Novel α-MSH analog causes weight loss in obese rats and minipigs and improves insulin sensitivity
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Abstract

Obesity is a major burden to people and to health care systems around the world. The aim of the study was to characterize the effect of a novel selective α-MSH analog on obesity and insulin sensitivity. The subchronic effects of the selective MC4-R peptide agonist MC4-NN1-0182 were investigated in diet-induced obese (DIO) rats and DIO minipigs by assessing the effects on food intake, energy consumption, and body weight. The acute effect of MC4-NN1-0182 on insulin sensitivity was assessed by a euglycemic–hyperinsulinemic clamp study in normal rats. Three weeks of treatment of DIO rats with MC4-NN1-0182 caused a decrease in food intake and a significant decrease in body weight 7%G1%, P<0.05 compared with 3±1% increase with the vehicle control. In DIO minipigs, 8 weeks of treatment with MC4-NN1-0182 resulted in a body weight loss of 13.3G2.5 kg (13G3%), whereas the vehicle control group had gained 3.7G1.4 kg (4±1%). Finally, clamp studies in normal rats showed that acute treatment with MC4-NN1-0182 caused a significant increase in glucose disposal (Rd) compared with vehicle control (Rd, mg/kg per min, 17.0±0.7 vs 13.9±0.6, P<0.01). We demonstrate that treatment of DIO rats or minipigs with a selective MC4-R peptide agonist causes weight loss. Moreover, we have demonstrated weight-independent effects on insulin sensitivity. Our observations identify MC4 agonism as a viable target for the treatment of obesity and insulin resistance.
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Introduction

α-Melanocyte-stimulating hormone (α-MSH) belongs to the melanocortins which are derived from a large precursor protein, pre-proopiomelanocortin (pre-POMC). α-MSH has been implicated not only in various behavioral and physiological responses such as pigmentation (Lerner & McGuire 1961), sexual behavior (Bertolini et al. 1968), thermoregulation, and inflammatory responses, but also feeding (Vergoni et al. 1986) and control of body weight (Fan et al. 1997, MacNeil et al. 2002). The effect of the melanocortins is mediated through a subfamily of G-protein-coupled receptors with five receptor subtypes denoted MC1-R, MC2-R, MC3-R, MC4-R, and MC5-R, which interact with melanocortin peptides with individual potencies and selectivities (reviewed in Wikberg et al. (2000)).

In vivo studies on rodents and data from MC4-R-deficient mice, rats, and humans emphasize the importance of MC4-R in body weight regulation. Accordingly, it has been shown in rodents that administration of MC4-R agonists leads to a suppression of appetite and...
increases the metabolic rate resulting in a significant weight loss (Li et al. 2004). Furthermore, mice, rats, and humans deficient in the MC4-R are obese (Huszar et al. 1997, Vaisse et al. 1998, Yeo et al. 1998, Mul et al. 2012), and data from studies on MC4-R knockout mice show that MC4-R is essential for the mediation of the effect of melanocortins on energy homeostasis; i.e. the presence of MC4-R is necessary for the effects of nonselective melanocortin agonists (melanotan II (MT-II) and BIM22511) on food intake (Marsh et al. 1999, Chen et al. 2000) and body weight (Kumar et al. 2009).

The melanocortin system has been shown to be implicated in acute effects on insulin secretion and/or glucose homeostasis in lean mice (Heijboer et al. 2005) as well as in obese mice (Zhou et al. 2007, Kumar et al. 2009). Also an improvement of glucose tolerance after long-term treatment with melanocortins has been observed in rodents (Banno et al. 2004) and in rhesus monkeys (Kievit et al. 2013). Interestingly both weight-dependent (Li et al. 2005) and weight-independent effects have been described (Obici et al. 2001, Lee et al. 2007). In contrast, decreased insulin secretion and decreased glucose tolerance after i.c.v. injection of the nonselective melanocortin agonist MT-II into mice was observed in another study (Fan et al. 2000). In conclusion, the melanocortin system is considered to be an important player in the central regulation of energy balance and possibly also in the regulation of glucose metabolism.

Although the involvement of the MC4-R in body weight regulation was demonstrated 15 years ago, and many pharmaceutical companies have been engaged in identification of small-molecule MC4-R agonist discovery, no small-molecule drug development program has progressed past phase I, showing that obtaining effective, orally available, and selective small molecule compounds targeting the MC4-R is a difficult task (Sebhat et al. 2002, Ujjainwalla & Sebhat 2007). In addition, it has also proved difficult to avoid unwanted side effects such as increased blood pressure and penile erection (Emmerson et al. 2007). However, with the increased focus on obesity and the insulin-resistant state of prediabetes, the search for a long-acting MC4-R-selective peptide agonist for s.c. administration may represent an attractive alternative to a small-molecule compound for the treatment of obesity and improvement of insulin sensitivity. As the melanocortin receptors are involved in several different physiological responses, it is important to obtain agonists that are selective for the MC4-R in order to avoid possible side effects derived from activation of the other MC receptors. We have developed a MC4 receptor-selective peptide agonist (binding properties; \( K_a \) values on the respective MC1, MC3, MC4, and MC5 receptors were found to be 400, 42, 0.17, and 10 nM respectively, peptide 11 in Conde-Frieboes et al. (2012)) and here the effects of this analog, MC4-NN1-0182, on body weight in obese rats and pigs as well as on glucose utilization in rats are described.

Materials and methods
The animal experiments were approved by the Danish animal ethics committee. Unless otherwise stated, animals were housed under constant humidity in a temperature (20 ± 2 °C) and light-controlled environment (12 h light:12 h darkness cycle; lights on from 0600 h) with free access to food and water. Rodents had 1-2 weeks of acclimatization before initiation of the studies and the pigs were acclimatized for several months with feed available ad libitum before the study was initiated. The \( \alpha \)-MSH analog MC4-NN1-0182 was developed at Novo Nordisk A/S as described previously (Conde-Frieboes et al. 2012). All chemicals used in the studies were bought from Sigma–Aldrich http://www.sigmaaldrich.com.

Study 1: subchronic effects of MC4-NN1-0182 in diet-induced obese rats

Animals Male Sprague–Dawley rats (Taconic MB, Ry, Denmark) aged 7–8 weeks were put on a chow control diet (12450B, group A), or a high-fat diet (HFD; 12492, group B-E, Research Diets, New Brunswick, NJ, USA) for 10 weeks. Two weeks before treatment was started the rats were single-housed and acclimatized to injection and handling and then stratified into the following five groups based on body weight (n=10): (A) chow control (vehicle); (B) vehicle; (C) MC4-NN1-0182 (0.3 mg/kg); (D) MC4-NN1-0182 (0.1 mg/kg); and (E) pair-fed to C (vehicle). Treatment was given at 1700 h once daily as a single s.c. injection (0.5 ml/kg) for 23 days. The vehicle consisted of sodium chloride (100 mM) and 5% (w/v) hydroxypropylcyclodextrin at pH 5.0. Food intake and body weight were measured daily. Group E was pair-fed to group C, by supplying these animals with the average amount of HFD that was ingested by group C on the previous day.

Indirect calorimetry and locomotor activity Following 15 days of treatment oxygen consumption, respiratory exchange ratio (RER), and locomotor activity were measured in groups B, C, and E using an Oxymax equal flow system (Columbus Instruments, Columbus,
OH, USA) equipped with a grid of infrared beams 3.5 cm above the floor level for recording of two-dimensional locomotor activity (Automatik Partner, Glostrup, Denmark). Briefly, the fed animals were placed in the calibrated Oxymax chambers at 1500 h and allowed a 2 h adaptation period before treatment and measurements of O₂ and CO₂ concentrations were continued for 21 h. Oxygen consumption was calculated per rat, while RER was calculated as the ratio of CO₂ production to O₂ consumption.

**Oral glucose tolerance test** Following 20 days of treatment, an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) was performed as described previously (Madsen *et al.* 2010).

**Body composition** Total body fat content was analyzed in conscious fed rats using a noninvasive MR scanner (EchoMRI 2004, Echo Medical Systems, Houston, TX, USA) before treatment and on the day before termination.

**Termination** A blood sample was obtained from isoflurane anaesthetized rats for the determination of plasma glucose, insulin, leptin, and lipids. Leptin was measured using a Linco-plex kit (Linco Research, Inc., St Charles, MO, USA) before treatment and on the day before termination. The mesenteric fat depot was excised and weighed.

**Study 2: chronic effects of MC4-NN1-0182 in diet-induced obese minipigs**

**Animals** Twelve obese female Go¨ ttingen minipigs (Ellegaard Go¨ ttingen minipigs ApS, Dalmose, Denmark) aged 48 months with a body weight of ~100 kg were used. The normal body weight of an adult Go¨ ttingen minipig is 35–40 kg. A normal body weight can only be maintained if the pigs are fed very restrictively. If they are allowed ad libitum access to standard diet (SDS, Scanbur, Sollentuna, Sweden) they will increase the food intake twofold to threefold compared with the restricted amount and the pigs will become obese within half a year. The minipigs are housed in individual pens equipped with feeding devices directly connected to scales for online registration of food intake (MPIGWIn Version 2.0, Novo Nordisk, Måløv, Denmark). Two weeks before the onset of the study, the minipigs were dosed daily with 1 ml saline in order to get them accustomed to injections. The minipigs were stratified into two groups (n=6) based on body weight. The pigs were treated by s.c. injections for 58 days (8 weeks) with either vehicle: sodium acetate (5 mM)+glycerol (2.54 v/v %), pH 5.0 or MC4-NN1-0182 given as a loading bolus of 30 mg/pig at day 0 followed by dosing every other day with 10 mg/pig. During the entire study, food intake was monitored daily at 15 min intervals for 23.5 h, while body weight was monitored on a scale twice weekly throughout the study.

**Indirect calorimetry** Following 56 days of treatment, the minipigs (n=3) were placed in a custom built respiration chamber equipped with a feeding system and water supply at 1400 h. Measurement of O₂ and CO₂ concentrations was started when the lights were turned off at 1700 h. Lights were turned back on at 0715 h, the following day and the measurements were stopped at 0900 h. Oxygen consumption was calculated as described earlier.

**Study 3: acute effects of MC4-NN1-0182 in hyperinsulinemic–euglycemic clamp in rats**

**Animals** Male Sprague–Dawley rats (Taconic MB) aged 5–6 weeks had permanent catheters implanted in the portal and jugular vein and in the carotid artery followed by a 2-week recovery period as described previously (Fosgerau *et al.* 2006). The day before the clamp experiment, the animals were semi-fasted to 70% of normal food intake overnight. A total of 13 unrestrained and conscious rats were clamped and treated with either vehicle (NaCl, 100 mM; hydroxypropylcyclodextrin, 5% (w/v), n=7) or MC4-NN1-0182 (n=6).

**Hyperinsulinemic–euglycemic clamp protocol** The clamp protocol is described by Finegood *et al.* (1987). Blood was drawn from the artery at t=−155 min for baseline values of blood glucose, plasma insulin, and glucose-specific activity (GSA). Then, at t=−153 min, a s.c. (0.5 ml/kg) injection of either vehicle or MC4-NN1-0182 (1 mg/kg) was given. At t=−151 min, constant infusion of 3H-3-D-glucose (80 μCi/kg+0.8 μCi/kg per min, Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA) was initiated. Blood was drawn every 15 min at t=−150 to −30 min and every 6 min at t=0 to 120 min for immediate measurement of plasma glucose. Samples were obtained every 6 min at t=−30 to 0 min and t=90 to 120 min for the determination of blood glucose, plasma insulin, and GSA. Plasma glucose was determined using a YSI 2300 autoanalyzer (YSI, Inc., Yellow Springs, OH, USA), and insulin was measured as described previously (Andersen *et al.* 1993). Portal infusion of insulin (20 mU/kg+4.5 mU/kg per min, Actrapid, Novo Nordisk)
was initiated at $t=0$ min and continued until the end of the study at $t=120$ min and at the same time a variable-labeled glucose infusion (Ginf, 1 μCi/10 mg) was given in the jugular vein for maintaining euglycemia. All blood samples were collected in tubes containing NaF (12.5 mg/ml) and heparin (30 IE/ml) and plasma were separated by centrifugation. Then, following precipitation with Ba(OH)$_2$ and ZnSO$_4$ GSA in the protein-free supernatant of plasma was determined by liquid scintillation of radioactivity (Beckman LS 6000 TA, Ramcon, Birkerød, Denmark).

**Glucose utilization** Endogenous glucose production (EGP) and whole-body glucose uptake (Rd) were measured in two defined steady-state periods (SS1, $t=−30$ to $0$ min, normoinsulinemia; SS2, $t=90$ to $120$ min, hyperinsulinemia) using conventional tracer technique with the modified Steel’s one-compartment model (Finegood et al. 1987). To express the ability of insulin to suppress EGP, we set the rate of EGP in the first steady-state period to 100%.

**Statistical analysis** Data are expressed as mean ± S.E.M. unless otherwise stated. Statistical evaluations of the data were done using one-way or two-way ANOVA followed by appropriate post hoc analysis. All statistical calculations were performed using Prism 5.0 software (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA), and $P<0.05$ was considered statistically significant. The index of insulin sensitivity (ISI) was determined as described previously (Matsuda & DeFronzo 1999).

**Results**

**Study 1: subchronic effects of MC4-N1-0182 in diet-induced obese rats**

We observed a dose-dependent decrease in food intake in diet-induced obese (DIO) rats treated with MC4-N1-0182 as compared with vehicle control (Fig. 1B). This decrease was significant ($P<0.05$) in the first week of dosing and remained below the level for the vehicle control group for the entire study at both doses of MC4-N1-0182. Further, as compared with vehicle control, administration of MC4-N1-0182 caused a dose-dependent decrease in body weight (Fig. 1A), which was paralleled by a significant decrease in total body fat and mesenteric fat (Fig. 1C, Table 1, $P<0.05$) and plasma levels of leptin (Table 1). Thus, at study termination, we observed a significant ($P<0.05$) decrease in body weight of 7 ± 1% ($P<0.05$) and 4 ± 1% ($P<0.05$) in DIO rats treated with MC4-N1-0182 at 0.3 and 0.1 mg/kg respectively. This was compared with a 4 ± 1% decrease in the group pair-fed to the 0.3 mg/kg group and a 3 ± 1% increase for the vehicle control group. The effect of MC4-N1-0182 on
plasma lipids are shown in Table 1. We observed a significant \( P < 0.05 \) effect on total plasma levels of cholesterol in DIO rats treated with MC4-NN1-0182 as compared with vehicle control, but not on levels of NEFA or TAG \( (P = \text{NS}) \).

Rats treated with MC4-NN1-0182 (0.3 mg/kg) displayed a similar rate of oxygen consumption (Fig. 2A and B, \( P = \text{NS} \)) to those in the vehicle control group. In contrast, we observed significantly a \( (P < 0.001) \) lower level of oxygen consumption in the pair-fed group as compared

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measured parameters</th>
<th>Chow control</th>
<th>Vehicle</th>
<th>MC4-NN1-0182 (0.3 mg/kg)</th>
<th>MC4-NN1-0182 (0.1 mg/kg)</th>
<th>Pair-fed to MC4-NN1-0182 (0.3 mg/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>t-Chol (mM)</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.1(^{\dagger})</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.1(^{\dagger})</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C (mM)</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.0(^{\ast})</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C (mM)</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.1(^{\dagger})</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAG (mM)</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEFA ((\mu)M)</td>
<td>53 ± 13</td>
<td>99 ± 15</td>
<td>137 ± 15</td>
<td>104 ± 7</td>
<td>133 ± 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptin (pM)</td>
<td>588 ± 78</td>
<td>769 ± 82</td>
<td>343 ± 22(^{\dagger})</td>
<td>495 ± 106(^{\dagger})</td>
<td>403 ± 21(^{\dagger})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin (pM)</td>
<td>551 ± 61</td>
<td>553 ± 101</td>
<td>354 ± 34(^{\dagger})</td>
<td>360 ± 49(^{\dagger})</td>
<td>426 ± 54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mM)</td>
<td>7.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>7.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>8.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>8.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>8.5 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesenteric fat (g)</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.2(^{\dagger})</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.2(^{\dagger})</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.1(^{\dagger})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data were compared by one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s multiple comparison test where \( \ast P < 0.001, \ast\ast P < 0.01, \ast\ast\ast P < 0.05 \) vs vehicle control.

Rats treated with MC4-NN1-0182 (0.3 mg/kg) displayed a similar rate of oxygen consumption (Fig. 2A and B, \( P = \text{NS} \)) to those in the vehicle control group. In contrast, we observed significantly a \( (P < 0.001) \) lower level of oxygen consumption in the pair-fed group as compared

Figure 2
Effect of MC4-NN1-0182 on oxygen consumption, respiratory exchange ratio (RER), and locomotor activity in DIO rats. Effect on 23-h oxygen consumption of s.c. administration of MC4-NN1-0182 (dark blue line/bar, 0.3 mg/kg), vehicle control (black line/bar), or pair-fed to MC4-NN1-0182 (0.3 mg/kg) (vehicle, dashed line/open bar) following 15 days of treatment (A and B), the RER (C) with averages illustrated by vertical lines, and the 23-h accumulated locomotor activity (D) in DIO rats, see Materials and methods for details. Data are mean ± S.E.M., \( n = 10 \) or 5/group. Data were compared by two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s post-test where \( P < 0.05 \) vs vehicle control are indicated by vertical bars, or by one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s multiple comparison test where \( \ast\ast\ast P < 0.001 \) vs vehicle control.
with the vehicle control group. Along with the changes in oxygen consumption, we observed a non-significant trend toward a decrease in the RER (Fig. 2C, $P=NS$) in MC4-NN1-0182 and pair-fed animals compared with vehicle control. We observed significantly a higher activity in the animals treated with MC4-NN1-0182 compared with the pair-fed group ($P<0.05$, Fig. 2D). However, no difference was observed when comparing the MC4-NN1-0182 or the pair-fed group with the vehicle control group ($P=NS$).

Figure 3A, B, and C shows the data from the OGTT. The insulin sensitivity in the vehicle high-fat control group was significantly lower than in vehicle-treated rats fed with a normal chow ($P<0.01$). Treatment with MC4-NN1-0182 restored the insulin sensitivity to the level observed in the chow-control groups (Fig. 3B). Also, a lower plasma level of insulin was observed in DIO rats treated with MC4-NN1-0182 compared with the vehicle control group at the termination of the study endpoint (Table 1).

### Study 2: chronic effects of MC4-NN1-0182 in DIO minipigs

We observed an immediate and significant decrease in food intake in DIO minipigs dosed with MC4-NN1-0182 compared with vehicle control (Fig. 4A, $P<0.05$). The decrease in food intake was sustained throughout the study. A significant reduction in body weight could be observed following 9 days of treatment and for the rest of the entire study (Fig. 4C, $P<0.05$). At endpoint pigs treated with MC4-NN1-0182 had lost 13.3±2.5 kg (13±3%), whereas the vehicle control group had gained 3.7±1.4 kg (4±1%). VO$_2$ (ml O$_2$/min) was 281±41 891 for the vehicle group vs 241 895±41 898 for the MC4-NN1-0182-treated group (Fig. 4D). No difference was observed in the oxygen consumption measurement after 8 weeks of treatment when comparing the two groups ($P=NS$).

### Study 3: acute effects of MC4-NN1-0182 in hyperinsulinemic–euglycemic clamp in rats

An overview of the clamp protocol is represented in Fig. 5 and is described by Finegood et al. (1987). By infusion of exogenous glucose, the plasma glucose level was maintained at euglycemia during the entire clamp from $t=-30$ to 120 min and we observed no differences between the two groups (Fig. 6A, $P=NS$). The plasma levels of insulin (Fig. 6B) were raised about threefold in both groups from the first steady-state period (SS1) to the second (SS2). Finally, we observed no differences in the GSA in the two groups during both steady-state periods (Fig. 6C, $P=NS$).
Taken together, the clamp conditions enabled a qualified determination of glucose turnover. The glucose infusion necessary to maintain euglycemia is shown in Fig. 6D. Significantly less glucose was used in the animals treated with vehicle control vs MC4-NN1-0182 (AUC, mg/kg, $1303^{G37}$ vs $1567^{G60}$, $P!0.01$). In the first steady-state period under normoinsulinemia, the basal EGP was similar in the two groups (EGP, mg/kg per min, $6.1^{G0.3}$ vs $6.8^{G0.5}$ vehicle vs MC4-NN1-0182, $P=NS$) and this was paralleled by equal basal rate of glucose disappearance (Rd, mg/kg per min, $6.2^{G0.3}$ vs $6.8^{G0.5}$, vehicle vs MC4-NN1-0182, $P=NS$). Figure 6E display the ability of hyperinsulinemia to suppress EGP. We observed no differences between animals treated with MC4-NN1-0182 in the two groups (EGP, mg/kg per min, $6.1^{G0.3}$ vs $6.8^{G0.5}$ vehicle vs MC4-NN1-0182, $P=NS$) and this was paralleled by equal basal rate of glucose disappearance (Rd, mg/kg per min, $6.2^{G0.3}$ vs $6.8^{G0.5}$, vehicle vs MC4-NN1-0182, $P=NS$). Figure 6E display the ability of hyperinsulinemia to suppress EGP. We observed no differences between animals treated with MC4-NN1-0182
vs the vehicle control (% of basal EGP, 15±8 vs 16±8, vehicle vs MC4-NN1-0182, P=NS). Figure 6F displays the effect of hyperinsulinemia on Rd. We observed a significant increase in Rd in animals treated with MC4-NN1-0182 as compared with vehicle control (Rd, mg/kg per min, 17.0±0.7 vs 13.9±0.6, P<0.01).

**Discussion**

Obesity and the well-documented comorbidities such as type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, and cancer are a challenge to the health care systems. Currently body weight normalization in severe obesity is often not reached. Therefore, the search for effective weight lowering drugs remains very relevant as complement to existing therapies (Nguyen et al. 2012). Insulin-resistance plays a significant role in both obesity and prediabetes (Reaven 1988, Ferrannini 1993) and the reversal of the insulin-resistant state could prevent the development of several metabolic diseases. The MC4-R has been shown to be involved in the regulation of body weight (Huszat et al. 1997, Vaisse et al. 1998, Yeo et al. 1998, Mul et al. 2012), and is recognized as a promising target for therapeutically treating obesity. Here, we report the effects of the MC4-R-selective peptide agonist MC4-NN1-0182 on obesity in DIO rats and DIO minipigs. Moreover, this is the first study, to our knowledge, to address the acute effects of MC4-R agonism on insulin sensitivity by a hyperinsulinemic clamp in normal rats.

Treatment with the selective agonist MC4-NN1-0182 significantly reduced food intake and body weight in both DIO rats and DIO minipigs. In DIO rats, the loss of body weight was paralleled by a loss of mesenteric fat and a decrease in plasma leptin levels, reflecting the expected
effects on the fat tissue. The effect on body weight in DIO rats was greater in the treated group than in the pair-fed group, indicating that the agonist stimulates energy expenditure. However, we observed only a trend toward an increase in oxygen consumption in the MC4-NN1-0182 group after 2 weeks of treatment. In contrast, in the pair-fed group, we observed decreased oxygen consumption, probably in an attempt to preserve body weight. Similar finding have been reported for MT-II (Pierroz et al. 2002).

In the DIO minipigs, MC4-NN1-0182 treatment resulted in a significant and sustained effect on food intake, resulting in an overall reduction in food intake of 68% after 8 weeks treatment. The body weight difference between the treated and control groups was ~17 kg after 8 weeks of treatment. As in the DIO rats, no significant difference in oxygen consumption was observed in the DIO minipigs. As food intake in the MC4-NN1-0182-treated DIO rats and minipigs was lower than in the vehicle control group, and as the sustained decrease in food intake and body weight would be expected to cause a physiological decrease in energy expenditure (Clapham & Arch 2011), the observation that oxygen consumption is in fact similar, is interesting: it can be speculated that the MC4-R agonist MC4-NN1-0182 may be able to counteract the physiologically expected decrease in energy expenditure.

Taken together, the effect on food intake was evident, and an effect on energy expenditure also seemed to be involved in the impressive weight loss effects observed with this long-acting MC4 agonist. The exact mechanism for the proposed effect on energy expenditure in DIO rats is not known, but brown fat is known to be very metabolically active in rodents (Cannon & Nedergaard 2004) and stimulation of this fat compartment could be an obvious explanation for this effect. The finding that the oxygen consumption was not decreased in the minipigs in relation to the large weight loss and thus indicating some degree of effect on energy expenditure is especially interesting as pigs do not express uncoupling protein 1 (UCP1), e.g., pigs do not have any brown fat (Berg et al. 2006). We speculate that such an effect on energy expenditure may therefore be generated by increased metabolism in muscles, an effect that could be very relevant and beneficial for obese humans.

We report that acute injection of MC4-NN1-0182 in lean rats has a significant effect on insulin sensitivity as reflected by a 22% increase in peripheral glucose uptake (Rd, Fig. 6F) compared with vehicle control under hyperinsulinemic conditions, while no effect on hepatic insulin sensitivity is reported. These results are consistent with previous results in mice after treatment with the nonselective MT-II (Heijboer et al. 2005). In DIO rats, an OGTT was performed and the effect of MC4-NN1-0182 on insulin sensitivity was evaluated by using the calculated ISI. Interestingly, the ISI of MC4-NN1-0182-treated DIO rats was similar to that of Chow-control animals, whereas the pair-fed group, which lost body weight similarly to animals in the low-dose MC4-NN1-0182 group, displayed a lower ISI. Similarly, the circulating levels of insulin in MC4-NN1-0182-treated animals, but not in pair-fed control, were lower than those of vehicle-treated DIO rats at the time of termination. Collectively, these data indicate that MC4-NN1-0182 may affect insulin sensitivity by a mechanism independent of the associated body weight loss. These observations are in agreement with previously reported data on insulin sensitivity; i.e. from a comparison of subchronic MT-II-treated rats dosed either centrally or peripherally and compared with pair-fed controls (i.c.v. administration to lean or DIO rats (Obici et al. 2001) or s.c. administration to OLETF rats (Banno et al. 2004)). The effect on insulin sensitivity is probably, at least in part, centrally mediated as a similar effect was also obtained after i.c.v. injection (Obici et al. 2001). Furthermore, Hill et al. (2010) showed that direct insulin and leptin actions on POMC neurons are required for normal glucose homeostasis, also indicating central-mediated effects of melanocortins on glucose homeostasis. As our analog is specific for the MC4-R (Conde-Frieboes et al. 2012), our data indicate MC4-R to be the main mediator of these effects.

Summary and outlook

We have demonstrated that treatment of obese rats or minipigs with a selective MC4-R peptide agonist MC4-NN1-0182 caused weight loss, which is associated with a decrease in food intake. Moreover, we have demonstrated both acute and subchronic weight-independent effects on insulin sensitivity. Overall, our observations identify MC4-R agonism as a viable target for the treatment of obesity and possibly also the insulin resistance which is a central factor involved in the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes.
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