Prepuberal light phase feeding induces neuroendocrine alterations in adult rats
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Abstract

Feeding patterns are important factors in obesity evolution. Time-restricted feeding schedules (tRF) during resting phase change energy homeostasis regulation, disrupting the circadian release of metabolism-regulating hormones, such as leptin, insulin and corticosterone and promoting body weight gain. Thyroid (HPT) and adrenal (HPA) axes exhibit a circadian regulation and are involved in energy expenditure, thus studying their parameters in tRF paradigms will elucidate their role in energy homeostasis impairments under such conditions. As tRF in young animals is poorly studied, we subjected prepuberal rats to a tRF either in light (LPF) or in darkness phase (DPF) and analyzed HPT and HPA response when they reach adulthood, as well as their arcuate (ARC) and paraventricular (PVN) hypothalamic nuclei neurons’ sensitivity to leptin in subsets of 10-week-old animals after fasting and with i.p. leptin treatment. LPF group showed high body weight and food intake, along with increased visceral fat pads, corticosterone, leptin and insulin serum levels, whereas circulating T₄ decreased. HPA axis hyperactivity was demonstrated by their high PVN Crf mRNA expression; the blunted activity of HPT axis, by the decreased hypophysiotropic PVN Trh mRNA expression. Trh impaired expression to the positive energy balance in LPF, accounted for their ARC leptin resistance, evinced by an increased Npy and Socs3 mRNA expression. We concluded that the hyperphagia of prepuberal LPF animals could account for the HPA axis hyperactivity and for the HPT blocked function due to the altered ARC leptin signaling and impaired NPY regulation on PVN TRH neurons.

Introduction

Obesity is a major worldwide health concern; its prevalence has doubled in the last 35 years in children and adults (Flegal et al. 2016, Ogden et al. 2016), it may result from an imbalance between energy intake and energy expenditure leading to increased body fat content. In humans, environmental factors, such as lifestyle and eating habits, have a direct influence on body weight. Changing eating schedules from the activity to the resting phase, increases the risk of individuals to develop metabolic alterations and weight gain, as seen in shift workers and in people who eat during the night (Qin et al. 2003, Al-Naimi et al. 2004, Antunes et al. 2010, Amani & Gill 2013). Moreover, limiting food intake to an interval of 10–12h during activity phase, regardless of food quality or quantity, reduces body weight (Gill & Panda 2015). Thus, uncoupling the release of rest/activity cycle-induced hormones with feeding schedules by changing eating habits, impairs individuals’ energy homeostasis.
In fact, reports of time-restricted feeding paradigms (tRF) show the effects of feeding time on metabolism of rodents: energy homeostasis is impaired in animals eating regular chow during their resting phase (light phase), in comparison with that of animals fed during their activity phase (darkness phase) (Salgado-Delgado et al. 2010, Bray et al. 2013). In addition, when animals are fed with a high-fat diet (HFD) during the activity period, body weight gain diminishes and lipid and glucose metabolism improves in comparison with HFD-fed animals during the resting phase (Hatori et al. 2012, Tsai et al. 2013), showing that feeding time is relevant to maintain an adequateenergy balance.

Expression and/or release of peripheral signals such as leptin (Ahima et al. 1998), insulin (La Fleur et al. 1999), glucagon (Ruiter et al. 2003), ghrelin (Bodosi et al. 2004), corticosterone (De Boer & Van der Gugten 1987) and thyroid hormones (TH) (Jordan et al. 1980) have a circadian oscillation, either in response to light/darkness cycle or to feeding schedules. In particular, leptin serum content increases after a meal and when adipose tissue augments, inhibiting food intake and enhancing energy expenditure by activating the transcription of anorexigenic peptides (cocaine and amphetamine-regulated peptide (CART)/proopiomelanocortin (POMC)) from the hypothalamic arcuate nucleus (ARC) and silencing that of orexigenic (neuropeptide Y (NPY)/agouti-related peptide (AgRP)) ones (Cheung et al. 1997, Ahima et al. 1999, Elias et al. 1999). Orexigenic and anorexigenic neuron populations from the ARC project to thyrotropin-releasing hormone (TRH)- and corticotrophin-releasing factor (CRF)-synthesizing neurons in the hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus (PVN) (Cone et al. 2001), modulating TRH and CRF expression and their neuroendocrine effects.

TRH and CRF direct hypothalamic–pituitary–thymus (HPT) and adrenal (HPA) axes, respectively. Both axes are regulated by a negative feedback loop having TH and glucocorticoids (GC, corticosterone in rodents) as final effectors. TH influences energy balance activating the synthesis of uncoupling proteins in brown adipose tissue (BAT) and enhancing lipolysis (Lanni et al. 2003, Mullur et al. 2014). GCs stimulate the proliferation and differentiation of pre-adipocytes, promoting adipoblast fat deposition (Bjorntorp 2001, Zilberfarb et al. 2001); in addition, they increase gluconeogenesis and glucose serum availability (Imai et al. 1990, Schmoll et al. 1996, Lin et al. 1998) and stimulate ARC NPY expression and food intake (Shimizu et al. 2008). TRH and CRF are allocated with anorexigenic actions revealed by their intracerebroventricular injections (Morley & Levine 1980, Rohner-Jeanrenaud et al. 1989, Kow & Pfaff 1991). Leptin activates TRH and CRF expression; thus, conditions altering this hormone content, such as obesity or fasting, can affect energy expenditure and food intake through those peptides’ actions (Fekete et al. 2006, Perello et al. 2010).

ARC NPY/AgRP neurons’ projections into PVN and lateral hypothalamus are not completely mature after birth (Bouret et al. 2004, Baquero et al. 2014). Moreover, leptin exerts its anorexigenic effects on animals from the fourth week of age (Mistry et al. 1999), and its involvement in PVN TRH expression regulation requires ARC projection pathways (Legradi & Lechan 1998), making the prepuberal stage critical for changes in animals’ feeding that might prevail until adulthood. Although tRF studies have yielded a significant amount of data in adult rats, there is very little information about the response of neuroendocrine axes in younger animals under this feeding schedule.

We hypothesized that the programming of the neuroendocrine axes’ function by hormones whose release depend on the rest/activity cycle may be impaired during early developmental stages by changing individuals’ feeding habits to the resting phase of the day; and this impairment may be sustained up to adulthood provoking metabolic alterations. The aim of this study was to evaluate if tRF in prepubertal rats, whether in the light or darkness phase, could alter energy homeostasis and neuroendocrine axes regulation when those animals reach adulthood. In addition, we hypothesized an impaired leptin signaling as a candidate pathway involved in body weight gain of animals fed in the light phase.

**Methods**

**Animals**

All animal procedures were approved by Psychiatry Institute’s Ethical Committee on Animal Experimentation and agreed with the Mexican official norm NOM-062-ZOO-1999 for use and care of laboratory animals. Pregnant Wistar rats (n = 12) from the animal housing facility of the Psychiatry National Institute were housed individually under controlled light cycle (lights on from 0700 to 1900 h and temperature (24 ± 1°C) conditions, with ad libitum access to standard rat chow (Lab rodent diet #5001, PMI feeds) and water. The day of the delivery was identified as day 0, and dams and pups were left undisturbed until weaning (postnatal day (PN) 21). At PN21, male pups (5–6 males per litter) were separated, individually caged
and divided into 3 experimental groups (n=22/group): control group (C): animals were fed *ad libitum* with standard rat chow and had unrestricted access to water; light-phase fed (LPF) group: which had food available from 1700 to 0900 with *ad libitum* access to water; darkness-phase fed (DPF) group that had food available from 2300 to 0700 with free access to water (Fig. 1A). The tRF schedule was performed from PN21 to PN35 (prepuberal stage) and after PN35 animals were left undisturbed with *ad libitum* access to rat chow and water until they reached adulthood (PN70). Body weight and food intake were measured weekly until PN70, when animals were killed by decapitation. Brains were excised, frozen on dry ice and kept at −70°C until *in situ* hybridization (ISH) analyses of proTh and proCrh mRNA expression were performed; adipose tissue subcompartments (white adipose tissue (WAT): mesenteric, subcutaneous, epididymal, perirenal retroperitoneal; brown adipose tissue (BAT): subscapular), and adrenal glands were dissected and weighted; trunk blood was collected for serum hormones’ determination (triiodothyronine (T₃), thyroxine (T₄), insulin, leptin and corticosterone).

To evaluate hypothalamic neurons’ response to fasting and to leptin administration, a subset of ten-week-old animals from the three experimental groups (n=4–6/group) was subjected to a 48-h fasting and intraperitoneally (i.p.) administered with either vehicle (veh: 0.9% saline solution) or 0.1 µg per gram of body weight of recombinant mouse leptin (Calbiochem, Merck Millipore) twice a day (07:00 and 19:00h) during the 48-h fasting period, as previously reported (Bojanowska & Nowak 2007). After leptin administration, animals were refed, and food intake was recorded 6h after food was made available. Body weight loss was measured by weighing animals before and after fasting and the percentage of the changes in body weight loss (% delta (Δ)) was calculated by taking the initial weight (10-week-old weight) as 100%. At the end of the refeeding period, animals were killed by decapitation; adipose tissue subcompartments and adrenal glands were treated as mentioned before; brains were excised and maintained at −70°C until real-time PCR (RT-PCR) analyses of ARC and PVN transcripts were performed.

**Determination of serum hormones’ content**

Total serum T₃, T₄ and insulin were determined by commercial ELISA kits following manufacturer’s

---

**Figure 1**

Experimental design, body weight and food intake. (A) Feeding schedule from postnatal day (PN) 21 to 35 of male rats. Control (C) group had *ad libitum* access to food and water, light-phase feeding (LPF) group had access to food from 17:00 to 09:00h and free access to water and darkness-phase feeding (DPF) animals had food availability from 2300 to 0700h and free access to water. From PN 35 to 70, all groups had *ad libitum* access to food and water after which animals were killed. A subset of three adult experimental groups were fasted for 48h and i.p. administered every 12h with vehicle (veh) or recombinant mouse leptin (0.1 µg/g B.W.), once 48h elapsed, animals were allowed to eat for 6h and killed. (B) Weekly measurements of body weight of C, LPF and DPF animals. (C) Food intake data are the weekly average of the daily food consumption from week 4 to 10 of C, LPF and DPF animals. Data are expressed as the mean ± S.E.M. in grams (n=22/group). One-way ANOVA of repeated measures followed by Tukey’s post hoc test showed differences between groups, *P<0.05 vs C, *P<0.05 vs DPF.
instructions (ALPCO, Salem, NH, USA); sensitivity: T₃, 0.2 ng/mL, T₄, 0.5 µg/dL and insulin, 0.124 ng/mL; inter-assay variability: T₃, 10.3%, T₄, 4.5% and insulin, 9.95%; intra-assay variability: T₃, 9.6%, T₄, 4.3% and insulin, 5.79%. Corticosterone and leptin serum concentrations were determined using Enzo Life Sciences ELISA kits (Farmingdale, NY, USA); sensitivity: corticosterone, 27 pg/mL and leptin, 67.2 pg/mL; inter-assay variability: corticosterone, 13.1% and leptin, 11%; intra-assay variability: corticosterone, 8.4% and T₄, 8.1%.

**In situ hybridization histochemistry analysis (ISH)**

Dissected brains were sectioned using a cryostat (Microm HM 525; Carl Zeiss IMT Corporation) at −20°C to obtain 14 µm thick coronal slices including the whole length of the PVN (aPVN: −0.84 to −1.44 mm from bregma, mPVN: −1.44 to −1.92 mm from bregma and cPVN: −1.92 to −2.28 from bregma) (Paxinos & Watson 2005). For each PVN subcompartment, three sections per slide per animal were collected and adhered to Superfrost/Plus slides (Fisher Scientific). Sections were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde at 4°C for 20 min in PBS buffer, digested with pronase (24 U/mL; Calbiochem (Merck Millipore)) at 20°C for 2 min, and air-dried before hybridization. A mixture of oligonucleotide probes complementary to the following base sequences of proTrh mRNA (GenBank accession number: NM_013046.2): 85–129, 407–471 and 568–612 and proCrf mRNA (GenBank accession number: NM_031019.1): 114–158, 284–328 and 536–580 were labeled by 3′-tailing with [35S] dATP (1250 Ci/mmol; PerkinElmer) using terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase (Roche Diagnostics GmbH). All oligonucleotide probes were synthesized by the Biotechnology Institute of the UNAM (Cuernavaca, Morelos, Mexico) and were BLAST-tested to evaluate probe’s specificity, which was confirmed using rat database. We used non-complementary probes as negative controls, directed against the same probe sequence of mRNA nucleotide sequences as complementary probes (Arechiga-Ceballos et al. 2014, Alvarez-Salas et al. 2016). Hybridization protocol with oligonucleotide probes has been described previously (de Gortari & Mengod 2010). Briefly, radioactive-labeled probes were diluted in a solution containing 50% formamide, 4× SSC (1× SSC: 150 mM NaCl, 15 mM sodium citrate), Denhardt’s solution (0.02% Ficoll, 0.02% polyvinylpyrrolidone, 0.02% BSA), 10% dextran sulfate, 1% Sarkosyl, 20 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.0), 250 µg/mL yeast tRNA and 500 µg/mL salmon sperm DNA, at a final concentration of 1.5–2 pmol. Fixed-tissues were incubated with hybridization solution and coverslipped with Parafilm (Bemis, Oshkosh, WI, USA). Once the incubation time was completed, sections were washed four times in 0.6 M NaCl, 10 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.5) at 60°C during 45 min each time, and once in the same buffer at room temperature. After washings, sections were dipped into Kodak NTB autoradiography emulsion (Eastman Kodak Company, Rochester, NY, USA). Autoradiograms were developed with Kodak-D19 developer (Eastman Kodak Company, Rochester, NY, USA). Autoradiograms were analyzed by computer measuring integrated optical density (IOD) of PVN signal using Image-Pro Plus 7.0 software (Media Cybernetics, Inc., Rockville, MD, USA). IOD of the background was measured for each image and subtracted from the PVN targets’ signal IOD; three sections per PVN subcompartment per animal were analyzed for proTrh and for proCrf, and only mPVN was used for ISH analysis, with a final n = 4–5/group.

**Image analysis**

Autoradiograms were visualized with a Leica microscope DM500 (Leica Microsystems Inc.) under dark-field illumination, and images were obtained using a Leica ICC50 W camera and the 10× objective. Images were analyzed by computer measuring integrated optical density (IOD) of PVN signal using Image-Pro Plus 7.0 software (Media Cybernetics, Inc., Rockville, MD, USA). IOD of the background was measured for each image and subtracted from the PVN targets’ signal IOD; three sections per PVN subcompartment per animal were analyzed for proTrh and for proCrf, and only mPVN was used for ISH analysis, with a final n = 4–5/group.

**Real-time PCR (RT-PCR)**

Brains were hand dissected for RT-PCR analysis of ARC mRNAs (Npy, the long isoform of the leptin receptor (Obrb) and suppressor of cytokine signaling 3 (Socs3)) and PVN mRNAs (Trh, Crf, obrb and Socs3). PVN (−0.84 to −2.28 mm from bregma) and ARC (−2.28 to −3.48 mm from bregma) (Paxinos & Watson 2005) were punch-dissected from frozen tissues using a 1 mm diameter sample corer and treated as described before for extraction of mRNA by guanidine thiocyanate method (Chomczynski & Sacchi 1987). Briefly, 1.5 mg of RNA was retro-transcribed with oligo-dT primer (100 pmol/mL; Biotechnology Institute of the UNAM, Cuernavaca, Morelos, Mexico) and M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) to obtain cDNA. ARC Npy, Socs3 and Obrb, in addition to PVN proTrh, proCrf, Obrb and Socs3 mRNA levels, were analyzed
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Table 1  Weight of adrenal glands and adipose tissue subcompartments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>C</th>
<th>LPF</th>
<th>DPF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adrenal glands (g)</td>
<td>0.04±0.003</td>
<td>0.04±0.002</td>
<td>0.04±0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAT (g)</td>
<td>0.27±0.02</td>
<td>0.27±0.02</td>
<td>0.26±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcutaneous WAT (g)</td>
<td>1.8±0.2</td>
<td>2.1±0.2</td>
<td>1.9±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epididymal WAT (g)</td>
<td>3.3±0.3</td>
<td>3.7±0.4</td>
<td>3.6±0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesentric WAT (g)</td>
<td>2.0±0.1</td>
<td>3.2±0.2*</td>
<td>2.5±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perirenal retroperitoneal WAT (g)</td>
<td>2.4±0.3</td>
<td>4±0.5*</td>
<td>2.8±0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Weight of adrenal glands and adipose tissue subcompartments weight of 10-week-old animals subjected to tRF in prepuberal stage. BAT, brown adipose tissue; WAT, white adipose tissue. Data are expressed as the mean±s.e.m. in grams (adrenal glands, BAT and subcutaneous WAT: n=22/group; mesenteric, epididymal and perirenal retroperitoneal WAT: n=6/group). BAT, brown adipose tissue; C, control; DPF, darkness-phase fed animals; LPF, light-phase fed animals; WAT, white adipose tissue. One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post hoc test showed differences between groups.

*P<0.05 vs C.

by RT-PCR using Applied Biosystems reagents and equipment, comprising TaqMan Universal PCR Master Mix, a 7500 real-time PCR system, oligonucleotide primers (Npy, Rn01410145_m1; Soc3, Rn00585674_s1; Lepr, Rn01433205_m1; Trh, Rn00564880_m1; Crh, Rn01462137_m1; Actb, Rn00667869_m1). The 2−ΔΔCt relative quantification method was used to calculate the fold-change in target mRNA expression, and beta actin was used as housekeeping gene to normalize RT-PCR data.

Statistics

Changes in food intake and body weight between groups along the 10 experimental weeks were analyzed by two-way ANOVA of repeated measures. Weight of adrenal glands and adipose tissue subcompartments, serum hormones’ levels, proTrh and proCrf mRNA expression in PVN subdivisions data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA. Two-way ANOVA was performed to compare body weight loss, changes in food intake after refeeding, the weight of their adrenal glands and adipose tissue subcompartments, and their mRNA expression of peptides in hypothalamic PVN and ARC by RT-PCR, between animals treated with veh or leptin i.p. after 48-h fasting. When P<0.05, we performed Tukey’s post hoc test.

Results

Body weight, food intake and adipose tissue weight

Body weight of LPF animals increased 11% from week 5 in comparison with DPF group and 9% and 12% from week 6 when compared with C and DPF groups; such increase was maintained until week 10 of the experiment, reaching a 17% increase at ninth week (Fig. 1B). Two-way ANOVA of repeated measures showed that there was a significant effect of feeding schedule (F(2,736)=37.46, P<0.0001), age (F(7,736)=801.54, P<0.0001) and the interaction between variables (F(14,736)=1.71, P<0.05). In a similar fashion, food intake of LPF group increased an average of 12% along the experiment in comparison with C and DPF animals (Fig. 1C). Two-way ANOVA of repeated measures revealed an effect of feeding schedule (F(2,672)=33.69, P<0.0001) and age (F(6,672)=165.11, P<0.0001).

Adrenal glands, BAT, subcutaneous and epididymal subcompartments of WAT showed no significant differences among groups (Table 1). In contrast, LPF group’s mesenteric and perirenal retroperitoneal WAT weight increased to 154% and to 173%, respectively, when compared with C animals (100%) (Table 1; mesenteric: F(2,15)=10.019, P<0.01; perirenal retroperitoneal: F(2,15)=5.566, P<0.05).

Serum hormones’ concentration

Although T4 serum concentration was reduced by 21% in LPF animals compared with C (F(2,30)=3.727, P<0.05), T3...
content showed no differences between groups (Table 2). Insulin, corticosterone and leptin serum concentrations had a 1.4-fold, 2.7-fold and 1.4-fold increase, respectively, in LPF animals in comparison with C group and a 3.3-fold corticosterone increase compared with DPF animals (Table 2; insulin: $F _ { (2,24) } = 5.273$, $P < 0.05$; corticosterone: $F _ { (2,16) } = 8.092$, $P < 0.01$; leptin: $F _ { (2,45) } = 3.660$, $P < 0.05$).

proTrh mRNA expression in PVN by ISH histochemistry

proTrh mRNA expression from non-hypophysiotropic aPVN neurons showed no significant differences in animals either fed in light or in darkness phase (Fig. 2A, B, C, J). Hypophysiotropic TRHergic neurons of medial and
caudal PVN direct HPT axis function, and proTrh mRNA expression was decreased in LPF group to 70% in mPVN ($F_{2,19}=4.43, P<0.05$), whereas in cPVN decreased to 56% in comparison with those of C (100%) (Fig. 2D, E, F, G, H, I, J; $F_{2,18}=4.969, P<0.05$). proCrf mRNA expression increased to 144% in PVN of LPF animals in comparison with that of C (100%) and DPF groups (Fig. 3A, B, C, D; $F_{2,10}=6.608, P<0.05$).

Effect of 48-h fasting and leptin administration on body weight and food intake after 6-h of refeeding

As LPF rats showed an increase in body weight, visceral WAT weight and in serum leptin levels, HPT axis should be activated promoting and increased metabolic rate, but instead, hypophysiotropic PVN proTrh mRNA expression was decreased. We exposed animals of the three groups to a 48-h fasting in addition to veh or leptin i.p. administration and measured hypothalamic feeding-involved neuropeptides’ expression as well as, leptin signaling molecules mRNA levels. Fasted animals treated either with veh or leptin decreased their body weight in a similar manner between groups (Fig. 4A); the %Δ in body weight loss was as follows: 6.5%, 9.6% and 7.3% in C-veh, LPF-veh and DPF-veh groups and 8.5%, 8.8% and 8.4% in C-leptin, LPF-leptin and DPF-leptin animals, respectively, compared with their basal body weight before fasting, but no significant differences were found.

After 48 h of fasting and treatment with either veh or leptin, animals were refed for 6 h and their food intake was registered. As expected, data showed that leptin treatment decreased food intake to 78% in C-leptin and to 84% in DPF-leptin groups when compared with their respective veh groups (100%) (Fig. 4B). On the other hand, LPF-leptin animals ate 84% more than C-leptin animals (Fig. 4B). Two-way ANOVA showed significant differences between groups ($F_{2,28}=34.498, P<0.001$) and in the interaction between groups and treatment ($F_{2,28}=14.088, P<0.001$). Concerning adrenals and adipose tissue subcompartments weight, we found that in LPF animals, the weight of mesenteric and perirenal retroperitoneal WAT was higher than C animals as stated before (Table 3). The weight of adrenal glands and the rest of adipose tissue subcompartments did not show significant changes between groups or treatment (Table 3). Two-way ANOVA showed differences only between groups in mesenteric WAT ($F_{2,28}=4.726, P<0.05$) and perirenal retroperitoneal WAT ($F_{2,28}=4.075, P<0.05$), but not in treatment or in the interaction of variables.

Effect of 48-h fasting and leptin administration on hypothalamic mRNA peptides expression

Arcuate nucleus LPF animals administered with veh showed the reported ARC response to a metabolic challenge such as fasting; we found that Npy mRNA expression in LPF group was increased to 177% to that of C- and DPF-veh animals. Npy mRNA increase could be implicated in the higher chow consumption as well as in the higher body weight that LPF rats presented (Fig. 5A). As expected, leptin administration decreased Npy mRNA expression in C and DPF animals to 56% and 65%, respectively. In contrast, Npy mRNA content of LPF group administered with leptin did not change compared with LPF-veh (Fig. 5A). Two-way ANOVA
animals when compared with C-veh mRNA expression (100%: Fig. 5B), which might be associated with the increased expression of Npy in the same group (Fig. 5A). Peripheral administration of leptin increased Socs3 expression in C and DPF groups, but not in LPF animals, which maintained the same increase as veh-administered animals (Fig. 5B), revealing an impairment in leptin signaling in the ARC neurons of LPF animals. Two-way ANOVA showed a significant effect of group ($F_{(2.24)}=3.879, P<0.05$) and treatment ($F_{(1.24)}=6.590, P<0.05$), and no significant differences in the interaction of the variables. Additionally, as expected Obrb mRNA levels increase in all groups in response to fasting and leptin administration, but no differences in expression between fasted groups (Fig. 5C).

**Paraventricular nucleus** Despite veh-administered animals were under a 48-h fasting challenge, proTrh mRNA levels in LPF group showed a more pronounced tendency to decrease than C and DPF animals (Fig. 5D). Interestingly, leptin administration did not increase proTrh expression in LPF as it did in C and DPF groups compared with their veh-administered counterparts (Fig. 5D). Two-way ANOVA showed a significant effect of group ($F_{(2.28)}=19.992, P<0.001$), treatment ($F_{(1.28)}=9.813, P<0.01$) and the interaction of the variables ($F_{(2.28)}=4.418, P<0.05$). As expected, proCrf expression diminished in C- (18%) and DPF-veh (47%) vs C-fed groups (100%); a reduction not seen in LPF-veh animals whose proCrf mRNA levels increased to 181% (Fig. 5E). Leptin administration restored proCrf mRNA content to C-fed levels in C- (104%) and DPF-veh (109%) animals, but in LPF-leptin group, it did not increase beyond LPF-veh proCrf expression (168%) (Fig. 5E). Two-way ANOVA showed effect of group ($F_{(2.22)}=4.990, P<0.01$). Furthermore, Obrb and

### Table 3: Effect of 48-h fasting and leptin treatment in weight of adrenals and adipose tissue subcompartments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fasted + Vehicle</th>
<th>Fasted + Leptin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>LPF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adrenal glands (g)</td>
<td>0.07±0.004</td>
<td>0.06±0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAT (g)</td>
<td>0.26±0.02</td>
<td>0.24±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcutaneous WAT (g)</td>
<td>2.7±0.1</td>
<td>3.2±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epididymal WAT (g)</td>
<td>3.5±0.2</td>
<td>3.7±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesenteric WAT (g)</td>
<td>2.0±0.1</td>
<td>3.2±0.2+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perirenal retroperitoneal WAT (g)</td>
<td>2.4±0.4</td>
<td>4.1±0.5*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Weight of adrenal glands and adipose tissue subcompartments of 10-week-old animals subjected to tRF in prepuberal stage that were challenged with a 48-h fasting with i.p. administration of veh or recombinant mouse leptin (0.1 µg/g B.W.) twice a day during the 48 h of fasting. After that, animals were allowed to eat for 6 h and then killed, and adrenal glands and adipose tissue subcompartments were dissected and weighted. Values are the mean±S.E.M. in grams, n=5–6/group. BAT, brown adipose tissue; C, control group; DPF, darkness-phase fed group; LPF, light-phase fed group; WAT, white adipose tissue. Two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test when $P<0.05$ was performed, *$P<0.05$ vs C-veh, **$P<0.05$ vs C-leptin.
Socs3 mRNA expression in PVN was not altered neither by treatment nor by group (Fig. 5F, G).

Discussion

Prepuberty is a critical window of neuronal development, susceptible to serum hormones’ levels fluctuations, modifying the response of different hypothalamic networks to a positive energy balance, as a consequence of alterations in feeding patterns. Such alterations can lead to an impaired activity of feeding-regulatory signals and consequently to hyperphagia and overweight that might last until adulthood. Altering feeding patterns during prepuberty could impair hypothalamic neuronal development, and consequently, feeding regulation.

Therefore, we studied the effect of a time-restricted feeding schedule during prepuberal stage on adult animals’ food intake, body weight and expression of hypothalamic peptides involved in regulation of energy homeostasis by controlling HPA and HPT axis function.

Two hours before lights are off, ad libitum-fed animals, present locomotion activation (food anticipatory activity (FAA)) along with increased serum GC content and ARC Npy mRNA facilitating food intake consumption. Afterwards, a counter-regulatory stimulation of anorexigenic signals is presented; after a meal, animals show high insulin and leptin serum content, as well as, ARC Pomc mRNA levels. This shift from anabolic to catabolic pathways allows the energy homeostasis and regulation of body weight. Thus, uncoupling the moment of food presentation from...
the light/darkness cycle by shifting food availability to the resting (light) phase, alters the energy expenditure relation with food intake. When animals are subjected to a tRF, the onset of FAA and activation of orexigenic pathways are changed toward the moment of food presentation, which uncouples central and peripheral clocks; thus, feeding in the light phase induces leptin and insulin release in an unusual circadian time, with a consequent activation of brain peptides’ synthesis that leads to an aberrant control of energy homeostasis.

Prepuberal darkness-phase feeding, which had a short delay in the offering of food in relation to the start of the darkness period (food available at 2300 instead of 1900 h) showed no changes in any of the evaluated parameters when compared with controls. This suggested that the DPF schedule during prepuberty did not change their food intake and allowed them to adapt to the subsequent 24-h ad libitum food intake schedule up to adulthood. As their tRF was limited in the darkness phase, FAA and the orexigenic pathways seemed to peak at a similar moment of the day than that of C ad libitum-fed animals during prepuberty, which was evinced by their unchanged food intake and body weight throughout their lifespan.

In contrast, shifting the feeding schedule to the light phase during prepuberty, produced hyperphagia and overweight in LPF group, which seemed associated to their HPA axis hyperactivity, as has been described before in early-stage stressed adult animals and humans (Dallman et al. 2003, La Fleur et al. 2004); our results implied that prepuberal light phase feeding could be acting as a chronic stressor. Impaired regulation of the HPA axis was evinced by the increased PVN Crf mRNA, along with a higher GC concentration, which seemed to show a blocked negative feedback of GC into the PVN.

The higher GC content could be related to food intake stimulation of LPF because the i.p. injection of the synthetic GC dexamethasone (DEX), increases the orexigenic effects of factors and pathways, such as those of ARC NPY/AgRP (Shimizu et al. 2008) and AMPK, respectively (Savontaus et al. 2002, Konno et al. 2008, Shimizu et al. 2008). In fact, the AMPK pathway has been involved in regulation of both circadian and metabolic-induced genes’ expression (Lopez et al. 2008, Lamia et al. 2009, de Andrade et al. 2015); thus, its function might be relevant for LPF-related metabolic and neuroendocrine alterations, and it would be interesting to study its role in LPF impairments. This could be possible as before the presentation of a meal regardless of the light/darkness period, NPY is released into the PVN, and the serum GC content increases (Honma et al. 1983, Kalra et al. 1991), suggesting that the chronic elevation in GC of light-phase feeding schedule, caused their hyperphagia through activating ARC NPY pathway.

The sustained high GC levels also seemed responsible for the increased visceral WAT depots of LPF animals (Rebuffe-Scrive et al. 1992, Campbell et al. 2011). This is supported by the activation of lipogenic genes in visceral fat pads and the differentiation of pre-adipocytes to adipocytes (Zilberfarb et al. 2001) by peripheral DEX administration (Marin et al. 1992, Chimin et al. 2014). This is also supported by the fact that in Cushing’s disease, energy deposits are mainly accumulated in visceral adipose tissue (Geer et al. 2010) and that salivary GC concentration is positively associated with visceral adiposity (Epel 2009).

The high GC serum content induced by the prepuberal light-phase feeding could reduce neuronal sensitivity to leptin and insulin effects in this group (Zakrzewska et al. 1997, Asensio et al. 2004). Therefore, we aimed to study an impaired leptin’s signaling and its effects on ARC Npy and PVN TRh mRNAs expression to explain hyperphagia of LPF animals despite their hyperleptinemia.

In this study, light phase feeding induced a dysfunction of HPT axis as T4 concentration decreased in LPF animals, which hampered T3 to increase as expected. Previous reports show that obese patients present an enhanced HPT axis activity that is evinced by their higher T3 serum levels (Reinehr 2010), whereas rodents had higher PVN Trh mRNA expression and circulating T3 (Perello et al. 2010, Franco et al. 2012). Those changes promote higher energy expenditure. In contrast, our results showed that light phase feeding during prepuberty did not increase HPT axis function.

Furthermore, Trh mRNA levels decreased in hypophysiotropic neurons of mPVN and cPVN in LPF group, despite their overweight and hyperleptinemia that should enhance TRH transcription (Legradi et al. 1997). The aforementioned results are in accordance to those of overweight early-overfed animals, whose Trh expression in hypophysiotropic neurons of mPVN is also decreased (Arechiga-Ceballos et al. 2014). This lack of adaptation might decrease rats’ metabolic rate, promoting excessive energy storage as adipose tissue. The decreased expression of hypophysiotropic Trh could be the result of enhanced levels of GC, which repress peptide’s transcription through a glucocorticoid-responsive element on TRH gene’s promoter (Kakucska et al. 1995, Lee et al. 1996). Additional experiments would be relevant to explore this idea.
We expected that the expression of *Trh* from feeding regulating-involved neurons of the aPVN subcompartment were increased in LPF animals, given the known anorexigenic actions of the synthesized TRH in that PVN subregion (Wittmann et al. 2009, Alvarez-Salas et al. 2012). As *Trh* mRNA levels did not change despite of the positive energy balance in LPF rats, it is likely that they had an impaired adaptation to their high lipids deposits, contributing to the display of their hyperphagia.

As feeding in light-phase during prepuberty promoted hyperphagia despite the hyperleptinemia, we hypothesized that prepuberal light-phase feeding could result in leptin resistance, which besides was supported by the blunted *Trh* expression, which should be upregulated by leptin. To test our hypothesis, we injected i.p. vehicle or leptin to 48-h fasted ten-week-old animals of all groups. Our rationale was that since after fasting, the low leptin levels are responsible for the decreased PVN *Trh* mRNA content and for the high ARC NPY expression (Marks et al. 1992, Legradi et al. 1997). Leptin administration should be able to reverse those changes in fasted animals if its signaling is properly functional.

Fasting induced the expected loss in body weight and adipose tissue depots in all groups. Also, we observed the expected PVN *Trh* and *Crf* expression decrease, as well as the upregulation in ARC *Npy*, PVN and ARC *Obrb* and *Socs3* mRNA content (Mitchell et al. 2009, Arechiga-Ceballos et al. 2014). It was noteworthy that LPF-veh-fasted group had a further increase in ARC *Npy* and *Socs3* than in fasted DPF-veh and control-veh. SOCS3 is a protein that inhibits the signal transduction of leptin; thus, its chronic increment is considered as indicative of hormone’s resistance (Bjorbaek et al. 1998). Therefore, our results supported an impaired ARC signaling of leptin due to feed prepuberal animals during the light period. We corroborated this with the leptin administration to light-phase-fed prepuberal animals that were fasted in adulthood, whose ARC *Npy* expression did not decrease, and PVN *Trh* mRNA levels did not increase as expected by hormone’s effects.

PVN *Trh* expression is known to be upregulated by leptin through two different pathways. The direct one is due to leptin’s action on ObRb signaling in the PVN that upregulates *Trh* transcription. The indirect one involves leptin effects on ARC *Npy* and alpha Msh expression and release. This allows PVN TRH synthesis to be activated because NPY exerts negative actions on its synthesis and alpha MSH enhances it (Fekete et al. 2002, Sarkar et al. 2002).

We here evinced that light-phase feeding during prepuberty impaired central leptin actions: ARC *Npy* expression did not decrease, and we observed a sustained elevation of that of *Socs3*. Leptin signaling in the PVN seemed functional as *Socs3* was similarly changed to that of DPF and controls. We thus assumed that the lack of increase in PVN *Trh* mRNA levels after leptin administration in LPF rats was due to their impaired leptin-induced downregulation of *Npy* in the ARC. This could be responsible for their hyperphagia and overweight, as well as for the lack of adaptation of the HPT axis to their positive energy balance. It is likely that leptin resistance was related to the high GC circulating levels, as has been described (Dallman et al. 2004).

Finally, we confirmed an impairment of leptin signaling as well as HPA axis hyperactivity by the high pro*Crf* expression in LPF-veh fasted group and by the lack of a further increase in LPF-leptin rats.

In conclusion, prepuberal animals subjected to TRF during light phase showed impairment in energy homeostasis regulatory mechanisms that persisted until adulthood. Their overweight and hyperphagia could be the result of leptin resistance in the ARC.

Our results support that eating habits and regular feeding patterns in the activity phase in childhood may assure an adequate programming of the neuroendocrine axes function by the rest/activity cycle-induced release of peripheral hormones that coordinate energy homeostasis avoiding metabolic alterations later in life.
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