RESEARCH

Transcriptional activity of oestrogen receptors in the course of embryo development

Sara Della Torre^{1,2}, Gianpaolo Rando^{1,2}, Clara Meda^{1,2}, Paolo Ciana³, Luisa Ottobrini⁴ and Adriana Maggi^{1,2}¹Center of Excellence on Neurodegenerative Diseases, University of Milan, Milan, Italy²Department of Pharmacological and Biomolecular Sciences, University of Milan, Milan, Italy³Department of Oncology and Hemato-Oncology, University of Milan, Milan, Italy⁴Department of Pathophysiology and Transplantation, University of Milan, Milan, ItalyCorrespondence should be addressed to A Maggi: adriana.maggi@unimi.it

Abstract

Oestrogens are well-known proliferation and differentiation factors that play an essential role in the correct development of sex-related organs and behaviour in mammals. With the use of the ERE-Luc reporter mouse model, we show herein that throughout mouse development, oestrogen receptors (ERs) are active starting from day 12 post conception. Most interestingly, we show that prenatal luciferase expression in each organ is proportionally different in relation to the germ layer of the origin. The luciferase content is highest in ectoderm-derived organs (such as brain and skin) and is lowest in endoderm-derived organs (such as liver, lung, thymus and intestine). Consistent with the testosterone surge occurring in male mice at the end of pregnancy, in the first 2 days after birth, we observed a significant increase in the luciferase content in several organs, including the liver, bone, gonads and hindbrain. The results of the present study show a widespread transcriptional activity of ERs in developing embryos, pointing to the potential contribution of these receptors in the development of non-reproductive as well as reproductive organs. Consequently, the findings reported here might be relevant in explaining the significant differences in male and female physiopathology reported by a growing number of studies and may underline the necessity for more systematic analyses aimed at the identification of the prenatal effects of drugs interfering with ER signalling, such as aromatase inhibitors or endocrine disrupter chemicals.

Key Words

- ▶ oestrogen receptors
- ▶ embryo development
- ▶ transcriptional activity
- ▶ sex differences
- ▶ reporter mice

Journal of Endocrinology
(2018) **238**, 165–176

Introduction

Oestrogens have been long known as cell proliferation and differentiation factors (Tsai & O'Malley 1994), and several lines of evidence suggest that, in the course of foetal programming, these hormones are relevant for the sexual differentiation of reproductive tissues, including the brain (Phoenix *et al.* 1959, Pang *et al.* 1979, Tobet *et al.* 1986, Korach *et al.* 1988, Greco *et al.* 1991, Rissman *et al.* 1997, Nielsen *et al.* 2000, Albrecht *et al.* 2009). Very little is known regarding the effects of these

steroids in non-reproductive organs, in spite of the fact that preclinical and clinical investigations in subjects with prenatal impairment of ER signalling showed physiological alterations and increased incidence of diseases involving the cardiovascular (Conte *et al.* 1994, Jones *et al.* 2000, Tait *et al.* 2015, Yuchi *et al.* 2015), metabolic (Lapid *et al.* 2014), immune (Zoller & Kersh 2006), respiratory (Thuresson-Klein *et al.* 1985), and skeletal systems as well as the epidermis (Hanley *et al.*

1996, Brandenberger *et al.* 1997, Lemmen *et al.* 1999, Takeyama *et al.* 2001).

The biological effects of the steroid hormone 17 β -oestradiol (E2) – the most expressed oestrogen – are predominantly mediated through two distinct ERs (ER α and ER β) that share a common phylogenetic origin, conserved structural organization and similar mode of action (mainly as ligand-operated transcription factors) with the other members of the nuclear receptor (NR) family (Tsai & O'Malley 1994, Kininis & Kraus 2008). In addition, ERs may interfere with the signalling of other membrane receptors as well as intracellular receptors, and ER α , may associate with the plasma membrane and may activate non-nuclear signalling from this site. These rapid, non-genomic/membrane initiated steroid signals have been characterized in endothelial cells but may be present in other cellular systems (Arnal *et al.* 2017). The functional activation of intracellular ERs occurs through binding with oestrogens, but unliganded ER activation may be triggered by enzymatic activities, inducing post-translational modifications (Dahlman-Wright *et al.* 2006) that enable ER to release inhibitory proteins generally associated with inactive receptor proteins. ERs were the first NRs to be described (Toft & Gorski 1966, Jensen 2005), and they appear to be the closest to the ancestral steroid receptor (Thornton *et al.* 2003). In addition to these intracellular proteins, a membrane receptor, G protein-coupled oestrogen receptor 1 (GPER1), may transduce oestrogen signals through non-genomic signalling (Revankar *et al.* 2005).

In adult mammals, it is well known that ERs are expressed and functionally active in most reproductive and non-reproductive tissue cells (Ciocca & Roig 1995, Maggi *et al.* 2004, Bookout *et al.* 2006). Their expression and transcriptional activity in the course of embryo development is less studied (Brandenberger *et al.* 1997, Lemmen *et al.* 1999). In addition to selective KO mutation of ER α , ER β or the aromatase gene (*Cyp19a1*, encoding the enzyme responsible for testosterone-derived oestrogen synthesis) that highlighted the relevance of these receptors and their cognate ligands for the development of sexual organs and sexual behaviour (Kudwa *et al.* 2006), the elucidation of the ER distribution and activity during implantation and embryogenesis in non-reproductive tissues is circumscribed to only a few studies (Bondesson *et al.* 2015, Mogi *et al.* 2015, Park *et al.* 2017). However, preclinical and clinical observations in subjects carrying mutations that impair ER signalling showed deviations from the proper development of the cardiovascular system (Del Principe *et al.* 2015, Tait *et al.* 2015),

innate immune and neuro-immune communications (Zoller & Kersh 2006), pancreatic and gastric activity (Campbell-Thompson *et al.* 2001, Maniu *et al.* 2016) and liver functions (Bryzgalova *et al.* 2006, Foryst-Ludwig *et al.* 2008, Barros & Gustafsson 2011), as well as adipose (Barros & Gustafsson 2011, Lapid *et al.* 2014), lung (Thuresson-Klein *et al.* 1985, Patrone *et al.* 2003, Carey *et al.* 2007), kidney (Lane 2008, Kummer *et al.* 2011) and epidermal tissues with the muscle-skeletal apparatus (Hanley *et al.* 1996, Brandenberger *et al.* 1997, Lemmen *et al.* 1999, Takeyama *et al.* 2001, Walker & Korach 2004, Markiewicz *et al.* 2013, Ueberschlag-Pitiot *et al.* 2017).

In view of the growing number of reports pointing to significant sex-related differences in mammalian physiopathology and the increasing concern of the potential long-term effects of maternal exposure to EDCs and to drugs (such as aromatase inhibitors) that may interfere with oestrogen signalling during pregnancy, a better understanding of the oestrogen-dependent programmes in ontogeny is indispensable for the comprehension of the role of sex in the incidence of several pathologies and for the creation of efficacious protocols for the evaluation of EDCs exposure.

To verify the extent of ER transcriptional activity during embryogenesis, we investigated the luciferase expression in the ERE-Luc reporter mouse (Ciana *et al.* 2001, 2003). In this mouse, the general transcription of the firefly luciferase transgene is controlled by the activated intracellular ERs. In the last 15 years, a large number of studies carried out in different laboratories showed that, in the ERE-Luc mouse, the amount of luciferase synthesized is proportional to the ER transcriptional activity and quantifiable *in vivo* by bioluminescence imaging or *ex vivo* by means of an enzymatic assay in tissue lysates (Klotz *et al.* 2002, Patrone *et al.* 2003, Humpel *et al.* 2005, Mussi *et al.* 2006, Chambliss *et al.* 2010, Penza *et al.* 2011, Vantaggiato *et al.* 2016). These studies demonstrated (i) a lack of interference of signals originating by the chromatin surrounding the transgene granted by the combination of the specific integration site and insulator sequences flanking the reporter (Rizzi *et al.* 2017), (ii) the selective response to ERs of the synthetic promoter driving luciferase transcription and (iii) that the reporter is transcriptionally viable in all tissues (as also indicated by a background reporter activity due to the TK minimal promoter).

By demonstrating that ERs are widely active during embryo development, our results will facilitate the understanding of complex functions of ERs in embryo maturation, putting novel bases for the comprehension of

the involvement of sex in the incidence and progression of pathologies not strictly associated with reproductive functions. The data presented may also help with the prediction of the effects of exposure to EDCs or the administration of drugs, such as aromatase inhibitors to pregnant mothers. Therefore, the ERE-Luc reporter mice may be considered a valuable tool to unravel the effects of potential EDCs or drugs administered to pregnant mothers that can interfere with the oestrogen signalling of the foetus.

Materials and methods

Animals

The mice were housed with *ad libitum* access to standard chow (RF21, Mucedola, Italy) and water. We generated heterozygous ERE-Luc fetuses by caging homozygous ERE-Luc males with WT C57/BL6j females for the night. The day after overnight mating was counted as 0.5 dpc (day post conception). Natural birth occurred on 19.5 dpc, which was counted as day 0 post birth (P0). In the experiments with the knockouts, the mice heterozygous for aromatase (Ar+/-) from E. Simpson were crossed with ERE-Luc mice, and the progeny were as backcrossed to obtain both KO and WT fetuses in the same litter that were genotyped from tail-derived DNA by PCR using published primers (Fisher *et al.* 1998). The sex was confirmed by PCR of the SMC locus (Agulnik *et al.* 1997). All the animal experiments were carried out in accordance with the European Guidelines for human animal care. The use of experimental animals was approved by the Italian Ministry of Research and University and was controlled by the panel of experts of the Department of Pharmacological and Biomolecular Sciences at the University of Milan.

Treatment with ER antagonist

ICI 182,780 powder (Sigma) was dissolved in 99% v/v ethanol to a concentration of 30 mg/mL. A total of 75 µg or 30 µg of ICI, dispersed in 100 µL of maize oil, was s.c. injected 24 h and 6 h before the imaging session, resulting in 1 mg/kg and 2.5 mg/kg for a 33 g female at day ~16 of pregnancy.

In vivo bioluminescence imaging

Pregnant females were anaesthetized with 50 µL s.c. injection of a water solution of 78% ketamine (Ketavet 50 mg/mL, Intervet, Peschiera Borromeo, Italy) and

15% xylazine (Rompun 20 mg/mL, Bayer, Leverkusen, Germany). Once anaesthetized, the pregnant females were shaved to allow a better measurement of the photons emitted from the fetuses. Fifteen minutes before BLI, 90 µL of a water solution of the luciferase substrate luciferin (Beetle luciferin potassium salt, Promega) was administered s.c. (75 mg/kg). Bioluminescence was measured by a Night Owl imaging unit (Berthold Technologies, Bad Wildbad, Germany); the mice were placed in the light-tight chamber, and their pictures were first taken with dimmed light, and then the luciferase signal was registered for 5 min. Merging of the images enabled to visualizing body areas where photon emission occurred (luciferase signal was transformed in pseudocolours: blue-lowest, then green, red, yellow and white as the highest signal). For quantification, photon emission was measured in the whole body areas (counts per second, cts/s). Normalization was performed using an external source of photons (Glowell, Lux Biotechnology, Edinburgh, UK) enabling measurement of the instrumental efficiency of photon counting. All the measurements were performed using WinLight32 (Berthold Technologies). After BLI, the fetuses were dissected under binocular macroscopy, and the organs shown in the figures were collected, frozen on dry-ice and stored at -80°C until assayed.

Luciferase enzymatic assay

The tissues were homogenized in 200 µL ice-cold lysis buffer (100 mM KPO₄, 1 mM DTT, 4 mM EGTA, 4 mM EDTA, pH 7.8) with a micro pestle (Eppendorf); then, they underwent freezing and were thawed during centrifugation at 4900 g, 4°C for 25 min. The supernatants were collected, and the protein concentrations were measured by Bradford assay following the manufacturer's instructions (Pierce Biotech). Luciferase enzymatic activity was measured with a commercial kit (Luciferase Assay System, Promega) in a luminometer (Glomax, Promega) and was expressed as the relative light units over 10 s/µg protein (RLU/µg proteins).

Immunohistochemistry

The mice at 17.5 dpc were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, embedded in paraffin and whole-sliced. Sections of 5 µm were deparaffinized in xylene and rehydrated in decreasing ethanol concentrations. After washing, the slides were microwaved in citrate buffer, washed in PBS and incubated 15 min in 1% H₂O₂.

For luciferase detection: After three PBS washes, the sections were permeabilized in 0.2% Triton-X-100 in PBS and blocked by incubation with 10% goat serum and 0.3% Tween 20 in PBS for 30 min at 37°C, followed by biotin blocking buffer (Vector Laboratories). The sections were incubated in a humidity chamber overnight at 4°C with rabbit anti-luciferase antibody or normal rabbit serum diluted 1:6000 in blocking buffer. The anti-luciferase antibody was provided by W Just (Soto et al. 1993). Next, the sections were washed in 0.3% Tween 20 PBS and were incubated at room temperature for 60 min with biotinylated goat anti-rabbit IgG (Vector Laboratories) and diluted 1:200 in 1% normal goat serum 0.3% Tween 20. Detection was performed with the Vectastain ABC kit (Vector Laboratories) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The sections were counterstained with haematoxylin/eosin.

For ER α detection: After three PBS washes, the sections were permeabilized and blocked by incubation with 10% goat serum, 0.3% Triton-X in PBS for 120 min at RT, followed by biotin blocking buffer (Vector Laboratories). The sections were then incubated in a humidity chamber overnight at 4°C with anti-ER α antibody (polyclonal, made in rabbit, Abcam ab75635) or normal rabbit serum was diluted 1:100 in blocking buffer. Next, the sections were washed in 0.3% Tween 20 PBS and were incubated

at room temperature for 60 min with biotinylated goat anti-rabbit IgG (Vector Laboratories), diluted 1:200 in 1% normal goat serum. Detection was performed with the Vectastain ABC kit (Vector Laboratories) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Statistical analysis

P values were calculated as described in the figure legends using GraphPad Prism version 5.01 for Windows, GraphPad Software.

Results

The *in vivo* BLI of WT female mice crossed with homozygote male ERE-Luc clearly showed luciferase activity in living foetuses growing *in utero*. Figure 1A shows that by exposing the pregnant mothers to CCD, photon emission from the foetuses was measurable from 14.5 days post conception (dpc), increasing in the course of pregnancy and was highest at 18.5 dpc. Considering that the mother tissues shielded some of the embryo bioluminescence, to obtain better insight into the onset of luciferase synthesis in the embryos, we investigated the BLI pattern of ER activity in excised embryos.

Figure 1

ER is transcriptionally active in the developing mouse. (A) *In utero* imaging: anaesthetized WT pregnant mothers carrying ERE-Luc foetuses were injected with the substrate luciferin 30 min prior to imaging, and photon emission was recorded in BLI units. Merging of the pseudo colour-transformed signal with the pregnant picture allows the identification of transcriptional active oestrogen receptors in the developing litter (blue: low, white: high). The mothers were shaved to improve photon imaging. (B) Representative BLI taken in living foetuses immediately after uterine excision and of a newborn mouse at P1. The sex of the mouse at P1 is male. (C) 24 h and 6 h before litter collection, pregnant females were treated with vehicle or 1 mg/kg or 2.5 mg/kg of the ER pure antagonist ICI 182,780. Foetuses were excised at 16.5 dpc and were subjected to BLI. Photon emission (cts/cm²s) was measured in the whole (upper) foetal area and was transformed in a pseudocolour image merged on the mice pictures (lower). Bars represent the mean $\pm$ s.e.m.

* $P < 0.05$ calculated with one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni *post hoc* test.

Therefore, the mothers were injected with luciferin and killed for the dissection and rapid measurement of BLI in each individual embryo (Fig. 1B). Photon emission was measurable starting at 12.5 dpc but not before. At 12.5 dpc, photon emission was quite diffuse in the whole embryo, with higher emission in the telencephalon, in the proximity of the eyes, in the heart and in the spinal ganglia. The finding of luciferase activity at 12.5 dpc was consistent with gonad differentiation from a bipotential to sexually differentiated state (as indicated by transcriptome analysis) (Munger et al. 2013) and with the highest foetal production of oestrogens (Lemmen et al. 2002). At 13.5 dpc, photon emission was more circumscribed to the brain and peripheral nervous system. From 16.5 dpc to 18.5 dpc, luciferase emission increased from the dorsal to the ventral skin, and one day post birth (P1), the signal was maximal in the hepatic/abdomen area and in the limbs.

To rule out that oestrogen-related receptors (ERRs), which are widely expressed in embryos (Bonnelye et al. 1997, Luo et al. 1997), were active on the promoter of the ERE-Luc mouse, we treated pregnant females with the ER pan-antagonist fulvestrant (ICI 182,780), which has been reported to be an agonist of ERRs (Li et al. 2010). Treatment of the mothers with 1 mg/kg and 2.5 mg/kg ICI 182,780 was associated with a dose-dependent decrease in photon emission in fetuses (Fig. 1C), pointing to the absence of significant contributions from ERRs to luciferase synthesis. To further demonstrate the strict association between photon emission and ER-dependent luciferase expression, mice at 17.5 dpc were subjected to BLI and were subsequently whole-mounted for staining with anti-luciferase antibodies. The intensity and distribution of the peroxidase staining clearly reproduced the BLI (Fig. 2A and B) and enabled the definition of the cells expressing the reporter enzyme at the cellular level. The specificity of the luciferase staining was tested in several tissues of ERE-Luc and WT mice (Fig. 2C, D, E, F, G, H and I) and, importantly, the immuno-decoration of luciferase reproduced the staining obtained with anti-ER α antibodies in several tissues (whole snout, muscle, bone, whiskers, skin) (Fig. 2L, M, N, O and P).

This experiment, together with previous BLI observations, was a clear indication of the widespread ER transcriptional activity. In addition to brain and reproductive organs, we found significant amounts of luciferase activity in several non-reproductive tissues. The overall analysis of luciferase showed very high staining in the epidermis and in the hindbrain. With regard to the other organs, the staining appeared to follow a

gradient: highest in ectoderm-derived organs and lowest in endodermal organs.

BLI, enabling the measurement of the reporter activity in living animals, is extremely useful for the *spatio*-temporal study of a given molecular event in single individuals; however, the two dimensional nature of the BLI outcome does not allow the exact, quantitative localization of the organ responsible for photon emission, as the deeper an organ is, the more attenuated the BLI signal, and the photon emission measured in a specific surface represents the sum of all signals coming from the different layers of tissue (Maggi & Ciana 2005). Thus, to better define the organs where ERs were most active, we carried out a series of enzymatic assays in selected tissue extracts. The measured luciferase activity changed significantly in the different organs and ranged from 10RLU/ μ g protein to 4000RLU/ μ g protein. In line with what was suggested by prior immunohistochemistry analyses, we found a strict correlation between the germ layer and the amount of luciferase activity (Fig. 3). The highest expression of the reporter was found in ectoderm-derived organs (brain and skin), while in the endoderm-derived organs (liver, lung, thymus and intestine), ER activity was the lowest. Mesodermic organs (heart, femur, gonad and kidney) appeared to have an intermediate concentration of the enzyme. Supplementary Figure 1 (see section on supplementary data given at the end of this article) shows that in the skin, hindbrain and forebrain, luciferase is, in general, statistically more expressed than in the other tissues.

Next, we stratified the data in relation to sex (Fig. 4). No major differences were found prior to birth. The only exception was represented by the gonads at 18.5 dpc, where females had more luciferase than males, an effect possibly associated with the very high expression of ER α protein in the ovary (Nielsen et al. 2000) at this embryonal stage. At P1, there was a generalized increase in luciferase activity in most organs. In the liver, bone, gonads and hindbrain, the increase observed in luciferase expression was significantly higher in males.

This result was consistent with the fact that male, not female, gonads synthesize steroids just prior to and after birth and that the testosterone synthesized is aromatized to E2 in several organs (Jones et al. 2000). When we crossed the ERE-Luc with the aromatase KO mice, we failed to see such an effect in male livers and femurs as well as the testis and skin of pups at P1 (Fig. 5). In the ArKOxERE-Luc mice, the luciferase activity was comparable with the levels recorded through previous foetal stages (Fig. 3; RLU/ μ g protein: liver 5.67 ± 1.33 ; femur 42.67 ± 9.28 ; testis 6.67 ± 3.67 ; skin 572 ± 75.50), which provided further

Figure 2

Immunostaining reveals ER activity in different tissues. A 17.5 dpc litter was subjected both to BLI and to IHC against luciferase and ER α .

(A) Representative BLI of one mouse (signal was arithmetically increased with respect to Fig. 1B) and one of its whole-mount sections stained for luciferase (B). (C, D, E, F, G, H and I) IHC against luciferase; organ pictures were taken at 100–400 $\times$ magnification: intestine (C; 100 $\times$), heart (D; 400 $\times$), hindbrain (E; 100 $\times$), muscle (F; 400 $\times$), rib (G; 400 $\times$), whisker follicle (H; 400 $\times$), skin (I; 200 $\times$). Small panels show the antibody specificity tested on a WT mouse. (L, M, N, O and P) IHC against ER α ; organ pictures were taken at 100–400 $\times$ magnification: muscle (L; 400 $\times$), rib (M; 400 $\times$), nose (N; reconstruction of 50 $\times$), whisker follicle (O; 400 $\times$), skin (P; 200 $\times$).

support for the hypothesis that the increased activity of the reporter observed in males only at P1 was induced by the oestrogens derived from the surge of testosterone production by male gonads (Clarkson & Herbison 2016). In addition, the observation of a basal level of ER activity in the different organs of ArKOxERE-Luc mice suggested that the unliganded ERs could be activated by factors other than circulating oestrogens.

Discussion

The present study demonstrates that, in the course of embryogenesis, ER transcriptional activity is not restricted to the reproductive organs. Indeed, ERs appear to be particularly active in tissues originating from the ectoderm, such as the brain and skin and have a lower yet still significant action in tissues, such as the gonads,

Figure 3

Foetal ER activity shows lineage-correlation. A pattern of ER transcriptional activity in different organs was obtained by measuring the luciferase activity on tissue lysates (enzymatic assay) obtained from the foetuses of both sexes. Each column contains organs from different germ layers. Bars represent the mean $\pm$ s.e.m. ($n=6-10$ foetuses). * $P<0.05$, ** $P<0.01$ vs the previous stage calculated by one-way ANOVA followed by the Bonferroni *post hoc* test. nd, not measured.

kidney, bone and heart, deriving from the mesoderm. Quite interesting is the fact that ERs are minimally active in endodermic tissues, such as the lung, liver, thymus and intestine.

The mechanisms associated with this differential pattern of ER activity in the organs studied in relation to the germ-layer of origin remain to be defined. An initial explanation could be associated with a differential, tissue-specific expression of the two isoforms of ER. The lack of a detailed localization study describing the relative content of ER α and ER β in embryogenesis does not allow us to define whether the differences of ER activity observed are due to a germ-layer-specific expression of each ER or to changes in their relative concentration with consequent potential homo- or hetero-dimerization. A second potential explanation resides in ligand availability, as it is conceivable that, depending of the stage of development, the oestrogens produced may have differential ability to reach the organs deriving from each of the three germ layers. The main source of steroid hormones during pregnancy is the maternal-placental-foetal unit (MPF unit) (Becker 2001). Measurements of oestrogen concentration in maternal blood showed a steady increase throughout pregnancy, with a peak at 17.5 dpc (Barkley *et al.* 1977); in the foetus, the peak of oestrogen synthesis is at 12.5 dpc (Lemmen *et al.* 2002). However, to be transported by the plasma, these lipophilic molecules associate with the

alpha-fetoprotein (AFP), and it has been hypothesized that the high concentration of AFP produced may sequester most of the steroids produced by the MPF and may protect the foetus from the effects of maternal steroid hormones (Savu *et al.* 1981, Mendel 1989, Bakker *et al.* 2006, De Mees *et al.* 2006). Our data clearly show a germ layer-dependent ER transcriptional activity, which that could be due to either the existence of a tissue-specific uptake of circulating oestrogens or to ER unliganded activation. Indeed, endocytic pathways were described as necessary to carry steroids inside the cells (Hammes *et al.* 2005), but we still do not know whether such transport proteins are present in the embryo and are localized differentially in the various tissues. Alternatively, ERs may be differentially activated by means of a localized pattern of expression of genes encoding factors known to be able to activate these NRs in a unliganded way (such as EGF, IGF or others) (Bondy *et al.* 1990, Partanen 1990).

Regardless of the mechanisms involved, the number of tissues where ER is transcriptionally active in the course of development is suggestive of a significant biological function of the hormone-receptor complex in both reproductive and non-reproductive organs. Unfortunately, the developmental effects of the deletion of genes encoding ER α and ER β or both isoforms have been only slightly investigated. This lack of study is possibly due the fact that none of the initial studies

Figure 4

Gender specificity in ER activity during development. The same data shown in Fig. 3 (luciferase enzymatic assay) were stratified for sex. Bars represent the mean $\pm$ S.E.M. ($n=4-6$). * $P<0.05$, ** $P<0.01$ compared with opposite gender calculated with unpaired Student's t test. nd, not measured.

described major phenotypes, and both males and females survived to adulthood (Lubahn *et al.* 1993, Kregge *et al.* 1998, Couse *et al.* 1999), even with fertility problems, which is particularly relevant in the ER α -null mice (Kregge *et al.* 1998, Dupont *et al.* 2000, Walker & Korach 2004). However, more recent studies carried out in adults demonstrated that the deletion of ER α or ER β is associated with malfunctions of several organs considered to be non-essential for reproduction, such as the lung, liver,

adipose tissue, heart, kidney, thymus, bone and skin (Brandenberger *et al.* 1997, Lemmen *et al.* 1999, Takeyama *et al.* 2001, Walker & Korach 2004, Zoller & Kersh 2006, Carey *et al.* 2007, Foryst-Ludwig *et al.* 2008, Barros & Gustafsson 2011, Kummer *et al.* 2011, Markiewicz *et al.* 2013, Lapid *et al.* 2014, Del Principe *et al.* 2015, Tait *et al.* 2015). In the perinatal mouse brain, the *spatio-temporal* expression of ER α and ER β was reported to contribute to organize sex differences that are not associated with

Figure 5

Lack of aromatase activity regulates ER activity in males. ERE-Luc mice were bred with Ar $^{+/-}$ mice, and the progeny was backcrossed to obtain the same litter Ar $^{-/-}$ and Ar $^{+/+}$ $\times$ ERE-Luc mice. (A) Newborn P1 mice were subjected to BLI. (B) Luciferase activity was measured in tissue lysates (enzymatic assay) in which gender difference was previously observed (Fig. 4). Bars represent the mean $\pm$ S.E.M. ($n=4-6$ fetuses). * $P<0.05$, ** $P<0.01$ vs Ar $^{+/+}$ $\times$ ERE-Luc calculated by one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni *post hoc* test.

reproduction, such as the stress response and cognition (Mogi *et al.* 2015).

It is conceivable that the sexual dimorphism observed in the prevalence, course and severity of many common diseases, including cardiovascular diseases, autoimmune diseases and asthma, may originate from a sex-specific genetic architecture that is created in the course of development (also through ER activity) and that results in a male and female differential endocrine susceptibility and gene regulation, particularly in sex steroid-responsive genes. The relevance of oestrogen programming mechanisms within the mammalian foetus and perinatal time period is underlined by studies on liver metabolism that are strictly regulated by sex and where the expression and activation of hepatic ER in the course of embryo maturation and in adult life plays a prominent role (Della Torre *et al.* 2018) on energy metabolism (Yuchi *et al.* 2015, Maniu *et al.* 2016), gastric functions (Campbell-Thompson *et al.* 2001), adrenal and renal activity (Walker *et al.* 2009, Inamdar *et al.* 2015), cardiovascular activity (Del Principe *et al.* 2015) and immune functions (Zoller & Kersh 2006).

In conclusion, the results from this study and those mentioned above point to a substantial relevance of ER signalling for the correct development of mammalian embryos, and they highlight the necessity to increase our knowledge with more systematic studies aimed at understanding the necessity of these hormones, particularly for the correct development and functioning of non-reproductive organs. Such studies should not be confined to prenatal development and pups, but should be extended to adults, possibly challenged with appropriate stimuli, enabling us to test their ability to respond to alimentary and environmental stimuli, with a particular emphasis onto endocrine disruptors known to preferentially act through ERs.

Supplementary data

This is linked to the online version of the paper at <https://doi.org/10.1530/JOE-18-0003>.

Declaration of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest that could be perceived as prejudicing the impartiality of the research reported.

Funding

This work was supported by grants from the European Community (EWA: STREP EWA LSHM-CT-2005-518245; Ways: ERC-Advanced Grant 322977) and NIH (RO1-AF027713).

Author contribution statement

S D T carried out all the final analyses and control experiments. G R carried out most of the experimental work, together with L O. C M performed the immunostaining experiments. P C contributed in conceiving the study and shared his expertise on the use of ERE-Luc mice. A M conceived the project, wrote the manuscript and supervised the entire project.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank E Simpson (Monash University, Victoria, Australia) for providing the transgenic mice. They acknowledge E Faggiani and M Rebecchi for their skilful technical assistance during genotyping.

References

- Agulnik AI, Bishop CE, Lerner JL, Agulnik SI & Solov'yev VV 1997 Analysis of mutation rates in the SMCY/SMCX genes shows that mammalian evolution is male driven. *Mammalian Genome* **8** 134–138. (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s003359900372>)
- Albrecht ED, Lane MV, Marshall GR, Merchenthaler I, Simorangkir DR, Pohl CR, Plant TM & Pepe GJ 2009 Estrogen promotes germ cell and seminiferous tubule development in the baboon fetal testis. *Biology of Reproduction* **81** 406–414. (<https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.108.073494>)
- Arnal JF, Lenfant F, Metivier R, Flouriot G, Henrion D, Adlanmerini M, Fontaine C, Gourdy P, Chambon P, Katzenellenbogen B, *et al.* 2017 Membrane and nuclear estrogen receptor alpha actions: from tissue specificity to medical implications. *Physiological Reviews* **97** 1045–1087. (<https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00024.2016>)
- Bakker J, De Mees C, Douhard Q, Balthazard J, Gabant P, Szpirer J & Szpirer C 2006 Alpha-fetoprotein protects the developing female mouse brain from masculinization and defeminization by estrogens. *Nature Neuroscience* **9** 220–226. (<https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1624>)
- Barkley MS, Michael SD, Geschwind, II & Bradford GE 1977 Plasma testosterone during pregnancy in the mouse. *Endocrinology* **100** 1472–1475. (<https://doi.org/10.1210/endo-100-5-1472>)
- Barros RP & Gustafsson JA 2011 Estrogen receptors and the metabolic network. *Cell Metabolism* **14** 289–299. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2011.08.005>)
- Becker KL 2001 *Principles and Practice of Endocrinology and Metabolism*. Philadelphia, PA, USA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
- Bondesson M, Hao R, Lin CY, Williams C & Gustafsson JA 2015 Estrogen receptor signaling during vertebrate development. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* **1849** 142–151. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbaggm.2014.06.005>)
- Bondy CA, Werner H, Roberts CT Jr & LeRoith D 1990 Cellular pattern of insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I) and type I IGF receptor gene expression in early organogenesis: comparison with IGF-II gene expression. *Molecular Endocrinology* **4** 1386–1398. (<https://doi.org/10.1210/mend-4-9-1386>)
- Bonnelye E, Vanacker JM, Spruyt N, Alric S, Fournier B, Desbiens X & Laudet V 1997 Expression of the estrogen-related receptor 1 (ERR-1) orphan receptor during mouse development. *Mechanisms of Development* **65** 71–85. ([https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-4773\(97\)00059-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-4773(97)00059-2))
- Bookout AL, Jeong Y, Downes M, Yu RT, Evans RM & Mangelsdorf DJ 2006 Anatomical profiling of nuclear receptor expression reveals a hierarchical transcriptional network. *Cell* **126** 789–799. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.06.049>)
- Brandenberger AW, Tee MK, Lee JY, Chao V & Jaffe RB 1997 Tissue distribution of estrogen receptors alpha (ER-alpha) and beta (ER-beta) mRNA in the midgestational human foetus. *Journal of Clinical*

- Endocrinology and Metabolism* **82** 3509–3512. (<https://doi.org/10.1210/jcem.82.10.4400>)
- Bryzgalova G, Gao H, Ahren B, Zierath JR, Galuska D, Steiler TL, Dahlman-Wright K, Nilsson S, Gustafsson JA, Efendic S, et al. 2006 Evidence that oestrogen receptor- α plays an important role in the regulation of glucose homeostasis in mice: insulin sensitivity in the liver. *Diabetologia* **49** 588–597. (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-005-0105-3>)
- Campbell-Thompson M, Reyher KK & Wilkinson LB 2001 Immunolocalization of estrogen receptor α and β in gastric epithelium and enteric neurons. *Journal of Endocrinology* **171** 65–73. (<https://doi.org/10.1677/joe.0.1710065>)
- Carey MA, Card JW, Bradbury JA, Moorman MP, Haykal-Coates N, Gavett SH, Graves JP, Walker VR, Flake GP, Voltz JW, et al. 2007 Spontaneous airway hyperresponsiveness in estrogen receptor- α -deficient mice. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* **175** 126–135. (<https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.200509-1493OC>)
- Chambliss KL, Wu Q, Oltmann S, Konanias ES, Umetani M, Korach KS, Thomas GD, Mineo C, Yuhanna IS, Kim SH, et al. 2010 Non-nuclear estrogen receptor α signaling promotes cardiovascular protection but not uterine or breast cancer growth in mice. *Journal of Clinical Investigation* **120** 2319–2330. (<https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI38291>)
- Ciana P, Di Luccio G, Belcredito S, Pollio G, Vegeto E, Tatangelo L, Tiveron C & Maggi A 2001 Engineering of a mouse for the in vivo profiling of estrogen receptor activity. *Molecular Endocrinology* **15** 1104–1113. (<https://doi.org/10.1210/mend.15.7.0658>)
- Ciana P, Raviscioni M, Mussi P, Vegeto E, Que I, Parker MG, Lowik C & Maggi A 2003 In vivo imaging of transcriptionally active estrogen receptors. *Nature Medicine* **9** 82–86. (<https://doi.org/10.1038/nm809>)
- Ciocca DR & Roig LM 1995 Estrogen receptors in human nontarget tissues: biological and clinical implications. *Endocrine Reviews* **16** 35–62. (<https://doi.org/10.1210/edrv-16-1-35>)
- Clarkson J & Herbison AE 2016 Hypothalamic control of the male neonatal testosterone surge. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London: Series B, Biological Sciences* **371** 20150115. (<https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2015.0115>)
- Conte FA, Grumbach MM, Ito Y, Fisher CR & Simpson ER 1994 A syndrome of female pseudohermaphroditism, hypergonadotropic hypogonadism, and multicystic ovaries associated with missense mutations in the gene encoding aromatase (P450arom). *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* **78** 1287–1292. (<https://doi.org/10.1210/jcem.78.6.8200927>)
- Couse JF, Hewitt SC, Bunch DO, Sar M, Walker VR, Davis BJ & Korach KS 1999 Postnatal sex reversal of the ovaries in mice lacking estrogen receptors α and β . *Science* **286** 2328–2331. (<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.286.5448.2328>)
- Dahlman-Wright K, Cavaillès V, Fuqua SA, Jordan VC, Katzenellenbogen JA, Korach KS, Maggi A, Muramatsu M, Parker MG & Gustafsson JA 2006 International Union of Pharmacology. LXIV. Estrogen receptors. *Pharmacological Reviews* **58** 773–781. (<https://doi.org/10.1124/pr.58.4.8>)
- De Mees C, Laes JF, Bakker J, Smits J, Hennuy B, Van Vooren P, Gabant P, Szpirer J & Szpirer C 2006 Alpha-fetoprotein controls female fertility and prenatal development of the gonadotropin-releasing hormone pathway through an antiestrogenic action. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* **26** 2012–2018. (<https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.26.5.2012-2018.2006>)
- Del Principe D, Ruggieri A, Pietraforte D, Villani A, Vitale C, Straface E & Malorni W 2015 The relevance of estrogen/estrogen receptor system on the gender difference in cardiovascular risk. *International Journal of Cardiology* **187** 291–298. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2015.03.145>)
- Della Torre S, Mitro N, Meda C, Lolli F, Pedretti S, Barcella M, Ottobriani L, Metzger D, Caruso D, Maggi A 2018 Short-term fasting reveals AA metabolism as a major sex discriminating factor in the liver. *Cell Metabolism* [epub]. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2018.05.021>)
- Dupont S, Krust A, Gansmuller A, Dierich A, Chambon P & Mark M 2000 Effect of single and compound knockouts of estrogen receptors α (ER α) and β (ER β) on mouse reproductive phenotypes. *Development* **127** 4277–4291.
- Fisher CR, Graves KH, Parlow AF & Simpson ER 1998 Characterization of mice deficient in aromatase (ArKO) because of targeted disruption of the cyp19 gene. *PNAS* **95** 6965–6970. (<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.12.6965>)
- Forst-Ludwig A, Clemenz M, Hohmann S, Hartge M, Sprang C, Frost N, Krikov M, Bhanot S, Barros R, Morani A, et al. 2008 Metabolic actions of estrogen receptor β (ER β) are mediated by a negative cross-talk with PPAR γ . *PLoS Genetics* **4** e1000108. (<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1000108>)
- Greco TL, Furlow JD, Duell TM & Gorski J 1991 Immunodetection of estrogen receptors in fetal and neonatal female mouse reproductive tracts. *Endocrinology* **129** 1326–1332. (<https://doi.org/10.1210/endo-129-3-1326>)
- Hammes A, Andreassen TK, Spoelgen R, Raila J, Hubner N, Schulz H, Metzger J, Schweigert FJ, Lupp PB, Nykjaer A, et al. 2005 Role of endocytosis in cellular uptake of sex steroids. *Cell* **122** 751–762. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2005.06.032>)
- Hanley K, Rassner U, Jiang Y, Vansomphone D, Crumrine D, Komuves L, Elias PM, Feingold KR & Williams ML 1996 Hormonal basis for the gender difference in epidermal barrier formation in the fetal rat. Acceleration by estrogen and delay by testosterone. *Journal of Clinical Investigation* **97** 2576–2584. (<https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI118706>)
- Humpel M, Isaksson P, Schaefer O, Kaufmann U, Ciana P, Maggi A & Schleuning WD 2005 Tissue specificity of 8-prenylnaringenin: protection from ovariectomy induced bone loss with minimal trophic effects on the uterus. *Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* **97** 299–305. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsbmb.2005.05.009>)
- Inamdar LS, Khodnapur BS, Nindi RS, Dasari S & Seshagiri PB 2015 Differential expression of estrogen receptor α in the embryonic adrenal-kidney-gonadal complex of the oviparous lizard, *Calotes versicolor* (Daud.). *General and Comparative Endocrinology* **220** 55–60. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2014.08.003>)
- Jensen EV 2005 The contribution of ‘alternative approaches’ to understanding steroid hormone action. *Molecular Endocrinology* **19** 1439–1442. (<https://doi.org/10.1210/me.2005-0154>)
- Jones ME, Thorburn AW, Britt KL, Hewitt KN, Wreford NG, Proietto J, Oz OK, Leury BJ, Robertson KM, Yao S, et al. 2000 Aromatase-deficient (ArKO) mice have a phenotype of increased adiposity. *PNAS* **97** 12735–12740. (<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.97.23.12735>)
- Kininis M & Kraus WL 2008 A global view of transcriptional regulation by nuclear receptors: gene expression, factor localization, and DNA sequence analysis. *Nuclear Receptor Signaling* **6** e005. (<https://doi.org/10.1621/nrs.06005>)
- Klotz DM, Hewitt SC, Ciana P, Raviscioni M, Lindzey JK, Foley J, Maggi A, DiAugustine RP & Korach KS 2002 Requirement of estrogen receptor- α in insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1)-induced uterine responses and in vivo evidence for IGF-1/estrogen receptor cross-talk. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **277** 8531–8537. (<https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M109592200>)
- Korach KS, Horigome T, Tomooka Y, Yamashita S, Newbold RR & McLachlan JA 1988 Immunodetection of estrogen receptor in epithelial and stromal tissues of neonatal mouse uterus. *PNAS* **85** 3334–3337. (<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.85.10.3334>)
- Krege JH, Hodgin JB, Couse JF, Enmark E, Warner M, Mahler JF, Sar M, Korach KS, Gustafsson JA & Smithies O 1998 Generation and reproductive phenotypes of mice lacking estrogen receptor β . *PNAS* **95** 15677–15682. (<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.26.15677>)
- Kudwa AE, Michopoulos V, Gatewood JD & Rissman EF 2006 Roles of estrogen receptors α and β in differentiation of mouse sexual

- behavior. *Neuroscience* **138** 921–928. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2005.10.018>)
- Kummer S, Jeruschke S, Wegerich LV, Peters A, Lehmann P, Seibt A, Mueller F, Koleganova N, Halbenz E, Schmitt CP, et al. 2011 Estrogen receptor alpha expression in podocytes mediates protection against apoptosis in-vitro and in-vivo. *PLoS ONE* **6** e27457. (<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0027457>)
- Lane PH 2008 Estrogen receptors in the kidney: lessons from genetically altered mice. *Gender Medicine* **5** (Supplement A) S11–S18. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.genm.2008.03.003>)
- Lapid K, Lim A, Clegg DJ, Zeve D & Graff JM 2014 Oestrogen signalling in white adipose progenitor cells inhibits differentiation into brown adipose and smooth muscle cells. *Nature Communications* **5** 5196. (<https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms6196>)
- Lemmen JG, Broekhof JL, Kuiper GG, Gustafsson JA, van der Saag PT & van der Burg B 1999 Expression of estrogen receptor alpha and beta during mouse embryogenesis. *Mechanisms of Development* **81** 163–167. ([https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-4773\(98\)00223-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-4773(98)00223-8))
- Lemmen JG, van den Brink CE, Legler J, van der Saag PT & van der Burg B 2002 Detection of oestrogenic activity of steroids present during mammalian gestation using oestrogen receptor alpha- and oestrogen receptor beta-specific in vitro assays. *Journal of Endocrinology* **174** 435–446. (<https://doi.org/10.1677/joe.0.1740435>)
- Li Y, Birnbaumer L & Teng CT 2010 Regulation of ERalpha gene expression by estrogen receptor agonists and antagonists in SKBR3 breast cancer cells: differential molecular mechanisms mediated by g protein-coupled receptor GPR30/GPER-1. *Molecular Endocrinology* **24** 969–980. (<https://doi.org/10.1210/me.2009-0148>)
- Lubahn DB, Moyer JS, Golding TS, Couse JE, Korach KS & Smithies O 1993 Alteration of reproductive function but not prenatal sexual development after insertional disruption of the mouse estrogen receptor gene. *PNAS* **90** 11162–11166. (<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.90.23.11162>)
- Luo J, Sladek R, Bader JA, Matthysen A, Rossant J & Giguere V 1997 Placental abnormalities in mouse embryos lacking the orphan nuclear receptor ERR-beta. *Nature* **388** 778–782. (<https://doi.org/10.1038/42022>)
- Maggi A & Ciana P 2005 Reporter mice and drug discovery and development. *Nature Reviews Drug Discovery* **4** 249–255. (<https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd1661>)
- Maggi A, Ciana P, Belcredito S & Vegeto E 2004 Estrogens in the nervous system: mechanisms and nonreproductive functions. *Annual Review of Physiology* **66** 291–313. (<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.physiol.66.032802.154945>)
- Maniu A, Aberdeen GW, Lynch TJ, Nadler JL, Kim SO, Quon MJ, Pepe GJ & Albrecht ED 2016 Estrogen deprivation in primate pregnancy leads to insulin resistance in offspring. *Journal of Endocrinology* **230** 171–183. (<https://doi.org/10.1530/JOE-15-0530>)
- Markiewicz M, Znoyko S, Stawski L, Ghatnekar A, Gilkeson G & Trojanowska M 2013 A role for estrogen receptor-alpha and estrogen receptor-beta in collagen biosynthesis in mouse skin. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology* **133** 120–127. (<https://doi.org/10.1038/jid.2012.264>)
- Mendel CM 1989 The free hormone hypothesis: a physiologically based mathematical model. *Endocrine Reviews* **10** 232–274. (<https://doi.org/10.1210/edrv-10-3-232>)
- Mogi K, Takanashi H, Nagasawa M & Kikusui T 2015 Sex differences in spatiotemporal expression of AR, ERalpha, and ERbeta mRNA in the perinatal mouse brain. *Neuroscience Letters* **584** 88–92. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2014.10.028>)
- Munger SC, Natarajan A, Looger LL, Ohler U & Capel B 2013 Fine time course expression analysis identifies cascades of activation and repression and maps a putative regulator of mammalian sex determination. *PLoS Genetics* **9** e1003630. (<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1003630>)
- Mussi P, Liao L, Park SE, Ciana P, Maggi A, Katzenellenbogen BS, Xu J & O'Malley BW 2006 Haploinsufficiency of the corepressor of estrogen receptor activity (REA) enhances estrogen receptor function in the mammary gland. *PNAS* **103** 16716–16721. (<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0607768103>)
- Nielsen M, Bjornsdottir S, Hoyer PE & Byskov AG 2000 Ontogeny of oestrogen receptor alpha in gonads and sex ducts of fetal and newborn mice. *Journal of Reproduction and Fertility* **118** 195–204. (<https://doi.org/10.1530/jrf.0.1180195>)
- Pang SF, Caggiula AR, Gay VL, Goodman RL & Pang CS 1979 Serum concentrations of testosterone, oestrogens, luteinizing hormone and follicle-stimulating hormone in male and female rats during the critical period of neural sexual differentiation. *Journal of Endocrinology* **80** 103–110. (<https://doi.org/10.1677/joe.0.0800103>)
- Park CJ, Chen G, Koo Y, Lin PP, Cacioppo JA, Prohaska H & Ko CJ 2017 Generation and characterization of an estrogen receptor alpha-iCre knock-in mouse. *Genesis* **55** 1–18. (<https://doi.org/10.1002/dvg.23084>)
- Partanen AM 1990 Epidermal growth factor and transforming growth factor-alpha in the development of epithelial-mesenchymal organs of the mouse. *Current Topics in Developmental Biology* **24** 31–55.
- Patrone C, Cassel TN, Pettersson K, Piao YS, Cheng G, Ciana P, Maggi A, Warner M, Gustafsson JA & Nord M 2003 Regulation of postnatal lung development and homeostasis by estrogen receptor beta. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* **23** 8542–8552. (<https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.23.23.8542-8552.2003>)
- Penza M, Jeremic M, Marrazzo E, Maggi A, Ciana P, Rando G, Grigolato PG & Di Lorenzo D 2011 The environmental chemical tributyltin chloride (TBT) shows both estrogenic and adipogenic activities in mice which might depend on the exposure dose. *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology* **255** 65–75. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.taap.2011.05.017>)
- Phoenix CH, Goy RW, Gerall AA & Young WC 1959 Organizing action of prenatally administered testosterone propionate on the tissues mediating mating behavior in the female guinea pig. *Endocrinology* **65** 369–382. (<https://doi.org/10.1210/endo-65-3-369>)
- Revankar CM, Cimino DE, Sklar LA, Arterburn JB & Prossnitz ER 2005 A transmembrane intracellular estrogen receptor mediates rapid cell signaling. *Science* **307** 1625–1630. (<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1106943>)
- Rissman EF, Early AH, Taylor JA, Korach KS & Lubahn DB 1997 Estrogen receptors are essential for female sexual receptivity. *Endocrinology* **138** 507–510. (<https://doi.org/10.1210/endo.138.1.4985>)
- Rizzi N, Rebecchi M, Levandis G, Ciana P & Maggi A 2017 Identification of novel loci for the generation of reporter mice. *Nucleic Acids Research* **45** e37. (<https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw1142>)
- Savu L, Benassayag C, Vallette G, Christeff N & Nunez E 1981 Mouse alpha 1-fetoprotein and albumin. A comparison of their binding properties with estrogen and fatty acid ligands. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **256** 9414–9418.
- Soto U, Pepperkok R, Ansorge W, Just WW 1993 Import of firefly luciferase into mammalian peroxisomes in vivo requires nucleoside triphosphates. *Experimental Cell Research* **205** 66–75.
- Tait S, Tassinari R, Maranghi F & Mantovani A 2015 Bisphenol A affects placental layers morphology and angiogenesis during early pregnancy phase in mice. *Journal of Applied Toxicology* **35** 1278–1291. (<https://doi.org/10.1002/jat.3176>)
- Takeyama J, Suzuki T, Inoue S, Kaneko C, Nagura H, Harada N & Sasano H 2001 Expression and cellular localization of estrogen receptors alpha and beta in the human fetus. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* **86** 2258–2262. (<https://doi.org/10.1210/jcem.86.5.7447>)
- Thornton JW, Need E & Crews D 2003 Resurrecting the ancestral steroid receptor: ancient origin of estrogen signaling. *Science* **301** 1714–1717. (<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1086185>)

- Thuresson-Klein A, Moawad AH & Hedqvist P 1985 Estrogen stimulates formation of lamellar bodies and release of surfactant in the rat fetal lung. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* **151** 506–514. ([https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9378\(85\)90279-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9378(85)90279-0))
- Tobet SA, Zahniser DJ & Baum MJ 1986 Sexual dimorphism in the preoptic/anterior hypothalamic area of ferrets: effects of adult exposure to sex steroids. *Brain Research* **364** 249–257. ([https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993\(86\)90837-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(86)90837-1))
- Toft D & Gorski J 1966 A receptor molecule for estrogens: isolation from the rat uterus and preliminary characterization. *PNAS* **55** 1574–1581. (<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.55.6.1574>)
- Tsai MJ & O'Malley BW 1994 Molecular mechanisms of action of steroid/thyroid receptor superfamily members. *Annual Review of Biochemistry* **63** 451–486. (<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.bi.63.070194.002315>)
- Ueberschlager-Pitiot V, Stantzou A, Messeant J, Lemaitre M, Owens DJ, Noirez P, Roy P, Agbulut O, Metzger D & Ferry A 2017 Gonad-related factors promote muscle performance gain during postnatal development in male and female mice. *American Journal of Physiology: Endocrinology and Metabolism* **313** E12–E25. (<https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.00446.2016>)
- Vantaggiato C, Dell'Omo G, Ramachandran B, Manni I, Radaelli E, Scanziani E, Piaggio G, Maggi A & Ciana P 2016 Bioluminescence imaging of estrogen receptor activity during breast cancer progression. *American Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging* **6** 32–41.
- Walker VR & Korach KS 2004 Estrogen receptor knockout mice as a model for endocrine research. *ILAR Journal* **45** 455–461. (<https://doi.org/10.1093/ilar.45.4.455>)
- Walker KA, Caruana G, Bertram JF & McInnes KJ 2009 Sexual dimorphism in mouse metanephroi exposed to 17 beta-estradiol in vitro. *Nephron Experimental Nephrology* **111** e42–e50. (<https://doi.org/10.1159/000191104>)
- Yuchi Y, Cai Y, Legein B, De Groef S, Leuckx G, Coppens V, Van Overmeire E, Staels W, De Leu N, Martens G, et al. 2015 Estrogen receptor alpha regulates beta-cell formation during pancreas development and following injury. *Diabetes* **64** 3218–3228. (<https://doi.org/10.2337/db14-1798>)
- Zoller AL & Kersh GJ 2006 Estrogen induces thymic atrophy by eliminating early thymic progenitors and inhibiting proliferation of beta-selected thymocytes. *Journal of Immunology* **176** 7371–7378. (<https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.176.12.7371>)

Received in final form 5 June 2018

Accepted 11 June 2018