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Abstract
The discovery of steroid hormone receptors in brain regions that mediate every aspect of brain function has broadened the definition of ‘neuroendocrinology’ to include the reciprocal communication between the brain and the body via hormonal and neural pathways. The brain is the central organ of stress and adaptation to stress because it perceives and determines what is threatening, as well as the behavioral and physiological responses to the stressor. The adult and developing brain possess remarkable structural and functional plasticity in response to stress, including neuronal replacement, dendritic remodeling, and synapse turnover. Stress causes an imbalance of neural circuitry subserving cognition, decision-making, anxiety and mood that can alter expression of those behaviors and behavioral states. This imbalance, in turn, affects systemic physiology via neuroendocrine, autonomic, immune and metabolic mediators. In the short term, as for increased fearful vigilance and anxiety in a threatening environment, these changes may be adaptive. But, if the danger passes and the behavioral state persists along with the changes in neural circuitry, such maladaptation may need intervention with a combination of pharmacological and behavioral therapies, as is the case for chronic anxiety and depression. There are important sex differences in the brain responses to stressors that are in urgent need of further exploration. Moreover, adverse early-life experience, interacting with alleles of certain genes, produce lasting effects on brain and body over the life-course via epigenetic mechanisms. While prevention is most important, the plasticity of the brain gives hope for therapies that take into consideration brain–body interactions.

Introduction
The fundamental discovery of the communication between hypothalamus and pituitary, by Geoffrey Harris, established the basis for understanding brain–body communication via the neuroendocrine system (Harris 1970).
same time, steroid hormones were shown to bind to intracellular receptors that regulate gene expression in tissues such as liver, or the prostate and uterus in the case of sex hormones (Jensen & Jacobson 1962). The focus of steroid hormone feedback to regulate neuroendocrine function was naturally upon the pituitary and the hypothalamus and this important work continues to uncover essential aspects of neuroendocrine regulation (Meites 1992).

The McEwen laboratory entered this field by serendipitously discovering adrenal steroid, and later estrogen receptors, in the hippocampal formation of the rat (McEwen et al. 1968, McEwen & Plapinger 1970, Gerlach & McEwen 1972, Loy et al. 1988, Milner et al. 2001) and we, and others, extended these findings to the infrahuman primate brain, as well as to other regions of the brain involved in cognitive and emotional regulation (Gerlach et al. 1976). This has catalyzed studies that look at actions of hormonal feedback on the brain not only to regulate hypothalamic functions but also to influence neurological, cognitive and emotional functions of the whole brain, with translation to the human brain in relation to aging, mood disorders and the impact of the social environment. This article describes research in our, and other laboratories, that redefined neuroendocrinology as a field that also studies two-way brain body communication via the neuroendocrine, autonomic, immune and metabolic systems. This research has uncovered the remodeling of brain architecture mediated by hormones working together with other cellular mediators. These actions occur via epigenetic mechanisms involving both genomic and non-genomic processes over the life course, and there is ongoing translation of the findings in animal models to the human condition, including the effects of adverse early-life experiences and the relationship of socioeconomic status and health through the development of the concept of allostatic load.

**Receptors outside of the hypothalamus**

By administering $^3$H corticosterone into adrenalectomized rats, we discovered receptors for adrenal steroids in the hippocampal formation of the rat and, later, the rhesus monkey (McEwen et al. 1968, McEwen & Plapinger 1970, Gerlach & McEwen 1972, Gerlach et al. 1976; Fig. 1). Other work revealed such receptors in the hippocampal equivalent in other species, including birds (McEwen 1976, Dickens et al. 2009). In retrospect, these findings broadened the perspective that glucocorticoids provided negative feedback control of the HPA axis to include actions of adrenal steroids on other brain functions such as memory, learning, control of mood, and other aspects of behavior (McEwen 2010).

Work by Reul and de Kloet demonstrated that there are two types of adrenal steroid receptors, mineralocorticoid (type 1 or MR) and glucocorticoid (type 2 or GR), in hippocampus and other brain regions (Reul & de Kloet 1985). This was further elaborated by immunocytochemical mapping of the receptors (Ahima & Harlan 1990, Ahima et al. 1991). Studies in our laboratory, as well as by Diamond...
and Joels, have shown biphasic effects mediated by MR and GR (Diamond et al. 1992, Pavlides et al. 1995, Joels 2006). Ultradian fluctuations of glucocorticoids drive GR activation and reactivation, while MR occupancy for nuclear activation is more constant and promotes excitability (Stavreva et al. 2009). Moreover, membrane associated GR and MR are linked to the direct stimulation of excitatory amino acid release (Karst et al. 2005, Popoli et al. 2012).

Much of this information was obtained via studies on the hippocampus, which has become a gateway into the study of hormone effects on other brain regions involved in cognitive and emotional regulation and other behaviors. The hippocampus is important for episodic and spatial memory and is now also recognized for its role in mood regulation, as will be explained in the following section. For spatial memory (Fig. 2), the hippocampus becomes active in London cab drivers during functional MRI imaging when they remember a route from one place to another (Maguire et al. 1997) and the hippocampus is also important for food caching behavior in squirrels and birds (Biegler et al. 2001, Clayton 2001, Burger et al. 2013).

The hippocampus is also ‘the canary in the coal mine’ as far as conditions such as ischemia and seizures that cause brain damage as well as brain aging (Sapolsky 1990). And the hippocampus responds to sex hormones with effects on spatial memory and other functions (Sandstrom & Williams 2001), as discussed later in this review.

**Output to multiple interacting mediators and the concept of allostatic load**

As originally defined, neuroendocrinology refers to the hypothalamic and pituitary control of neuroendocrine function. The McEwen laboratory has focused upon the return loop of feedback of steroid hormones on the brain to affect molecular, cellular, physiological and behavioral processes throughout the entire brain. This feedback now includes action in the brain of metabolic hormones such as insulin, ghrelin, insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF1) and leptin via specific uptake systems and acting upon receptors residing in hippocampus and other brain regions (McEwen 2007; Fig. 3). Moreover, given the influence of
hormones and autonomic outflow from the brain upon activity of the immune system, the direct and indirect feedback actions of circulating cytokines on the brain must also be considered in a broader definition of neuroendocrinology (Maier & Watkins 1998). Furthermore, the autonomic nervous system itself, both parasympathetic and sympathetic arms, is a partner of neuroendocrine regulation as is here more broadly defined (Sloan et al. 1999, Tracey 2002).

Within this broader view of neuroendocrinology in relation to brain–body communication, we modified the concept of allostatic (Sterling & Eyer 1988) to refer to the active process of maintaining homeostasis via output of hormones and autonomic nervous system (ANS) activity, and we developed the concept of allostatic load and overload as a means of better understanding the cumulative and potentially damaging, as well as protective, effects of stressors on the brain and body (McEwen & Stellar 1993, McEwen 1998; McEwen & Wingfield 2003, McEwen & Gianaros 2011). Because the mediators of allostatic interact and affect each other’s activity and because each mediator system has biphasic effects in dose and time, the ‘network of allostatic’ is nonlinear (McEwen 2006). When one mediator system changes, the others adjust, and the resulting output can be distorted, as in chronic inflammation or a flat cortisol diurnal rhythm caused by sleep deprivation or depression.

Another important feature of the allostatic load concept is the notion that the mediators that normally help the body and brain adapt to stressors can also become distorted and contribute to cumulative, pathophysiological change such as atherosclerosis or obesity and diabetes (McEwen 1998). Finally, and importantly, the allostatic load concept emphasizes the central role of the brain in response to adaptation to stressors because of its central role in regulating and responding to the broader-defined ‘neuroendocrine’ system (McEwen 1998; Fig. 4). Both the physical and social environment contribute to experiences that require adaptation of brain architecture and physiological processes (McEwen & Gianaros 2011), as is discussed later in this review. Quite recently, the epigenetic allostatic concept has introduced another feature of the allostatic load to emphasize the influence of early-life experiences on the development of mood disorders in susceptible individuals and this also points to the key role of MR receptors in the communication with the glutam system (Nasca et al. 2014), as discussed in the next section.

Structural plasticity of brain regions mediating cognition and affect

A remarkable feature of the adult, as well as the developing brain, is its capacity for remodeling of dendrites, turnover of synapses and neurogenesis. We discovered that remodeling of dendrites in the hippocampus in response to chronic stress caused shrinking of dendrites in the CA3 subfield that was also mimicked by chronic glucocorticoid treatment, but also involved mediation by excitatory amino acids and other cellular mediators (McEwen 1999; Fig. 5). Similar shrinkage of dendrites was found in medial prefrontal cortex after chronic stress, whereas expansion of dendrites in basolateral amygdala was found under the same conditions (Vyas et al. 2002, Radley et al. 2004). In hippocampus of hibernating animals, rapid shrinkage of CA3 apical dendrites is seen with onset of hibernation while regrowth of those dendrites occurs within hours of termination of hibernation, suggesting that the cytoskeleton can rapidly depolymerize and repolymerize when needed via a mechanism in which tau phosphorylation is involved (Arendt et al. 2003, Magarinos et al. 2006).

The turnover of spine synapses also occurs in response to stressors and this was shown quite recently in the case of the HPA axis to be dependent on the ultradian pulses of glucocorticoids (Liston & Gan 2011, Liston et al. 2013).
Chronic stress causes reduced spine density in hippocampus and medial prefrontal cortex, as well as medial amygdala, and increased spine density occurs in basolateral amygdala (McEwen & Chattarji 2007). Sex hormones also regulate spine synapse turnover in hippocampus, hypothalamus, and prefrontal cortex of female rats and rhesus monkeys by a mechanism involving not only estradiol (E2), but also excitatory amino acids and NMDA receptors (Frankfurt et al. 1990, McEwen et al. 1995, Woolley 1999, Dumitriu et al. 2010; Fig. 6).

Structural plasticity also occurs among interneurons involving spine turnover and dendritic remodeling, as well as neurogenesis (Cameron & Dayer 2008, Nacher et al. 2013). As first suggested by Altman (1962), there is turnover and neurogenesis of inhibitory interneurons in the adult cortex occurring at about the same rate as that of granule neurons in the dentate gyrus (Cameron & Dayer 2008). Spine synapse turnover and dendritic remodeling is evident in a class of interneurons that express polysialated neural cell adhesion molecule (PSA-NCAM) and which are widely distributed in the telencephalon of rodents and humans (Nacher et al. 2013). Dopamine acting via D2 receptors affects PSA-NCAM expression and some dopamine effects are blocked by the depletion of PSA (Nacher et al. 2013).

Neurogenesis in the dentate gyrus of the adult hippocampus was rediscovered by Elizabeth Gould and Heather Cameron based upon earlier work by Altman and Kaplan and findings in the songbird brain by Nottebohm and colleagues (Cameron & Gould 1994, Kaplan 2001, Alvarez-Buylla & Garcia-Verdugo 2002, Nottebohm 2002, Gould 2007). Glucocorticoids and excitatory amino acids are both involved in stress-induced suppression of neurogenesis, which was found not only in rodents, but also in tree shrews and rhesus monkeys (Cameron & Gould 1994, Gould et al. 1997, 1998). Yet, glucocorticoid levels do not predict the direction of neurogenesis, as shown by studies of male sexual behavior in which increased neurogenesis is found with high glucocorticoid levels; oxytocin appears to play an important role in glucocorticoid mediated neurogenesis (Leuner et al. 2010, 2012).

Repeated stress in rats has been shown to lead to reduced cell proliferation and neuron number in the dentate gyrus along with reduced dentate gyrus volume (Pham et al. 2003). Conversely, physical activity increases neurogenesis and dentate gyrus volume (van Praag et al. 1999), as also does living in an enriched environment (Kempermann et al. 1997). Hippocampal volume increases in elderly ‘couch potatoes’ who engage in regular, moderate exercise, such as walking (Erickson et al. 2011).
Mechanisms of action of glucocorticoids and estrogens

As is now recognized for all steroid hormones, glucocorticoids produce effects on their target cells via both direct and indirect genomic effects, as well as non-genomic actions (Fig. 7). Direct actions involve binding of the dimerized GR to the glucocorticoid response element, whereas indirect genomic actions involve tethering of the GR to other transcription factors such as AP1, NfkB or Stat5 (Yamamoto 1985, Ratman et al. 2013). There are also actions of GR on the mitochondrial genome (Du et al. 2009a). Non-genomic actions include the stimulation of endocannabinoid production and direct stimulation of glutamate release, as summarized next.

The role of glucocorticoids and estrogens in structural remodeling of the adult brain also involves multiple interacting mediators (McEwen 2010). In the case of stress and adrenal steroids, tissue plasminogen activator is involved as a mediator of stress induced changes in medial amygdala and CA1 hippocampal spine density, along with corticotrophin releasing hormone (CRH), which is able to stimulate its release (Pawlak et al. 2003, 2005, Chen et al. 2006a). Reduced BDNF expression in haploinsufficiency and in the val66met polymorphism is linked to reduced dendritic growth in hippocampus and lack of response to chronic stress (Chen et al. 2006b, Magarinos et al. 2011), whereas BDNF over-expression is associated with longer dendrites in both hippocampus and amygdala and failure to respond to chronic stress with retraction in hippocampus and elongation in basolateral amygdala (Govindarajan et al. 2006). Lipocalin-2 is induced by acute stress and modulates actin dynamics and it down-regulates mushroom spines in hippocampus after 3d restraint stress, while deletion of Lipocalin-2 increases the proportion of mushroom spines along with increased neuronal excitability and anxiety (Mucha et al. 2011). In amygdala, 3d restraint stress up-regulates spine density and this effect is lost in lipocalin-2-ko mice (Skrzypiec et al. 2013).

Endocannabinoids generated postsynaptically via acute glucocorticoid stimulation inhibit either glutamate or GABA release presynaptically (Hill & McEwen 2010) and this affects not only prefrontal and amygdala control of HPA activity, but also effects of stress on medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) and basolateral amygdala dendritic branching. Deletion of CB1 receptors exacerbates stress-induced retraction of mPFC dendrites (Hill et al. 2011a), whereas deletion of a degradative enzyme, fatty acid amide hydrolase, prevents stress induced dendrite expansion in basolateral amygdala neurons (Hill et al. 2013). Endocannabinoids also play a role in shut-off of HPA function, as well as basal CORT (cortisol, human; corticosterone, rodent) levels after chronic stress and habituation of the CORT response to chronic stress and they appear to do so via the prefrontal cortex and amygdala (Hill et al. 2011b).

With regard to estrogen actions, there are multiple targets of genomic and non-genomic actions of E2. E2 stimulates both acetylcholine (Towart et al. 2003) and neuropeptide Y release (Ledoux et al. 2009) via presynaptic estrogen receptors and it induces actin polymerization and filopodial formation and translation of PSD95 mRNA via PI3kinase (Fig. 8; Dumitriu et al. 2010). E2 stimulated acetylcholine release that inhibit inhibitory interneurons is believed to be responsible for up-regulation of NMDA receptors that are required for estrogen-induced synapse formation (Weiland 1992, Daniel & Dohanich 2001, Rudick et al. 2003).

For both E2 and glucocorticoids, mitochondria are targets that affect Ca++ sequestration and regulate free radical formation (Brinton 2008, Du et al. 2009b). Both ERβ and glucocorticoid receptors translocate to mitochondria where they affect metabolic activity that, at physiological levels, promotes Ca++ sequestration and regulates free radical formation (Moutsatsou et al. 2001, Retberg et al. 2014). At high levels of glucocorticoids, the
Sequestration mechanism fail and free radicals and oxidative damage takes place (Du et al. 2009a).

Sex differences

There are important sex differences in the effects of stress and sex hormones on the hippocampus and prefrontal cortex, extending the seminal work of Harris & Levine (1965). Chronic stressors in females do not cause dendrites to shrink in CA3 neurons or in medial prefrontal cortex neurons (Galea et al. 1997). In medial prefrontal cortex, neurons that project cortically shrink with chronic stress in males but not in females, whereas neurons that project to the amygdala extend dendrites in females, but not in males, with chronic stress (Shansky et al. 2009, 2010). For the females to respond in this way, there must be circulating estrogens (Shansky et al. 2009).

That such sex differences exist in a brain region like prefrontal cortex not previously thought to be responsive to sex hormones means that there may be sex difference throughout the brain. Indeed, membrane associated estrogen receptors have been found widely throughout the brain (McEwen & Milner 2007). Studies in men and women of the functional imaging responses of human brain to tests of emotional recognition in which men and women score the same, nevertheless, reveal different patterns of activation across brain regions between the sexes (Derntl et al. 2010).

Reversal of sex differences by manipulations during the critical period for sexual differentiation have shown that males treated with an aromatase inhibitor at birth are able to respond to estrogens to induce synapses in the hippocampus, whereas normally males do not respond to E₂, but do respond to testosterone and dihydrotestosterone.
for spine synapse induction (Lewis et al. 1995, Leranth et al. 2003). This is the converse of testosterone treatment of newborn females which defeminizes the ability of ovulation and respond with lordosis (Goy & McEwen 1980). It is important to note that aromatization of testosterone plays a key role in the defeminizing aspects of sexual differentiation postnatally, whereas conversion of testosterone to dihydrotestosterone is involved in masculinizing aspects of brain sexual differentiation that generally occur before birth in the rodent (Naftolin et al. 1971, McEwen et al. 1977, Naftolin 1994).

Spine synapse induction, that is produced by E2 in adult female hippocampus and by dihydrotestosterone in male hippocampus, involves somewhat different mechanisms. In the female, as previously described, there are multiple mechanisms involving both genomic and non-genomic actions of E2 and both cholinergic and GABAergic, as well as NMDA receptor mediated activity and E2 stimulated signaling via PI3kinase (McEwen et al. 2001). In the male, where there are genomic androgen receptors in the CA1 region, as well as non-genomic receptors, the cholinergic system does not appear to be involved while androgens upregulate NMDA receptors; this is a topic that needs more in-depth investigation (Romeo et al. 2005).

**Gene expression in an ever-changing brain**

We have found that the expression of genes in the brain is changing continuously with experiences and that novel stressors have different effects upon gene expression in a naïve brain, a chronically stressed brain and a brain recovered from chronic stress. High throughput gene expression profiling of the hippocampal response to an acute forced swim stress revealed a distinct pattern of gene regulation between stress-naïve mice and mice subjected to a forced swim after exposure to 3 weeks of chronic restraint stress (Gray et al. 2014). Further, mice allowed 3 weeks of recovery from chronic stress, which exhibited a normalization of anxiety-like behaviors, still revealed a gene expression profile that was different from the stress naïve state and produced a still different gene expression profile in response to a novel stress. An acute CORT challenge given to either stress naïve or chronically stressed rats also revealed highly different gene expression profiles,
depending on the stress history of the animal; while ~200 of the genes altered by CORT were the same irrespective of stress history, over 500 were different after a chronic stress exposure (Datson et al. 2013; Fig. 9). Together, these studies suggest that while the brain can recover, there remains considerable imprint of past stress experiences which alters future reactivity at the molecular level.

Yet a CORT challenge is not equivalent to replicating the complex network of pathways activated during an in vivo stress exposure. Expression profiles resulting from a bolus of corticosterone were found to be highly distinct from that of an acute stressor that elevates CORT (Gray et al. 2014; Fig. 9). While many of the well-established genes, such as c-Fos and Arc responded the same, there remains a widely unexplored set of new gene targets that have been identified, which are activated by stress, but function outside of traditional CORT or inflammatory signaling pathways.

**Epigenetic regulation: search for rapidly acting treatments**

Although the action of steroid hormones on cellular processes involves both genomic and non-genomic mechanisms of action, the cumulative actions of the interacting mediators result in changes in gene expression via epigenetic mechanisms involving histone modifications, methylation of cytosine bases on DNA, and the regulatory actions of non-coding RNA’s (Mehler 2008). Regarding histone modifications that either repress gene expression and DNA activity or enhance such activity, Reul and colleagues have shown that the forced swimming-induced behavioral immobility response requires histone H3 phospho-acetylation and c-Fos induction in distinct dentate granule neurons through recruitment of the NMDA/ERK/MSK 1/2 pathway (Chandramohan et al. 2008). Another histone mark change in hippocampus, and most prominently in the dentate gyrus, is the dramatic induction by an acute restraint stress of trimethylation of lysine 9 on histone H3, which is associated with repression of a number of retrotransposon elements and reduction of the coding and non-coding RNA normally produced by the repressed DNA (Hunter et al. 2009). This repression is lost with repeated stress, suggesting the possibility that those retrotransposon elements may impair genomic stability under conditions of chronic stress (Hunter et al. 2014).

A current practical application of this is the investigation of rapidly acting antidepressants, because classical antidepressants work slowly and are not effective on every depressed individual. In the course of these studies, we are learning more about epigenetic mechanisms that connect excitatory amino acid function with neural remodeling and stress-related behaviors. One novel agent...
is acetyl-l-carnitine (LAC) that decreases depressive-like behavior within 3 days of treatment in a stress-induced and a genetic model of depression-like behavior while SSRI’s and tricyclic drugs have no effect in that time frame (Nasca et al. 2013). Antidepressant effects of LAC have been shown in other animal models that mimic features of the spectrum of depressive disorders in humans (Cuccurazzu et al. 2013) and need to be expanded to human treatment resistant depression (Flight 2013, Russo & Charney 2013). The rapid antidepressant action of LAC is mediated by acetylation of the histone H3K27 bound to the promoter gene of the metabotropic glutamate receptor, mGlu2, which inhibits glutamate release to the synapse (Fig. 10A). Furthermore, a single injection of the HDAC inhibitor, MS-275, mimicked the action of LAC in enhancing mGlu2 receptor expression in Flinders Sensitive Line (FSL) rats. Among other mechanisms, LAC also promotes acetylation of the p65, the major component of the NFkB transcription factor, to exert fast antidepressant responses (Cuccurazzu et al. 2013).

In the course of this work, we become aware that lower mGlu2 expression in hippocampus increases the vulnerability to stress (Nasca et al. 2014).

**Translation to the human brain**

The revelations about how acute and chronic stress affect the brain in animal models has been used by researchers and clinicians to show changes in function within the human brain that result from stress and trauma, and correspond to effects seen in the research on animal models (McEwen 2007, McEwen & Gianaros 2011, McEwen & Morrison 2013). These include changes in brain structure and functional activity in depression, post traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), Cushing’s disease and type 2 diabetes, as well as effects of jet lag and shift work, chronic life stress, perceived stress and the beneficial effects of physical activity (Sheline 2003, McEwen & Gianaros 2011). For perceived stress, medical students who had high scores on the ten item perceived stress scale showed impaired functional connectivity by fMRI in a brain circuit involving the prefrontal cortex, as well as impaired performance on a test of mental flexibility (Liston et al. 2009); these effects were reversed by a month vacation and we know from animal studies that prefrontal cortical and hippocampal dendrite shrinkage is reversible in young adult animals (Conrad et al. 1999, Radley et al. 2005). Regarding physical activity, previously sedentary older adults who walk an hour a day for 6 months to a year show enlargement of the hippocampal formation (Erickson et al. 2011) and this is likely due, at least in part, to the increased dentate gyrus neurogenesis that is stimulated by exercise and by an enriched environment (Kempermann et al. 1997, van Praag et al. 1999). It is also noteworthy that hippocampal volume increases with intense learning (Draganski et al. 2006), but is also decreased in Cushing’s disease (Starkman et al. 1992).

However, there is age-related loss of resilience of the dendrite shrinkage in prefrontal cortex (Bloss et al. 2010), as well as age-related memory impairment, which, however, can be reduced by pharmacological intervention (Bloss et al. 2008, Pereira et al. 2014). These treatments may find their way into treating human mild cognitive impairment and perhaps also dementia.

Another translational application of structural plasticity and the actions of stress mediators is the somewhat surprising role of glucocorticoid elevation at the time of trauma in reducing the risk for PTSD (Schelling et al. 2004, Zohar et al. 2011, Rao et al. 2012). One possibility is that glucocorticoid stimulation of endocannabinoid production may be involved in this protection (Hill & McEwen 2010).
Individual differences, stressful early life events and the life course perspective

What happens early in life determines the trajectory of development for the rest of the individual’s life and biomedical science and medical practice are beginning to recognize this (Halfon et al. 2014). The original definition of epigenetics that referred to the emergence of characteristics of an organism with development (Waddington 1942) implied that there was no turning back, but that each stage of development offers possibilities to change the trajectory of brain and body function.

Adverse childhood experiences produce a lifelong vulnerability to mental and physical health disorders and prevention is paramount (Felitti et al. 1998, Shonkoff et al. 2009, Anda et al. 2010). Where adverse events have happened, it is important to find ways of compensatory remediation. This is an enormous challenge and the newer use of the term ‘epigenetics’, meaning ‘above the genome’ and referring to the ability to change expression of genetic traits via physical, behavioral and pharmacological intervention as previously described, offers some hope that the brain and body remain dynamic over the lifespan (Bavelier et al. 2010, McEwen 2012).

Even without adversity in childhood, individuals with the same genes turn out differently and this is reflected in divergent epigenetic profiles of CpG methylation patterns of chromosomes of identical twins as they age (Fraga et al. 2005). Cloned mice raised in an enriched environment develop differences in locomotor activity correlated with levels of dentate gyrus neurogenesis (Freund et al. 2013). And genetically similar rats screened for anxiety-like behavior early in life show consistent individual anxiety profiles over their life course, and the more anxious rats die 200 days sooner than the less anxious ones (Cavigelli & McClintock 2003, Cavigelli et al. 2006). Reduced prefrontal cortical dendrite length and branching is a neuroanatomical feature of elevated anxiety-like behavior in rats (Miller et al. 2012).

Arising out of the studies of the antidepressant-like actions of LAC is new insight into at least one possible mechanism involving mineralocorticoid receptors (MR) in hippocampus by which individual differences arise in susceptibility to stressors for developing anxiety- and depressive-like behaviors. Mice with a mGlu2 knock-out subjected to chronic unpredictable stressors show more signs of coat deterioration, reduced body weight and increased immobility at the forced swim test compared to WT animals subjected to the same regimen of stress (Nasca et al. 2014). In WT mice, a simple light–dark test revealed a subset with higher anxiety that have elevated hippocampal MR. Those mice with higher MR showed a greater stress-induced reduction in mGlu2 accompanied by more anxiety and depressive-like behaviors; this effect is mediated by a
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**Figure 10**

Novel mechanisms for rapidly acting medications to treat stress-related disorders. (A) The novel antidepressant candidate acetyl-L-carnitine (LAC) may act inside and outside the nucleus to exert fast antidepressant responses: it has been shown that LAC corrects mGlu2 deficits in vulnerable animal models by increasing acetylation of either the histone H3K27 bound to Grm2 promoter gene or the NFkB-p65 member (Nasca et al. 2013). (B) The use of the light–dark test as a screening method allows identification of clusters of animals with a different baseline susceptibility along with differences in mineralocorticoid receptor (MR) levels in hippocampus. The susceptible mice (HS, high susceptible) that are characterized by higher baseline MR levels show reduced hippocampal mGlu2 expression associated with exacerbation of anxious and of depressive-like behaviors after acute and chronic stress respectively. Conversely, individuals (LS, low susceptible) with lower baseline MR levels cope better with stress and show adaptation in mGlu2 receptor expression in hippocampus. The epigenetic allostasis model points to the developmental origins of these individual differences, suggesting that unknown epigenetic influences early in life may lead to alterations in MR hippocampal levels. Reproduced, with permission, from Nasca C, Bigio B, Zelli D, Nicoletti F & McEwen B5 (2014) Mind the gap: glucocorticoids modulate hippocampal glutamate tone underlying individual differences in stress susceptibility. *Molecular Psychiatry* 20 755–763. Copyright 2014, Rights Managed by Nature Publishing Group.
stress-induced reduction of the epigenetic enzyme P300, which regulates acetylation of the histone H3K27 that promotes mGlu2 expression (Nasca et al. 2014).

The ability of MR activation to mediate enhanced anxiety and depression-like behavior after acute and chronic stress by down-regulating mGlu2 is consistent with evidence showing a role of MR in anxiety-like behavior (Korte et al. 1995) in hippocampus (Smythe et al. 1997, Bitran et al. 1998) and particularly the ventral hippocampus (McEown & Treit 2011). Despite high baseline cortisol levels, patients with major depression show high functional activity of the MR system along with decreased sensitivity to GR agonists, suggesting an imbalance in the MR/GR ratio (Young et al. 2003). Indeed, the MR/GR balance is important not only for emotional regulation but also for cognitive function and HPA regulation (de Kloet 2014).

The epigenetic allostasis model in Fig. 10 proposes that early-life epigenetic influences, program each individual to different trajectories of behavioral and physiological responses to later stressful life events, and it remains to be determined whether the higher MR-levels reflect epigenetic influences of maternal care or other experiences early in life. Indeed, the role of consistent and disrupted maternal care, as well as prenatal stress, has been investigated in animal models and should be considered (Francis et al. 1999, Weinstock 2005, Moriceau & Sullivan 2006, Maccari & Morley-Fletcher 2007, Akers et al. 2008, Molet et al. 2014).

Impact of the social environment

The emerging field of epigenetics, along with the reversible remodeling of brain architecture, has provided a new way of conceptualizing the influence of the social and physical environment on the brain and body. As shown in Fig. 4, the brain is the central organ of stress and adaptation because it determines what is threatening and, therefore, stressful. And the brain controls autonomic and neuroendocrine signals that affect the rest of the body to promote adaptation (‘allostasis’) and also allostatic load and overload (McEwen & Stellar 1993, McEwen 1998, McEwen & Wingfield 2003). Health behaviors (‘lifestyle’), including choice and amount of food intake, smoking, alcohol intake, physical activity, or lack thereof, and social interactions also feed into and contribute to allostatic load and allostatic load/overload (McEwen 2006). Finally, the genetic endowment and experiential factors throughout the life course, but especially early in life, influence the trajectory of brain and body function (Danese & McEwen 2012, Halfon et al. 2014).

Low socioeconomic status is associated with increased risk for the common diseases of modern life and is associated with increased inflammatory tone and altered white matter structure (Seeman et al. 2010, Gianaros et al. 2013). Likewise, type 2 diabetes, which is more common at lower levels of socioeconomic status (SES), is also associated with altered myelin and impaired cognitive function (Yau et al. 2012). On the positive side, meaning and purpose in life appear to have a considerable ability to promote health and ward off cognitive decline, including dementia (Carlson et al. 2009, Boyle et al. 2010). Likewise, regular physical activity has many benefits for brain and body health (Colcombe et al. 2004).

Conclusions

With the discovery of circulating hormone actions throughout the brain on virtually every aspect of brain function, the original definition of ‘neuroendocrinology’ based upon the work of Geoffrey Harris has expanded to encompass many aspects of reciprocal brain–body communication. With the new appreciation of the life-course perspective for human health and disease, along with the emerging field of gene × environment interactions now called ‘epigenetics’ (Halfon et al. 2014), the reciprocal communication between the brain and body via hormonal and neural mediators takes a central role in facilitating progress in understanding how the social and physical environment ‘gets under the skin’ to alter trajectories of health and disease. Given the central role of the brain, there is now impetus for interventions that involve policies of government and the private sector, as well as psychosocial interventions at the individual level that produce a ‘top–down’ improvement in the physiological processes that are dysregulated by stress and adversity (Acheson 1998). The emerging recognition of the ability of the brain to change its architecture and function via these top–down interventions involving brain–body communication, where pharmaceutical agents or behavioral interventions that open up ‘windows of plasticity,’ gives hope for redirecting individual trajectories towards better physical and mental health.
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