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Abstract

The etiopathogenesis of type 1 diabetes (T1D) remains poorly understood. We used the LEW1.WR1 rat model of Kilham rat virus (KRV)-induced T1D to better understand the role of the innate immune system in the mechanism of virus-induced disease. We observed that infection with KRV results in cell influx into visceral adipose tissue soon following infection prior to insulitis and hyperglycemia. In sharp contrast, subcutaneous adipose tissue is free of cellular infiltration, whereas β cell inflammation and diabetes are observed beginning on day 14 post infection. Immunofluorescence studies further demonstrate that KRV triggers CD68⁺ macrophage recruitment and the expression of KRV transcripts and proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines in visceral adipose tissue. Adipocytes from naive rats cultured in the presence of KRV express virus transcripts and upregulate cytokine and chemokine gene expression. KRV induces apoptosis in visceral adipose tissue in vivo, which is reflected by positive TUNEL staining and the expression of cleaved caspase-3. Moreover, KRV leads to an oxidative stress response and downregulates the expression of adipokines and genes associated with mediating insulin signaling. Activation of innate immunity with Poly I:C in the absence of KRV leads to CD68⁺ macrophage recruitment to visceral adipose tissue and a decrease in adipokine expression detected 5 days following Poly (I:C) treatment. Finally, proof-of-principle studies show that brief anti-inflammatory steroid therapy suppresses visceral adipose tissue inflammation and protects from virus-induced disease. Our studies provide evidence raising the hypothesis that visceral adipose tissue inflammation and dysfunction may be involved in early mechanisms triggering β cell autoimmunity.

Introduction

Type 1 diabetes (T1D) is a proinflammatory progressive disease thought to be triggered by both genetic and environmental factors (Atkinson et al. 2014). There is evidence supporting the notion that viruses are key players in disease mechanisms, but data establishing a cause-and-effect relationship between virus infections and T1D humans are currently lacking (Kondrashova & Hyöty 2014, Morgan & Richardson 2014, de Beeck & Eizirik 2016, Lönnrot et al. 2017).

The LEW1.WR1 rat develops insulitis and diabetes ~2–4 weeks following viral infection, with a disease rate of ~60% (Hara et al. 2012). Kilham rat virus (KRV)-induced diabetes is rat and strain specific and closely resembles the human disorder with respect to histopathology,
pathogenesis, lack of gender bias, the involvement of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells in disease pathogenesis and major histocompatibility complex (MHC) association (Mordes et al. 2004). Thus, it is an ideal model for studying the cellular and molecular mechanisms involved in virus-induced disease (Mordes et al. 2004).

We recently implicated the innate immune system in the mechanisms of KRV-induced T1D development in the LEW1.WR1 rat (Zipris et al. 2005, 2007, Londono et al. 2010, Hara et al. 2012, 2013, 2014, Needell et al. 2017). In the course of our studies, we observed that infection of LEW1.WR1 rats with KRV induces cell influx into adipose tissue detected on day 5 post infection prior to β cell inflammation and hyperglycemia (this manuscript). Because microbes can directly infect adipocytes and induce systemic inflammation and metabolic dysregulation (Schäffler & Schölmerich 2010), and given the well-established link between adipose tissue inflammation and mechanisms triggering type 2 diabetes (Hotamisligil et al. 1995, Blüher 2013, Han & Levings 2013, Haase et al. 2014, Romacho et al. 2014, Hong et al. 2015), we were interested in better understanding the role of KRV-induced adipose tissue inflammation in the development of T1D in the LEW1.WR1 rat. Our data demonstrate for the first time that infection with a diabetogenic virus leads to CD68+ macrophage infiltration into visceral but not subcutaneous adipose tissue observed as early as 24 h following infection. Furthermore, this cell infiltration is accompanied by the expression of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines and adipose tissue dysfunction. Proof-of-principle studies indicate that steroid therapy downregulates visceral adipose tissue inflammation and attenuates T1D development. Our data raise the hypothesis that visceral adipose tissue inflammation and dysfunction may be involved in early mechanisms that trigger T1D.

Materials and methods

Animals and viruses

Specific pathogen-free LEW1.WR1 rats of both sexes were obtained from BRM Inc. (Worcester, MA, USA). Animals were bred and housed in a specific pathogen-free facility and maintained in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources, National Research Council, National Academy of Sciences, 1996) and the guidelines of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Colorado Denver. All rat protocols used in this study were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Colorado Denver. KRV was propagated and titered as previously described (Zipris et al. 2005).

Virus, Poly (I:C) and dexamethasone treatment protocols

For diabetes induction, female and male rats aged 21–25 days were injected i.p. with 1×10⁷ PFU of KRV as previously described (Zipris et al. 2005). Rats treated with PBS were used as a control. To examine the effect of innate immune activation on T1D development and adipose tissue inflammation, rats were i.p. injected with 3µg/g body weight of Poly (I:C) (Sigma-Aldrich) on 3 consecutive days or were treated with 3µg/g body weight of Poly (I:C) on 3 consecutive days and infected with 1×10⁷ PFU of KRV on the following day. Dexamethasone was purchased from MBL International (Woburn, MA, USA). Animals were killed, and adipose tissue and pancreases were recovered at different time points after treatment. Groups of animals injected with KRV only or Poly (I:C) plus KRV were administered 0.2µg/g body weight of dexamethasone. Diabetes was defined as the presence of a plasma glucose concentrations ≥250 mg/dL (11.1 mM/L) on 2 consecutive days. Rats were monitored for T1D development for 40 days following infection.

Histological analysis and fluorescence microscopy of adipose tissue and pancreases

To assess cellular infiltration, tissue was fixed for 24 h in 10% neutral-buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin, cut (5–6μm) and mounted on microscope slides and subsequently stained with hematoxylin-eosin. For immunohistochemistry analyses, sections of formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue was mounted on slides, deparaffinized in xylene and then rehydrated with distilled H₂O through graded alcohols. Antigen retrieval was enhanced by microwaving the slides in citrate buffer (pH 6). All secondary antibodies used in these analyses were polyclonal obtained from Jackson ImmunoResearch. For CD68 staining, we used a mouse anti-rat CD68 monoclonal antibody (mouse IgG1, clone ED1, Bio-Rad) followed by incubation with donkey anti-mouse IgG (H+L)-Cy3 or a donkey anti-mouse IgG (H+L) conjugated to Alexa 488. Adipocytes were detected using rabbit anti-Perilipin A (Santa Cruz) followed by
incubation with donkey anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) conjugated to Cy3. Insulin staining was performed using guinea pig anti-rat insulin (Abcam) and FITC-conjugated donkey anti-guinea pig IgG (H+L) or Cy3-conjugated donkey anti-guinea pig IgG (H+L). IL-1β expression was assessed with rabbit anti-IL-1β (Bioss, Woburn, MA, USA) and donkey anti-rabbit IgG (H+L)-Cy3. For p22phox staining, we used a rabbit anti-rat p22phox (Santa Cruz) and donkey anti-rabbit IgG (H+L)-Alexa 488. Apoptosis was evaluated with a TUNEL staining kit (Roche Applied Science) and rabbit anti-cleaved caspase-3 (Cell Signaling) plus donkey anti-rabbit IgG (H+L)-Cy3. Superoxide production was evaluated with dihydroethidium (DHE) from Cayman.

4′,6-Diamidine-2′-phenylindole dihydrochloride (DAPI) was added to slides prior to mounting with cover slips.

Tissue section staining was analyzed using an Olympus BX51-TRF Microscope BX51 (Olympus) equipped with a Penguin Pro-150ES Camera system (Pixera, Los Gatos, CA, USA).

**Adipocyte isolation**

Visceral adipose tissue was minced and digested in 0.1% (w/v) collagenase type I (Sigma-Aldrich) at 37°C for 1 h with gentle agitation (Matsumoto et al. 2008). After filtration and centrifugation, the floating top layer containing adipocytes was collected. Viability was assessed by a trypan blue exclusion test and was >95%. Fifty thousand cells were cultured in the presence or absence of 1 × 10⁶ PFU/mL KRV for 5 h.

**RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis, quantitative RT-PCR and Western blotting**

RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis and quantitative RT-PCR were performed as previously described (Hara et al. 2012).

To assess the level of adiponectin, adipose tissue was lysed and 100 µg protein were loaded onto 14% SDS-PAGE gels for electrophoresis. After separation and transfer, the membrane was probed with anti-GAPDH rabbit polyclonal Ab (Santa Cruz) or with a rabbit monoclonal anti-adiponectin (Cell Signaling). The membranes were then exposed to biotin-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) followed by incubation with peroxidase-conjugated streptavidin (both from Jackson ImmunoResearch). The blots were developed using the Pierce ECL Western Blotting Substrate from Thermo Fisher. Gel data were quantified using densitometry with ImageJ.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical comparisons of diabetes-free survival among groups were performed using the method of Kaplan and Meier. Comparisons between more than two groups were performed with a one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s multiple comparison test. Comparisons between two groups were performed with unpaired Student’s t-test.

**Results**

**Virus infection induces cellular infiltration into visceral adipose tissue prior to β cell inflammation**

We sought to better understand the timing and tissue specificity of the cellular infiltration detected in visceral adipose tissue from infected animals. For this purpose, LEW1.WR1 rats were injected with KRV or PBS (control), and visceral and subcutaneous adipose tissue and pancreases were removed at different time points following KRV inoculation; tissue sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (n ≥ 5). Figure 1A and B suggest a massive influx of nucleated cells into visceral adipose tissue present in the interstitial space surrounding adipocytes from day 5 infected animals. In contrast, control rats administered PBS do not display signs of cellular infiltration in visceral fat tissue. Furthermore, Fig. 1A suggests that infiltration of cells into adipose tissue was evident as early as day 1 post infection and was also detected on days 14 and 40 at the times when insulitis and diabetes are typically detected and when the experiments are terminated, respectively. In sharp contrast to adipose tissue, subcutaneous white adipose tissue was found to be free of cell infiltration on days 1, 5, 14 and 40 following virus inoculation (Fig. 1B and data not shown).

Next, we tested the hypothesis that innate immune activation with Poly (I:C) in the absence of KRV can induce cellular infiltration into visceral adipose tissue, as seen following virus infection. To this aim, animals were administered Poly (I:C) only on 3 consecutive days at doses that by themselves do not lead to diabetes but that in conjunction with KRV, exacerbate disease development (Londoño et al. 2010). Figure 1C demonstrates that Poly (I:C) treatment leads to a substantial cellular influx into visceral adipose tissue observed 5 days following Poly (I:C) treatment. As expected, visceral adipose tissue from animals injected with Poly (I:C) plus KRV exhibited cell infiltration into visceral adipose tissue on day 5 post infection.
Figure 1D further shows that unlike visceral adipose tissue, the exocrine tissue and \( \beta \) cells from day 5-infected animals are insulitis free, whereas \( \beta \) cells from day 14-infected animals are inflamed or show signs of tissue destruction. Pancreases from control rats injected with PBS are insulitis free at all times.

Collectively, the data suggest that KRV induces cellular infiltration into visceral but not subcutaneous adipose tissue soon after infection and prior to \( \beta \) cell inflammation and diabetes.

KRV induces infiltration of CD68\(^+\) macrophages into visceral adipose tissue

We tested the hypothesis that KRV induces macrophage infiltration into visceral adipose tissue. Rats were infected with KRV or were injected with PBS \( (n \geq 5) \). Visceral adipose tissue and pancreases were removed on days 5 and 14 following infection. Subsequently, the tissue sections were stained with antibodies against CD68 and Perilipin A for the identification of macrophages and adipocytes, respectively. Antibodies against insulin were used for detecting insulin-producing \( \beta \) cells. The data presented in Fig. 2A show that visceral adipose tissue from uninfected rats stained with Perilipin A but not anti-CD68 antibodies. In contrast, CD68 expression was readily detectable in visceral adipose tissue at days 5 and 14 following infection (Fig. 2A). Furthermore, areas with cellular infiltration and no visible Perilipin A staining could be observed in visceral adipose tissue from infected rats on days 5 and 14 (Fig. 2A). Unlike visceral adipose tissue, staining for CD68 was not detectable in the exocrine tissue or \( \beta \) cells from day 5-infected rats (Fig. 2B and C). The data imply that KRV induces the infiltration of CD68\(^+\) macrophages...
KRV upregulates proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines in visceral but not subcutaneous adipose tissue

The observation that infection with KRV results in macrophage recruitment to visceral adipose tissue prompted us to test the hypothesis that KRV induces the expression of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines in visceral adipose tissue. To this end, we measured the levels of transcripts for cytokine and chemokine genes, we have previously implicated in KRV-induced T1D in the LEW1.WR1 rat (Wolter et al. 2009), i.e., Il1b, IL-1 receptor antagonist (Il1rn), Il18, Tnfa, Il10, Ifng, Cxcl10, Il6, Ccl2, Ccl5, Cxcl10, Irf7 and Stat1, in visceral and subcutaneous adipose tissue on days 5 and 14 following virus inoculation. To address KRV infectivity and replication in adipose tissue, we measured the level of KRV transcripts in visceral and subcutaneous white adipose tissue. Tissues from rats that received PBS were used as a control (n = 4–6). The data shown in Fig. 3A suggest that both visceral and subcutaneous adipose tissue from day 5-infected rats express transcripts for KRV (P < 0.001 and P < 0.05, respectively, vs the uninfected control), albeit the expression level of KRV transcripts was considerably higher in visceral compared with subcutaneous adipose tissue. We further revealed that infection with KRV induces a 10-to 20-fold increase in the level of transcripts for Il1rn, Irf7 (P < 0.001 vs uninfected for both genes) and Ifng (P < 0.01 vs uninfected). A five- to ten-fold increase in transcript levels

Figure 2

KRV induces macrophage recruitment to visceral adipose tissue. LEW1.WR1 rats were injected with PBS (control) or KRV, and adipose tissue and pancreases were removed at different time points following infection (n ≥ 5). Shown are immunofluorescence images of visceral adipose tissue from day 5 (A) and day 14 (B) infected and uninfected rats stained with antibodies against the macrophage and adipocyte markers CD68 (red) and Perilipin A (green), respectively, using a ×20 objective. Merge 1 and merge 2 represent the co-localization of red and green versus red, green and blue staining, respectively. Shown in Panel (C) are pancreatic sections stained from day 5 infected and uninfected rats stained with antibodies against CD68 (red) and insulin (green). The data are representative of images obtained from ≥ 5 independent experiment. KRV, Kilham rat virus. A full color version of this figure is available at https://doi.org/10.1530/JOE-18-0131.
Figure 3
KRV upregulates proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines in visceral adipose tissue in vivo and in adipocytes in vitro. LEW1.WR1 rats at 21–25 days of age were left uninfected or were treated with KRV. For assessing proinflammatory cytokine and chemokine expression in vivo, visceral and/or subcutaneous fat was removed from control uninfected and virus-infected rats (n=4–6). For evaluating KRV-induced cytokine expression in vitro, visceral adipocytes were isolated from naïve rats and cultured in the presence or absence of KRV for 5 h (n=3–6). RNA was extracted from fat tissue and adipocytes and the expression levels of the indicated genes were assessed using quantitative RT-PCR. Data are expressed as the mRNA expression of the gene of interest relative to the expression of β-actin. 

Figure 3A shows transcript levels for the indicated genes in visceral and subcutaneous adipose tissue from KRV-infected rats compared to control uninfected rats on day 5 post infection. Figure 3B displays transcript levels for the indicated genes in visceral adipocytes from KRV-infected rats compared to control uninfected rats after 5 h of culture. Figure 3C displays data from adipocytes cultured in the presence or absence of KRV. Bars represent the mean value ± s.d. Also shown are paraffin sections of visceral fat (D) and pancreatic (E) tissue sections from PBS and LEW1.WR1 rats on day 5 post infection stained with antibodies against IL-1β and CD68. Histological data are representative of images obtained from 3 to 5 independent experiments. Statistical comparisons between PBS- vs KRV-treated rats were performed using an unpaired Student’s t-test. *P<0.001; **P<0.01; ***P<0.05. KRV, Kilham rat virus. A full color version of this figure is available at https://doi.org/10.1530/JOE-18-0131.
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The data also indicate that activation of adipocytes with KRV in vitro leads to a 13-fold increase in the level of transcripts for IL1b and IL16 (P<0.01 and P<0.05, respectively) and a 1.5-fold increase in the level of Cxcl10 mRNA (P<0.01) compared with the control.

Immunofluorescence was further used to corroborate the RT-PCR data implying that KRV induces IL-1 expression in visceral adipose tissue. For this purpose, adipose and pancreatic tissues were removed on day 5 post infection and subsequently stained with antibodies against IL-1β and CD68 (n=3). Figure 3C presents data confirming that IL-1β is detectable in visceral adipose tissue from infected rats and is expressed by CD68+ macrophages. Unlike visceral adipose tissue from infected rats, staining for IL-1β and CD68 was not detectable in visceral adipose tissue from control uninfected animals or pancreatic β cells and exocrine tissue from day 5-infected or -uninfected rats (Fig. 3C and D).

Taken together, these observations suggest that infection with KRV leads to cytokine and chemokine upregulation in visceral adipose tissue associated with the expression of IL-1β in macrophages on day 5 after virus infection, prior to β cell inflammation or hyperglycemia. The data also imply that KRV can infect adipocytes and induce cytokine and chemokine gene upregulation in adipocytes in vitro.

KRV induces an oxidative stress response in visceral adipose tissue

Previous reports have implicated viral infections as a mechanism of inducing an oxidative stress response (Olagnier et al. 2014, Reshi et al. 2014). Moreover, oxidative stress signaling has been implicated as a mechanism of obesity-induced insulin resistance and T2D (Furukawa et al. 2004, Picklo et al. 2012, Han 2016). We examined the hypothesis that KRV induces oxidative stress pathways in visceral adipose tissue. To test this possibility, we used three experimental approaches. First, we analyzed the expression of p22phox, a subunit of NADPH oxidase that mediates the production of ROS (Espinosa-Diez et al. 2015). Because p22phox may be expressed by macrophages (Casbon et al. 2009), we addressed the possibility that p22phox is expressed in CD68+ macrophages from inflamed adipose tissue. Second, we used DHE to detect superoxide production. Third, we assessed the level of transcripts for genes associated with promoting or downmodulating oxidative stress pathways. The data shown in Fig. 4A indicate that p22phox protein was minimally expressed

![Figure 4](https://doi.org/10.1530/JOE-18-0131)
in visceral adipose tissue from control PBS-injected rats, whereas p22phox was readily observed in adipose tissue from day 5-infected rats (n=3). The data further show that p22phox is primarily expressed by CD68+ macrophages. Our findings also show that activation of the innate immune system with Poly (I:C) in the absence of KRV also leads to infiltration of CD68+ macrophages expressing p22phox in visceral adipose tissue. Visceral adipose tissue from rats injected with Poly (I:C) plus KRV was also positive for the expression of both CD68 and p22phox. Consistent with these data, visceral adipose tissue from day 5-infected rats (n=3) exhibited positive staining for ROS (Fig. 4B), whereas tissue from uninfected rats was ROS free, suggesting that ROS are produced in parallel with visceral adipose tissue inflammation.

The RT-PCR data presented in Fig. 4C (n=5–6) indicate that KRV infection leads to a two-fold increase in the expression level of transcripts for the NADPH oxidase subunits p22phox and p47phox compared with uninfected animals (P<0.05 and P<0.01, respectively). In contrast, KRV induces a two- to five-fold decrease in the level of transcripts for genes associated with mechanisms of superoxide detoxification (Espinoza-Diez et al. 2015), i.e., copper chaperone for SOD1 (CcS), glutathione peroxidase 3 (Gpx3), superoxide dismutase 3 (Sod3) (P<0.001 for all three genes) and Sod1 (P<0.01), and no change in peroxiredoxin-5 (Prdx5). Only the level of Sod2 mRNA was elevated two-fold in visceral adipose tissue from infected rats compared with the control (P<0.01). No significant changes in the expression of these genes were observed in subcutaneous adipose tissue from infected animals. Taken together, these data imply that KRV induces an oxidative stress response and ROS production and downregulates antioxidant pathways in visceral adipose tissue from LEW1.WR1 rats.

**KRV induces apoptosis in visceral adipose tissue**

Viral infection and cytokines such as TNFα, IL-1β and IFN-γ have been associated with mechanisms that trigger apoptosis (Arstall et al. 1999, Anne Roulston et al. 1999, Kishimoto et al. 2002, Aggarwal 2003). Moreover, apoptosis in adipose tissue has been implicated as a mechanism of T2D progression (Alkhouri et al. 2010). Thus, we examined the possibility that KRV induced apoptosis in inflamed visceral adipose tissue in the course of disease progression. Animals were administered PBS or KRV, and adipose tissue was removed on day 5 post infection and subsequently subjected to TUNEL assays (n=3–5). The data shown in Fig. 5A, obtained following staining with TUNEL combined with antibodies against Perilipin A or anti-CD68, suggest that TUNEL and CD68-positive cells are not present in adipose tissue from uninfected animals. In sharp contrast, TUNEL and CD68 positivity could be detected in adipose tissue from day 5-infected animals. Figure 5B further indicates that TUNEL positivity in adipose tissue from infected animals was not associated with CD68 staining. Finally, data shown in Fig. 5C suggest that islet cells from day 5-infected rats do not show TUNEL or CD68 positivity.

To gain insight into mechanisms by which KRV induces apoptosis in visceral adipose tissue, we analyzed the expression of activated caspase-3, which is a key effector caspase linked with apoptosis induction (Budihardjo et al. 1999). Quantitative RT-PCR was used to assess the expression level of the proapoptotic genes Bax and Bid vs Fas, which mediate the intrinsic and extrinsic apoptosis signaling pathways, respectively (Desagher et al. 1999, Wei et al. 2000, Cheng et al. 2001, Zong et al. 2001). RT-PCR was also used to assess the level of transcripts for inducible nitric oxide synthetase 2 (Inos2) and caspase-8 (Casp8), which have been implicated in triggering and executing programmed cell death, respectively (Brune 2003, Strasser et al. 2011). Figure 5D shows that consistent with the TUNEL data, activated caspase-3 was detected in visceral adipose tissue from day 5-infected rats and co-localized with the nucleus, whereas adipose tissue from uninfected animals was found to be negative for cleaved capase-3 staining (n=3–5). Lastly, RT-PCR analysis indicated that compared with uninfected rats, KRV induces a significant ~1.5- to 3.5-fold increase in the level of the pro-apoptotic genes BCL2-associated X (Bax), BH3 interacting-domain death agonist (Bid) (P<0.01 for both), Fas, Casp8 (P<0.05 for both) and Inos2 (P<0.001) (n=5–6) (Albina et al. 1993, Sarith et al. 1993, Nikoletopoulou et al. 2013).

Collectively, these data suggest that KRV-induced inflammation in visceral adipose tissue is accompanied by the induction of apoptotic cell death pathways in the days following virus inoculation.

**Infection with KRV downregulates the expression of adipokines and genes linked with insulin signaling pathways**

Given our findings that infection with KRV leads to a robust proinflammatory response, oxidative stress and apoptosis in visceral adipose tissue (this manuscript) and since adipose tissue dysfunction has been associated with mechanisms of T2D (Mittendorfer 2011), we investigated the effect of KRV infection and innate immune activation.
on adipose tissue functionality (n = 3–6). To do so, we first assessed the expression level of adipokines. Quantitative RT-PCR analysis performed in tissue from day 5-infected vs uninfected rats demonstrated a four- to eight-fold decrease in the level of transcripts for adiponectin (Adipoq), leptin (Lep), PPARγ (Pparg) (P < 0.001 for all three genes) and resistin (Retn) (P < 0.05) (Fig. 6A). Innate immune activation with Poly (I:C) in the absence of KRV also led to a reduction in the level of adipokine gene expression on day 5 post treatment (Fig. 6A). In contrast, KRV does not induce differences in the level of adipokine expression in subcutaneous adipose tissue on day 5 following virus inoculation (not shown). Consistent with the RT-PCR data, an approximately ten-fold decrease in adiponectin protein levels was observed in visceral adipose tissue lysates from infected vs uninfected rats (P < 0.001, Fig. 6B and C).

A reduction in the levels of molecules involved in insulin signaling in visceral adipose tissue has been linked to increased risk for T2D development (Smith 2002, Rotter et al. 2003, Friedrich et al. 2012, Boucher et al. 2014). Quantitative RT-PCR was used to test the hypothesis that KRV interferes with the expression of genes associated with mediating insulin signaling pathways. We found a two- to three-fold reduction in the level of mRNA for molecules associated with mediating insulin signaling,
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i.e., insulin-like growth factor 1 (Igf1), IGF1 receptor (Igf1r), insulin receptor (Insr), insulin receptor substrate-1 (Irs1) and insulin receptor substrate 2 (Irs2) (P < 0.05) in visceral adipose tissue from infected vs uninfected control animals (Fig. 5).

Together, these data suggest that infection with KRV leads to dysregulated expression of adipokines and insulin signaling molecules in the visceral adipose tissue of LEW1.WR1 rats.

Brief steroid therapy prevents visceral adipose tissue inflammation and diabetes

We performed proof-of-concept studies to address the hypothesis that attenuation of virus-induced innate immunity can protect from adipose tissue inflammation and diabetes development. To do so, we analyzed the effect of therapy with the corticosteroid dexamethasone on the course of virus-induced disease and adipose tissue inflammation. LEW1.WR1 rats were administered 3 µg/g body weight of Poly (I:C) on three consecutive days and were infected with KRV on the following day (Zipris et al. 2005). Treating rats with Poly (I:C) plus KRV leads to ß cell inflammation prior to hyperglycemia (Zipris 2011). Rats were then left without any further treatment or were administered 0.2 µg/g body weight of dexamethasone intraperitoneally on 5 consecutive days beginning on the day of infection, as we recently published (Londono et al. 2010). Visceral adipose tissue was removed on day 5 post infection. The results shown in Fig. 7A indicate that treatment with Poly (I:C) plus KRV leads to diabetes in 90% of the infected animals. In sharp contrast, treatment with Poly (I:C) plus KRV and therapy with dexamethasone on 5 consecutive days beginning on the day of infection...
have implied that in addition to its endocrine function, adipose tissue is also an immunologically active organ (Schell et al. 2002, Wen et al. 2014). Moreover, emerging data have implicated adipose tissue inflammation and dysfunction in mechanisms leading to T2D (Donath et al. 2005, Donath & Shoelson 2011, Donath 2013, 2014). In the present study, we provide for the first time evidence supporting the hypothesis that adipose tissue inflammation and dysfunction may be involved in virus-induced T1D progression in the LEW1.WR1 rat model.

Our data are in agreement with our recent observations linking KRV-induced innate immunity with the development T1D (Londoño et al. 2010, Hara et al. 2012, 2013, 2014, Needell et al. 2017). Moreover, our proof-of-concept studies presented herein suggest that attenuation of adipose tissue inflammation with steroid therapy protects from β cell autoimmunity. However, because steroids are non-specific suppressors of both innate and adaptive immunity and can exert their anti-inflammatory effects on various tissues (Londoño et al. 2010), our data do not provide a conclusive evidence for cause-and-effect relationship between adipose tissue inflammation and T1D development. In any case, our data are highly reminiscent of earlier findings from obesity and T2D, in which visceral adipose tissue inflammation has been implicated in promoting dysregulated glucose homeostasis and diabetes (Donath 2013, 2014). Indeed, one of the main characteristics of obesity and T2D is a state of low-grade chronic inflammation detected in visceral but not subcutaneous adipose tissue associated with macrophage recruitment and the induction of proinflammatory immune mediators (Spiegelman et al. 1995, Berg & Bonner 2005, Odegaard & Chawla 2008, Donath & Shoelson 2011, Donath 2014).

We have obtained indirect evidence supporting the hypothesis that KRV induces apoptotic cell death in visceral adipocytes. Indeed, the positive staining for TUNEL and active caspase-3 observed in visceral adipose tissue is not associated with macrophages (this manuscript and data not shown). Moreover, the distribution of TUNEL and active caspase-3 in close proximity to Perilipin A and the aberrant adipose tissue function observed further support the possibility that the apoptotic cell death observed in adipose tissue is associated with adipocytes.

How inflamed and dysfunctional adipose tissue leads to T1D in the LEW1.WR1 rat remains to be investigated. Available data demonstrate that visceral adipose tissue plays a central role in the mechanism leading to systemic inflammation and hyperglycemia (Tilg & Moschen 2006, de Luca & Olefsky 2008, O’Rourke 2009).

Discussion

Adipose tissue has long been known to play pivotal roles in energy and lipid storage. However, emerging data
Inflammation and oxidative stress response have long been postulated to play a crucial role in the development of β cell destruction and T1D development (Delmastro & Piganelli 2011). Our data show that KRV induces oxidative stress response in visceral adipose tissue, as evidenced by the detection of ROS and the upregulation of pathways that promote (versus those that protect) an oxidative response. One potential source of ROS is from macrophages that are recruited and accumulated in visceral adipose tissue following KRV infection. These cells can facilitate the production of ROS by NADPH oxidase 2 expressed in T cells and macrophages (Han 2016). Work done in obesity and T2D has indicated that inflammation and oxidative stress responses in visceral adipose tissue are entwined processes that promote islet dysfunction and T2D (Turkmen 2017). Oxidative stress could lead to the oxidation of biomolecules, such as lipids, proteins and DNA, facilitating tissue damage, loss of biological functions and/or homeostatic imbalance and apoptosis, ultimately resulting in insulin resistance and β cell dysfunction (Newsholme et al. 2016, Turkmen 2017).

One other potential mechanism by which inflammation may contribute to T1D development could be mediated by the exposure of β cells to proinflammatory cytokines through the circulation or as a result of the anatomic proximity of visceral adipose to the pancreas. We have reported that infection with KRV induces an increase in the level of proinflammatory cytokines in the blood (Zipris et al. 2005, 2007, Hara et al. 2012). It has been shown that treating β cells with IL-1β, TNFα and IFNγ, alone or in combination, results in substantial inhibition of insulin secretion (Cetkovic-Cvrlje & Eizirik 1994, Fu et al. 2013). Moreover, chronic exposure of β cells to IL-1β activates inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS), leading to excessive production of nitric oxide (NO) culminating in inhibited ATP synthesis and altered islet function (Wang et al. 2010).

We found that KRV downregulates the expression of genes associated with mediating insulin signaling, a finding previously linked with the risk for insulin resistance and T2D development (Rotter et al. 2003, Friedrich et al. 2012, Boucher et al. 2014). Whether T1D mechanisms in the LEW1.WR1 rat is associated with inflammation-induced alterations in insulin signaling pathways and/or dysregulated glucose metabolism occurring prior to β cell inflammation remains to be seen. Our findings show that infected rats have normal weight and glucose metabolism on day 5 post infection, at the time when inflammation is detectable in adipose tissue (data not shown). However, we are unable to exclude the possibility that adipose tissue inflammation interferes with as-yet unknown metabolic pathways that ultimately result in β cell inflammation and diabetes.

The data provided in this report indicate that activation of the innate immune system with the viral mimic Poly (I:C) leads to influx of CD68+ cells expressing p22phox into visceral adipose tissue and downmodulation of adipokine expression on day 5 post treatment. These data suggest that similar effects to those exerted by KRV on visceral adipose tissue may potentially be induced by other viruses during their replication. Our findings are compatible with earlier observations demonstrating that Poly (I:C) inhibits the differentiation of preadipocytes to adipocytes and suppresses the expression of leptin and adiponectin in mature adipocytes in mice (Yu et al. 2014).

The observations showing that KRV infects adipocytes are compatible with previous observations that adipose tissue may be infected by a wide range of viruses such as human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), cytomegalovirus, adenovirus and influenza A viruses (Yu et al. 2014). Interestingly, a link between microbial infections and metabolic syndrome has been suggested in individuals infected with HIV (Stanley & Grinspoon 2012) and Chagas disease triggered by an infection with Trypanosoma cruzi (Wen et al. 2014). It is not yet clear whether inflammation such as that observed in visceral adipose tissue from KRV-infected rats is linked with mechanisms of T1D progression in genetically susceptible individuals. Enteroviruses as well as other viruses have been implicated in the course of human T1D (Kondrashova & Hyöty 2014, Morgan & Richardson 2014, de Beeck & Eizirik 2016, Lönrott et al. 2017). Interestingly, earlier studies indicate that similar to KRV, enteroviruses can induce inflammation in visceral adipose tissue in the mouse (Hashimoto et al. 1985, Gay et al. 2006).

Although earlier studies have shown that multiple routes of KRV injection can lead to systemic virus infection (Novotny & Hetrick 1970) and T1D (Guberski et al. 1991), and administering KRV intraperitoneally induces inflammation in sites proximal and distal to the site of virus inoculation (Hara et al. 2012), we have not tested whether the KRV-induced inflammation seen in visceral adipose tissue is a phenomenon associated with the specific virus inoculation route used in our studies.

In summary, our studies provide new evidence raising the hypothesis that visceral adipose tissue inflammation may be linked with the development of virus-induced β cell autoimmunity in the LEW1.WR1 rat model. Key outstanding questions remain to be investigated. For example, is there a cause-and-effect relationship between...
virus-induced visceral adipose tissue inflammation and T1D. Likewise, what are the specific proinflammatory pathways and cytokines associated with the mechanisms by which adipose tissue inflammation contributes to β cell autoimmunity; what is the role of visceral adipose tissue inflammation vs systemic inflammation in disease progression; and how oxidative stress pathways and apoptosis are involved in β cell destruction. A better understanding of the role of innate immune activation in the early onset of T1D could lead to new target molecules to prevent islet autoimmunity in genetically susceptible individuals.
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