression regulation
<i>Nodal</i>	TGFB1 regulator, trophoblast differentiation
<i>Bmp4</i>	Inner cell mass maintenance (mouse)
<i>Gata3</i>	Promotes trophoblast differentiation
<i>Eomes</i>	Mouse TSC maintenance
<i>Esrrb</i>	Mouse TSC maintenance
<i>Ets2</i>	Enhances <i>Cdx2</i> action
<i>Tcfap2c (tfap2c)</i>	Trophoblast differentiation, enhances <i>Cdx2</i> action
<i>Elf5</i>	Trophoblast lineage determination, <i>Cdx2</i> , <i>Eomes</i> interactions
<i>Hand1</i>	Trophoblast giant cell differentiation (mouse)
<i>Mdfi</i>	Trophoblast giant cell differentiation (mouse)
<i>Mash2/Ascl2</i>	Maintenance of mouse spongiotrophoblast
<i>HASH2</i>	Expressed in human cytotrophoblasts
<i>Egfr</i>	Maintenance of mouse spongiotrophoblast
<i>Gcm1</i>	Mouse syncytiotrophoblast differentiation
<i>Eset</i>	Methylation site-related silencing of trophoblast genes
<i>Sox2</i>	Pluripotency factor for ESC
<i>Nanog</i>	Pluripotency factor for ESC
<i>Rex1</i>	Inner cell mass marker
<i>Fgf5</i>	Epiblast marker
<i>Klf4</i>	Pluripotency factor for ESC
<i>Lif</i>	Maintenance of mouse ESC self-renewal

regulated by the transcription factor *Tead4*. *Tead4* mutants are capable of producing ESC but fail to produce the trophoblast lineage or TSC (Yagi *et al.* 2007). Moreover, disruption of the *Tead4* gene after implantation results in viable offspring and conversely over-expression of *Tead4* results in TSC-like cell lines, pinpointing the necessity of *Tead4* expression for trophoblast lineage commitment in the developing embryo (Yagi *et al.* 2007, Nishioka *et al.* 2009, Senner & Hemberger 2010). Interestingly, HIPPO signaling that is associated with the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition in mammals is also linked to TEAD4 transcriptional activity. Inactive HIPPO signaling in the outside cells of the developing embryo allows the HIPPO co-activator YAP to remain unphosphorylated and thus translocate to the nucleus where it assists in TEAD4 activation (Fig. 1A; Nishioka *et al.* 2009, Senner & Hemberger 2010). Recent studies have shown that in an evolutionarily conserved mechanism, the nuclear localization of TEAD4 in the outer blastomeres of the embryo leads to a trophoblast-specific transcriptional program that is selectively impaired in the inner cells of the embryo and thus allows the inner cells to become the ICM (Fig. 1A; Home *et al.* 2012).

Paracrine signaling initiated by factors including FGF and EGF from the mouse ICM is also necessary to support trophoblast and TSC self-renewal. During later developmental stages, the *Smad4* inhibitor, ectodermin (*Trim*), determines the appropriate amount of NODAL activity derived from the epiblast, thus titrating the balance between the trophoblast undifferentiated and differentiated state (Morsut *et al.* 2010, Roberts & Fisher 2011). FGF4-stimulated expression of CDX2 in TSC, in turn lead to CDX2 binding to an FGF4-responsive enhancer element in the promoter region of *Bmp4* that results in growth factors that maintain the ICM (Murohashi *et al.* 2010, Roberts & Fisher 2011).

Once induction of the trophoblast fate has been initiated, CDX2 maintains trophoblast/TSC function (Fig. 1B). TEAD4 also regulates the transcription factor *Gata3* to induce trophoblast differentiation from ESC but GATA3 functions independently of CDX2 (Ralston *et al.* 2010). Interestingly, transcription factor binding sites for TCFAP2 found in the mouse that mediate CDX2-independent repression of the pluripotency marker *Oct4* are not found in humans and cattle, suggesting a crucial difference between the molecular cues that initiate

Figure 1

Schematic diagram of the cell signaling that dictates trophoblast (TB) differentiation in the mouse. (A) The nuclear localization of TEAD4 in the outer blastomeres of the embryo leads to a trophoblast-specific transcriptional program, which is selectively impaired in the inner cells of the embryo (i.e. the ICM). Inactive HIPPO signaling maintains YAP in an unphosphorylated state, resulting in translocation of YAP to the nucleus and thus induction of the TEAD4 transcriptional program in the outer cells of the embryo. (B) Trophoblast differentiation is not limited to Cdx2 induction (GATA3 induction results in trophoblast differentiation) and likewise CDX2 induction is not only limited to trophoblast differentiation but also results in extraembryonic ectoderm expansion. Black arrows indicate positive induction pathways. Blue arrows indicated enhancement of CDX2 leading to extraembryonic ectoderm expansion.

trophoblast development in different species (Berg *et al.* 2011, James *et al.* 2012). The homeobox transcription factor Eomesodermin (*Eomes*) is expressed after blastocyst development in response to CDX2 expression but also enhances CDX2 and aids in extraembryonic ectoderm expansion (Russ *et al.* 2000, Rossant & Cross 2001, Senner & Hemberger 2010). Similarly, the nuclear hormone receptor *Esrrb* and the transcription factors ETS2, TCFAP2C (*Tcfap2c*), and ELF5 enhance CDX2 expression and direct extraembryonic ectoderm expansion. *Esrrb* deletion results in trophoblast defects where TSC isolation is impaired (Chawengsaksothak *et al.* 1997, Luo *et al.* 1997, Yamamoto *et al.* 1998, Russ *et al.* 2000, Rossant & Cross 2001, Werling & Schorle 2002, Donnison *et al.* 2005, Senner & Hemberger 2010). More specifically, trophoblasts can be induced by over-expression of CDX2 or by TCFAP2C in ESC independently whereas *Elf5* activation requires CDX2 and TCFAP2C co-expression in order to

derive trophoblasts from ESC (Kuckenberg *et al.* 2010, Senner & Hemberger 2010). Mutations in the genes that directly support the differentiation of the trophoblast giant cells (*Hand1* and *Mdfr*) and the maintenance of the spongiotrophoblast (*Mash2* and *Egfr*) also result in impaired development of each respective trophoblast subtype, thus illustrating coordinated regulation necessary for trophoblast differentiation (Guillemot *et al.* 1994, Sibia & Wagner 1995, Threadgill *et al.* 1995, Kraut *et al.* 1998, Riley *et al.* 1998, Rossant & Cross 2001). Similar to the mouse spongiotrophoblasts that offer structural support to the placenta, the human cytotrophoblasts offer support and express the basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor *HASH2*, a human homolog of the murine *Ascl2* (*Mash2*; Hamilton & Boyd 1960, Janatpour *et al.* 1999, Roberts *et al.* 2004, Benirschke *et al.* 2006). The syncytiotrophoblasts express the transcription factor glial cell missing 1 (*GCM1*) as do their functional counterpart in the mouse labyrinth, also termed syncytiotrophoblasts (Janatpour *et al.* 1999, Anson-Cartwright *et al.* 2000, Roberts *et al.* 2004).

Epigenetic regulation of trophoblast lineage-specific transcription factors

Recently, studies on the epigenetic regulation of lineage-specific transcription factors in mouse have highlighted methylation patterns associated with expressing/repressing embryo-specific genes in the trophoblast lineage. More specifically, the trivalent histone/lysine footprints H3K9me3, H3K4me2/3, and H3K27me3 or the bivalent footprints H3K4me3 and H3K9me3 have been shown to silence embryonic genes in cells developing into trophoblasts (Azuara *et al.* 2006, Bernstein *et al.* 2006, Boyer *et al.* 2006, Senner & Hemberger 2010). Conversely, the methyltransferase *Eset* is recruited by *Oct4* to silence trophoblast genes via histone H3K9 methylation in the ICM and ESC in a selective way that is still not well understood but may involve SUMOylation of *Oct4* (Yeap *et al.* 2009, Senner & Hemberger 2010). At center stage of epigenetic regulation in regard to trophoblast development is the transcription factor *Elf5*. *Elf5* seems to be a key regulator in cell fate decisions for trophoblast development in the embryo by maintaining *Cdx2* and *Eomes* gene expression (Donnison *et al.* 2005, Ng *et al.* 2008). *Elf5* is hypomethylated and therefore expressed in TSC, but methylated, and therefore silenced in ESC (Ng *et al.* 2008). Subsequent studies have identified a 'TSC-like' compartment in the villous cytotrophoblasts of the human placenta where *ELF5*⁺/*CDX2*⁺ cells reside (Hemberger *et al.* 2010). In addition, these authors

determined that the hESC lines that they derived expressed negligible amounts of *ELF5* compared to trophoblast cell lines and an 8-week human placental sample, and moreover, the ESC-derived trophoblasts did not express *ELF5* at all, leading to the conclusion that hESC-derived cells should not be considered to be authentic trophoblasts (Hemberger *et al.* 2010).

These results may depend on the ESC-derived trophoblast preparations used for study. The trophoblast cell preparations used were established cultures having undergone selective expansion based on human chorionic gonadotrophin (hCG) expression following embryoid body (EB) formation (Hemberger *et al.* 2010). However, using the H1 ESC line, we have recently found that *ELF5* mRNA differentially expressed through the initial 1–4 weeks during trophoblast outgrowth culture derived from EBs of various sizes (Gerami-Naini *et al.* 2004, Giakoumopoulos *et al.* 2010). This underscores that trophoblast derivation methods might result in the formation of different trophoblast subtypes depending on the state of the parental hESC line, and the differentiation paradigm employed. It is clear that heterogeneity of hESC can profoundly influence trophoblast differentiation potential (Xu *et al.* 2002, Pera *et al.* 2004). Thus, as discussed further below, the field will benefit from complementary approaches being taken to define models for trophoblast differentiation during embryonic development.

Cell signaling and trophoblast differentiation

Mouse, human, and non-human primates TSC

TSC have offered many insights into mouse placental development, but recapitulating the characteristics of their mouse counterparts has yet to be isolated and established from many other relevant species. Rhesus macaque and human TSC-like lines have been established but do not express the same transcriptional repertoire found in the mouse-derived TSC (Harun *et al.* 2006, Vandevoort *et al.* 2007, Douglas *et al.* 2009). The rhesus-derived lines lack expression of *CDX2* but express many trophoblast markers such as chorionic gonadotrophin, *CD9*, *KRT7*, *POU5F1*, and *EOMES* (Kamei *et al.* 2002, Vandevoort *et al.* 2007, Roberts & Fisher 2011). Also, of central importance is that although isolated by blastocyst outgrowth similar to mouse TSC, the rhesus cell lines are able to proliferate in the absence of feeder layers or bFGF (Vandevoort *et al.* 2007, Roberts & Fisher 2011).

In the human study, EBs were generated from ESC and multiple rounds of cellular aggregation and disaggregation

were used in combination with hCG as a marker to isolate their TSC lines (Harun *et al.* 2006). Similar to the mouse, human TSC lines were maintained in 'TSC' medium as described by Tanaka *et al.* (1998) in the presence of FGF4; thus, variations exist in line derivation and maintenance that make comparisons challenging between mice and primates (Harun *et al.* 2006). The human-derived lines express *CDX2*, *HLA*, *CD9*, and *KRT7* but do not express *EOMES*, and after some time in culture, these lines fuse to form syncytium (Harun *et al.* 2006). More recently, a trophoblast progenitor cell niche has been identified from the chorion of the human placenta providing yet another renewable source of multipotent cells reported to be capable of differentiating into all three trophoblast subtypes (Genbacev *et al.* 2011). That these human and other cell lines express differentiation markers such as hCG suggests that they are more highly differentiated than mouse TSC, which lack expression of endocrine markers such as mouse placental lactogens (Tanaka *et al.* 1998, Douglas *et al.* 2009).

The naïve vs primed cellular state

Species differences during cell fate decisions may be due to the signaling differences that dictate the potency of the cells within their cellular compartments *in vivo*. These differences are apparent when comparing hESC to mESC and mouse epiblast stem cell (mEpiSC). mEpiSC can be isolated from both pre- and postimplantation embryos, and it has been established that hESC have similar gene expression and cell signaling profiles to mEpiSC compared with mESC, suggesting that hESC represent a more advanced embryonic stage than mESC (Tesar *et al.* 2007, Najm *et al.* 2011). Since a given ESC line provides a 'snapshot' in time of a transient developmental state, defining the expression and functional profiles associated with each line are critical. These differences may illuminate the proposed 'naïve' vs 'primed' pluripotent state in which established stem cell lines exist *in vitro* (Nichols & Smith 2009, De Los Angeles *et al.* 2012). mESC derived from female embryos exist in a naïve pluripotent state consisting of two active X chromosomes; the expression of pluripotency markers *Oct4*, *Sox2*, and *Nanog*; and are able to form chimeras that result in germline transmission (De Los Angeles *et al.* 2012). hESC and mEpiSC existing in a primed state *in vitro*, where cells display one active and one inactive X chromosome, express the above mentioned pluripotency markers but are not able to form chimeras or incorporate into the germline (De Los Angeles *et al.* 2012). Although differences do exist, important similarities are

also found between hESC and mESC. Briefly, both hESC and mESC: i) express the ICM marker *REX1* (*ZFP42*); ii) do not express the epiblast marker *FGF5*; and iii) express *KLF4*, which is also used to reprogram somatic cells into an ESC-like state (Pelton *et al.* 2002, Adjaye *et al.* 2005, Darr *et al.* 2006, Greber *et al.* 2010). Interestingly, *KLF4* can also be used to revert primed mEpiSC into an ESC-like naïve state (Takahashi *et al.* 2007a,b, Yu *et al.* 2007, Guo *et al.* 2009), similar to the reprogramming of 'terminally differentiated' somatic cells such as fibroblasts to induced pluripotent stem cells (Takahashi *et al.* 2007a,b, Yu *et al.* 2007). Interestingly, a recent study has shown that derivation of hESC from a female embryo in 5% oxygen compared with 20% oxygen results in ESC with two active X chromosomes; therefore, the potential to obtain developmental equivalents to the naïve pluripotent state found in mESC exists (Lengner *et al.* 2010, De Los Angeles *et al.* 2012).

FGF at the top of the signaling cascade

Of central importance in providing the signaling cues that dictate mESC, mEpiSC, and hESC self-renewal and differentiation are FGF2 and FGF4. In the mouse, leukemia inhibitory factor is required for ESC self-renewal and FGF/ERK signaling drives differentiation of ESC (Burdon *et al.* 1999). When inhibitors block FGF receptor tyrosine kinases, Map kinase ERK kinase (MEK), and glycogen synthase kinase (GSK) signaling (termed 3i), or MEK and GSK alone (termed 2i), naïve pluripotent cells can be derived from mouse embryos (Silva & Smith 2008, Ying *et al.* 2008, Nichols & Smith 2009). Conversely, hESC require FGF2/ERK to self-renew and can be induced to differentiate by the canonical WNT/ β -catenin signaling pathway (Sumi *et al.* 2008). Activin/Nodal signaling also contribute to hESC self-renewal, and BMP-induced trophoblast differentiation is dependent on inhibition of Activin/Nodal signaling (Wu *et al.* 2008). TGF β and FGF2 work together to sustain the hESC in an undifferentiated state by maintaining NANOG, OCT4, and SOX2 in addition to inhibiting BMP signaling expression (Xu *et al.* 2008). More specifically, TGF β 1 signaling through SMAD2/3 promotes enhanced NANOG expression in hESC, thus maintaining an undifferentiated state whereas TGF β 1 signaling through SMAD1/5/8, which is generally found to be repressed in undifferentiated hESC, results in decreased NANOG expression leading to ESC differentiation (Xu *et al.* 2008). In addition, it has been shown that ERK2 phosphorylates OCT4 at multiple sites outside the OCT4 DNA binding domains, possibly due to FGF2 acting

directly on OCT4, thus resulting in self-renewal of hESC (Brumbaugh *et al.* 2012).

Similar to hESC, culturing mEpiSC in the presence of BMP and Activin A will also maintain an undifferentiated cellular state, but FGF signaling appears to play different roles in each cell type. In mEpiSC, FGF2 stabilizes the epiblast state by inhibiting differentiation to neuroectoderm and inhibiting the reversion to a mESC-like state but in hESC, FGF synergizes with SMAD2/3 signaling resulting in NANOG gene expression and maintenance of self-renewal (Greber *et al.* 2010). Thus, each cell line and the subsequent data generated from each cell line provide unique and specific results to the individual line. Moreover, caution should be taken when directly translating results from one species to another.

BMP-induced differentiation

One of the early breakthroughs in the use of hESC to model trophoblast differentiation was the discovery that treatment of hESC with BMP4 or other similar ligands (BMP2, BMP7, and GDF5) induced uniform trophoblast differentiation, in a time- and dose-dependent manner (Xu *et al.* 2002). Since this seminal observation, the role of BMP also as a regulator of somatic and extraembryonic lineages becomes more apparent (Xu *et al.* 2002, Greber 2011). On the other hand, Pera *et al.* (2004) found that BMP2 resulted in cells displaying extraembryonic endoderm characteristics and further showed that treatment of hESC with BMP-antagonist noggin resulted in neural precursors (Pera *et al.* 2004). In addition, along with WNT/ β -catenin signaling, BMP establishes posterior primitive streak and mesoderm progenitors from hESC, but delaying BMP signals results in the differentiation of anterior primitive streak and endoderm progenitors (Sumi *et al.* 2008). Yu *et al.* (2011) showed that in the presence of high concentrations of FGF2, BMP4-induced differentiation of hESC results in mesendoderm (an epiblast-derived progenitor of mesoderm or endoderm) rather than extraembryonic trophoblast differentiation (Yu *et al.* 2011). More specifically, it was shown that FGF2, acting through MEK/ERK signaling and in the presence of BMP4, results in the prolonged expression of NANOG that results in FGF-independent, BMP4 induction of mesendoderm (Greber 2011, Yu *et al.* 2011). Complementary to this study, it has also been shown that the induction of mesoderm from BMP4/FGF2-treated hESC also involves the well-established extraembryonic marker *CDX2* and that the mesoderm marker Brachyury (*T*) precedes *CDX2* induction (Bernardo *et al.* 2011, Greber 2011). These

studies have prompted the conclusion that the cells differentiated in the presence of BMP4 are not trophoblasts but indeed cells of the extraembryonic mesoderm (Bernardo *et al.* 2011, Greber 2011, Yu *et al.* 2011).

This conclusion has been challenged by others, who suggest that the choice of using *T* as a marker for mesoderm may not be valid because *T* expression has been found in teratocarcinoma cells and in trophoblast cell lines (Gokhale *et al.* 2000, Ezashi *et al.* 2012). In addition, Ezashi *et al.* (2012) have reported that the gene expression profiles indicating embryonic and extraembryonic endoderm (i.e. *FLK1*, *VCAM1*, and *TBX4*) are expressed differentially between the H9 hESC line used by Bernardo *et al.* (2011) and the H1 ESC line that they use before and after BMP4-induced differentiation (Ezashi *et al.* 2012). Moreover, the *ELF5* gene was found to be inactive by methylation status in the BMP4-treated cells by Bernardo *et al.* (2011) in opposition to what is seen in mTSC, suggesting that trophoblast was not being faithfully differentiated. However, a small subset of the cells actually expressed the ELF5 protein but the methylation status of the *ELF5* promoter was not determined, therefore indicating a potential early differentiation state of a trophoblast subpopulation (Ezashi *et al.* 2012). Finally, Bernardo *et al.* (2011) did not detect the non-polymorphic surface class I molecule, HLAG on BMP-derived trophoblasts, which is most often used as a placental marker. By contrast, the H1 hESC line was reported to express *HLAG* mRNA when induced to form trophoblasts with BMP4 at even low doses of 10 ng/ml (Xu *et al.* 2002, Das *et al.* 2007, Ezashi *et al.* 2012). Thus, the conclusion that BMP4-treated hESC do not differentiate into trophoblast cells remains controversial.

A recent study helping to clarify the differences in gene expression that may arise when ESC cells are primed for differentiation has defined putative progenitor signatures for mesoderm, vascular endothelium, and trophoblast from hESC (Drukker *et al.* 2012). Interestingly, when hESC were treated with 100 ng/ml BMP4 for 3 days, screened with a MAB library using flow cytometry, and subsequently isolated by fluorescence-activated cell sorting, three distinct progenitor populations were derived (Drukker *et al.* 2012). Global gene expression analysis indicated trophoblast-specific gene induction, and cell cultures of these progenitors were able to form syncytium. They did not form teratomas when engrafted into immunodeficient mice, but rather formed mesenchymal tissues and epithelial structures (Drukker *et al.* 2012). Therefore, the point is made that BMP4 differentiation induction may be bi-directional and that potentially a

small subset of cells truly undergo differentiation toward the trophoblast lineage and are not an artifact of differentiation toward the mesoderm lineage (Fig. 2).

Moreover, comparative transcriptome analysis of hESC-derived trophoblasts by BMP4 treatment and mural trophoblast cells isolated from human blastocysts revealed 138 genes in common between the groups with similarities in major canonical pathways and proteins secreted that are involved in the implantation process (Aghajanova *et al.* 2012). Additionally, it was determined that trophoblast cells derived on days 8, 10, and 12 of BMP4 treatment were more consistent on a transcriptional level to trophoblast cells than trophoblasts derived on days 0, 2, 4, and 6 of BMP4 treatment, thus further supporting BMP4 treatment of hESC as a viable model to study trophoblast differentiation and development (Aghajanova *et al.* 2012).

The EB model for trophoblast differentiation

An alternative approach for the formation of trophoblasts from hESC was established, which entails forming suspension cultures of aggregates or EBs from undifferentiated hESC (Gerami-Naini *et al.* 2004). This system has proven amenable for studies investigating the spatial

Figure 2 Schematic of the cell signaling that dictates hESC self-renewal and differentiation induction by BMP4. Controversy exists as to whether BMP4 signaling results in trophoblast (TB) lineage differentiation or whether BMP4 along with the continuous presence of FGF result in primitive streak and mesendoderm derivatives and not trophoblast. Black arrows indicate inductive pathways for hESC self-renewal. Blue arrows indicate differentiation induction of hESC.

interactions between cells and the surrounding extracellular matrix (Gerami-Naini *et al.* 2004, Giakoumopoulos *et al.* 2010). Differentiation in this paradigm is achieved by EB formation, the simultaneous withdrawal of FGF2 from the culture medium, and the addition of fetal bovine serum. When EBs were maintained in suspension culture, hCG, progesterone, and estradiol-17 β secretion was initiated compared to unconditioned culture medium alone (Gerami-Naini *et al.* 2004). Adherent cultures of these EBs led to outgrowths of cells with epithelial morphology that maintained hCG, progesterone, and steroid hormone secretion (Fig. 3). Moreover, when these suspended EBs were in three-dimensional culture with Matrigel 'rafts', cellular protrusions appeared and placental hormone secretion was significantly higher compared with EBs maintained in suspension culture or cells in two-dimensional adherent culture (Gerami-Naini *et al.* 2004).

We have attempted to elucidate the specific extracellular matrix component providing the cues to support EB-derived trophoblast hormone secretion by allowing EBs to adhere to various extracellular matrices in two dimensions. Regardless of the matrix used, hormone

secretion was similar under all conditions, suggesting that adhesion *per se*, rather than a specific interaction, is adequate for maintaining hormone secretion (Gerami-Naini *et al.* 2004). EB-derived trophoblast outgrowths are able to display migratory characteristics, as is seen in extravillous cytotrophoblasts, when placed in a migration chamber and display enhanced migration in the presence of secreted factors from endothelial cells (Golos *et al.* 2010). In addition, incorporating placental fibroblasts into EBs with an aggregation protocol followed by suspension culture provides cellular cues that enhanced placental hormone secretion (Giakoumopoulos *et al.* 2010). Moreover, Harun *et al.* (2006) used the EB model system to derive their TSC lines. Thus, the EB system has provided a useful and adaptable model for trophoblast derivation.

Recent efforts in our laboratory have been made to use a system that aggregates EBs of uniform size and shape, thus alleviating some of the heterogeneity that results from traditional methods where EBs were formed from undifferentiated colonies of heterogeneous size by light enzymatic digestion. Improving the consistency among EBs will be a valuable refinement of the alternative to BMP4 treatment for trophoblast differentiation.

One of the more problematic issues in the use of hESC to derive trophoblasts remains the uncertainty as to the heterogeneity of the 'trophoblast' population obtained. The outgrowths that result from adherent EB culture (Fig. 3) display morphologically distinct cells as cells grow away from the EB 'core'. Similar heterogeneity of differentiation was demonstrated by Ezashi *et al.* (2012) and spatial heterogeneity in functional individual markers such as hCG expression was dependent on cellular location within the hESC colony when differentiation was induced by BMP4 treatment.

Thus, an important goal for refining the use of hESC models for the formation of trophoblasts is a better definition of cell heterogeneity, and the formulation of approaches to achieve more highly purified populations of cells. This quest to isolate a pure differentiated population is ongoing and Drukker *et al.* (2012) clearly stated the necessity to do so by saying, 'Without purifying true pluripotent cells and differentiating cells, it is difficult to determine, for example, whether low mRNA levels of differentiating genes detected in cultures of mESCs (or hESCs) reflect priming of lineage specification programs in undifferentiated cells or the presence of small populations of differentiating cells'. By using selective surface markers for subpopulation isolation, highly purified differentiated cells can be obtained and thus

Figure 3

Photomicrograph depicts EB-derived trophoblast outgrowths. Once the EB has adhered to the surface, outgrowths grow and move away from the EB core. The undifferentiated EB mass is circled left. With the EB core still maintaining the potential to differentiate into other tissue derivatives, interests lie in isolating cells near to the core, which make up a confluent cellular zone and isolating the cells at the farthest edge away from the core, which constitute the migratory zone of cells. Studies still remain to elucidate the potential gradient of differentiation that might exist resulting in various trophoblast subtypes. CTB, cytotrophoblasts; STB, syncytiotrophoblasts; EVTB, extravillous cytotrophoblasts; TGC, trophoblast giant cells. Scale bar = 100 μ m.

genomic/proteomic libraries can be created for universal reference before embarking on a new experimental protocol or manipulation.

Afterword

Extrapolating *in vitro* studies with hESC to the *in vivo* process of embryo implantation and placenta formation is a major challenge for the field. One important consideration is that the hESC lines that are used may actually give different results due to differences in isolation methods, passage number, maintenance of pluripotency, culture density, and specific culture conditions, such as extracellular matrix or soluble factors used for differentiation induction. Thus, standardized protocols and careful descriptions of cellular detail is important. In addition, emerging evidence indicates that the time course of differentiation is crucial and current studies suggest that BMP4-induced differentiation of hESC results in differential lineage induction at different time points and under different culture conditions (e.g. in the presence of FGF2). Finally, careful selection of markers used for lineage identification, and attention to the specificity of the expression profile generated by spatial and temporal expression patterns produced *in vivo*, is a critical area for further study. It is hoped that in the future, defining the signaling nuances that exist between the pluripotent, primed, and differentiated state of ESC will lead toward the development of robust protocols for human TSC derivation that will aid in understanding human embryo implantation and subsequent development of the placenta, the organ that is a prerequisite of our own existence.

Declaration of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest that could be perceived as prejudicing the impartiality of the research reported.

Funding

This work was supported by NIH grants RR021876, HD38843, HD34215, and HD53925 to T G G, and P51OD011106/P51RR000167 to the WNPRC. M G was supported in part by T32 HD041921. This research was conducted in part at a facility constructed with support from Research Facilities Improvement Program grant numbers RR15459-01 and RR020141-01. This publication's contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of NCRR or NIH.

Acknowledgements

Many laboratory members contributed to the studies in the Golos laboratory discussed in this paper, particularly Behzad Gerami-Naini, Oksana Dovzhenko, Mark Garthwaite, and Maureen Durning, and we gratefully acknowledge their assistance.

References

- Achen MG, Gad JM, Stacker SA & Wilks AF 1997 Placenta growth factor and vascular endothelial growth factor are co-expressed during early embryonic development. *Growth Factors* **15** 69–80. (doi:10.3109/08977199709002113)
- Adamson SL, Lu Y, Whiteley KJ, Holmyard D, Hemberger M, Pfarrer C & Cross JC 2002 Interactions between trophoblast cells and the maternal and fetal circulation in the mouse placenta. *Developmental Biology* **250** 358–373. (doi:10.1006/dbio.2002.0773)
- Adjaye J, Huntriss J, Herwig R, BenKahla A, Brink TC, Wierling C, Hultschig C, Groth D, Yaspo ML, Picton HM *et al.* 2005 Primary differentiation in the human blastocyst: comparative molecular portraits of inner cell mass and trophectoderm cells. *Stem Cells* **23** 1514–1525. (doi:10.1634/stemcells.2005-0113)
- Aghajanova L, Shen S, Rojas AM, Fisher SJ, Irwin JC & Giudice LC 2012 Comparative transcriptome analysis of human trophectoderm and embryonic stem cell-derived trophoblasts reveal key participants in early implantation. *Biology of Reproduction* **86** 1–21. (doi:10.1095/biolreprod.111.092775)
- Anson-Cartwright L, Dawson K, Holmyard D, Fisher SJ, Lazzarini RA & Cross JC 2000 The glial cells missing-1 protein is essential for branching morphogenesis in the chorioallantoic placenta. *Nature Genetics* **25** 311–314. (doi:10.1038/77076)
- Aristotle & Peck AL 1943 *Generation of Animals*. p xiii–xv. London, Cambridge, Mass: W. Heinemann, Harvard University Press.
- Azuara V, Perry P, Sauer S, Spivakov M, Jorgensen HF, John RM, Gouti M, Casanova M, Wames G, Merkschlager M *et al.* 2006 Chromatin signatures of pluripotent cell lines. *Nature Cell Biology* **8** 532–538. (doi:10.1038/ncb1403)
- Castellucci M and Kaufmann P 2006 Basic structure of the villous trees. In Benirschke K, Kaufmann P 2006 In *Pathology of the Human Placenta*. edn 5, pp 50–120. Eds K Benirschke, P Kaufmann and RN Baergen. New York: Springer.
- Berg DK, Smith CS, Pearton DJ, Wells DN, Broadhurst R, Donnison M & Pfeffer PL 2011 Trophectoderm lineage determination in cattle. *Developmental Cell* **20** 244–255. (doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2011.01.003)
- Bernardo AS, Faial T, Gardner L, Niakan KK, Ortmann D, Senner CE, Callery EM, Trotter MW, Hemberger M, Smith JC *et al.* 2011 BRACHYURY and CDX2 mediate BMP-induced differentiation of human and mouse pluripotent stem cells into embryonic and extraembryonic lineages. *Cell Stem Cell* **9** 144–155. (doi:10.1016/j.stem.2011.06.015)
- Bernstein BE, Mikkelsen TS, Xie X, Kamal M, Huebert DJ, Cuff J, Fry B, Meissner A, Wernig M, Plath K *et al.* 2006 A bivalent chromatin structure marks key developmental genes in embryonic stem cells. *Cell* **125** 315–326. (doi:10.1016/j.cell.2006.02.041)
- Boyer LA, Plath K, Zeitlinger J, Brambrink T, Medeiros LA, Lee TI, Levine SS, Wernig M, Tajonar A, Ray MK *et al.* 2006 Polycomb complexes repress developmental regulators in murine embryonic stem cells. *Nature* **441** 349–353. (doi:10.1038/nature04733)
- Brumbaugh J, Hou Z, Russell JD, Howden SE, Yu P, Ledvina AR, Coon JJ & Thomson JA 2012 Phosphorylation regulates human OCT4. *PNAS* **109** 7162–7168. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1203874109)
- Burdon T, Chambers I, Stracey C, Niwa H & Smith A 1999 Signaling mechanisms regulating self-renewal and differentiation of pluripotent embryonic stem cells. *Cells, Tissues, Organs* **165** 131–143. (doi:10.1159/000016693)
- Carter AM & Pijnenborg R 2011 Evolution of invasive placentation with special reference to non-human primates. *Best Practice & Research. Clinical Obstetrics & Gynaecology* **25** 249–257. (doi:10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2010.10.010)
- Chawengsaksophak K, James R, Hammond VE, Kontgen F & Beck F 1997 Homeosis and intestinal tumours in Cdx2 mutant mice. *Nature* **386** 84–87. (doi:10.1038/386084a0)

- Cross JC 2005 How to make a placenta: mechanisms of trophoblast cell differentiation in mice – a review. *Placenta* **26**(Suppl A) S3–S9. (doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2005.01.015)
- Darr H, Mayshar Y & Benvenisty N 2006 Overexpression of NANOG in human ES cells enables feeder-free growth while inducing primitive ectoderm features. *Development* **133** 1193–1201. (doi:10.1242/dev.02286)
- Das P, Ezashi T, Schulz LC, Westfall SD, Livingston KA & Roberts RM 2007 Effects of FGF2 and oxygen in the BMP4-driven differentiation of trophoblast from human embryonic stem cells. *Stem Cell Research* **1** 61–74. (doi:10.1016/j.scr.2007.09.004)
- De Los Angeles A, Loh YH, Tesar PJ & Daley GQ 2012 Accessing naive human pluripotency. *Current Opinion in Genetics & Development* **22** 272–282. (doi:10.1016/j.gde.2012.03.001)
- Donnison M, Beaton A, Davey HW, Broadhurst R, L'Huillier P & Pfeffer PL 2005 Loss of the extraembryonic ectoderm in Elf5 mutants leads to defects in embryonic patterning. *Development* **132** 2299–2308. (doi:10.1242/dev.01819)
- Douglas GC, VandeVoort CA, Kumar P, Chang TC & Golos TG 2009 Trophoblast stem cells: models for investigating trophoblast differentiation and placental development. *Endocrine Reviews* **30** 228–240. (doi:10.1210/er.2009-0001)
- Drukker M, Tang C, Ardehali R, Rinkevich Y, Seita J, Lee AS, Mosley AR, Weissman IL & Soen Y 2012 Isolation of primitive endoderm, mesoderm, vascular endothelial and trophoblast progenitors from human pluripotent stem cells. *Nature Biotechnology* **30** 531–542. (doi:10.1038/nbt.2239)
- Erlebacher A, Price KA & Glimcher LH 2004 Maintenance of mouse trophoblast stem cell proliferation by TGF- β /activin. *Developmental Biology* **275** 158–169. (doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2004.07.032)
- Ezashi T, Telugu BP & Roberts RM 2012 Model systems for studying trophoblast differentiation from human pluripotent stem cells. *Cell and Tissue Research* **26** 859–872. (doi:10.1210/me.2011-1146)
- Genbacev O, Donne M, Kapidzic M, Gormley M, Lamb J, Gilmore J, Larocque N, Goldfien G, Zdravkovic T, McMaster MT *et al.* 2011 Establishment of human trophoblast progenitor cell lines from the chorion. *Stem Cells* **29** 1427–1436. (doi:10.1002/stem.686)
- Gerami-Naini B, Dovzhenko OV, Durning M, Wegner FH, Thomson JA & Golos TG 2004 Trophoblast differentiation in embryoid bodies derived from human embryonic stem cells. *Endocrinology* **145** 1517–1524. (doi:10.1210/en.2003-1241)
- Giakoumopoulos M, Siegfried LM, Dambaeva SV, Garthwaite MA, Glennon MC & Golos TG 2010 Placental-derived mesenchyme influences chorionic gonadotropin and progesterone secretion of human embryonic stem cell-derived trophoblasts. *Reproductive Sciences* **17** 798–808. (doi:10.1177/1933719110371853)
- Gokhale PJ, Giesberts AM & Andrews PW 2000 Brachyury is expressed by human teratocarcinoma cells in the absence of mesodermal differentiation. *Cell Growth & Differentiation* **11** 157–162.
- Golos TG, Giakoumopoulos M & Garthwaite MA 2010 Embryonic stem cells as models of trophoblast differentiation: progress, opportunities, and limitations. *Reproduction* **140** 3–9. (doi:10.1530/REP-09-0544)
- Greber B 2011 When BMP meets FGF. *Cell Stem Cell* **9** 91–92. (doi:10.1016/j.stem.2011.07.004)
- Greber B, Wu G, Bernemann C, Joo JY, Han DW, Ko K, Tapia N, Sabour D, Sterneckert J, Tesar P *et al.* 2010 Conserved and divergent roles of FGF signaling in mouse epiblast stem cells and human embryonic stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell* **6** 215–226. (doi:10.1016/j.stem.2010.01.003)
- Groskopf JC, Syu LJ, Saltiel AR & Linzer DI 1997 Proliferin induces endothelial cell chemotaxis through a G protein-coupled, mitogen-activated protein kinase-dependent pathway. *Endocrinology* **138** 2835–2840. (doi:10.1210/en.138.7.2835)
- Guillemot F, Nagy A, Auerbach A, Rossant J & Joyner AL 1994 Essential role of Mash-2 in extraembryonic development. *Nature* **371** 333–336. (doi:10.1038/371333a0)
- Guo G, Yang J, Nichols J, Hall JS, Eyres I, Mansfield W & Smith A 2009 Klf4 reverts developmentally programmed restriction of ground state pluripotency. *Development* **136** 1063–1069. (doi:10.1242/dev.030957)
- Hamilton WJ & Boyd JD 1960 Development of the human placenta in the first three months of gestation. *Journal of Anatomy* **94** 297–328.
- Hamilton WJ & Boyd JD 1966 Trophoblast in human utero-placental arteries. *Nature* **212** 906–908. (doi:10.1038/212906a0)
- Harun R, Ruban L, Matin M, Draper J, Jenkins NM, Liew GC, Andrews PW, Li TC, Laird SM & Moore HD 2006 Cytotrophoblast stem cell lines derived from human embryonic stem cells and their capacity to mimic invasive implantation events. *Human Reproduction* **21** 1349–1358. (doi:10.1093/humrep/del017)
- Hemberger M, Udayashankar R, Tesar P, Moore H & Burton GJ 2010 ELF5-enforced transcriptional networks define an epigenetically regulated trophoblast stem cell compartment in the human placenta. *Human Molecular Genetics* **19** 2456–2467. (doi:10.1093/hmg/ddq128)
- Home P, Saha B, Ray S, Dutta D, Gunewardena S, Yoo B, Pal A, Vivian JL, Larson M, Petroff M *et al.* 2012 Altered subcellular localization of transcription factor TEAD4 regulates first mammalian cell lineage commitment. *PNAS* **109** 7362–7367. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1201595109)
- James JL, Carter AM & Chamley LW 2012 Human placentation from nidation to 5 weeks of gestation. Part I: what do we know about formative placental development following implantation? *Placenta* **33** 327–334. (doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2012.01.020)
- Janatpour MJ, Utset MF, Cross JC, Rossant J, Dong J, Israel MA & Fisher SJ 1999 A repertoire of differentially expressed transcription factors that offers insight into mechanisms of human cytotrophoblast differentiation. *Developmental Genetics* **25** 146–157. (doi:10.1002/(SICI)1520-6408(1999)25:2<146::AID-DVG9>3.0.CO;2-K)
- Kamei T, Jones SR, Chapman BM, MCGonigle KL, Dai G & Soares MJ 2002 The phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/Akt signaling pathway modulates the endocrine differentiation of trophoblast cells. *Molecular Endocrinology* **16** 1469–1481. (doi:10.1210/me.16.7.1469)
- Kaufmann P, Black S & Huppertz B 2003 Endovascular trophoblast invasion: implications for the pathogenesis of intrauterine growth retardation and preeclampsia. *Biology of Reproduction* **69** 1–7. (doi:10.1095/biolreprod.102.014977)
- Kingdom J, Huppertz B, Seaward G & Kaufmann P 2000 Development of the placental villous tree and its consequences for fetal growth. *European Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Biology* **92** 35–43. (doi:10.1016/S0301-2115(00)00423-1)
- Kraut N, Snider L, Chen CM, Tapscott SJ & Groudine M 1998 Requirement of the mouse I-mfa gene for placental development and skeletal patterning. *EMBO Journal* **17** 6276–6288. (doi:10.1093/emboj/17.21.6276)
- Kuckenberger P, Buhl S, Woynecki T, van Furden B, Tolkunova E, Seiffe F, Moser M, Tomilin A, Winterhager E & Schorle H 2010 The transcription factor TCFAP2C/AP-2 γ cooperates with CDX2 to maintain trophoblast formation. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* **30** 3310–3320. (doi:10.1128/MCB.01215-09)
- Lengner CJ, Gimelbrant AA, Erwin JA, Cheng AW, Guenther MG, Welstead GG, Alagappan R, Frampton GM, Xu P, Muffat J *et al.* 2010 Derivation of pre-X inactivation human embryonic stem cells under physiological oxygen concentrations. *Cell* **141** 872–883. (doi:10.1016/j.cell.2010.04.010)
- Luo J, Sladek R, Bader JA, Matthyssen A, Rossant J & Giguere V 1997 Placental abnormalities in mouse embryos lacking the orphan nuclear receptor ERR- β . *Nature* **388** 778–782. (doi:10.1038/42022)
- Magner L 2002 Problems in generation: organisms, embryos and cells. In *A History of the Life Sciences*. edn 2, pp 171–224. New York: Marcel Dekker, Inc.
- Morsut L, Yan KP, Enzo E, Aragona M, Soligo SM, Wendling O, Mark M, Khetchoumian K, Bressan G, Chambon P *et al.* 2010 Negative control of Smad activity by ectoderm/Tif1 γ patterns the mammalian embryo. *Development* **137** 2571–2578. (doi:10.1242/dev.053801)
- Murohashi M, Nakamura T, Tanaka S, Ichise T, Yoshida N, Yamamoto T, Shibuya M, Schlessinger J & Gotoh N 2010 An FGF4-FRS2 α -Cdx2 axis in

- trophoblast stem cells induces Bmp4 to regulate proper growth of early mouse embryos. *Stem Cells* **28** 113–121. (doi:10.1002/stem.247)
- Najm FJ, Chenoweth JG, Anderson PD, Nadeau JH, Redline RW, McKay RD & Tesar PJ 2011 Isolation of epiblast stem cells from preimplantation mouse embryos. *Cell Stem Cell* **8** 318–325. (doi:10.1016/j.stem.2011.01.016)
- Ng RK, Dean W, Dawson C, Lucifero D, Madeja Z, Reik W & Hemberger M 2008 Epigenetic restriction of embryonic cell lineage fate by methylation of Elf5. *Nature Cell Biology* **10** 1280–1290. (doi:10.1038/ncb1786)
- Nichols J & Smith A 2009 Naive and primed pluripotent states. *Cell Stem Cell* **4** 487–492. (doi:10.1016/j.stem.2009.05.015)
- Nishioka N, Inoue K, Adachi K, Kiyonari H, Ota M, Ralston A, Yabuta N, Hirahara S, Stephenson RO, Ogonuki N *et al.* 2009 The Hippo signaling pathway components Lats and Yap pattern Tead4 activity to distinguish mouse trophoblast from inner cell mass. *Developmental Cell* **16** 398–410. (doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2009.02.003)
- Niwa H, Miyazaki J & Smith AG 2000 Quantitative expression of Oct-3/4 defines differentiation, dedifferentiation or self-renewal of ES cells. *Nature Genetics* **24** 372–376. (doi:10.1038/74199)
- Pelton TA, Sharma S, Schulz TC, Rathjen J & Rathjen PD 2002 Transient pluripotent cell populations during primitive ectoderm formation: correlation of *in vivo* and *in vitro* pluripotent cell development. *Journal of Cell Science* **115** 329–339.
- Pera MF, Andrade J, Houssami S, Reubinoff B, Trounson A, Stanley EG, Ward-van Oostwaard D & Mummery C 2004 Regulation of human embryonic stem cell differentiation by BMP-2 and its antagonist noggin. *Journal of Cell Science* **117** 1269–1280. (doi:10.1242/jcs.00970)
- Pijnenborg R, Dixon G, Robertson WB & Brosens I 1980 Trophoblastic invasion of human decidua from 8 to 18 weeks of pregnancy. *Placenta* **1** 3–19. (doi:10.1016/S0143-4004(80)80012-9)
- Pijnenborg R, Robertson WB, Brosens I & Dixon G 1981 Review article: trophoblast invasion and the establishment of haemochorial placentation in man and laboratory animals. *Placenta* **2** 71–91. (doi:10.1016/S0143-4004(81)80042-2)
- Pijnenborg R, Robertson WB & Brosens I 1982 Trophoblast invasion and formation of the basal plate in the human placenta. *Bibliotheca Anatomica* **22** 69–73.
- Pijnenborg R, Vercruysse L & Hanssens M 2006 The uterine spiral arteries in human pregnancy: facts and controversies. *Placenta* **27** 939–958. (doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2005.12.006)
- Ralston A, Cox BJ, Nishioka N, Sasaki H, Chea E, Rugg-Gunn P, Guo G, Robson P, Draper JS & Rossant J 2010 Gata3 regulates trophoblast development downstream of Tead4 and in parallel to Cdx2. *Development* **137** 395–403. (doi:10.1242/dev.038828)
- Rielland M, Hue I, Renard JP & Alice J 2008 Trophoblast stem cell derivation, cross-species comparison and use of nuclear transfer: new tools to study trophoblast growth and differentiation. *Developmental Biology* **322** 1–10. (doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2008.07.017)
- Riley P, Anson-Cartwright L & Cross JC 1998 The Hand1 bHLH transcription factor is essential for placentation and cardiac morphogenesis. *Nature Genetics* **18** 271–275. (doi:10.1038/ng0398-271)
- Roberts RM & Fisher SJ 2011 Trophoblast stem cells. *Biology of Reproduction* **84** 412–421. (doi:10.1095/biolreprod.110.088724)
- Roberts RM, Ezashi T & Das P 2004 Trophoblast gene expression: transcription factors in the specification of early trophoblast. *Reproductive Biology and Endocrinology* **2** 47. (doi:10.1186/1477-7827-2-47)
- Rossant J & Cross JC 2001 Placental development: lessons from mouse mutants. *Nature Reviews. Genetics* **2** 538–548. (doi:10.1038/35080570)
- Russ AP, Wattler S, Colledge WH, Aparicio SA, Carlton MB, Pearce JJ, Barton SC, Surani MA, Ryan K, Nehls MC *et al.* 2000 Eomesodermin is required for mouse trophoblast development and mesoderm formation. *Nature* **404** 95–99. (doi:10.1038/35003601)
- Senner CE & Hemberger M 2010 Regulation of early trophoblast differentiation – lessons from the mouse. *Placenta* **31** 944–950. (doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2010.07.013)
- Sibilia M & Wagner EF 1995 Strain-dependent epithelial defects in mice lacking the EGF receptor. *Science* **269** 234–238. (doi:10.1126/science.7618085)
- Silva J & Smith A 2008 Capturing pluripotency. *Cell* **132** 532–536. (doi:10.1016/j.cell.2008.02.006)
- Soares MJ, Chapman BM, Rasmussen CA, Dai G, Kamei T & Orwig KE 1996 Differentiation of trophoblast endocrine cells. *Placenta* **17** 277–289. (doi:10.1016/S0143-4004(96)90051-X)
- Sumi T, Tsuneyoshi N, Nakatsuji N & Suemori H 2008 Defining early lineage specification of human embryonic stem cells by the orchestrated balance of canonical Wnt/ β -catenin, Activin/Nodal and BMP signaling. *Development* **135** 2969–2979. (doi:10.1242/dev.021121)
- Takahashi K, Okita K, Nakagawa M & Yamanaka S 2007a Induction of pluripotent stem cells from fibroblast cultures. *Nature Protocols* **2** 3081–3089. (doi:10.1038/nprot.2007.418)
- Takahashi K, Tanabe K, Ohnuki M, Narita M, Ichisaka T, Tomoda K & Yamanaka S 2007b Induction of pluripotent stem cells from adult human fibroblasts by defined factors. *Cell* **131** 861–872. (doi:10.1016/j.cell.2007.11.019)
- Tanaka S, Kunath T, Hadjantonakis AK, Nagy A & Rossant J 1998 Promotion of trophoblast stem cell proliferation by FGF4. *Science* **282** 2072–2075. (doi:10.1126/science.282.5396.2072)
- Teesalu T, Blasi F & Talarico D 1998 Expression and function of the urokinase type plasminogen activator during mouse hemochorial placental development. *Developmental Dynamics* **213** 27–38. (doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-0177(199809)213:1<27::AID-AJA3>3.0.CO;2-)
- Teesalu T, Masson R, Basset P, Blasi F & Talarico D 1999 Expression of matrix metalloproteinases during murine chorioallantoic placenta maturation. *Developmental Dynamics* **214** 248–258. (doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-0177(199903)214:3<248::AID-AJA8>3.0.CO;2-N)
- Tesar PJ, Chenoweth JG, Brook FA, Davies TJ, Evans EP, Mack DL, Gardner RL & McKay RD 2007 New cell lines from mouse epiblast share defining features with human embryonic stem cells. *Nature* **448** 196–199. (doi:10.1038/nature05972)
- Thomson JA, Itskovitz-Eldor J, Shapiro SS, Waknitz MA, Swiergiel JJ, Marshall VS & Jones JM 1998 Embryonic stem cell lines derived from human blastocysts. *Science* **282** 1145–1147. (doi:10.1126/science.282.5391.1145)
- Threadgill DW, Dlugosz AA, Hansen LA, Tennenbaum T, Lichti U, Yee D, LaMantia C, Mourton T, Herrup K, Harris RC *et al.* 1995 Targeted disruption of mouse EGF receptor: effect of genetic background on mutant phenotype. *Science* **269** 230–234. (doi:10.1126/science.7618084)
- Vandervoort CA, Thirkill TL & Douglas GC 2007 Blastocyst-derived trophoblast stem cells from the rhesus monkey. *Stem Cells and Development* **16** 779–788. (doi:10.1089/scd.2007.0020)
- Vuorela P, Hatva E, Lymboussaki A, Kaipainen A, Joukov V, Persico MG, Alitalo K & Halmesmaki E 1997 Expression of vascular endothelial growth factor and placenta growth factor in human placenta. *Biology of Reproduction* **56** 489–494. (doi:10.1095/biolreprod56.2.489)
- Werling U & Schorle H 2002 Transcription factor gene AP-2 γ essential for early murine development. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* **22** 3149–3156. (doi:10.1128/MCB.22.9.3149-3156.2002)
- Wu Z, Zhang W, Chen G, Cheng L, Liao J, Jia N, Gao Y, Dai H, Yuan J & Xiao L 2008 Combinatorial signals of Activin/Nodal and bone morphogenic protein regulate the early lineage segregation of human embryonic stem cells. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **283** 24991–25002. (doi:10.1074/jbc.M803893200)
- Xu RH, Chen X, Li DS, Li R, Addicks GC, Glennon C, Zwaka TP & Thomson JA 2002 BMP4 initiates human embryonic stem cell differentiation to trophoblast. *Nature Biotechnology* **20** 1261–1264. (doi:10.1038/nbt761)
- Xu RH, Sampsel-Barron TL, Gu F, Root S, Peck RM, Pan G, Yu J, Antosiewicz-Bourget J, Tian S, Stewart R *et al.* 2008 NANOG is a direct target of TGF β /activin-mediated SMAD signaling in human ESCs. *Cell Stem Cell* **3** 196–206. (doi:10.1016/j.stem.2008.07.001)
- Yagi R, Kohn MJ, Karavanova I, Kaneko KJ, Vullhorst D, DePamphilis ML & Buonanno A 2007 Transcription factor TEAD4 specifies the

- trophectoderm lineage at the beginning of mammalian development. *Development* **134** 3827–3836. (doi:10.1242/dev.010223)
- Yamamoto H, Flannery ML, Kupriyanov S, Pearce J, McKercher SR, Henkel GW, Maki RA, Werb Z & Oshima RG 1998 Defective trophoblast function in mice with a targeted mutation of Ets2. *Genes and Development* **12** 1315–1326. (doi:10.1101/gad.12.9.1315)
- Yeap LS, Hayashi K & Surani MA 2009 ERG-associated protein with SET domain (ESET)–Oct4 interaction regulates pluripotency and represses the trophoctoderm lineage. *Epigenetics & Chromatin* **2** 12. (doi:10.1186/1756-8935-2-12)
- Ying QL, Wray J, Nichols J, Battle-Morera L, Doble B, Woodgett J, Cohen P & Smith A 2008 The ground state of embryonic stem cell self-renewal. *Nature* **453** 519–523. (doi:10.1038/nature06968)
- Yu J, Vodyanik MA, Smuga-Otto K, Antosiewicz-Bourget J, Frane JL, Tian S, Nie J, Jonsdottir GA, Ruotti V, Stewart R *et al.* 2007 Induced pluripotent stem cell lines derived from human somatic cells. *Science* **318** 1917–1920. (doi:10.1126/science.1151526)
- Yu P, Pan G, Yu J & Thomson JA 2011 FGF2 sustains NANOG and switches the outcome of BMP4-induced human embryonic stem cell differentiation. *Cell Stem Cell* **8** 326–334. (doi:10.1016/j.stem.2011.01.001)

Received in final form 26 December 2012

Accepted 4 January 2013

Accepted Preprint published online 4 January 2013