Liver immune responses to inflammatory stimuli in a diet-induced obesity model of zebrafish
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Abstract

Obesity- and metabolic syndrome-related diseases are becoming important medical challenges for the western world. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a manifestation of these altered conditions in the liver, and inflammation appears to be a factor that is tightly connected to its evolution. In this study, we used a diet-induced obesity approach in zebrafish (Danio rerio) based on overfeeding to analyze liver transcriptomic modulation in the disease and to determine how obesity affects the immune response against an acute inflammatory stimulus such as lipopolysaccharide (LPS). Overfed zebrafish developed an obese phenotype, showed signs of liver steatosis, and its modulation profile resembled that observed in humans, with overexpression of tac4, col4a3, col4a5, lysyl oxidases, and genes involved in retinoid metabolism. In response to LPS, healthy fish exhibited a typical host defense reaction comparable to that which occurs in mammals, whereas there was no significant gene modulation when comparing expression in the liver of LPS-stimulated and non-stimulated obese zebrafish at the same statistical level. The stimulation of obese fish represents a double-hit to the already damaged liver and can help understand the evolution of the disease. Finally, a comparison of the differential gene activation between stimulated healthy and obese zebrafish revealed the expected difference in the metabolic state between healthy and diseased liver. The differentially modulated genes are currently being studied as putative new pathological markers in NAFLD-stimulated liver in humans.
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Introduction

Obesity is a major risk factor for a number of chronic diseases, including diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular diseases, and cancer, and according to the World Health Organization, it has become a health challenge of epidemic proportions in the last few decades in the western and westernized societies (Bessesen 2008). Increased adiposity causes the deregulation of energetic homeostatic processes, which ultimately manifests as insulin resistance, diabetes, and dyslipidemia. These diseases are part of a condition termed metabolic syndrome. The hepatic component of metabolic syndrome is non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). This term comprises a wide range of progressive liver injuries including bland steatosis, variable degrees of liver inflammation, liver cell necrosis (hepatocyte ballooning), and liver fibrosis, which is also termed non-alcoholic...
steatohepatitis (NASH). NASH is thought to be the main cause of human cryptogenic cirrhosis. Histologically, NASH is similar to alcoholic liver injury, and the conditions are differentiated by the absence of alcohol abuse in individuals with NASH. NASH is the leading cause of chronic liver disease in both western countries and Asia, and it also increases the risk for hepatocellular carcinoma development (Duan et al. 2013, Yu et al. 2013).

Inflammation is closely connected to the evolution of NAFLD into NASH. In particular, the host inflammatory response to gut microbiota is emerging as a key player in the development and pathogenicity of NASH (Abu-Shanab & Quigley 2010). Liver injury may result from dysbiosis and bacterial overgrowth, resulting in bacterial translocation and, in turn, contributing to liver injury (Fouts et al. 2012). The increased presence of endogenous bacterial products such as LPS and bacterial DNA activates the host’s TLR4 and TLR9 signaling pathways (Henao-Mejia et al. 2013). At the same time, TLR2 activation can be triggered by hepatic lipid accumulation (Miura et al. 2013). Upon activation, TLR2, TLR4, and TLR9 signal through the MyD88 pathway, activate NF-κB, and result in the production of proinflammatory cytokines and chronic inflammation (Miura et al. 2013, Roh & Seki 2013).

The zebrafish model is becoming a valuable tool for improving our understanding of obesity and its associated diseases (Schlegel & Stainier 2007). Larvae have started to be used in genetic and chemical screenings for the development of drugs targeting obesity (Jones et al. 2008, Chu et al. 2012), and NAFLD and NASH have been reported in various fish models (Asaoka et al. 2013). For example, fgr mutant (Sadler et al. 2005) and transgenic zebrafish expressing the hepatitis B virus X protein (Shieh et al. 2010) display most of the mammalian NASH features. Furthermore, NAFLD can be induced in healthy zebrafish both chemically, with tamoxifen (Anezaki et al. 2009), and with a high-fat content diet (Oka et al. 2010). The diet-induced obesity (DIO) approach allows the modeling of NAFLD in the context of systematic obesity, hence mimicking the most common process occurring in humans suffering this condition. A DIO zebrafish model has already been proven useful to investigate the effects of different compounds in obesity and, specifically, in the steatotic liver (Tainaka et al. 2011). In this study, we used a DIO zebrafish model based on overfeeding to analyze the modulation of the liver transcriptomic response and to examine how obesity and, in particular, NAFLD affect the immune response against a powerful inflammatory stimulus such as lipopolysaccharide (LPS).

Materials and methods

Animals

WT zebrafish were obtained from our experimental facilities where zebrafish were cultured following established protocols (Westerfield 2000, Nusslein-Volhard & Dahm 2002) (also see http://zfin.org/zf_info/zfbook/zfbk.html). Fish care and challenge experiments were conducted according the CSIC National Committee on Bioethics guidelines under approval number ID 01_09032012. Control fish were fed two times a day, once with Artemia and once with standard fish chow (Nutrafin Max, Hagen, Germany), while obese fish were fed fish chow two more times a day (Fig. 1A). Typical fish chow primarily consisted of 46.5% protein, 11.5% oils and fat, 8.5% ash, and 1.4% fiber. After 8 months of the differential diet, PBS or 10 µg LPS (Sigma L2630) was intraperitoneally injected into control and obese fish. For every treatment, four biological replicates including the liver from three fish were pooled 3 h post-injection and stored at −80°C until use for microarray analysis. Furthermore, kidney and muscle were also collected at the same times and their Il1b and Il6 expression analyzed by real-time PCR in order to confirm a systemic inflammation in response to LPS (Supplementary Figure 1A, see section on supplementary data given at the end of this article). Additional fish were also sampled and liver samples were taken for histological analysis following standard procedures: frozen zebrafish liver samples were used for Oil-Red O staining following Mehlem et al. (2013) and fixed liver samples were embedded in paraffin and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (Merck). Histological sections were examined under light microscopy (Nikon Eclipse 80i).

RNA isolation and cDNA transcription

RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Life Technologies) following the TRIzol manufacturer’s specifications in combination with the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen); the extracted RNA was preserved at −80°C until use. After DNase I treatment, 1 µg total RNA was used to obtain cDNA using the SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis SuperMix for quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) (Life Technologies).

Microarray analyses

The 4x44K Zebrafish Gene Expression Microarray (V3, AMADID 026437) containing 43 803 probes representing
23,207 genes was used (Agilent Technologies, Madrid, Spain). RNA quality was assessed using the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer and stored frozen at K80°C until all the RNA could be hybridized and processed simultaneously. The labeling of 2 mg RNA (w50 µg/ml) and hybridization were carried out using the Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona microarray platform, complying with the minimum Information about a microarray experiment standards (Brazma et al. 2001). The signal was captured, processed, and segmented using an Agilent G2565B scanner (Agilent Technologies) with Agilent Feature Extraction Software (v9.5) protocol GE1-v5_95 using an extended dynamic range and preprocessing by Agilent Feature Extraction v9.5.5.1.

The results for the fluorescence intensity data and quality annotations were imported into GeneSpring GX version 12.6 (Agilent Technologies). All the control features (including the positive and negative controls and the landing lights) were excluded from subsequent analyses. Normalization was then carried out by a percentile shift at the 75th percentile. Entities with an expression between the 20th and 95th percentiles in the raw data were retained and used in subsequent analyses.

To assess genes for differential expression, the normalized log intensity ratios were analyzed with a moderated T-test with Benjamini–Hochberg FDR multiple testing correction, and significance was established at a corrected P<0.1. A reannotation of the microarray was performed using the most recent information for Danio rerio sequences on the Ensembl genome and NCBI nucleotide RefSeq databases.

The microarray expression values were validated with quantitative PCR (qPCR) analysis of the expression of six different genes in the RNA samples used to hybridize the array. qPCR and microarray fold-changes were correlated using Spearman rank correlation analysis (Supplementary Figure 2, see section on supplementary data given at the end of this article).

The values obtained using microarray were compared with other already published models using gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) with default parameters (Subramanian et al. 2005). Obesity-related datasets were retrieved from published studies: zebrafish DIO (GSE18566) (Oka et al. 2010), rat DIO (GSE8700) (Li et al. 2008), mice DIO (GSE11790) (Poussin et al. 2008), and human adipocytes in obesity (GSE15524 and GSE2952) (Nadler et al. 2000, MacLaren et al. 2010). For the LPS comparison, the curated group of datasets C7 (immunological signatures) of the MSigDB was used.

Visualization of the Gene Ontology biological function terms network in the overfed zebrafish liver was performed using Cytoscape v3.0.2 with the ClueGO plugin (Bindea et al. 2009). For enrichment analysis, identification of functional classes that significantly differed among treatments was carried out using both the Blast2GO suite (Conesa et al. 2005) and the DAVID online platform (Huang et al. 2009a,b), selecting the Agilent Microarray as the background and default options. Visualization of the KEGG categories in the different treatments was performed with Circos (Krzynowksi et al. 2009).
Quantitative RT-PCR

Specific PCR primers were designed from the sequences of the selected probes (Supplementary Table 1, see section on supplementary data given at the end of this article) using the Primer3 program (Rozen & Skaletsky 2000) according to qRT-PCR restrictions. Oligo Analyzer 1.0.2 was used to check for dimer and hairpin formation. The efficiency of each primer pair was then analyzed with seven serial fivefold dilutions of cDNA of zebrafish and calculated from the slope of the regression line of the quantification cycle vs the relative concentration of cDNA (Pfaffl 2001). A melting curve analysis was also performed to verify that only specific amplification occurred and that no primer dimers were amplified. If these conditions were not met, new primer pairs were designed.

qRT-PCR was performed using a 7300 real time PCR system (Applied Biosystems). One microliter of fivefold diluted cDNA template was mixed with 0.5 μl of each primer (10 μM) and 12.5 μl of SYBR green PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems) in a final volume of 25 μl. The standard cycling conditions were 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min. All reactions were performed as technical triplicates, and an analysis of melting curves was performed in each reaction. The relative expression levels of the genes were normalized using 18S ribosomal RNA (BX296557) constitutively expressed and not affected by the treatments, following the Pfaffl (2001) method. Fold-change values of stimulated tissues by the normalized units were calculated by dividing the normalized fold-change for each reaction. The relative expression levels of the genes were evaluated by histological analysis of liver samples. Liver tissue from zebrafish fed the standard diet appeared healthy (Fig. 1C). Signs of steatosis were detected in the liver of overfed individuals (Fig. 1D). Moreover, lipid staining revealed lipid accumulations in overfed zebrafish while none was found in healthy fish (Fig. 1E and F).

The liver gene expression profile of obese fish

The liver transcriptomic analysis in this study was approached using microarrays, and all the data and results can be found on the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) under accession number GSE56478. Overfeeding significantly affected the expression of 196 annotated genes; 177 were overexpressed while only 17 were inhibited (Fig. 2). Together with the rest of the comparisons in this study, the fold-change of all the statistically significant genes in this analysis can be found in Supplementary Table 2, see section on supplementary data given at the end of this article. GSEA was used to highlight the similarities between the DIO-modulated genes in the obese zebrafish and other DIO models and obesity datasets (Supplementary Table 3A). We performed a first-approach analysis by mapping the regulated genes to their significant Gene Ontology terms. The obtained gene expression profile resembled that observed in obese humans with NAFLD, with predicted biological functions

Results

The DIO zebrafish model

After 8 months of a differential diet (Fig. 1A), the differences between normal (animals fed with standard diet) and overfed zebrafish were apparent. Control animals were fit and weighed an average of 0.8 g, while overfed zebrafish were obese and weighed an average of 2.1 g, which was 2.6-fold greater than the control fish (Fig. 1B). In fact, an increase of 2.26±0.37 g was observed during the DIO experiments (data not shown). NAFLD was evaluated by histological analysis of liver samples. Liver
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such as extracellular matrix organization, response to light stimulus, and effects on hormone metabolic processes (Fig. 3). NAFLD is a multifactorial condition that affects several cellular pathways. In consequence, most of the regulated genes affected by the diet reflected this variety of NAFLD-responding routes (Table 1): immune response and GTPases (gimap7, gimap8l, and ifi44), energy metabolism and oxidative phosphorylation (atp5e and atp5g3b), lipid metabolism (fam57b), protein folding and ubiquitination (crebf, utg5a2, and uba52), neuronal-related genes (tac4a, mpzl2, cbln4, vax1, znf512b, and tuba1al), modification of the extracellular matrix (col4a2, col4a5, and loxl2a), and retinoid metabolism (rpb2). Interestingly, enrichment analysis predicted that the circadian rhythm was the only statistically enriched KEGG pathway in the pathological liver from obese individuals compared with controls (corrected P value = 0.00076). Belonging to this pathway, Period family genes per1a, per2, and per3 and cry3 genes were overexpressed by 7.3-, 2.7-, 4.1-, and 2.4-fold respectively in obese zebrafish.

**Differential immune response against an inflammatory stimulus in normal and overfed fish**

A total of 902 genes demonstrated significantly altered expression 3 h after a sublethal LPS inoculation in healthy fish (Fig. 2). The analysis of these LPS-regulated genes in zebrafish with the C7 database of immunological signatures using GSEA confirmed the enrichment of the genes and pathways involved in this response on mammals and other organisms (Supplementary Table 3B, see section on supplementary data given at the end of this article). The significantly enriched KEGG pathways found in the LPS response were related to antigen detection and processing (Toll-like receptor signaling, RIG-I-like receptor signaling, NOD-like receptor signaling, cytosolic DNA-sensing pathway, and ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis), immune signaling and cytokine release (Jak–STAT signaling, MAPK signaling pathway, and cytokine–cytokine receptor interaction), and cell cycle regulation (cell cycle, p53 signaling, and apoptosis) (Table 2). The enriched Gene
Table 1 Most regulated genes between the liver of obese and control zebrafish

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fold-change</th>
<th>NCBI gene ID</th>
<th>Gene symbol</th>
<th>Gene name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>119.37</td>
<td>503941</td>
<td>gimap7</td>
<td>GTPase, IMAP family member 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.42</td>
<td>798291</td>
<td>atp5e</td>
<td>ATP synthase, H+ transporting, mitochondrial F1 complex, epsilon subunit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.24</td>
<td>100151267</td>
<td>tac4</td>
<td>Tachykinin 4 (hemokinin)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.16</td>
<td>767756</td>
<td>ugt5a2</td>
<td>UDP glucuronyltransferase 5 family, polypeptide A2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.91</td>
<td>100150568</td>
<td>rnf11b</td>
<td>Ring finger protein 11b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.29</td>
<td>100535622</td>
<td>loc100535622</td>
<td>Uncharacterized LOC100535622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.76</td>
<td>100002814</td>
<td>fam57b</td>
<td>Family with sequence similarity 57, member B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>81541</td>
<td>atp5g3b</td>
<td>ATP synthase, H+ transporting, mitochondrial F0 complex, subunit C3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.97</td>
<td>100534682</td>
<td>rfxa</td>
<td>Regulatory factor X, 1a (influences HLA class II expression)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.68</td>
<td>795887</td>
<td>ifi44</td>
<td>Interferon-induced protein 44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.36</td>
<td>799650</td>
<td>ddx21</td>
<td>DEAD (asp-glu-ala-asp) box polypeptide 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.71</td>
<td>100006971</td>
<td>trim59l</td>
<td>Tripartite motif-containing protein 59-like</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>563847</td>
<td>cbn4</td>
<td>Cerebellin 4 precursor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.12</td>
<td>565508</td>
<td>loxl2a</td>
<td>Lysyl oxidase-like 2a; two homologs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.46</td>
<td>373870</td>
<td>vax1</td>
<td>Ventral anterior homeobox 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>— 10.41</td>
<td>64886</td>
<td>mhc1ufa</td>
<td>Major histocompatibility complex class I UFA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.23</td>
<td>100141490</td>
<td>nfascA</td>
<td>Neurofascin homolog (chicken) A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.97</td>
<td>101885988</td>
<td>znf512b</td>
<td>Zinc finger protein 512B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.87</td>
<td>100149876</td>
<td>crebfr</td>
<td>CREB3 regulatory factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.72</td>
<td>795911</td>
<td>tuba1a</td>
<td>Tubulin α-1A chain-like</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.66</td>
<td>641289</td>
<td>uba52</td>
<td>Ubiquitin A-52 residue ribosomal protein fusion product 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>793072</td>
<td>gimap8l</td>
<td>GTPase IMAP family member 8-like</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.57</td>
<td>432384</td>
<td>rbp2b</td>
<td>Retinol binding protein 2b, cellular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.48</td>
<td>100003412</td>
<td>zgc:172139</td>
<td>Uncharacterized KIAA0930-like</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ontology terms of the stimulated samples were in concordance and were primarily associated with the immunological response to a bacterial stimulus, cytokine signaling, and the regulation of apoptosis. Although the majority of the gene regulation following LPS treatment was inhibitory, the genes with the greatest alterations were primarily upregulated, with nroh2b being the most overexpressed; nroh2b is an orphan receptor with several liver-related functions, and it demonstrated a fold-change of 85.76. As expected, PAMP-recognition molecules (tlr5b), pro-inflammatory cytokines (il1b and il8), and chemokines (cxcl11c and cxcl11l), acute phase proteins (saa), and cell cycle regulation (diabola) genes were some of the most modulated genes in response to LPS.

To determine how the liver of obese zebrafish responded to the inflammatory stimulus, we also injected LPS intraperitoneally into obese zebrafish using the same method as normal fish. Surprisingly, at the same significance level used for the rest of the experiment, no genes were modulated when the liver gene expression profile of LPS-stimulated obese zebrafish was compared with that of non-stimulated obese zebrafish. This contrasts with the strong and defined response to LPS in healthy fish and suggests that obese zebrafish have an impaired immune response (Fig. 2).

We also compared the expression of the stimulated obese zebrafish to the non-stimulated controls. In this scenario, the expression of 850 genes was significantly altered, which was increased from the 196 genes with altered expression induced by obesity alone. Moreover, 134 (68%) of the regulated genes in the obese zebrafish were also modulated in the LPS response, and 66.5% of them had the same regulation directionality. As in healthy fish, analysis of enriched pathways in the obese response to LPS appeared immune associated (Jak–STAT signaling pathway, NOD-like receptor signaling pathway, Toll-like receptor signaling pathway, MAPK signaling pathway, cytokine–cytokine receptor interaction and apoptosis) (Table 2).

The different metabolic states in the healthy and diseased liver were clearly observed in the direct comparison between the healthy and obese LPS-stimulated zebrafish. The PPAR signaling pathway, retinoic and sphingolipid metabolism were significantly altered in the stimulated overfed zebrafish when compared with stimulated lean fish (Table 2). Interestingly, some immune genes with primary liver expression were found to have more greatly altered expression in the obese zebrafish liver (Supplementary Figure 1B). For example, the two known hepcidin orthologs in zebrafish, hamp1 and hamp2, were upregulated by 14-fold in the inflammatory process in the NAFLD liver but were not altered in the healthy liver. Similarly, the saa gene was one of the most differentially
expressed genes in the obese fish response to LPS and was overexpressed up to 3.88-fold in the stimulated obese liver when compared with the stimulated healthy liver. Moreover, ifi44 was one of the most overexpressed genes in the liver of overfed zebrafish after LPS stimulation, which was similar to the observations in non-stimulated obese zebrafish and in contrast to the low modulation in healthy individuals.

Finally, upon a more in-depth analysis, obese zebrafish appeared unable to modify the expression of a great number of genes that take part in a healthy inflammatory process (Fig. 4A and B). The stimulation of normal animals affected the regulation of immune system genes in the liver, including those belonging to the Toll-like receptor signaling pathway, ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis, the RIG-I-like receptor signaling pathway, the MAPK pathway, and the Jak–STAT signaling pathway, which were not altered in the overfed zebrafish. Furthermore, the apoptotic balance appeared differently regulated between the healthy and obese inflammatory response (Supplementary Figure 1C). The expression of both pro-apoptotic (casp7, casp8, casp9, birc2, and cflara) and anti-apoptotic genes (diablo, birc2, and birc5b) was modulated in the healthy inflammatory response. In the NAFLD fish model, however, stimulation with LPS primarily activated anti-apoptotic and proto-oncogenes (card9, birc2, bag3, junba, and jun), while it downregulated pro-apoptotic players (dffb, badb, and casp3a) and altered the cellular balance toward cell survival and proliferation after LPS stimulation.

**Discussion**

**Model characterization**

In this study, we characterized the transcriptomic modulation of a DIO zebrafish model that exhibits the specific signs of the human NAFLD condition, as represented by both differential histological and described gene expression features. The liver of overfed zebrafish mimicked the histological signs of human NAFLD, and the gene modulation demonstrated using microarrays delineated the alterations occurring in the human disease. A first-approach analysis was made by mapping the modulated genes in the liver of obese zebrafish to their Gene Ontology functions and revealed that processes related to the extracellular matrix and metabolism were affected.

Furthermore, the genes with higher fold-changes in the overfed liver belonged to processes known to be

---

**Table 2** Differential KEGG pathway enrichment in the LPS response between healthy and obese zebrafish

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Benjamini</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(A) Enriched KEGG pathways in the healthy zebrafish liver LPS response</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toll-like receptor signaling pathway</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.00000084</td>
<td>0.00006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell cycle</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.00003</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jak–STAT signaling pathway</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apoptosis</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIG-I-like receptor signaling pathway</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oocyte meiosis</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.0024</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ubiquitin mediated proteolysis</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPK signaling pathway</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p53 signaling pathway</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0079</td>
<td>0.061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytokine–cytokine receptor interaction</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOD-like receptor signaling pathway</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progesterone-mediated oocyte maturation</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytosolic DNA-sensing pathway</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B) Enriched KEGG pathways in the obese zebrafish liver LPS response</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apoptosis</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.00044</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jak–STAT signaling pathway</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0066</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toll-like receptor signaling pathway</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0066</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPK signaling pathway</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOD-like receptor signaling pathway</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural killer cell mediated cytotoxicity</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytokine–cytokine receptor interaction</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C) Enriched KEGG pathways in the differential LPS response between healthy and obese zebrafish</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinol metabolism</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPAR signaling pathway</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphingolipid metabolism</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4
(A) KEGG pathways that were statistically modulated in the healthy fish response to LPS with respect to controls (left), and the obese LPS-stimulated fish with respect to the controls (right). (B) Gene expression correlation between the significantly regulated genes in the different treatments and the healthy controls in different KEGG categories. The ribbons indicate the same genes regulated between the categories in the non-stimulated obese (red), stimulated obese (violet), and stimulated healthy (blue) liver.
affected in the disease. *atp5e* and *atp5gb3b* take part in oxidative phosphorylation and energy metabolism, a commonly impaired process in the insulin resistance state that can lead to NASH (Pessayre 2007). *fam57b* is a PPAR-γ target that regulates ceramide biosynthesis, manifesting as regulation of lipid metabolism in obese zebrafish (Yamashita-Sugahara et al. 2013). NAFLD is also known to affect protein folding. One of the most regulated genes in the zebrafish NAFLD liver is *crebf*. This gene acts as a negative regulator of the unfolded protein response, which is hyperactivated in this condition (Cinaroglu et al. 2011). Furthermore, ubiquitination was also altered through the modulation of *ugt5a3* and *uba52*. Another of the most regulated genes was *tac4a*, which encodes a family of species-divergent neurotransmitters called tachykinins (Page 2004, 2006). Although the exact function of *tac4* paralogs in zebrafish has not been unveiled, hemokinin 1 (*hk1*), a product of the *Tac4* gene, is reported to be expressed along with substance P at sites of chronic inflammation in mice (Metwali et al. 2004), a typical NAFLD feature. It is well documented that the chronic inflammation caused by metabolic syndrome is one of the main causes of NAFLD evolution into NASH, which can eventually cause liver fibrosis, a process characterized by the deposition of new collagen and matrix molecules in the extracellular space. In particular, typical NAFLD/NASH fibrosis forms a ‘chicken-wire’ pattern, primarily composed of type IV collagen (Armutcu et al. 2013). In our microarray analysis, *col4a2* and *col4a5* were found to be significantly upregulated in the liver of overfed zebrafish. Furthermore, *loxl2a* expression, one of the two *loxl2* homologs in zebrafish, was 11-fold higher in overfed fish. Lysyl oxidases are proteins that catalyze the polymerization of collagen, and *LOXL2* gene expression has been previously associated with liver fibrosis (Vadasz et al. 2005). Moreover, *rbp2*, also modulated in the obese zebrafish, is involved in retinoid metabolism. Retinoids are anti-inflammatory molecules that promote cellular differentiation. The liver is the most important site for retinoid storage, and its deregulation is associated with hepatic fibrosis and hepatocarcinoma (Shirakami et al. 2012). Interestingly, an important group of these most regulated genes in the obese liver are primarily characterized for their neuronal related function, including *mpzl2*, *clbn4*, *vax1*, *znfs12b*, and *tuba1al*. Finally, genes putatively related to the immune response such as *ifi44* were overexpressed in the liver of overfed zebrafish. *Ifi44* has also been markedly induced in the liver in response to hepatitis C in chimpanzees (Kitamura et al. 1994), although no modulation of this gene had previously been reported in NAFLD. Additionally, two of the most regulated genes, *gimap7* and *gimap8l*, are GTPases of the immunity-associated protein family, which is an evolutionarily conserved group that appears to be immunity modulated (Krücken et al. 2004). However, more studies are needed to decipher the different functions of its members.

Using a pathway-specific analysis, we found that the disease particularly affected the circadian rhythm. The relationship between circadian clocks and metabolism has become an area of focus in the last few years, and the mechanisms by which molecular clocks influence metabolic regulation are being progressively elucidated (Sahar & Sassone-Corsi 2012). The liver is considered a peripheral clock, in the sense that it can regulate various metabolic pathways depending on the expression of certain molecular clocks such as the PER and CRY family proteins (Sahar & Sassone-Corsi 2012). There is growing evidence of the reverse process, by which the metabolic state regulates PER2, which in turn controls hepatic glycogen storage/degradation (Tahara et al. 2011, Zani et al. 2013). Supporting previous findings, *per2* regulation may limit glucose production by increasing glycogen storage or preventing glycogenolysis in obese zebrafish.

**Differential immune response against an inflammatory stimulus in normal and overfed fish**

In mammals, microbial LPS is a potent inflammatory inducer that is primarily recognized by TLR4. The intracellular signaling pathway can proceed through either a MyD88-dependent or MyD88-independent manner, ultimately causing the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines. Zebrafish appear to recognize endotoxin in a TLR4-independent manner (Sepulcre et al. 2009, Sullivan et al. 2009), and LPS triggers an inflammatory response powerful enough to induce death (Novoa et al. 2009). As demonstrated in the study, the zebrafish transcriptomic response to LPS in the liver presented a typical host immunological defensive reaction comparable to that occurring in stimulated mammals, modulating genes associated with the detection, processing and signaling of external antigens, and activating immune defense pathways. In particular, *mr02b2b*, a zebrafish ortholog for *rob2*, also known as *SHP*, demonstrated the most altered expression after LPS injection. This gene is an orphan nuclear receptor and functions as a key regulator of liver function, affecting the lipid metabolism, reproductive biology, and energy pathways (Garruti et al. 2012) that appear to be involved in different liver pathologies (Smalling et al. 2013).
The LPS injection of obese fish represented a double hit to the already damaged liver. Shockingly, we were unable to identify any statistically significant differences in gene modulation between the stimulated and non-stimulated NAFLD liver. The healthy zebrafish response to LPS is very critical and powerful, and although modulation must occur in the damaged liver, its ability to undergo a powerful functional acute inflammatory response was certainly impaired. However, comparing the stimulated obese zebrafish liver transcriptome with that of the non-stimulated healthy liver may aid our understanding of the synergies and interactions between the disease and inflammation.

At first glance, the differential response between the stimulated obese liver and the healthy liver resembled the same stimulation in the healthy zebrafish, modulating the principal defensive immunological response routes and expressing typical proinflammatory markers. Interestingly, the inflammatory response in overfed zebrafish did not appear to be as functional as that in healthy animals, as the obese zebrafish were unable to modulate the expression of a great number of genes that take part in a healthy inflammatory process, particularly those directly involved in the immune system and the cell cycle and apoptosis. Some genes belonging to inflammatory pathways such as the Toll-like receptor signaling pathway were exclusively modulated in the healthy liver but not in the NAFLD liver. Furthermore, LPS signaling stimulates both pro-apoptotic and anti-apoptotic genes in a regulated balance and directly affects the p53 apoptotic pathway (Tsolmongyn et al. 2013). Although more studies are needed, in NAFLD liver stimulation with LPS activated a greater number of anti-apoptotic and proto-oncogenes, thus weighting the cellular balance toward cell survival and proliferation.

The differential metabolic state was also represented after LPS stimulation, as shown by the differential expression of genes involved in the PPAR signaling pathway, retinoic, and sphingolipid metabolism in the healthy stimulated and obese stimulated liver. The PPAR signaling pathway is known to be involved in chronic inflammation and the cirrhotic process of obesity-induced NAFLD (Radonjic et al. 2009). Moreover, retinoids are anti-inflammatory molecules that promote cellular differentiation. The liver is the most important site for retinoid storage, and deregulation of this storage is associated with hepatic fibrosis and hepatocarcinoma (Shirakami et al. 2012).

At a more detailed level, the most regulated genes between both the stimulated obese and healthy zebrafish liver were related to the carcinogenic process. mhc1uba is one of the class I histocompatibility antigens in zebrafish (Michalová et al. 2000). Although it may be the consequence of different haplotypes in the WT zebrafish population, the regulation of MHC I genes is found in a great number of cancers. arhgap28, the most overexpressed gene between the obese stimulated and healthy stimulated fish, is a signal transducer that is upregulated in grade II and III meningiomas (Févre-Montange et al. 2009). Moreover, hepcidins and saa genes also exhibited different expression profiles following the stimulation of obese and healthy zebrafish. Hepcidins are antimicrobial peptides that are also associated with liver iron metabolism (for example, in iron recycling), and thus, increased hepcidin expression may indicate hepatocyte dysfunction. Furthermore, NAFLD with iron overload increases hepatic damage and is associated with increased levels of hepcidin (Aigner et al. 2008). In addition, hepatic iron overload results in greater liver damage in human NAFLD (Kowdley et al. 2012). Similarly, the saa gene was one of the most differentially expressed genes in the obese fish response to LPS; this is consistent with previous findings, as it has been proposed as a biomarker for insulin resistance (Scheja et al. 2008) and its expression is elevated during hepatic inflammation in mice on a methionine-choline-deficient diet, which is a common rodent model for NASH (Pelz et al. 2012).

In conclusion, we developed an obesity model in zebrafish based on overfeeding that is consistent with previous NAFLD models and the human disease itself. We used this model to study how metabolic syndrome modulates the immune response in the diseased liver. Our results indicate that zebrafish suffering from obesity-induced NAFLD exhibit slightly greater expression of pro-inflammatory markers but are not able to activate all the pathways of a complete inflammatory response. This finding is most likely due to the ongoing chronic inflammation process driven by metabolic factors. Furthermore, we found that after a strong inflammatory stimulus, the apoptotic balance in obese zebrafish was severely weighted toward cell proliferation, while there was equilibrium between pro- and anti-apoptotic agents in the healthy response. These differences regarding how the diseased liver responds to acute inflammation may aid our understanding of the association between NAFLD and the increased incidence of hepatocarcinoma. We hope that this model can shed some light on the processes occurring in this disease.
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