Combining sitagliptin/metformin with a functional fiber delays diabetes progression in Zucker rats
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Abstract

Our primary objective was to determine whether administering the viscous and fermentable polysaccharide PolyGlycopleX (PGX) with metformin (MET) or sitagliptin/metformin (S/MET) reduces hyperglycemia in Zucker diabetic fatty (ZDF) rats more so than monotherapy of each. Glucose tolerance, adiposity, satiety hormones and mechanisms related to dipeptidyl peptidase 4 activity, gut microbiota and, hepatic and pancreatic histology were examined. Male ZDF rats (9–10 weeks of age) were randomized to: i) cellulose/vehicle (control, C); ii) PGX (5% wt/wt)/vehicle (PGX); iii) cellulose/metformin (200 mg/kg) (MET); iv) cellulose/S/MET (10 mg/kg + 200 mg/kg) (S/MET); v) PGX (5%) + MET (200 mg/kg) (PGX + MET); vi) cellulose/sitagliptin/MET (5%) + (10 mg/kg + 200 mg/kg) (PGX + S/MET) for 6 weeks. PGX + MET and PGX + S/MET reduced glycemia compared with C and singular treatments (P<0.001). Weekly fasted and fed blood glucose levels were lower in PGX + MET and PGX + S/MET compared with all other groups at weeks 4, 5, and 6 (P<0.001). HbA1c was lower in PGX + S/MET than C, MET, S/MET, and PGX at week 6 (P=0.001). Fat mass was lower and GLP1 was higher in PGX + S/MET compared with all other groups (P<0.001). β-cell mass was highest and islet degeneration lowest in PGX + S/MET. Hepatic lipidosis was significantly lower in PGX + S/MET compared with PGX or S/MET alone. When combined with PGX, both MET and S/MET markedly reduce glyemia; however, PGX + S/MET appears advantageous over PGX + MET in terms of increased β-cell mass and reduced adiposity. Both combination treatments attenuated diabetes in the obese Zucker rat.
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Introduction

Obesity is a well-recognized risk factor for the development of type 2 diabetes (Daousi et al. 2006). As the prevalence of obesity continues to escalate worldwide, so too has the prevalence of diabetes (Franco et al. 2013). A 73 and 20% increase in diabetes numbers is expected to occur in developing and developed countries, respectively, before 2030 (Shaw et al. 2010). Effective management of disease progression in these individuals will require...
Strategies that target multiple facets of metabolism and should include combined lifestyle and pharmacological therapy (National Institutes of Health 1998). Using a targeted approach to identify dietary factors that augment specific actions of proven pharmacological agents holds promise for slowing the progression of diabetes in overweight and obese individuals.

Metformin (MET) is a first-line antihyperglycemic agent that is widely prescribed for the management of type 2 diabetes (Scheen & Paquot 2013). Sitagliptin is a newer antidiabetic agent that increases circulating levels of active glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP1) by inhibiting dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP4) activity (Ahren 2007). Given that treatment with single antihyperglycemic agents often fails to achieve adequate glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes, combinations of medications are often required (Inzucchi 2002). Indeed, sitagliptin has already been approved for use in combination with MET and is marketed under the trade name Janumet (Aschner et al. 2006, Charbonnel et al. 2006). Several clinical trials have evaluated the combination of sitagliptin plus MET (reviewed in St Onge et al. (2012)) and demonstrated consistent HbA1c lowering and fewer hypoglycemic episodes compared with MET combined with other agents such as glipizide (Nauck et al. 2007).

The dietary factors that contribute to the effective management of type 2 diabetes are numerous and include a variety of dietary fibers that reduce hypercholesterolemia and hyperglycemia, enhance satiety, and improve bowel function depending on the fiber examined (Weickert & Pfeiffer 2008). In general, viscous dietary fibers reduce glycemia to a greater extent than non-viscous fibers (Chutkan et al. 2012). PolyGlycopleX (α-D-glucurono-α-D-mannano-β-D-mannano-β-D-glucosyl), (α-L-gulurono-β-D-mannurono), β-D-glucosyl-β-D-mannan (PGX) is a highly viscous and fermentable functional fiber that has been shown to reduce hyperglycemia and increase GLP1 secretion in obese Zucker rats (Grover et al. 2011b). We have recently demonstrated that the individual glycemia-lowering potential of sitagliptin and PGX can be augmented when they are co-administered in obese Zucker rats (Reimer et al. 2012a). Whether the effectiveness of MET and sitagliptin/metformin (S/MET) can likewise be improved with co-administration with PGX is not known.

Our objective was to determine if the antihyperglycemic actions of MET and S/MET are enhanced when administered with PGX in obese Zucker rats. Mechanisms related to changes in adiposity, satiety hormone secretion, gut permeability and microbiota, pancreatic islet survival, and DPP4 activity were examined. We hypothesize that the combined treatment of PGX with S/MET will result in lower glycemia than PGX, MET, or S/MET administered alone.

Materials and methods

Rats and treatments

Ethical approval for the experimental protocol was granted by the Product Safety Labs Institutional Animal Use and Care Committee. All procedures conformed to the Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. Sixty-six male Zucker diabetic fatty rats (ZDF)/Crl-Leprfa/fa rats were obtained from Charles River (Kingston, NY, USA) at 7–8 weeks of age and individually housed in a temperature (19–22 °C) and humidity-controlled (50–79%) room with a 12 h light:12 h darkness cycle. Water and feed were provided ad libitum. Following 12 days of acclimation, rats were randomly assigned to one of six groups (n=11/group): i) cellulose/vehicle (control, C); ii) PGX (5% wt/wt)/vehicle (PGX); iii) cellulose/metformin (200 mg/kg) (MET); iv) cellulose/S/MET (10 mg/kg + 200 mg/kg) (S/MET); v) PGX (5%)+MET (200 mg/kg) (PGX+MET); vi) PGX/S/MET (5%)+(10 mg/kg + 200 mg/kg) (PGX+S/MET). The drugs were administered for 6 weeks. The dose of PGX has been shown to reduce HbA1c and serum cholesterol and increase β-cell mass previously (Grover et al. 2011b, Reimer et al. 2012a). Doses of MET and sitagliptin were selected from the low end of the ranges used in the literature (Chen et al. 2011, Maiztegui et al. 2011, Pyra et al. 2012) in order that potential synergistic effects with PGX could be detected. Administration of MET or S/MET was via oral gavage given on a daily basis in the morning. The composition of the diets which were formulated on a background diet of 24% fat (wt/wt) and containing the test fiber, PGX, or the insoluble reference fiber, cellulose (Anderson et al. 1994), has been previously published (Reimer et al. 2012a). MET and S/MET hydrochloride (as Janumet) were obtained by prescription at a pharmacy in Dayton, NJ and prepared in water for the daily gavage.

Weekly measures

Body weight was measured weekly and food intake three times per week. Blood glucose was measured consistently once each week in the fasted (overnight 16 h) and fed state using a Bayer Ascensia Elite Glucometer (Bayer Health Care).
Oral glucose tolerance tests

Three days before the end of the study, an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) was performed with 1 g/kg glucose and blood sampling via tail nick at 0, 10, 20, 30, 60, and 120 min. Blood glucose and serum insulin concentrations were measured. A second and separate OGTT with 2 g/kg glucose load was performed for satiety hormone analysis at the end of the study. Blood was collected at 0, 15, 30, 60, and 90 min via tail nick into chilled tubes containing diprotinin-A (0.034 g/l blood; MP Biomedicals, Irvine, CA, USA), Sigma protease inhibitor (1 g/l blood; Sigma Aldrich), and Roche Pefabloc (1 g/l blood; Roche). Plasma was stored at −80°C until later analysis. The composite insulin sensitivity index (CISI), where higher scores represent improved insulin sensitivity, was calculated (Grover et al. 2011b).

Cholesterol, DPP4 activity, and clinical chemistry

On the final day of the study, following an overnight fast and regular drug treatment, rats were over-anesthetized with isoflurane and a cardiac blood sample collected. Total cholesterol concentration was measured in the blood using an automated analyzer (Polymer Technology Systems, CardioChek, PA, USA). Plasma DPP4 activity was measured according to Kirino et al. (2009). A clinical chemistry panel was analyzed in serum and included: blood urea nitrogen, glucose, electrolytes, creatinine, alkaline phosphatase, aspartate aminotransferase (ALT), alanine aminotransferase (AST), and bilirubin.

Body composition

At the end of the study, lean mass, fat mass, and bone mineral content were assessed using dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (Hologic ODR 4500, Hologic, Bedford, MA, USA).

Tissue collection and histology

Following the cardiac blood draw, one kidney, one lobe of the liver, and a section of the distal ileum were snap-frozen for later DPP4 analysis (DPP4 activity in kidney and Dpp4 mRNA levels according to our previous work (Reimer et al. 2012a)). The pancreas was fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin and transferred to 70% ethanol after 24 h. The pancreas was serial sectioned twice at approximately five microns and either stained with hematoxylin and eosin or immunohistochemically stained with a mouse antibody against rat insulin according to our previous work (Grover et al. 2011a). The percent islet area containing insulin-positive cells was determined by morphometric evaluation using the Image-Pro Plus (IPP) software system. Ten to twelve randomly selected islets representing areas throughout the pancreas per animal were measured for insulin-positive and insulin-negative areas under 20× objective magnification and the percentage of the insulin immunopositive determined. All other findings were determined by semi-quantitative scoring of morphologic changes by a veterinary pathologist, where the following scoring matrix applied: 0 = within normal limits; 1 = minimal; 2 = mild; 3 = moderate; 4 = marked; and 5 = severe. One lobe of the liver was fixed in 10% formalin and following processing and embedding was sectioned (5 μm) and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. One liver lobe was snap-frozen for the determination of lipid content with Sudan Black staining. Histopathology scoring was the same as described earlier for the pancreas.

Regulators of feeding behavior and HbA1c

A Rat Gut Hormone Multiplex Kit (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) was used to measure active GLP1, active ghrelin, total PYY, and total GIP according to our previous work (Eller & Reimer 2010, Pyra et al. 2012, Reimer et al. 2012a). Insulin was measured with a rat insulin ELISA (Millipore). HbA1c was measured in blood using a clinical analyzer (Bayer DCA 2000).

Gut microbiota analysis

Microbial profiling was performed according to our previous work (Bomhof et al. 2013). Briefly, total bacterial DNA was extracted from cecal samples using FastDNA Spin Kit for Feces (MP Biomedicals, Lachine, QC, Canada). Amplification and detection were conducted in 96-well plates with SYBR Green 2× qPCR Master Mix (Bio-Rad). The 16S rRNA gene copies value was calculated according the following webpage: http://cels.uri.edu/gsc/cndna.html using average genome sizes. Standard curves were normalized to the copy number of the 16S rRNA gene obtained from the following webpage: http://rrndb.mmg.msu.edu/index.php.

Markers of intestinal permeability

Concentrations of plasma LPS from the terminal cardiac blood sample was measured using a PyroGene Recombinant Factor C Endotoxin Endpoint Fluorescent Detection assay (Lanza, Basel, Switzerland) according to...
manufacturer directions. Expression of the tight junction-associated genes, zona occludens-1 (ZO1) and occludin, as well as proglucagon was analyzed according to our standard real-time PCR protocol (Bomhof et al. 2013). Actin was used as the housekeeping gene and data analyzed with the $2^{-\Delta Ct}$ method.

Statistical analyses

All data are presented as mean ± S.E.M. A two-way ANOVA was used to determine the main effects of diet (PGX vs cellulose) and drug (MET vs S/MET vs vehicle) and their interaction. When a significant interaction effect was identified (indicating that the influence of the diet on the outcome of interest was dependent on the presence of the drug or vice versa), a one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparison post hoc test was used to assess the differences between the individual groups. For parameters where repeated measurements were taken over time (i.e., body weight, glucose, HbA1c, and satiety hormones), a two-way repeated measure ANOVA was performed with between subject factor (six treatment groups) and within subject factor (time). Significance was set at $P<0.05$.

Results

Food intake and body weight

Food intake was lower in all groups compared with C (Table 1). Furthermore, rats fed PGX, alone, or in combination with MET or S/MET, had lower intake than C, MET, and S/MET. Over the 6 week intervention, rats treated with PGX+S/MET maintained the lowest body weight that was significantly different from all other groups at week 5 and significantly different from all other groups except PGX+MET at weeks 2, 3, 4, 6, and 7 ($P=0.001$; Fig. 1A). The interaction between diet and drug affected fat mass ($P=0.001$) wherein PGX+S/MET was lower than all other groups and MET was higher than S/MET and PGX+MET. There were no differences in lean mass between groups.

Glycemic response

Rats treated with PGX+MET and PGX+S/MET consistently had the lowest blood glucose concentrations (Fig. 1B). Already at week 2, the combination treatments had lower glucose concentrations than C and the singular treatments ($P<0.001$). While hyperglycemia was exacerbated with time in C, MET, S/MET, and PGX rats, glycemia in PGX+MET and PGX+S/MET rats did not increase and at week 6 remained significantly lower than all other groups ($P<0.02$). Blood glucose was also measured in the fed state on a weekly basis (Fig. 1C). By week 3, all treatments had lower blood glucose concentrations than C ($P=0.001$) with PGX, PGX+MET, and PGX+S/MET also lower than S/MET. By 6 weeks, PGX+MET and PGX+S/MET had significantly lower glucose than all other groups ($P<0.002$), while PGX was lower than MET, S/MET, and C ($P=0.001$). Similarly, HbA1c was affected by the interaction of time and treatment ($P=0.001$; Fig. 1D). At 3 weeks, PGX+S/MET had the lowest HbA1c which was significantly different from C and S/MET and at 6 weeks, PGX+S/MET was lower than all other treatments except PGX+MET. PGX alone was similar to MET alone in reducing HbA1c.

Glucose and regulators of feeding behavior during OGTT

Similar to the weekly measures of glycemia, PGX+S/MET exhibited the lowest blood glucose concentration from 0 to 120 min, which was significantly lower than all other

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>MET</th>
<th>S/MET</th>
<th>PGX</th>
<th>PGX + MET</th>
<th>PGX + S/MET</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Food intake (g/day)</td>
<td>25.5 ± 0.6&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>22.9 ± 0.5&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>23.5 ± 0.8&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>20.2 ± 0.3&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>20.7 ± 0.2&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>19.0 ± 0.4&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final body weight (g)</td>
<td>459.7 ± 8.0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>492.5 ± 4.3&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>458.4 ± 8.9&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>461.2 ± 7.1&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>488.6 ± 6.7&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>426.8 ± 7.3&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total weight change (g)</td>
<td>134.5 ± 4.9&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>165.8 ± 3.4&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>132.8 ± 5.6&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>135.5 ± 4.7&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>120.9 ± 3.1&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>100.0 ± 6.1&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat mass (g)</td>
<td>243.2 ± 5.0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>258.6 ± 3.3&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>234.5 ± 3.6&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>243.2 ± 3.5&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>226.9 ± 4.4&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>201.9 ± 6.7&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lean mass (g)</td>
<td>110.6 ± 3.6&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>116.9 ± 3.6&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>111.3 ± 3.0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>112.2 ± 3.8&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>112.2 ± 3.0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>112.8 ± 3.2&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent fat (%)</td>
<td>66.9 ± 0.9&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>66.6 ± 0.8&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>67.1 ± 0.8&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>65.1 ± 0.8&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>65.9 ± 0.4&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>62.2 ± 1.1&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone mineral content (g)</td>
<td>9.9 ± 0.1&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10.1 ± 0.1&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10.1 ± 0.2&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9.8 ± 0.1&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9.4 ± 0.2&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9.0 ± 0.2&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISI score</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.03&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.01&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.02&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.02&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.06&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.15&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Labeled means without a common letter differ, $P<0.05$. C, control; MET, metformin; S/MET, sitagliptin/metformin; PGX, PolyGlycopleX.
(A) Body weight, weekly fasted (B), and fed (C) blood glucose and HbA1c (D) of obese Zucker rats treated with metformin or metformin/sitagliptin, alone or in combination with PGX for 6 weeks. Values are mean ± S.E.M., n = 11. Labeled means at a time without a common letter differ, P < 0.05. C, control; MET, metformin; S/MET, sitagliptin/metformin; PGX, PolyGlycopleX.

Figure 1

n = 11. Labeled means at a time without a common letter differ, P < 0.05. C, control; MET, metformin; S/MET, sitagliptin/metformin; PGX, PolyGlycopleX.

groups except PGX+MET (P < 0.05; Fig. 2A). As mono-
therapies, PGX, MET, and S/MET all reduced blood glucose concentrations compared with C at 10, 20, 30, 60, and 120 min. Throughout the OGTT, MET had higher insulin than C, PGX + MET, and PGX + S/MET (Fig. 2B). At the end of the OGTT, PGX also had higher insulin than C, PGX + MET, and PGX + S/MET. GLP1 concentrations were higher with PGX+S/MET than all other groups at 0, 30, 60, and 90 min (P = 0.001; Fig. 2C). Rats fed PGX also had significantly higher PYY compared with C, MET, S/MET,
and PGX+MET at all time points (Fig. 2D). At 15 min, MET had significantly higher GIP than all other groups and significantly higher GIP at 30 and 60 min compared with all groups except PGX (Fig. 2E). Ghrelin was higher with MET compared with all other groups throughout the entire OGTT (Fig. 2F). The CISI was significantly affected by diet (P=0.001), drug (P=0.001), and their interaction (P=0.001), wherein PGX+S/MET had the highest score which was significantly different from all other groups. AUC for glucose and all regulators of eating behavior is provided in Supplementary Table 1, see section on supplementary data given at the end of this article.

Changes in DPP4 activity and expression

Diet (P=0.001), drug (P=0.001), and their interaction (P=0.001) affected DPP4 activity measured in plasma and kidney lysate (Fig. 3A). Plasma DPP4 activity was lower in PGX, S/MET, PGX+MET, and PGX+S/MET compared with C and MET (P<0.003), and S/MET and PGX+S/MET were lower than all other groups except PGX+MET (P<0.02). In the kidney, PGX+MET and PGX+S/MET had significantly lower DPP4 activity than all other groups. Dpp4 mRNA levels were influenced by the interaction of diet and drug in the liver (P=0.011) and ileum (P=0.001; Fig. 3B). No post hoc differences were revealed for the liver, but ileal Dpp4 mRNA levels were significantly higher in C and MET compared with all other groups (P=0.01). S/MET had the lowest ileal Dpp4 mRNA levels which was significantly lower than C, MET, and PGX+MET but not PGX or PGX+S/MET.

Pancreatic immunohistochemistry

The insulin-immunoreactive area was higher in PGX+S/MET compared with all other groups while PGX+MET was higher than C, MET, S/MET, and PGX alone (Fig. 4A). Islet hypertrophy was higher in MET compared with PGX+MET (P=0.03; Fig. 4B). Islet degeneration, where higher scores represent greater degeneration, was lowest in PGX+MET which was significantly lower than all other groups, while PGX+S/MET was significantly lower than C and PGX alone (Fig. 4C).

Changes in hepatic histopathology and biomarkers

All rats treated with PGX alone or in combination with MET and S/MET had reduced total cholesterol compared with C, MET, and S/MET (Table 2). In the liver, macrovesicular lipidosis was affected by the interaction of diet and drug (P=0.001), wherein S/MET alone had the highest score but when combined with PGX had the lowest and was significantly different compared with MET and S/MET alone (Table 2). Microvesicular lipidosis was significantly lower in PGX vs C, MET and S/MET (P=0.001) while PGX+S/MET was significantly lower than PGX but not different from PGX+MET. Serum alkaline phosphatase was independently affected by diet (P=0.001) and drug (P=0.001), wherein PGX was lower than cellulose and MET and S/MET were lower than vehicle.
Changes in gut microbiota

Bacteroides abundance was significantly higher in rats treated with S/MET and C compared with MET, PGX, and PGX + S/MET ($P < 0.001$; Table 3). All treatments lowered Clostridium coccoides compared with C ($P < 0.02$). C. coccoides were lowest in PGX + S/MET-treated rats, which was significantly lower than S/MET alone but not PGX alone. Bifidobacterium were very low across all groups. Significantly higher bifidobacteria were seen in MET and PGX compared with C, S/MET, and PGX + MET. Enterobacteriaceae were significantly higher in PGX compared with all other groups ($P < 0.001$). Independently, diet and drug influenced Clostridium leptum with cellulose higher than PGX and MET higher than vehicle and S/MET.

Table 2  Total cholesterol concentration and hepatic histology in obese Zucker rats treated with MET or metformin/sitagliptin, alone or in combination with PGX for 6 weeks. Values are means ± s.e.m., $n = 10$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>C</th>
<th>MET</th>
<th>S/MET</th>
<th>PGX</th>
<th>PGX + MET</th>
<th>PGX + S/MET</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>$4.8 \pm 0.4^b$</td>
<td>$7.6 \pm 0.4^a$</td>
<td>$7.3 \pm 0.5^a$</td>
<td>$3.5 \pm 0.1^c$</td>
<td>$3.0 \pm 0.2^c$</td>
<td>$3.2 \pm 0.1^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrovesicular lipidosis, score</td>
<td>$1.0 \pm 0.1^{a,b,c}$</td>
<td>$1.2 \pm 0.1^{a,b}$</td>
<td>$1.6 \pm 0.2^a$</td>
<td>$0.8 \pm 0.1^{b,c}$</td>
<td>$0.8 \pm 0.2^{b,c}$</td>
<td>$0.4 \pm 0.2^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microvesicular lipidosis, score</td>
<td>$3.4 \pm 0.3^a$</td>
<td>$3.8 \pm 0.2^a$</td>
<td>$3.6 \pm 0.3^a$</td>
<td>$2.0 \pm 0.3^b$</td>
<td>$1.2 \pm 0.2^{b,c}$</td>
<td>$1.0 \pm 0.2^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaline phosphatase (IU/l)</td>
<td>$290 \pm 36$</td>
<td>$182 \pm 14$</td>
<td>$174 \pm 9$</td>
<td>$165 \pm 14$</td>
<td>$103 \pm 6$</td>
<td>$90 \pm 5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT (IU/l)</td>
<td>$118 \pm 13$</td>
<td>$95 \pm 17$</td>
<td>$98 \pm 17$</td>
<td>$106 \pm 39$</td>
<td>$79 \pm 6$</td>
<td>$68 \pm 6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST (IU/l)</td>
<td>$130 \pm 17$</td>
<td>$103 \pm 13$</td>
<td>$117 \pm 27$</td>
<td>$161 \pm 56$</td>
<td>$89 \pm 4$</td>
<td>$98 \pm 8$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Labeled means without a common letter differ, $P < 0.05$. ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; C, control; MET, metformin; S/MET, sitagliptin/metformin; PGX, PolyGlycopleX.

Two-way ANOVA $P$ values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Diet</th>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Diet × Drug</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrovesicular lipidosis, score</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.768</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microvesicular lipidosis, score</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.246</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaline phosphatase (IU/l)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT (IU/l)</td>
<td>0.242</td>
<td>0.305</td>
<td>0.893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST (IU/l)</td>
<td>0.969</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>0.591</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4
Photomicrographs of pancreas of obese Zucker rats treated with MET or metformin/sitagliptin, alone or in combination with PGX for 6 weeks. Photographs were selected as representative of the respective treatments: (A) C; (B) MET; (C) S/MET; (D) PGX; (E) MET + PGX; (F) S/MET + PGX. The bars are 100 μm. The brown-stained tissue is positive for insulin-containing cells within the pancreas. β-cell mass, islet hypertrophy, and islet degeneration are presented graphically below the photomicrographs. Values are mean ± s.e.m., $n = 10 – 11$. Labeled means without a common letter differ, $P < 0.05$. C, control; MET, metformin; S/MET, sitagliptin/metformin; PGX, PolyGlycopleX.
Markers of intestinal permeability

Serum LPS was significantly lower in PGX alone vs all other treatments except PGX + S/MET ($P=0.001$; Fig. 5A). Expression of genes involved in gut permeability, ZO1, occludin, and proglucagon, were all significantly affected by drug ($P<0.027$) but not diet with S/MET resulting in lower mRNA levels for all genes compared with MET and vehicle (Fig. 5B, C, and D).

Discussion

Effective management of obesity and type 2 diabetes requires a multifaceted approach that ideally combines pharmacological treatments with dietary interventions that augment their actions (Farag & Gaballa 2011). Dietary recommendations for the management of diabetes encourage individuals at risk for or diagnosed with diabetes to achieve dietary fiber intake at least at the level suggested for the general population (American Diabetes Association et al. 2008). Viscous dietary fiber, in particular, has been shown to slow glucose absorption from the small intestine and may be particularly relevant (Vuksan et al. 2009, Babio et al. 2010). In addition to lifestyle modification, many newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes patients are prescribed MET as a first-line antihyperglycemic agent (Papanas et al. 2009). Newer diabetes medications including the DPP4 inhibitor sitagliptin have been approved for use in conjunction with MET (Fass & Gershman 2013). Our findings suggest that the actions of these medications can be augmented with the functional dietary fiber PGX.

The most striking finding of this study is that PGX + S/MET and PGX + MET delay the progression of diabetes in ZDF rats. Overt hyperglycemia typically develops in the ZDF rat by 10–12 weeks of age (Peterson et al. 1990). Indeed at 15 weeks of age and following 6 weeks of treatment, the rats treated with the combination therapies remained normoglycemic while all other groups progressed to full blown diabetes based on a postprandial hyperglycemia criteria of $>11.1$ mmol/l and fasting criteria of $>7.1$ mmol/l. Interestingly, the PGX alone group maintained lower fed and fasted glucose concentrations throughout the 6 weeks compared with control and the two drug monotherapies but did eventually develop diabetes by the end of the study, suggesting that this functional fiber worked as well, if not slightly better than currently approved diabetes medications in lowering glycemia in this model at the doses selected. In addition to weekly fasted and fed blood glucose measurements, we also assessed HbA1c. Following the demonstration by two
landmark studies in the 1990s (DCCT Research Group 1993, UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Group 1998) that mean glycemia measured as HbA1c is directly related to diabetes complications, this outcome has been widely used as an indicator of long-term glycemia. PGX+S/MET maintained the lowest HbA1c throughout the study and although PGX+MET had comparable numerical values, at week 6 they were not statistically different from PGX alone and MET alone. Finally, PGX+S/MET and PGX+MET had lower glucose concentrations than all other groups throughout a 120 min OGTT.

We examined several potential mechanisms by which the improvements in glycemia might take place. Firstly, changes in weight gain and body composition could explain some of the improvements. Rats treated with PGX+S/MET had the lowest weight gain and fat mass; and comparable lean mass. Skeletal muscle is a major site for glucose disposal in the body (Irvine & Taylor 2009) and by maintaining lean mass in the context of reduced fat mass, a reduction in insulin resistance may have occurred in these rats. Adipose tissue mass, and perhaps more importantly the inflammatory cytokines released from adipose tissue, have been implicated in insulin resistance (Gutierrez et al. 2009). Although we did not perform euglycemic hyperinsulinemic clamps to assess insulin sensitivity directly, we do have indirect indicators of improved insulin sensitivity. Using CISI as a surrogate marker of insulin sensitivity, we confirm that PGX+S/MET was associated with the greatest degree of insulin sensitivity which was significantly greater than all other groups. The potential of PGX to reduce inflammation associated with increased adiposity has recently been demonstrated in Japanese adults with abdominal obesity, consuming 15 g/day of PGX vs placebo (Reimer et al. 2013).

Within the pancreas, there were histological changes that support the attenuation in diabetes with the PGX+S/MET treatment. β-cell mass is reduced by as much as 70% in individuals with type 2 diabetes compared with nondiabetic controls (Weir & Bonner-Weir 2013). The precise cause of the reduced β-cell mass in type 2 diabetes is not known but likely involves a combination of oxidative stress, amyloid deposits, endoplasmic reticulum stress, and β-cell dedifferentiation (Weir & Bonner-Weir 2013). In the current study, rats treated with PGX+S/MET displayed the highest β-cell mass. Interestingly, β-cell mass was lowest with S/MET but when combined with PGX, resulted in β-cell mass that was significantly higher than all other treatments which may have clinical relevance. While β-cell hypertrophy in the PGX+S/MET rats did not differ from the other treatments, its degeneration was significantly lower than PGX alone and control. Augmentation of β-cell function with S/MET has recently been shown in patients with type 2 diabetes (Solis-Herrara et al. 2013), which appears to be even further enhanced in our model with PGX. While interest in interventions to restore β-cell mass in type 2 diabetes, such as pancreas transplant (Nath et al. 2005) and drugs that stimulate β-cell regeneration (Halban et al. 2010) continues to increase, our findings would suggest that optimizing drug/diet combinations may provide greater success than drug targets considered in isolation.

There was a marked and sustained increase in active GLP1 with PGX+S/MET that was higher than all monotherapies throughout the OGTT. In humans, sitagliptin and S/MET increase GLP1 to a greater extent than placebo or MET alone (Solis-Herrara et al. 2013). Changes in DPP4 activity and Dpp4 mRNA levels may provide partial insights into the differences in the observed active GLP1 concentrations. DPP4 is a serine protease that inactivates polypeptides such as GLP1 and is expressed on the surface of various types of cells, including the small intestine, kidney, liver, and in a soluble form in plasma (Kirino et al. 2009). While DPP4 activity in the plasma of
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**Figure 5**
Serum LPS (A) and mRNA levels of ZO1 (B), occludin – OCLN (C), and proglucagon (D) of obese Zucker rats treated with MET or metformin/ sitagliptin, alone, or in combination with PGX for 6 weeks. Values are mean ± S.E.M., n = 10. Labeled means at a time without a common letter differ, P < 0.05. C, control; MET, metformin; S/MET, sitagliptin/metformin; PGX, PolyGlycopleX.
S/MET and PGX+S/MET rats did not differ, there was a significant reduction in DPP4 activity in the kidney of PGX+S/MET rats compared with S/MET. While it is not clear whether DPP4 activity plays a role in the onset or progression of diabetes, there is some evidence to suggest that inhibiting DPP4 with sitagliptin may exert additional actions at the level of the ileum by acting as a GLP1 secretagogue (Sangle et al. 2012). The combination of S/MET may augment endogenous GLP1 secretion given the demonstration by Mulherin et al. (2011) that MET stimulates GLP1 release in vivo through a mechanism relying on both muscarinic and gastrin-releasing peptide receptor-dependent pathways but not inhibition of DPP4 activity. This is consistent with our data showing no reduction in DPP4 activity or expression in rats treated with MET compared with control rats.

In addition to the increase in GLP1 seen with PGX+S/MET, greater PYY response with PGX may also explain the reduction in food intake seen in all groups consuming PGX. Other soluble and fermentable fibers, such as oligofructose and insulin, have also been shown to increase PYY and blunt energy intake (Parnell & Reimer 2009, Reimer et al. 2012b). Interestingly, ghrelin did not show the characteristic decline following the glucose load which is consistent with another model lacking a functional leptin receptor, the JCR:LA cp rat, where ghrelin declines in lean (+/?) rats but not obese (cp/cp) rats (Parnell & Reimer 2012).

Gut microbiota have been implicated in the development and maintenance of obesity in part through a contribution to low-grade inflammation or metabolic endotoxemia (Cani et al. 2012). We assessed serum lipopolysaccharide (LPS) as a marker of metabolic endotoxemia in our rats and showed that PGX alone results in the lowest levels of circulating LPS which was significantly lower than all other treatments except PGX+S/MET. Because LPS leaks into circulation via a compromised gut barrier (Cani et al. 2008, 2009), we also measured the expression of tight junction proteins in the ileum and demonstrated that ZO1 and occludin were affected by the drugs but not diet with S/MET, resulting in lower mRNA levels for all genes compared with MET and vehicle. Changes in barrier function and other host metabolic responses can occur in response to an alteration in the composition of the microbiota (Cani et al. 2008). Obesity has been characterized by an increase in the proportion of Firmicutes and reduction in Bacteroidetes (Ley et al. 2006), although not unanimously (Zhang et al. 2009). All treatments in our study reduced C. coccoides, a member of the Firmicutes phylum. The greatest reduction was seen in rats treated with PGX+S/MET. We also saw a marked increase in Enterobacteriaceae with PGX. The significance of this shift is perhaps made clear by the findings of Zhang et al. (2009) who found that gastric bypass surgery markedly altered gut microbiota, most notably by a large increase in Gammaproteobacteria, members of the family Enterobacteriaceae, and a decrease in Firmicutes. Gastric bypass surgery, by design, results in the presentation of nutrients to more distal segments of the intestine. It is plausible that PGX, a functional fiber with a level of viscosity that is higher than any currently known individual polysaccharide, could create a luminal environment where nutrients are absorbed more distally. It is also plausible that the end-products of microbial fermentation of PGX, short-chain fatty acids (SCFA), affect the composition of gut microbiota in these rats and the secretion of gut satiety hormones (Wichmann et al. 2013). We have recently demonstrated (R A Reimer, A J H Maathuis, K Venema, M R Lyon, R J Gahler and S Wood 2013, unpublished observations) that PGX is fermented by colonic microbiota in a dynamic, computer-controlled in vitro system simulating the conditions in the proximal large intestine (TIM2; Minekus et al. 1999). Given that fermentation of fibers can improve gut barrier function (Lappi et al. 2013), it is also possible that the reduced serum LPS noted in PGX-fed rats is due to reduced gut permeability and translocation of LPS into the circulation.

In this study, we were able to confirm the previously demonstrated reduction in total cholesterol with PGX (Grover et al. 2011a,b, Reimer et al. 2012a) which could be due to interruption of enterohepatic bile acid circulation, increases in the rate-limiting enzyme in the synthesis of bile acid from cholesterol (cholesterol-7-α-hydroxylase), and production of SCFA (Babio et al. 2010). In addition, macro- and microvesicular lipidosis was reduced with PGX and in the case of microvesicular lipidosis reduced even further when combined with S/MET. Hepatic steatosis is the first hit in a cascade of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease that can progress to fibrosis and end-stage liver disease (Parnell et al. 2012). Given the recent demonstration that sitagliptin is able to inhibit liver fibrosis in rats (Kaji et al. 2013), there may be potential for a dietary compound such as PGX to provide additional benefit in chronic liver disease.

Effective management of obesity and type 2 diabetes requires an integrated approach that considers patient characteristics and available pharmacological options (Stein et al. 2013). It is common to use a combination of medications to optimize glycemic control and patient outcomes in the context of metabolic disease. The impact
that lifestyle, and specifically dietary factors, might have on the action of those medications has received much less attention. Herein, we demonstrate that the actions of S/MET and to a similar but slightly lesser extent, MET are enhanced when they are administered with the functional fiber PGX. The most notable action is the attenuation in insulin resistance. Herein, we demonstrate that the actions of those medications has received much less attention. Herein, we demonstrate that the actions of PGX and PolyGlycopleX are registered trademarks of InovoBiologic, Inc., Canada. All other trademarks belong to their respective owners.
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