Increased glucagon-like peptide-1 secretion may be involved in antidiabetic effects of ginsenosides
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Abstract

Panax ginseng is one of the most popular herbal remedies. Ginsenosides, major bioactive constituents in P. ginseng, have shown good antidiabetic action, but the precise mechanism was not fully understood. Glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP1) is considered to be an important incretin that can regulate glucose homeostasis in the gastrointestinal tract after meals. The aim of this study was to investigate whether ginseng total saponins (GTS) exerts its antidiabetic effects via modulating GLP1 release. Ginsenoside Rb1 (Rb1), the most abundant constituent in GTS, was selected to further explore the underlying mechanisms in cultured NCI-H716 cells. Diabetic rats were developed by a combination of high-fat diet and low-dose streptozotocin injection. The diabetic rats orally received GTS (150 or 300 mg/kg) daily for 4 weeks. It was found that GTS treatment significantly ameliorated hyperglycemia and dyslipidemia, accompanied by a significant increase in glucose-induced GLP1 secretion and upregulation of proglucagon gene expression. Data from NCI-H716 cells showed that both GTS and Rb1 promoted GLP1 secretion. It was observed that Rb1 increased the ratio of intracellular ATP to ADP concentration and intracellular Ca²⁺ concentration. The metabolic inhibitor azide (3 mM), the KATP channel opener diazoxide (340 µM), and the Ca²⁺ channel blocker nifedipine (20 µM) significantly reversed Rb1-mediated GLP1 secretion. All these results drew a conclusion that ginsenosides stimulated GLP1 secretion both in vivo and in vitro. The antidiabetic effects of ginsenosides may be a result of enhanced GLP1 secretion.
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Introduction

Historical records on traditional medicinal systems reveal that Panax ginseng (P. ginseng, C A Meyer) has been used to treat diabetes for a long time (Xie et al. 2005c). Ginsenosides, major bioactive constituents in P. ginseng, are thought to be the main components responsible for the antidiabetic actions (Attele et al. 1999, 2002). Ginsenoside Rb1 (Rb1) was also reported to be the most abundant constituent among more than 30 ginsenosides in ginseng root despite different sources and species (Washida & Kitanaka 2003, Lim et al. 2005). A series of clinical trials and animal experiments have demonstrated that both P. ginseng and ginsenosides exerted insulinotropic effects and improved long-term glycemic control in type 2 diabetes (Kimura et al. 1981a,b, Yokozawa et al. 1985, Sotaniemi et al. 1995, Vuksan et al. 2000, Kim & Park 2003, Yun et al. 2004, Ma et al. 2008, Vuksan et al. 2008,
Xiong et al. 2010). Several studies have been carried out to investigate the mechanisms of this glucose-lowering effect. Experiments using rats showed that Rb1 treatment reduces intracellular triglyceride accumulation in liver (Park et al. 2002). An in vitro study also demonstrated that Rb1 may suppress triglyceride accumulation in 3T3-L1 adipocytes and enhance insulin release in Min-6 cells via PKA pathways (Park et al. 2008b). It was also reported that Rb1 significantly stimulates basal and insulin-mediated glucose uptake in 3T3-L1 adipocytes and C2C12 myotubes via promoting GLUT1 and GLUT4 translocations to the cell surface (Shang et al. 2008). However, the real mechanisms of the antihyperglycemic effect have not been thoroughly elucidated due to poor absorption and low concentration of ginsenosides in plasma.

It is generally accepted that poor membrane permeability across the intestinal wall is the main factor limiting systemic exposure of most ginsenosides (Liu et al. 2009). Studies showed that Rb1 and Rb2 are poorly absorbed (Odani et al. 1983a,b), with bioavailabilities <1%. The peak concentrations of Rb1 and Rb2 following an oral dose (50 mg/kg) were only 5.51 and 0.35 μmol/l respectively. The findings show that poor absorption and low plasma concentration of ginsenosides after oral administration could not fully characterize its glucose-lowering potency. In fact, this pharmacokinetic–pharmacological paradox is not special to ginsenosides but is common in many natural compounds.

However, it is noteworthy that local high concentration of ginsenosides in intestine may interact with intestinal epithelium, where numerous endocrine cells are located. Glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP1), secreted by enterendocrine L-cells, is one of the most important incretins in the regulation of glucose homeostasis and insulin secretion (Baggio & Drucker 2007, Ranganath 2008). Our recent studies revealed that, berberine, another poorly absorbed natural product, may reduce blood glucose levels partly via stimulating GLP1 release (Lu et al. 2009, Yu et al. 2010). This indicated that, similar to berberine, ginsenosides may exert antidiabetic activity via stimulating GLP1 secretion.

The aim of this study was to investigate whether ginseng total saponins (GTS) treatment could enhance GLP1 secretion and ameliorate glucose and lipid homeostasis in type 2 diabetic rats induced by a combination of high-fat diet and low-dose streptozotocin (STZ). The effects and mechanisms of GTS and Rb1 on GLP1 secretion were also investigated in cultured NCI-H716 cells, a widely used human enterendocrine L-cell line.

Materials and methods

Materials

GTS (ginsenosides content >80%) from one batch and purified ginsenosides Rb1, Rb2, Rc, Rd, Re, Rg1, Rf, and Rg2 (purity >99%) were purchased from Jilin University (Changchun, China). Pentobarbital, digoxin, STZ, ADP, and ATP were obtained from Sigma–Aldrich. Primary anti-insulin antibody was purchased from Abcam Co. (Cambridge, MA, USA). Rabbit anti-GLP1 antibody was bought from Phoenix Pharmaceuticals (Burlingame, CA, USA).

Analysis of ginsenosides by LC–MS

To investigate the chemical composition of GTS, a validated LC–MS method was employed to analyze the contents of eight major ginsenosides namely Rb1, Rb2, Rc, Rd, Re, Rg1, and Rg2 (Xie et al. 2005a). Briefly, stock solutions were prepared at 2 mg/ml in DMSO and diluted with 95% methanol before experiments. Chromatographic separation was performed using a Waters Symmetry C18 column (5.0 μm, 2.1×150 mm). The mobile phase was composed of a mixture of NH4Cl (0.15 mM) in water i) and acetonitrile ii) at a flow rate of 0.2 ml/min. The gradient conditions were as follows: 0–3 min at 25% B, 3–10 min at 25–60% B, 10–18 min at 60–90% B, 18–20 min at 90–25% B, and 20–25 min at 25% B. Analysis in the mass spectrometer with ESI probe was operated in the selected ion monitoring model: m/z [M+Cl]− 835.4 for Rb1, [M+Cl]− 1113.5 for Rb2 and Rc, [M+Cl]− 981.45 for Rd and Re, [M+Cl]− 835.4 for Rg1 and Rf, [M+Cl]− 819.4 for Rg2, and [M+Cl]− 815.35 for digoxin (internal standard).

The contents (w/w) in GTS of the ginsenosides Rb1, Rb2, Rc, Rd, Re, Rf, Rg1, and Rg2 were measured to be 21, 18, 16, 9, 11, 1, 5, and 3% respectively. The result showed that content of eight ginsenosides was 84% and ginsenoside Rb1 was the most abundant constituent in GTS.

Animals

Male Sprague Dawley rats (weighing 100–120 g), purchased from Sino-British Sipper & BK Lab Animal Ltd. (Shanghai, China), were housed in a controlled environment of temperature (23±1 °C) and relative humidity (50±5%) with 12 h light:12 h darkness cycle. Water and food were allowed ad libitum. All animal experimental procedures were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of China Pharmaceutical University.
Induction of experimental diabetes in rats and GTS treatment

Experimental type 2 diabetic rats were induced by combination of high-fat diet and low-dose STZ injection according to a method described previously (Reed et al. 2000, Chen et al. 2011). Following an acclimation period of 3 days, the rats were assigned randomly to three groups: control (CON) group, high-fat diet-fed (HFD) group, and diabetic (DM) group. The CON rats were fed on normal chow while both HFD rats and DM rats were fed on high-fat diet, which contains 15% w/w lard, 5% w/w sesame oil, 20% w/w sucrose, 2.5% w/w cholesterol, and 57.5% w/w normal chow. After 4 weeks of dietary manipulation, the rats were fasted overnight. DM rats received an i.p. injection of STZ (35 mg/kg, dissolved in citrate buffer at pH 4.5). Both HFD and CON rats received an equivalent volume of citrate buffer. On day 7 post-STZ injection, only rats with fasting blood glucose (FBG) levels higher than 11.1 mM were selected as diabetic rats for further studies.

Diabetic rats were divided into three groups randomly: diabetic control (DM) group, low-dose treatment group (DM-GL), and high-dose treatment group (DM-GH), which received oral daily dose of vehicle, 150 mg/kg GTS, or 300 mg/kg GTS for 4 weeks respectively.

Oral glucose tolerance test

On day 21 of the treatment, an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) was performed. Rats were fasted for 6 h followed by an oral dose of glucose (2 g/kg). Plasma glucose and insulin levels were determined at 0 (baseline), 15, 30, 60, and 120 min after glucose administration.

Sample collection and GLP1 assessment

On day 28 of the treatment, rats were fasted for 6 h. At 2 h after last treatment, portal vein catheter implantation was performed under pentobarbital anesthesia (60 mg/kg, i.p.). Serial blood samples (about 300 μl) were collected in Eppendorf tubes containing EDTA and dipeptidyl peptidase-IV (DPP-IV) inhibitor (10 μl/ml, Linco Research, St Charles, MO, USA) via the catheter before and at 10, 20, and 30 min following glucose loading (2.5 g/kg via gavage). Blood samples were centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 10 min and stored at −80°C for assessing insulin and GLP1 concentration.

Then the rats were killed immediately. The pancreas, segments of distal ileum, and proximal colon were rapidly harvested and stored at −80°C until processed. Parts of ileum and colon were used for immunohistochemistry and quantitative RT-PCR analysis, and the rest were used for GLP1 assessment.

GLP1 levels were measured using a GLP1(7–36) amide active ELISA kit (Linco Research). Plasma insulin was measured by iodine [125I] insulin RIA kit (BNIBT Co., Beijing, China). Homeostatic model assessment (HOMA) was used to assess the longitudinal changes in insulin resistance (HOMA-IR).

Measurement of Rb1 concentration in intestine

Normal male Sprague Dawley rats (280–300 g) received an oral dose of GTS (300 mg/kg). Rats were killed and intestinal contents and mucosa in segments of distal ileum and proximal colon were harvested at 2 and 4 h following GTS administration. Contents and mucosa were homogenized in PBS and ginsenosides were extracted by n-butanol saturated with water. Ginsenoside Rb1 concentration in plasma, intestinal contents, and mucosa were measured by LC–MS.

Immunohistochemistry

β-Cell mass in pancreas as well as L-cell number in ileum were measured using immunohistochemistry carried out as described previously (Lu et al. 2009, Yu et al. 2009, 2010). For ileum tissue, results are expressed as the number of L-cells per mucosal area (number/mm²). For pancreas, β-cell volume density was estimated by calculating the proportion of cells immunoreactive for insulin per sectional area of total pancreas. The β-cell mass was calculated by multiplying the β-cell volume density by the weight of the pancreas.

Cell culture and GLP1 secretion studies

Human NCI-H716 cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA). Cells were maintained in suspension in RPMI-1640 at 37°C under 5% CO2. Two days before the experiments, cell adhesion and endocrine differentiation were initiated by growing cells (1.5 × 10⁶/well) in dishes coated with Matrigel (Becton Dickinson Co., Bedford, MA, USA) in high-glucose DMEM. On the day of the experiment, supernatants were replaced by Krebs–Ringer bicarbonate (KRB) buffer (128.8 mM NaCl, 4.8 mM KCl, 1.2 mM KH₂PO₄, 1.2 mM MgSO₄, 2.5 mM CaCl₂, 5 mM NaHCO₃, and 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.4) containing 0.2% w/v BSA with or without test agents. After incubation at 37°C for 2 h, the supernatant was collected with the addition of 50 μg/ml
phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride for GLP1 analysis. No damage on cells was found for all the agents within tested concentrations.

**Measurement of intracellular ATP and ADP**

The intracellular ATP and ADP levels were determined by the HPLC method described previously with minor modifications (Volontè et al. 2004, Varum et al. 2011). In brief, differentiated cells (1.5 × 10⁶/well) were incubated with KRB buffer containing 0.2% BSA with or without Rb1 for 0.5 or 1 h. Then cells were scraped off and sonicated. ATP and ADP were extracted with 5% w/v 5-sulfosalicylic acid followed by centrifugation. Supernatants were neutralized with 2 M NaOH. All steps were carried out on ice. Supernatants were neutralized with 2 M NaOH. All steps were carried out on ice. Separation was achieved using a Waters Symmetry C18 column (5.0 μm, 4.6 × 150 mm). The mobile phase (adjusted pH to 6.5) was 8% v/v methanol containing 70 mM NaH₂PO₄, and 3 mM tetrabutyl ammonium bromide at a flow rate of 1 ml/min. The u.v.–VIS 20A detector (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) was set a wavelength at 220 nm. Results are given as the ratio of 2 (ATP:ADP ratio).

**Measurement of intracellular Ca²⁺ level**

NCI-H716 cells were grown and differentiated on Matrigel-coated glass-bottomed dishes. Before starting the experiment, cells were washed with KRB buffer three times and loaded with the Ca²⁺ indicator Fluo-3 AM (5 μmol/l, Beyotime Biotechnology, Jiangsu, China) in the dark for 45 min at 37°C. Then cells were washed three times with KRB buffer. At the beginning of the experiment, the mean baseline fluorescence was acquired, followed by the stimulation of tested agents. Ca²⁺ transient was recorded by a laser scanning confocal microscope (TCS SPS, Leica, Germany) with Leica application suite (Advanced Fluorescence 2.2.0). Fluorescence was monitored at the emission wavelength of 525 nm, with the excitation wavelength at 488 nm (Reimer et al. 2001, Li et al. 2012a,b, Lupescu et al. 2012).

**Quantitative RT-PCR**

Quantitative RT-PCR was used to measure Gcg mRNA (for proglucagon gene) and Pcsk1 mRNA (for prohormone convertase 3 (Pc:3) gene) in rat ileum (on day 28) or human NCI-H716 cells (incubated with Rb1 for 24 h). Briefly, total RNA from frozen tissue or cells was isolated using TRIzol reagent (SunShine Biotechnology, Nanjing, China) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. The quality and purity of RNA were determined by u.v. spectrophotometry at 260 and 280 nm. Quantitative RT-PCR was performed with an input of cDNA converted from 2 μg of total RNA. Primer sequences of rat mRNA: forward 5’-ACACGGAGGA GAACGCCAGA-3’, reverse 5’-GGGGAATGTCCAGGTA TTTCG-3’ for proglucagon gene; forward 5’-CTCTTT TTCTCTCTAGCCCTTCTC-3’, reverse 5’-CATTCTAGGA CAAACTGCTCTTC-3’ for Pc3 gene; and forward 5’-GGGAAATCTGTGCGTACATT-3’, reverse 5’-GGGCA GTGCCCATCCTC-3’ for β-actin gene. Primer sequences of human mRNA: forward 5’-TGTCAGCTAATATCTGTTG GGC-3’, reverse 5’-AGCAGGTGAAAGAGAGCAAGC-3’ for proglucagon gene; forward 5’-CAGAAGCTTTGTAATA TGTTGT-3’, reverse 5’-GGAGGACTGCTGATGGAGAT-3’ for Pc3 gene; and forward 5’-CATCGGTGTGGAGAGCAAGC-3’ for β-actin gene.

After denaturing at 95°C for 2 min, the amplification was obtained by 40 cycles of 95°C for 5 s and 60°C for 30 s. Melting curves were performed to investigate the specificity of the PCR reaction. Relative quantification of each gene expression was calculated according to a comparative Ct method using the formula: RQ=2⁻ΔΔCt.

**Statistical analysis**

Results were expressed as mean ± s.e.m. Statistical differences among groups were evaluated by one-way ANOVA. Differences between groups were estimated using Student–Newman–Keuls multiple comparison post hoc test when necessary. A P value of <0.05 indicated a significant difference.

**Result**

**Features of DM rats and HFD rats**

Physiological and biochemical parameters were measured in CON rats, HFD rats, DM rats, and DM rats treated with GTS (Table 1 and Fig. 1). In response to STZ injection, levels of glucose, triglyceride (TG), total cholesterol (TC), and free fatty acids (FFA) in plasma of DM rats were significantly higher than those in CON rats, accompanied by reductions in body weight gain. DM rats also developed diabetic symptoms such as polyphagia, polyuria, and polydipsia. High levels of insulin as well as HOMA-IR were observed in DM rats (Table 1). As shown in Fig. 1C, the OGTT results also showed that DM rats had significantly higher postprandial glucose concentrations induced by glucose loading (2 g/kg, post ovulation (p.o.)), leading to significant increase in area under concentration–
time curve (AUC) values of glucose. These indexes were similar to the physiopathological state of type 2 diabetes, indicating that the DM rats may be considered as type 2 diabetic rats (Reed et al. 2000, Srinivasan et al. 2005). HFD rats showed both higher plasma insulin concentrations and HOMA-IR index, inferring the development of IR (Table 1).

### Plasma glucose, insulin, and lipid levels

Four-week GTS treatment significantly decreased energy intake (Fig. 1A) and normalized TG, TC, and FFA levels but failed to influence body weight and liver weight (Table 1). Further study showed that GTS treatment dramatically decreased FBG levels especially in the last 2 weeks (Fig. 1B and Table 1). Although fasting insulin level remained unchanged, the rats treated with GTS showed lower HOMA-IR index compared with DM rats (Table 1). Data from the OGTT conducted on day 21 showed that GTS treatment significantly reduced post-prandial blood glucose levels induced by glucose loading (2 g/kg, p.o.; Fig. 1C), resulting in lower AUC of glucose.

### Table 1

Alterations in body weight, parameters of glucose, and lipid metabolism in diabetic rats after 4 weeks of GTS treatment. DM-GL rats and DM-GH rats were treated with GTS 150 and 300 mg/kg per day for 4 weeks respectively. Data shown are mean ± S.E.M. (n = 5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>CON</th>
<th>HFD</th>
<th>DM</th>
<th>DM-GL</th>
<th>DM-GH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (g)</td>
<td>420.2 ± 10.7</td>
<td>428.3 ± 13.1</td>
<td>301.5 ± 7.9</td>
<td>304.1 ± 14.6</td>
<td>299.4 ± 13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver weight (% of body weight)</td>
<td>3.38 ± 0.07</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.06*</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.13†</td>
<td>4.75 ± 0.16†</td>
<td>4.71 ± 0.15†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.73 ± 0.19</td>
<td>2.21 ± 0.28</td>
<td>10.81 ± 2.11</td>
<td>8.28 ± 1.92*</td>
<td>4.91 ± 0.52†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.74 ± 0.05</td>
<td>2.42 ± 0.08*</td>
<td>27.98 ± 3.42</td>
<td>21.56 ± 3.94</td>
<td>21.88 ± 2.42†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFA (g/l)</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.27 ± 0.41</td>
<td>1.45 ± 0.25*</td>
<td>1.65 ± 0.52</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasted insulin level (mIU/l)</td>
<td>7.63 ± 1.16</td>
<td>10.11 ± 0.26</td>
<td>23.19 ± 1.24*</td>
<td>19.12 ± 2.09†</td>
<td>19.31 ± 0.81†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR</td>
<td>7.89 ± 0.30</td>
<td>16.89 ± 1.07†</td>
<td>30.46 ± 5.30</td>
<td>26.58 ± 2.52</td>
<td>28.99 ± 2.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P < 0.05, †P < 0.01 vs CON rats and ‡P < 0.05, vs DM rats.

Figure 1

Effects of GTS on energy intake (A) and fasted plasma glucose (B) in DM rats during 4 weeks of treatment; postprandial plasma glucose (C) and insulin levels (D) on OGTT on day 21. DM-GL rats and DM-GH rats were treated with GTS 150 and 300 mg/kg per day for 4 weeks respectively. Symbols represent as follows: open square, CON rats; open circle, HFD rats; open triangle, DM rats; filled triangle, DM-GL rats; and filled square, DM-GH rats. Values are expressed as mean ± S.E.M. (n = 5). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 vs CON rats and +P < 0.05, ++P < 0.01 vs DM rats.
(Fig. 1C inset) compared with those in DM rats. Moreover, higher doses of GTS treatment stimulated an increment in insulin levels (Fig. 1D) induced by glucose loading. However, the increment did not reach statistical significance. All these results further verified the antidiabetic effect of GTS.

On day 28, glucose levels and insulin levels in plasma of portal vein were also monitored together with GLP1 following glucose loading (2.5 g/kg, p.o.). It was apparent that compared with DM rats, GTS treatment remarkably decreased plasma glucose concentrations (Fig. 2A), which was in line with the results of the OGTT (Fig. 1C). GTS treatment also displayed a trend to increase insulin level induced by glucose loading, with a significant increase in DM-GH rats (Fig. 2B).

Measurement of pancreas insulin levels was also performed at 30 min after glucose loading on day 28 (Fig. 2C). High doses of GTS treatment increased pancreas insulin levels when compared with control rats, although no significance was found. The β-cell volume density corresponds to the ratio of insulin immunoreactivity area to pancreatic parenchymal area. The β-cell mass was calculated by multiplying the β-cell volume density by the weight of the pancreas (Yu et al. 2010). The data from immunohistochemistry showed that high-dose GTS treatment significantly increased β-cell mass by 2.52-fold compared with DM rats (Fig. 2D).

**GLP1 secretion in rats**

Assessment of GLP1 levels in portal plasma was conducted after glucose loading (2.5 g/kg, p.o.) on day 28 (Fig. 3A) and AUC from 0 to 30 min (AUC0–30) was estimated using the linear trapezoidal rule. The results confirmed that DM rats exhibited greater basal GLP1 levels than CON rats, which was consistent with those previously reported (Cani et al. 2005). Obviously, glucose loading induced GLP1 release in all experimental rats. Compared with DM rats, high-dose GTS treatment did not produce appreciable effects on basal GLP1 levels but enhanced glucose-induced GLP1 release, which continued rising to the peak at 20 min (96.4 ± 7.85 pM in DM-GH rats vs 57.1 ± 11.5 pM in DM rats, *P < 0.05) and stayed high to the end of the experiment. A similar increase was obtained in DM-GL group, although was presented by β-cell mass, detected by immunohistochemistry. Symbols represent as follows: open square, CON rats; open circle, HFD rats; open triangle, DM rats; filled triangle, DM-GL rats; and filled square, DM-GH rats. Values are expressed as means ± S.E.M. (n = 5), #P < 0.05 vs CON rats and *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 vs DM rats.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CON</th>
<th>HFD</th>
<th>DM</th>
<th>DM-GL</th>
<th>DM-GH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2

Effects of 4-week GTS treatment on glucose (A) and insulin levels (B) in portal vein, pancreas insulin level (C), and β-cell mass (D) in DM rats. DM-GL rats and DM-GH rats were treated with GTS 150 and 300 mg/kg per day for 4 weeks respectively. Plasma samples were collected before and at 10, 20, and 30 min following glucose loading (2.5 g/kg, p.o.) on day 28. Pancreas samples were obtained at 30 min after glucose loading. β-Cell proliferation was presented by β-cell mass, detected by immunohistochemistry. Symbols represent as follows: open square, CON rats; open circle, HFD rats; open triangle, DM rats; filled triangle, DM-GL rats; and filled square, DM-GH rats. Values are expressed as means ± S.E.M. (n = 5), #P < 0.05 vs CON rats and *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 vs DM rats.
no significance was found. Meanwhile, GTS treatment also increased the AUC0–30 of GLP1 (44.69 ± 6.50 pmol/h per l in DM-GH rats vs 34.97 ± 6.94 pmol/h per l in DM rats). These results indicated that GTS treatment enhanced GLP1 secretion induced by glucose loading.

GLP1 levels in ileum and colon was measured at 30 min after glucose loading (Fig. 3B). High-dose GTS treatment significantly increased GLP1 concentrations in the ileum. However, the enhancement did not occur in the colon.

The proglucagon gene is the precursor gene of GLP1. GLP1(7–36) amide is produced by a tissue-specific post-translational process of proglucagon peptide by PC3 (Rouillé et al. 1995). Levels of Gcg mRNA (for proglucagon gene) and Pcsk1 mRNA (for Pc3 gene) in ileum segments were assessed. The results showed that diabetes upregulated expression of Gcg mRNA. GTS treatment further increased Gcg mRNA expression (Fig. 3C). By contrast, GTS treatment did not elicit a marked alteration in Pcsk1 mRNA expression.

The L-cells were identified in the microvilli of the intestinal lumen by immunohistochemistry. Compared with DM rats, high-dose GTS treatment significantly increased the number of GLP1-positive L-cells in the ileum (Fig. 3D). Besides, no obvious alteration was observed in DM rats compared with CON rats.

Rb1 level in intestine after oral GTS administration

Rb1 level in plasma, intestinal contents, and mucosa were investigated at 2 and 4 h following GTS administration (300 mg/kg, p.o.). The results showed that Rb1 in plasma was only 204.9 ± 47.5 ng/ml at 2 h and 225.1 ± 15.8 ng/ml at 4 h following GTS administration. At 2 h, the Rb1 concentration in ileal mucosa was 873.3 ± 80.5 µg/g mucosa while a higher Rb1 concentration appeared in ileal contents (4.27 ± 0.81 mg/g content). At 4 h, the Rb1 concentration in ileal mucosa and in contents was 298.1 ± 36.5 µg/g mucosa and 728.1 ± 284.4 µg/g content respectively. For colon, the Rb1 concentration in mucosa and contents was only 14.1 ± 9.9 µg/g mucosa and 48.2 ± 13.3 µg/g content at 2 h and then increased to 118.8 ± 19.8 µg/g mucosa and 2.34 ± 0.68 mg/g content at 4 h respectively.
GLP1 secretion in NCI-H716 cells

Rb1, Rb2, Rc, Rd, and Re were found to be major ginsenosides in GTS. The effects of the five major ginsenosides on GLP1 secretion were investigated in the NCI-H716 cell line. The results showed that tested agents (100 μM) possessed similar efficacy enhancing GLP1 secretion except Re (Fig. 4A). Extent of increase in GLP1 release induced by GTS (100 and 200 μg/ml) was similar to that by Rb1. This study showed that Rb1 was the most abundant constituent in GTS; therefore, Rb1 was selected to be the representative constituent for further studies.

The following study showed that ginsenoside Rb1 dose dependently stimulated GLP1 secretion (Fig. 4A). GLP1 secretion from the cells was glucose dependent (Fig. 4B). Rb1 (100 μM) increased GLP1 secretion by 1.56-fold at 5.5 mM glucose concentration compared with 1.41-fold without glucose, whereas Rb1 did not produce further increments at 11.1 mM glucose concentration.

GCG mRNA and PCSK1 mRNA expression in NCI-H716 cells

As mentioned earlier, both GCG mRNA and PCSK1 mRNA were related to GLP1 production. Data from quantitative RT-PCR analysis showed that Rb1 treatment significantly increased GCG mRNA as well as PCSK1 mRNA expression compared with control cells, which indicated that Rb1 may affect GLP1 biosynthesis (Fig. 4C).

Cellular energy metabolism, intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) level, and K\(_{ATP}\) channel in NCI-H716 cells

Some reports showed that GLP1 release is involved in cellular energy metabolism and intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) levels. The intracellular levels of ATP and ADP were assessed, and the ratio of ATP and ADP levels (ATP:ADP) was calculated. Consistent with our expectation, ginsenoside Rb1 significantly elevated the ATP:ADP ratio (Fig. 5A). The metabolic inhibitor azide (3 mM) may reverse the increase in GLP1 secretion induced by Rb1 (Fig. 5C).

In addition, Rb1 remarkably increased intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) levels while this augmentation is slow and reversible (Fig. 5B). Furthermore, the presence of 20 μM nifedipine, an L-type Ca\(^{2+}\) channel blocker, abrogated the Rb1-induced increase in GLP1 secretion (Fig. 5C). Substitution of extracellular medium by Ca\(^{2+}\)-free KRB buffer also abolished the stimulation of GLP1 secretion induced by Rb1. Similarly, adding 340 μM diazoxide, a K\(_{ATP}\) channel opener, also attenuated the rise of GLP1 secretion induced by Rb1 (Fig. 5C).
Ginsenosides stimulate GLP1 secretion

As they account for over 60% of postprandial insulin release, incretin hormones have become a promising treatment for controlling type 2 diabetes, especially when traditional hypoglycemic agents appear to be ineffective (Salehi et al. 2010). Based on incretin hormones, current drug development focuses on GLP1 analogs as well as DPP-IV inhibitors (Reimann 2010). However, these newly approved chemicals have displayed some untoward reactions (Elashoff et al. 2011, Knezevich et al. 2012). One alternative approach is to seek some natural compounds that may stimulate intestinal L-cells and trigger endogenous GLP1 release. The major finding of this study was that ginsenosides may be a potent stimulator of GLP1 secretion.

According to our results, GTS treatment may lower both FBG and postprandial plasma glucose, accompanied by an increase in insulin levels in plasma and pancreatic tissue, as well as β-cell mass. GTS treatment may partly improve lipid homeostasis and reduce HOMA-IR index. All these results verified the therapeutic value of GTS with regard to type 2 diabetes.

As we expected, 300 mg/kg GTS treatment significantly increased GLP1 release induced by glucose loading (2.5 g/kg, p.o.). Peripherally released GLP1 may access the brain and participate in the regulation of anorexic response (Lu et al. 2009), which indicated that suppression of food intake induced by GTS may partly be due to enhancement of GLP1 release. It was well known that GLP1 exerts important effects on regulating glucose homeostasis via stimulating insulin secretion, stimulating β-cell proliferation, inhibiting food intake, etc. (Holst 2007), which was observed in this study. It was noticed that GTS promoted proglucagon gene expression and L-cell proliferation in the intestine of diabetic rats. These findings implied that enhancement of GLP1 release may be partially attributed to promoted GLP1 biosynthesis and L-cell function.

Our results indicated that a high concentration of Rb1 existed in distal intestine, which may directly interact with intestinal epithelial endocrine L-cells. As mentioned earlier, ginsenosides are poorly absorbed while high concentrations of ginsenosides in the intestine may be responsible for GLP1 secretion. Compared with the ileum, the Rb1 concentration in the colon contents was very low at 2 h, which indicated that GTS did not reach the colon at that time. This fact also explained that GTS treatment did not influence GLP1 levels in the colon (Fig. 3B).

NCI-H716 cells served as an in vitro model of the intestinal L-cell to further investigate the effect of GTS on regulating GLP1 release. In vitro study demonstrated that GTS may provoke an increase in GLP1 secretion from the NCI-H716 cells, in accordance with in vivo findings. We further evaluated the effects of five major ginsenosides in GTS. All the tested ginsenosides enhanced GLP1 secretion except Re, which indicated that ginsenosides were the major compounds stimulating GLP1 secretion. Rb1, the most abundant constituent in GTS, served as the representative constituent for further experiments. Rb1 stimulated GLP1 secretion in a dose-dependent manner and exhibited a synergistic effect with glucose in GLP1 secretion. GCG and PCSK1 mRNA expression were also promoted in NCI-H716 cells treated with Rb1.

GLP1 secretion induced by glucose was under modulation of many factors including cellular energy metabolism, closure of KATP channels (Reimann & Gribble 2002), electrogenic coupled Na+ and glucose entry via sodium-coupled glucose co-transporters (Gribble et al. 2003).
sweet taste receptors, and G-protein-coupled receptor pathways (Jang et al. 2007, Chu et al. 2008). Some studies have demonstrated that Ginseng may enhance glucose-stimulated insulin secretion via increasing ATP levels, affecting activity of K\(_{ATP}\) channels and Ca\(^{2+}\) channels (Luo & Luo 2006, Park et al. 2008a). We discovered that Rb1 significantly increased the ATP:ADP ratio and cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) levels in NCI-H716 cells. Further study proved that the enhancement of GLP1 secretion induced by Rb1 was partly diminished by the presence of azide, diazoxide, and nifedipine. These results implied that an elevated ATP:ADP ratio stimulated by Rb1 could result in the closure of K\(_{ATP}\) channels and membrane depolarization and in turn trigger the opening of voltage-gated calcium channels. Then elevation in the cytosolic free Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration triggers the exocytotic response of GLP1. Besides, as ginsenosides are amphipathic, the possibility that Rb1 increased cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) acting as a detergent could not be ruled out.

It is of interest that hyperglycemia was effectively attenuated by GTS treatment while insulin level was only marginally increased (Fig. 1C and D). Similarly, β-cell mass markedly increased in the DM-GH group while pancreatic insulin content just increased moderately compared with DM rats (Fig. 2C and D). These phenomena may be attributed to the ameliorated IR. Similar reports showed that plasma glucose level and plasma insulin level may decrease simultaneously when the diabetic conditions are improved efficiently (Attele et al. 2002, Xie et al. 2005b, Yoon et al. 2007, Xiong et al. 2010, Yang et al. 2010). In our experiment, increased GLP1 secretion induced by ginsenosides may mediate these effects. It is well known that GLP1 exhibits potent insulinotropic and glucose-lowering actions. In addition, chronic GLP1 enhancement may strongly inhibit glucagon secretion, reduce food intake, slow gastric emptying, exert insulin-like effects, and increase insulin sensitivity and β-cell function (Young et al. 1999, Holst 2007). These factors may result in a great amelioration in IR. For instance, GLP1 increases glycogen synthesis and glucose transport in skeletal muscles and liver (Redondo et al. 2003, Acitores et al. 2004). The existence of GLP1 can also amplify insulin signaling in adipocytes (Gao et al. 2007). Moreover, lipid homeostasis improved by GTS treatment (Table 1) and augmented GLP1 release may further ameliorate IR. Meanwhile, we cannot exclude the possible role of absorbed ginsenosides on IR and glucose homeostasis. In our experiment, although the absolute amount of insulin did not increase, poor potency of insulin was attenuated after the treatment. Improved insulin sensitivity may require less insulin to maintain glucose disposal (Xiong et al. 2010). Thus, it is reasonable to consider that GLP1 as well as β-cell mass markedly increased in the DM-GH group while insulin content in plasma and pancreas just increased moderately. In addition, enhanced insulin level may have appeared obviously due to the insulinotrophic action of GLP1 as well as ginsenosides (Kimura et al. 1981b, Rotshteyn & Zito 2004, Park et al. 2008b) during the treatment.

In conclusion, this study suggested that modulating GLP1 secretion as well as relative gene expression may be one of the mechanisms by which ginsenosides exert their antidiabetic effect. The underlying molecular mechanism involved elevation of the ATP:ADP ratio, subsequent K\(_{ATP}\) channel closure, and the opening of Ca\(^{2+}\) channels. These findings may suggest that it is endogenous GLP1 that acts as a critical mediator of this antidiabetic action, which shed some light on the pharmacokinetic–pharmacological paradox of ginsenosides.
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