REVIEW

Androgens, oestrogens and endometrium: a fine balance between perfection and pathology

Douglas A Gibson , Ioannis Simitsidellis, Frances Collins and Philippa T K Saunders

Centre for Inflammation Research, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh Bioquarter, Edinburgh, UK

Correspondence should be addressed to P T K Saunders: p.saunders@ed.ac.uk

Abstract

The endometrium is a complex multicellular tissue that is exquisitely sensitive to the actions of sex steroids synthesised in the ovary (endocrine system). Recent studies have highlighted a previously under-appreciated role for local (intracrine) metabolism in fine-tuning tissue function in both health and disease. In this review we have focused on the impact of oestrogens and androgens on endometrial function summarising data from studies on normal endometrial physiology and disorders including infertility, endometriosis and cancer. We consider the evidence that expression of enzymes including aromatase, sulphatase and AKR1C3 by endometrial cells plays an important role in tissue function and malfunction and discuss results from studies using drugs targeting intracrine pathways to treat endometrial disorders. We summarise studies exploring the spatial and temporal expression of oestrogen receptors (ERalpha/ESR1, ERbeta/ESR2 and GPER) and their role in mediating the impact of endogenous and synthetic ligands on cross-talk between vascular, immune, epithelial and stromal cells. There is a single androgen receptor gene and androgens play a key role in stromal-epithelial cross-talk, scar-free healing of endometrium during menstruation and regulation of cell proliferation. The development of new receptor-selective drugs (SERMs, SARMS, SARDS) has reinvigorated interest in targeting receptor subtypes in treatment of disorders including endometriosis and endometrial cancer and some show promise as novel therapies. In summary, understanding the mechanisms regulated by sex steroids provides the platform for improved personalised treatment of endometrial disorders as well as novel insights into the impact of steroids on processes such as tissue repair and regeneration.

Key Words

- ▶ oestrogen receptor
- ▶ androgen receptor
- ▶ aromatase
- ▶ endometriosis
- ▶ intracrinology

Journal of Endocrinology
(2020) **246**, R75–R93

Introduction

In women, the endometrium is divided into an inner/luminal functional layer ('functionalis') and a basal layer ('basalis'). On its inner (luminal) aspect, columnar epithelial cells form a boundary between the fluid-filled uterine lumen and endometrial tissue containing glands, a well-developed vasculature, stromal mesenchyme (fibroblasts, perivascular cells) and a diverse population of immune cells. Between menarche and menopause,

the endometrium responds to fluctuating levels of blood borne ovarian sex-steroid hormones (primarily 17 β -oestradiol (E2) and progesterone (P)), with cyclical proliferation and differentiation ready to support a prospective pregnancy. In a non-pregnant cycle, the functional layer is shed during menstruation, but within a few days the luminal surface is healed and tissue integrity restored ready to resume the next cycle ([Garry *et al.* 2009](#)).

While sex-steroid hormones are essential for the maintenance of normal uterine function and fertility, they may also contribute to the development of hormone-dependent endometrial disorders that affect millions of women (Table 1). In this review we have focused on the impact of oestrogens and androgens on the function and malfunction of the endometrium, considering evidence for expression of receptors that can mediate their function as well as enzymes that modulate local bioavailability of steroids. The emergence of new classes of drugs that target receptors or enzymes and offer some potential as novel treatments for endometrial disorders is summarised.

Oestrogen and androgen receptors and their expression in endometrial tissues

Overview of changes in tissue function during the menstrual cycle

Based on evaluation of 8000 endometrial biopsies, Noyes *et al.* (1975) published a classification of the different stages of the menstrual cycle which is still considered the gold standard for histological staging. Although cycle length can vary between individuals, staging is typically based on an average menstrual cycle of 28 days: menstruation (day 1), proliferative phase (day 4 to 14) and secretory

phase (days 16 to 28). Histologically, the functional layer thickens from about 2 mm recorded immediately after the menstrual phase to 14 mm prior to ovulation on day 14. Following ovulation and formation of the corpus luteum (CL), there is a rapid rise in circulating concentrations of P, which stimulates functional transformation of the stromal fibroblasts (decidualisation) resulting in shape change and reprogramming of gene expression leading to secretion of factors that regulate immune cell recruitment and receptivity (see comprehensive review by Gellersen *et al.* 2007). In the absence of a healthy blastocyst, the regression of the CL results in a rapid decrease in the circulating concentrations of ovarian-derived steroid hormones (progesterone withdrawal) and triggers a cascade of changes in endometrial tissue that results in tissue breakdown, piecemeal shedding and synchronous healing during menstruation (Garry *et al.* 2009).

Structural and functional features of oestrogen and androgen receptors: genomic and non-genomic signalling

Changes in expression of oestrogen- and androgen-dependent genes are orchestrated by interaction of their receptors with DNA-binding domains within gene promoters/enhancers as well as non-genomic signalling

Table 1 Hormone-dependent endometrial pathologies in women.

Endometrial pathology	Incidence	Features	References
Implantation failure, recurrent pregnancy loss	One in six couples have infertile rates of implantation failure difficult to determine other than in IVF, RPL 1–2%	Poor/out-of-phase decidual response. Changes in immune cell cohorts (uNK). Stromal cell senescence with age?	(Quenby <i>et al.</i> 2009, Lucas <i>et al.</i> 2020)
Heavy menstrual bleeding (HMB)	20–30% of women; may be worse during perimenopause; associated with fibroids	Acute or chronic; FIGO classification of causes (Palm-Coen)	(Whitaker & Critchley 2016)
Endometriosis	~10% women of reproductive age; may be asymptomatic. 40% of infertile patients may have endometriosis	Three subtypes – aetiology may be different. Neuroinflammation and chronic pain. Changes in peritoneal environment.	(Horne <i>et al.</i> 2017, Horne & Saunders 2019)
Adenomyosis	~20% in women in gynaecology clinics (higher in older women)	Growth of endometrial fragments within myometrial wall. Myometrial thickening on ultrasound. Association with endometriosis.	(Naftalin <i>et al.</i> 2012)
Asherman's syndrome	Estimates of incidence vary widely: 3–45% in infertile population?	Adhesions within uterine cavity; risk increased by endometrial ablation/surgery	(Dreisler & Kjer 2019)
Endometrial hyperplasia		Increase in gland to stroma ratio when compared with proliferative endometrium. Some types may progress to endoCa	(Sanderson <i>et al.</i> 2017)
Endometrial cancer	Fourth most common cancer in UK women; rates rising	Risk increased by high BMI and Lynch syndrome. Classifications based on histology or genetics with 'unopposed' oestrogen key risk factor for some subtypes.	(Sanderson <i>et al.</i> 2017, Ryan <i>et al.</i> 2019)

For each pathology, an estimate of incidence, hallmark features and one or two key references are summarised.

pathways initiated at the membrane. Steroid receptors contain three key structure-function domains: a variable amino-terminal domain, a highly conserved DNA-binding domain (DBD), and a less conserved carboxyl-terminal ligand binding domain (LBD). Differences in the sequence of amino acids located within a C-terminal ligand binding pocket play a critical role in ligand selectivity (Shiau *et al.* 1998, Nadal *et al.* 2017). A linker region situated between the DBD and the LBD functions as a flexible hinge with a nuclear localization signal: the proteins also contain multiple sites for phosphorylation (Lannigan 2003). There are two oestrogen receptors (alpha and beta) encoded by separate genes, *ESR1* and *ESR2*, respectively: the full-length WT proteins they encode (hER α and hER β 1 respectively) bind a range of oestrogenic ligands with high affinity and specificity. Notably, analysis of natural ligand reported that, while 17 β -oestradiol (E2) bound both receptors with high and equal affinity, oestrone (E1) had higher affinity for WT ER β (1) (Zhu *et al.* 2006). Multiple splice isoforms of both genes have been identified (reviewed in Gibson & Saunders 2012). ER46 was the first splice variant of human *ESR1* described (initially designated hER α -46; Flouriot *et al.* 2000). *ESR2* splice variants including ER β 2/bcx and ER β 5 are co-expressed in multiple reproductive tissues and reproductive cancers (Critchley *et al.* 2002, Saunders *et al.* 2002, Shaaban *et al.* 2008, Collins *et al.* 2009). In addition to *ESR1* and *ESR2*, a family of closely related genes have been identified as encoding 'estrogen receptor related' proteins (*ESRR1*, *ESRR2*, *ESRR3*) which do not bind directly to E1 or E2 as they lack a proper binding pocket at their C-terminus but which may be activated by co-factors or other lipids (reviewed in Horard & Vanacker 2003, Gibson & Saunders 2012).

There is a single androgen receptor gene (AR) located on the X chromosome. Elegant studies, including those using surface plasmon resonance, have revealed that the long AR N-terminal domain (NTD) is structurally important for receptor-dependent gene expression (Lavery & McEwan 2008) and is a promising drug target (Ponnusamy *et al.* 2019). Several splice variant isoforms of AR have been identified with particular attention paid to their role in ligand-independent gene activation in advanced prostate cancers (Dehm & Tindall 2011). Expression of AR variants including AR-V7 (exons 1/2/3/CE3) has also been reported in primary breast cancers and breast cancer cell lines (Hickey *et al.* 2015), but a literature search did not identify any data related to their expression in endometrium or endometrial disorders.

There have been extensive studies on the functional consequences of steroid ligand binding to ERs and AR that

have been well-reviewed elsewhere (McKenna *et al.* 1999, Gronemeyer *et al.* 2004). Briefly, ligand binding induces a conformational change in the ligand binding domain, dimerization and recruitment of co-regulators that play a critical role in regulating the hormonal response. Ligand-activated receptors bind directly to DNA sequences within regulatory regions of genes: sequences that are recognised by oestrogen (ERE – oestrogen response elements) or androgen (ARE – androgen response elements) receptors have been described (Brodie & McEwan 2005, Carroll *et al.* 2006). Binding studies have also identified a number of so called 'pioneer' factors such as FOXA1 and GATA2 that can enhance direct binding of ER or AR to DNA (Carroll *et al.* 2005, He *et al.* 2014). ERs also regulate gene expression through protein-protein interactions with other transcription factors already bound on DNA ('tethering') – examples of tethering mechanisms include binding to the transcription factor Sp1 which has been implicated in regulation of the progesterone receptor gene (Petz *et al.* 2004) and ER β -dependent induction of gene expression in human endometrial endothelial cells (Greaves *et al.* 2013).

Oestrogens and androgens can also induce changes in cell function following binding to ERs or ARs localised in the cell membrane. These 'non-genomic' signalling cascades can be initiated through the membrane localization of the classical receptors following palmitoylation and interaction with scaffolding proteins or by hormone-responsive G protein-coupled transmembrane receptors (GPCRs) (Hammes & Levin 2007). One of the most extensively investigated GPCRs is GPER (originally named GPR 30, also known as GPER1), which was cloned from breast cancer cells in 1997 and binds oestrogens with nanomolar affinity (Carmeci *et al.* 1997). Information on GPCRs that bind to androgens is less comprehensive, but several candidates including GPRC6A have been identified in cancer cells (Ye *et al.* 2019).

A recent review provided a useful summary of the wide range of different non-genomic signalling pathways and how the different genomic and non-genomic pathways may interact (Wilkenfeld *et al.* 2018).

Expression and functional impact of oestrogen receptors during the menstrual cycle

We, and others, have used highly specific antibodies to explore temporal and cell-specific patterns of expression of ER α , ER β , ERRs and AR in endometrium during the normal cycle (Critchley & Saunders 2009, Young 2013). We have documented cell-specific and temporal

immunoexpression of full-length ER α (ER66) in both normal endometrium and in endometrial pathologies including cancer (Critchley *et al.* 2002, Collins *et al.* 2009). In full-thickness sections of endometrium (Fig. 1), immunoexpression of ER α is intense in the epithelial glands and in the stroma of both the functional and basal layers: endothelial cells lining the blood vessels appear immuno-negative (Critchley *et al.* 2001). Expression is downregulated in the functional layer during the secretory phase in response to the rising levels of progesterone

Figure 1

Expression of oestrogen receptor alpha (ER α) and androgen receptor (AR) in full-thickness samples from the human uterus. The tissue is divided into functional and basal layers supported on the myometrium below and bounded on upper surface by the luminal epithelium. ER α (red stain) is abundant in epithelial cells in the proliferative phase but downregulated in the secretory phase. AR (green) is localised to stromal cells in the basal and functional layers during the proliferative phase but only expressed in the basal stromal cells in the secretory phase when its expression is upregulated in epithelial cells. P, proliferative phase; S, secretory phase; M, menstrual phase.

(Fig. 1) (Lessey *et al.* 1988, Young 2013). We have recently explored expression of ER46 in the endometrium using a combination of immunohistochemistry and Western blotting (Gibson *et al.* 2020). Notably, the variant protein was co-localised with ER66 in cell nuclei during the proliferative phase with striking expression in a population of uterine natural killer cells (uNK) implicated in vascular remodelling (Quenby *et al.* 2009, Gibson *et al.* 2015).

Studies in mice suggest a complex role for ER α in epithelial and stromal compartments of the endometrium. For example, the role of epithelial ER α was studied using a conditional knockout mouse which was ovariectomised and then treated with a single intraperitoneal injection of 0.25 μ g 17 β -estradiol (E2) in 100 μ L sesame oil. Analysis of samples recovered 2, 24 or 72 h after E2 injection revealed that epithelial ER α was dispensable for the proliferative response observed at 2 h but essential for responses at 24 and 72 h (Winuthayanon *et al.* 2014). Similar studies also revealed a critical role for ER α in paracrine regulation of stromal decidualization in this species (Pawar *et al.* 2015). The pattern of expression of ER β is distinct from that of ER α , with highest concentrations of mRNA encoding full-length ER β 1 in the secretory phase and immunoexpression in epithelial, stromal, endothelial cells and immune cells (Critchley *et al.* 2001): ER β 1 is not downregulated in the functional layer during the secretory phase (Bombail *et al.* 2008). Studies in mice with *Esr2* knockout have suggested a less striking phenotype than in the *Esr1* knockout, although a re-evaluation of the evidence by Hapangama *et al.* (2015) concluded that sustained E2 stimulation of endometrial epithelial cells via ER β might induce apoptosis. There has been some disagreement about the cyclical expression (or otherwise) of ER β in endometrial endothelial cells (Critchley *et al.* 2001, Lecce *et al.* 2001). Our own study using endothelial cells from different vascular beds demonstrated those originally isolated from endometrium or myometrium were ER β +/ER α - and revealed cell-specific impacts of an ER β -selective agonist on gene expression (Greaves *et al.* 2013). In contrast, studies using isolated human uNK cells suggest their response to oestrogens may be complex involving rapid membrane-initiated signalling via ER46 (Gibson *et al.* 2020) and/or binding to ER β (Gibson *et al.* 2015). Treatment of isolated uNK cells with either oestrone (E1) or E2 promotes cell migration and secretion of chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 2 (CCL2) (Gibson *et al.* 2015). These studies highlight the importance of endogenous oestrogens in the dynamic interplay between different endometrial cell types that play a critical role in preparation for pregnancy.

Expression of proteins encoded by human *ESR2* splice variant mRNAs (ER β 2, ER β 5) has been detected in human endometrial cells (Critchley *et al.* 2002, Collins *et al.* 2009, 2019). Notably, these variants may also be present in primates (Sierens *et al.* 2004) but are not expressed in rodents. *In vitro* studies have demonstrated that the variants can have a functional impact on endometrial cell function by forming heterodimers with full-length isoforms (Collins *et al.* 2019). Expression of ERRs has also been detected in human endometrium with cell-based studies, highlighting the potential for them to alter cell metabolism or ER α -dependent cell functions (Bombail *et al.* 2010a,b).

Plante *et al.* (2012) examined expression of GPER in endometrium using RT-qPCR and immunohistochemistry reporting maximal expression in the proliferative phase. An earlier study by Kolkova *et al.* (2010) claimed protein expression was less variable than the mRNA and immunostaining was more intense in the epithelial cells than stroma throughout the cycle. GPER may be involved in neoplastic transformation of endometrium (Jacenik *et al.* 2016) or in promotion of HIF1 α -induced expression of MMPs in endometrial stromal cells in women with endometriosis (Zhang *et al.* 2017). A number of GPER knockout mice have been generated using different targeting strategies: females are fertile with no obvious reproductive defects, although impacts on obesity and vasculature have been claimed (Prossnitz & Hathaway 2015).

Expression and functional impact of androgen receptors during the menstrual cycle

Immunostaining for AR in full-thickness endometrial tissue sections (Fig. 1) (Marshall *et al.* 2011) detected intense staining in stromal fibroblasts which exhibited cyclical variation in the functional layer but remains unchanged within the basal compartment across the cycle. How this difference in expression within closely adjacent cells is regulated remains unknown. Epithelial cells in the functional layer upregulate expression of AR in response to falling levels of progesterone in a normal cycle or following administration of anti-progestins and this is associated with reduced proliferation (Narvekar *et al.* 2004, Marshall *et al.* 2011). We have identified androgen-regulated genes in primary human endometrial stromal cells, several of which (e.g. *CITED2*, *HIF1a*, *CD44*) are implicated in networks that protect cells against stress and apoptosis (Marshall *et al.* 2011). These data coupled with the observation that AR expression remains unchanged in the stromal cells of basal compartment at time of

menses (Garry *et al.* 2009) prompted us to investigate whether androgens might also play a role in regulating endometrial breakdown and repair using a mouse model that recapitulates key features of menstruation in women (Cousins *et al.* 2014, 2016a,b). In this model, administration of a single injection of DHT at the time of progesterone withdrawal to induce menstruation had a striking impact on both tissue breakdown and restoration of tissue homeostasis. Although our understanding of the role of androgens in endometrial tissue function is still incomplete, we identified changes in expression of matrix metalloproteinases (MMP3, 9) which are implicated in breakdown of human endometrium (Cousins *et al.* 2016a).

Expression of enzymes implicated in biosynthesis and metabolism of oestrogens and androgens in endometrial tissue

In recent years there has been a rapid increase in evidence to support a role for local tissue ('intracrine') regulation of endometrial steroids (Gibson *et al.* 2013, 2016a, 2018b). Key findings have included direct measurement of steroids in endometrial tissue homogenates recovered during the menstrual cycle: notably Huhtinen and colleagues reported they did not parallel those in blood (Huhtinen *et al.* 2012, 2014). In women (but not in mice), the adrenals are an important source of sulphated steroids that circulate at high concentrations in the blood but are unable to bind directly to the steroid receptors. A brief summary of enzymes detected in endometrial tissue and their substrates is provided in Fig. 2 with a few complementary references discussed subsequently. Readers interested in the topic of intracrine steroids are recommended to read the comprehensive review by Konings *et al.* which includes a systematic search for papers reporting expression of steroidogenic enzymes in pre- and postmenopausal endometrium (Konings *et al.* 2018).

Briefly, a strong case has been made that the 'inactive' adrenal steroid dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) is an important precursor of bioactive androgens in women (Labrie *et al.* 2005), a proposal which has been supported by detection of all the enzymes that regulate conversion of DHEA via intermediates to testosterone, DHT or oestrogens (Gibson *et al.* 2013, 2016a, 2018c). Catalano and colleagues reported increased expression of *AKR1C3* in the early secretory phase (Catalano *et al.* 2011), consistent with results obtained using an *in vitro* model of stromal decidualisation (Gibson *et al.* 2016a).

Figure 2

Simplified diagram of key biosynthetic steroids implicated in intracrine biosynthesis of oestrogens and androgens within endometrial tissue. In pre-menopausal women both the adrenal and ovary are the primary sites of biosynthesis of steroids. Expression of all enzymes illustrated has been validated in human tissue or primary endometrial stromal cells exposed to a decidualisation stimulus (Gibson *et al.* 2013, 2016a, 2018b). For a more comprehensive steroidogenic pathway, readers are referred to the review by Konings *et al.* (2018).

Inter-conversion of active/inactive oestrogens and androgens is mediated via 17β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase isozymes, of which several isoforms are expressed in endometrium. For example, 17βHSD type 1 is responsible for production of testosterone and E2, from A4 and E1, respectively, whereas 17βHSD2 catalyses the opposite reaction. *HSD17B2*, expressed in glandular epithelial cells, is markedly increased in the secretory phase (Maentausta *et al.* 1991), and reported overexpression of 17βHSD2 is a feature of endometrium in women with disorders such as endometriosis, adenomyosis, and/or leiomyomas (fibroids) rather than those who are disease-free (Kitawaki *et al.* 2000).

Expression of steroid sulphatase (STS) in endometrial tissue can catalyse conversion of DHEAS to DHEA (Fig. 2) but can also increase the concentration of E1 by removal of sulphate moieties from E1S. Using an *in vitro* model of decidualisation, we have confirmed expression of both STS and aromatase (*CYP19A1*) in endometrial stromal cells with evidence that both enzymes contribute to production of oestrogens during decidualisation (Gibson *et al.* 2013, 2018a).

Endometrial disorders: altered expression of enzymes and receptors implicated in disease aetiology

Implantation failure and recurrent miscarriage

Timely and efficient decidualization of endometrial stromal cells in response to ovarian-derived progesterone is essential for the generation of an endometrial microenvironment that can support and nurture the implanting blastocyst. Disruption of decidualization is implicated in implantation failure and miscarriage.

Studies in mice using aromatase inhibitors (AI) demonstrated local intra-uterine production of E2 is critical for establishment of pregnancy (Das *et al.* 2009). In women, E2 is produced during decidualisation of endometrial stromal cells which regulates uNK cell migration (Gibson *et al.* 2015, 2020). Given the evidence that disturbances in the numbers/location of uNK cells can predispose women to experiencing a miscarriage (Lash *et al.* 2016), these data are consistent with a role for E2 in regulating the endometrial microenvironment during the establishment of pregnancy.

We have demonstrated that during *in vitro* decidualisation of primary human endometrial stromal cells there is a significant increase in the expression of AKR1C3, the enzyme responsible for the conversion of androstenedione to testosterone, which is also accompanied by increased secretion of testosterone into the culture medium (Gibson *et al.* 2016a). In addition, blocking AR action using flutamide during *in vitro* decidualisation revealed a role for AR-mediated gene expression of osteopontin, a protein implicated in receptivity (Gibson *et al.* 2016a). Further studies using primary human endometrial stromal cells from women of advanced reproductive age suggested that the age-related decline in adrenal steroids may have an impact on the ability of the endometrium to support a pregnancy and that increased availability of adrenal precursors enhanced androgen production and secretion of decidualisation markers (Gibson *et al.* 2018c). Intravaginal supplementation with DHEA has shown promising results in alleviating postmenopausal vaginal dryness and atrophy in clinical trials without any adverse effects (Labrie 2019), but delivery into the endometrium of premenopausal women has not been tested. Other studies have reported a positive impact of DHT on stromal cell

decidualisation and resistance to oxidative stress (using hydrogen peroxide) (Kajihara *et al.* 2012), expanding our understanding of the potentially beneficial role of androgens as direct modulators of endometrial function as well as precursors of oestrogen biosynthesis (see review by Gibson *et al.* 2016b).

Failure to downregulate ER α during the secretory phase (see Fig. 1 and discussion) has been reported in women with defects in uterine receptivity (Lessey *et al.* 2006). A complementary study using samples from women with unexplained infertility also showed that in these patients elevated expression of ER α in the mid-luteal phase was associated with reduced expression of glycodelin-A, low levels of which have been implicated in recurrent implantation failure (Dorostghoal *et al.* 2018). There is no information about dysregulation of ER β in implantation failure. Fertility problems in women with polycystic ovaries and excess androgens might relate to overstimulation of AR signalling pathways, but currently the evidence is quite limited (Schulte *et al.* 2015).

Endometrial cancer

The majority of endometrial cancers (EC) present with abnormal endometrial bleeding in postmenopausal women: rates are rising particularly in younger women, with obesity considered a significant contributing factor (Table 1, reviewed by Sanderson *et al.* 2017). EC are historically classified as type 1 or type 2; type 1 is the most commonly diagnosed form (about 80% of the cases), is considered oestrogen-dependent and characterised by hyperplastic proliferation of the endometrial glands. A large number of studies have investigated the source and impact of oestrogens in endometrial cancer with landmark papers including those by Sasano and collaborators who reported evidence of increased immunoexpression of aromatase, STS and 17 β HSD enzymes in both endometrial hyperplasia and EC (Sasano *et al.* 1996, Utsunomiya *et al.* 2001, 2004). A recent comprehensive systematic review considered the evidence that intracrine metabolism contributes to EC (Cornel *et al.* 2019). The authors highlighted the importance of sulphatase and aromatase enzymes in the generation of E1 and E2 within endometrial cancer tissue in promoting a pro-oestrogenic environment favouring proliferation of epithelial cells (Cornel *et al.* 2019). The authors sounded a note of caution by highlighting the variability between individuals and methodologies which may explain some variations in drug responses (discussed subsequently).

The best evidence for an impact of androgens on EC risk has come from studies in women with polycystic ovarian disease, where the risk of type 1 cancers is higher in women with symptoms of androgen excess such as hirsutism and irregular periods (Fearnley *et al.* 2010). Tanaka *et al.* reported DHT was elevated in endometrioid endometrial adenocarcinoma tissues compared with that in normal endometrial tissues (8.0 fold) in a group of 41 patients (Tanaka *et al.* 2015). These results have been complemented by reports that AKR1C3 (conversion from A4 to testosterone) and 5 α -reductase (reduction of testosterone to DHT) are both expressed in EC (Ito *et al.* 2016, Gibson *et al.* 2018a).

Expression of ER α , ER β 1 and splice variant isoforms of ER β (ER β 2, ER β 5) in EC have been documented (Collins *et al.* 2009, 2019). In a recent paper we highlighted the potential that ER β 5, a variant unable to bind directly to E2, may still influence the response of EC to oestrogens by forming heterodimers with ER α (Collins *et al.* 2019). High GPER expression is predictive of poor survival in endometrial cancers (Smith *et al.* 2007). Prossnitz and colleagues have reported interesting results using ER α -negative/GPER-positive cells which suggest activation of downstream signalling in response to SERMs such as tamoxifen may explain why women treated with this drug are at higher risk of EC (Petrie *et al.* 2013). We, and others, have reported widespread expression of AR in EC (reviewed in Gibson *et al.* 2014). Evidence that loss of AR is associated with poorer prognosis, reports that AR was elevated in metastases (Kamal *et al.* 2016), and that androgens may be anti-proliferative in EC cells have raised the prospect that SARMs should be explored for this cancer as well as those of breast (see subsequent section). There are no reports of AR variants being expressed in EC.

Endometriosis

Endometriosis is an oestrogen-dependent neuroinflammatory pain disorder characterised by the presence of 'lesions' of endometrial-like tissue in sites outside the uterus (Horne & Saunders 2019). Endometriosis and adenomyosis are often found in the same patient and may share a common aetiology (Yovich *et al.* 2019). Infertility is a common co-morbidity of endometriosis and differences between expression profiles of mRNAs, miRNA and proteins in endometrial biopsies from controls and women with endometriosis have been reported (Burney *et al.* 2007, 2009) and have recently been reviewed (Bulun *et al.* 2019). Notably, there remain differing views as to whether receptivity is or is not affected (Lessey & Kim 2017,

Miravet-Valenciano *et al.* 2017). Studies comparing the impact of a decidualisation stimulus on isolated endometrial stromal cells have reported alterations in the expression of steroidogenic enzymes in cells from women with endometriosis (Aghajanova *et al.* 2009). Blunted responses to progesterone, often termed 'progesterone resistance', are considered a hallmark of the disorder (Aghajanova *et al.* 2010, Bulun *et al.* 2010). Some have questioned whether this property is an innate feature of the eutopic endometrial cells or acquired when they grow in ectopic sites (McKinnon *et al.* 2018).

Some of the best evidence for the importance of intracrine action of steroids has come from studies comparing concentrations of steroids in lesions and eutopic endometrium in women with endometriosis (Huhtinen *et al.* 2012, 2014). To complement mass spectrometry data, expression of enzymes in lesions such as aromatase, AKR1C3 and STS has been measured with evidence that their overexpression is responsible for generation of a lesion tissue environment rich in oestrogens that can bind ERs or GPER (Rizner 2009, 2016). Notably, aromatase appears to be involved in local biosynthesis of both E2 and the pro-inflammatory regulator prostaglandin E2 (Attar & Bulun 2006). Upregulation of ER β is also considered a hallmark of the altered microenvironment of lesions, which may promote the impact of oestrogens on inflammation, angiogenesis or pain pathways (Bulun *et al.* 2012, Greaves *et al.* 2014a,b).

Adenomyosis

Adenomyosis is a condition characterised by the presence of heterotopic endometrial glands and stroma within the myometrium and has traditionally been difficult to diagnose as it can present with symptoms such as infertility, pain and heavy menstrual bleeding, which are also characteristics of other conditions, including endometriosis and fibroids (Pontis *et al.* 2016). Recent advances in imaging offer hope for improved understanding of its presentation and pathogenesis (Chapron *et al.* 2020). Altered gene expression in the endometrium of women with adenomyosis has been reported, although results have been based on small numbers of samples (Herndon *et al.* 2016, Xiang *et al.* 2019). It has been suggested that development of adenomyosis may involve mechanisms activated but not resolved during endometrial tissue injury with a common aetiology to some forms of endometriosis (Donnez *et al.* 2018, 2019). Studies using tissue recovered from women with adenomyosis have identified increased expression of

GPER and some association between GPER polymorphisms with the disease; however, it must be noted that study populations have been small (Li *et al.* 2017, Hong *et al.* 2019). *In vitro* studies have identified pathways promoting E2-induced overproliferation of uterine smooth muscle cells from women with adenomyosis (Sun *et al.* 2015). Immunostaining of tissue sections from adenomyosis uteri have detected changes in ER α , reduced PR and elevated expression of ER β (Mehasseb *et al.* 2011) and aromatase (Barcena de Arellano *et al.* 2013), all consistent with an oestrogen-dependent disease. In older papers, expression of AR has been reported (Horie *et al.* 1992).

Drugs targeting sex steroid metabolism

Aromatase inhibitors

An excellent historical summary of the discovery of aromatase, identification of increased expression in quadrants of breast containing a tumour, and the development and refinement of aromatase inhibitors (AIs) has been published by leaders in the field (Santen *et al.* 2009). The development of highly effective 3rd generation AIs (anastrozole, letrozole, exemestane) led to clinical trials for a number of indications including postmenopausal breast cancer, gynaecomastia in men and ovarian cancer (Miller *et al.* 2001, Santen *et al.* 2009, Langdon *et al.* 2017). One key reproducible finding has been a lower rate of EC and venous thrombosis in women treated with AIs compared with those treated with tamoxifen (Chlebowski *et al.* 2015). The ClinicalTrials.gov website lists 22 trials with search terms endometrial cancer+aromatase inhibitor with the main focus being on women with more advanced disease. Many trials are not yet completed but evidence of benefit in some ER+ cancers has been reported. For example, in 40 women treated with exemestane, there was remission in 10% and lack of progression after 6 months in 35% of the patients (Lindemann *et al.* 2014). The PARAGON trial, a phase 2 open label study using anastrozole in 82 patients with ER and/or PR positive hormonal therapy naive metastatic endometrial cancer, reported clinical benefit in 44% of patients (Mileshkin *et al.* 2019), although results from other trials have been disappointing and may have been influenced by obesity in the target population (van Weelden *et al.* 2019). Some promising results have been reported following treatment of women with the rarer cancer low grade endometrial sarcoma with AIs (reviewed in Pannier *et al.* 2019).

Letrozole and anastrozole have also been evaluated in both pre- and postmenopausal women with endometriosis (Pavone & Bulun 2012). These authors propose that AIs appear to be a suitable therapy for endometriosis-associated pain in women who are postmenopausal by targeting the intracrine oestrogen biosynthesis that contributes to sustained symptoms in this age group. Recent advances have included development of vaginal ring delivery systems for co-administration of anastrozole and the androgenic progestin levonorgestrel (LNG) as a potential therapy for endometriosis-associated pain: a phase I trial reported promising findings (Schultze-Mosgau *et al.* 2016). While these results seem promising, a recent ESHRE guideline that considered whether AIs should be given in combination with contraceptives or other therapies concluded that due to side effects they should only be prescribed to women after all other options for medical or surgical treatment are exhausted (Dunselman *et al.* 2014). AIs have also been suggested as therapies for adenomyosis but with the caveat that further studies are required (Vannuccini *et al.* 2018).

Sulphatase inhibitors

A number of potent STS inhibitors have been developed with the primary indication being treatment of hormone-dependent cancers (Day *et al.* 2009, Purohit & Foster 2012). The compound STX64 (Irosustat) was effective in blocking oestrogen synthesis in endometrial cancer cells *in vitro* and was tested as a therapy for advanced endometrial cancer before being discontinued as a mono-therapy by Ipsen (Pautier *et al.* 2017). Irosustat has recently been used as an addition to aromatase inhibitors in women with advanced ER+ breast cancer and reported as having a positive clinical impact (Palmieri *et al.* 2017). Another inhibitor, estradiol-3-O-sufamate (E2MATE), has been reported which decreased STS activity in human endometrial explants and decreased lesion weight and size but did not alter systemic oestrogens in a mouse model of endometriosis (Colette *et al.* 2011). E2MATE, under the trade name PGL2001, has been shown to reduce STS activity in endometrium when given once a week for 4 weeks (Pohl *et al.* 2014); the same drug was used in a trial for treatment of endometriosis-associated pain (NCT01631981) but results have not been reported.

Hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase inhibitors

17 β HSD1 inhibitors were originally developed to target the biosynthesis of bioactive E2 in hormone-dependent

breast cancer (Day *et al.* 2008). Recently, with evidence for expression of 17 β HSD1 in endometriosis lesions, their use has been expanded to treatment of endometriosis with promising results reported (Delvoux *et al.* 2014). The role of 17 β HSD5/AKR1C3 in metabolism of steroids and prostaglandins, both of which are implicated in endometriosis-associated pain, make it an attractive target as a novel therapy for this disorder. A number of inhibitors have been developed with the Bayer compound BAY1128688 showing sufficient promise for it to be used in a phase 2 randomised clinical trial to assess efficacy of different doses in 121 women with symptomatic endometriosis. The trial (NCT03373422) was terminated after 8 months due to an increased incidence of liver toxicity highlighting the challenge of developing drugs that may target enzymes present in multiple tissues (van Weelden *et al.* 2019). In their recent review, Rizner & Penning (2020) concluded that the 'hepatotoxicity effect was probably compound related which does not preclude AKR1C3 as a target' and that development of other drugs targeting this enzyme alone or in combination with other targets is continuing (Wangtrakuldee *et al.* 2019).

Dual/combined targeting

While initial studies have focused on mono-therapies, a new generation of drugs with dual actions has also been developed – examples include those that target aromatase and STS (DASI, Purohit & Foster 2012) or STS and 17 β HSD1. While some *in vitro* studies seem promising, clinical trials are yet to be completed (reviewed in Potter 2018).

Drugs targeting oestrogen and androgen receptors and their potential to treat endometrial disorders

The solving of the crystal structure of nuclear ERs as well as detailed modelling of the impact of ligand binding on conformation, recruitment of co-factors and gene expression laid the foundation for the development of synthetic ligands that exhibit selectivity, tissue-specific agonism, antagonism or induce receptor degradation; a comprehensive perspective and background is provided by Burris *et al.* (2013). Table 2 summarises the specificities and properties of some of the novel non-steroidal ligands developed to target ERs and AR, a number of which have been investigated in the context of endometrial disorders and are discussed subsequently.

Table 2 Non-steroidal drugs targeting oestrogen and androgen receptors.

Name	Receptor activity	Clinical trials	References
PPT	ER α selective agonist	Stimulates epithelial cell proliferation	(Frasor <i>et al.</i> 2003)
DPN	ER β selective agonist	Stimulates endometrial endothelial cells	(Greaves <i>et al.</i> 2013)
LNS8801	GPER agonist	Phase 1 open label clinical trial in advanced solid and hematologic cancers	NCT04130516
G36	GPER antagonist	Improved selectivity compared to G15	(Dennis <i>et al.</i> 2011)
Tamoxifen	SERM	Treatment and prevention of ER α -positive breast cancers in pre- and post-menopausal women. Agonist action in endometrium	(Jordan 2003)
Raloxifene, Evista	SERM	Prevention of invasive breast cancer in post-menopausal women. Positive effects on bone, cognition, cardiovascular system	(Muchmore 2000)
Fulvestrant, Faslodex	SERD	Licensed as first line endocrine management for advanced breast cancer in post-menopausal women	(Blackburn <i>et al.</i> 2018)
Bazedoxifene, Duavee	SERM/SERD	Positive impacts on bone, approved for HRT, SERD in endometrium	(Fanning <i>et al.</i> 2018)
GTx24, Enobosarm	SARM	Muscle wasting in cancer, breast cancer, urinary stress incontinence	(Gao & Dalton 2007)
GTx007, Andarine	SARM, partial agonist	Tested in preclinical models; issues with use in doping	(Kearbey <i>et al.</i> 2007)
GSK2881078	SARM, long half life	Muscle loss in patients with chronic disease (discontinued)	(Neil <i>et al.</i> 2018)
AZD3514	SARD	Improved muscle mass in healthy women Moderate anti-tumour activity in advanced castrate-resistant PCa. Significant levels of nausea and vomiting	(Omlin <i>et al.</i> 2015)

Each drug is identified by its common abbreviation or registered name, the activity as reported in the literature, whether it has been used in one or more clinical trial(s), and a key reference is provided.

DPN, 2,3-bis(4-hydroxyphenyl)-propionitrile; G36, ($\pm$)-(3aR*,4S*,9bS*)-4-(6-bromo-1,3-benzodioxol-5-yl)-3a,4,5,9b-tetrahydro-8-(1-methylethyl)-3H-cyclopenta[c]quinoline; GPER, G protein-coupled oestrogen receptor 1; PPT, 4,4',4''-(4-propyl-[1H]-pyrazole-1,3,5-triyl)trisphenol; SARM, selective androgen receptor modulator; SERD, selective oestrogen receptor degrader; SERM, selective oestrogen receptor modulator.

Oestrogen receptors

Agonists and antagonists with selectivity for ER α , ER β and GPER have been validated using a range of cell based and animal models (Table 2). When Frasor *et al.* (2003) compared the effect of 4 $\times$ daily injections of 4,4',4''-(4-propyl-[1H]-pyrazole-1,3,5-triyl)trisphenol (PPT) or 2,3-bis(4-hydroxyphenyl)-propionitrile (DPN) to immature (d21) female mice, they noted differences in tissue response which they attributed to activation of ER α or ER β respectively. PPT caused epithelial cell proliferation, increased uterine weight and expression of lactoferrin but decreased Ar mRNA. In contrast, DPN did not increase uterine weight or luminal epithelial cell proliferation but appeared able to reduce stimulation by PPT. These findings are consistent with a large body of work that implicates ER α as the major regulator of oestrogen-dependent proliferation in the uterus (Hewitt & Korach 2003, Winuthayanon *et al.* 2017). In contrast, it appears that ER β may have other functions including specific roles in inflammation and angiogenesis (Critchley *et al.* 2001, Gibson & Saunders 2012, Greaves *et al.* 2013, Gibson *et al.* 2015). There have been fewer studies focussed on GPER, but when Zhang *et al.* (2017) treated primary endometrial

stromal cells with E2, G1 (($\pm$)-1-[(3aR*,4S*,9bS*)-4-(6-bromo-1,3-benzodioxol-5-yl)-3a,4,5,9b-tetrahydro-3H-cyclopenta[c]quinolin-8-yl]-ethanone) or G15 ((3aS*,4R*,9bR*)-4-(6-bromo-1,3-benzodioxol-5-yl)-3a,4,5,9b-3H-cyclopenta[c]quinolone), they reported that stimulation of GPER with G1 mimicked the impact of E2 and resulted in stabilisation of HIF protein and increased expression of VEGF and MMP9. The Prossnitz group generated a GPER antagonist (G36, ($\pm$)-(3aR*,4S*,9bS*)-4-(6-bromo-1,3-benzodioxol-5-yl)-3a,4,5,9b-tetrahydro-8-(1-methylethyl)-3H-cyclopenta[c]quinolone, Table 2) with improved selectivity and reported that it can block multiple E2-mediated second messenger signalling pathways and endometrial cell proliferation (Dennis *et al.* 2011).

Selective oestrogen receptor modulators (SERMs)

Selective oestrogen receptor modulators (SERMs) were developed to treat ER α -positive breast cancers with the ideal SERM being one that acts as an antagonist in breast but an agonist in bone (Burris *et al.* 2013). The evolution in our understanding of tissue selective activities of ligand-activated receptors coupled with the

discovery of different ER subtypes and splice variants has resulted in several generations of SERMs. Tamoxifen is a first generation SERM that displays agonism in the endometrium, increasing EC risk; second generation SERMs such as Raloxifene do not agonize endometrial growth and are associated with lower risk of EC and may have additional positive effects on cognition and the cardiovascular system (Muchmore 2000). Other SERMs have a mixture of agonist/antagonist activity in endometrium, agonist activity in bone and antagonism in breast (Pickar *et al.* 2018).

Selective oestrogen receptor degraders (SERDs)

Selective oestrogen receptor degraders (SERDs) antagonize ER α and induce its degradation, resulting in a decrease in ER α protein levels: they do not show agonist properties in other tissues (Kieser *et al.* 2010). Fulvestrant was the first SERD to be approved as a therapeutic and is commonly used as a treatment for advanced breast cancer (Blackburn *et al.* 2018). Although originally marketed under the trade name Faslodex by AstraZeneca, manufacture of generic versions has been approved by the US Federal Drugs Administration. A number of new generation SERDs are in development (Pepermans & Prossnitz 2019), one of which is bazedoxifene (BZA), a compound which exhibits SERD properties in breast cancer with beneficial properties in bone and no adverse impact on endometrium leading to its approval for hormone replacement therapies (Pickar *et al.* 2018). Recent mechanistic studies suggest BZA may be useful in treating cancers which contain ER α mutants (Fanning *et al.* 2018). In addition to activation by endogenous oestrogens, there is evidence that GPER may also be activated by SERMs/SERDs developed to target ER α which may explain some apparent discordant results in ER α negative cancers (see review by Meyer *et al.* 2011).

Androgen receptors

Selective androgen receptor modulators (SARMs) have been developed to support the beneficial impacts of AR-mediated cell function in bone and muscle without the adverse side effects seen with high doses of testosterone or DHT (gynaecomastia, aggression, prostate hyperplasia) (Burris *et al.* 2013, McEwan 2013) (Table 2). New generation SARMs have been proposed as therapeutics for women suffering from breast cancer, muscle wasting or urinary incontinence and a number of clinical trials have been undertaken to evaluate their use for these indications (Brodie & McEwan 2005, Dalton *et al.* 2011).

Targeting oestrogen receptors in endometrial disorders

A high proportion of low grade EC express ER α as well as progesterone receptors. In a recent systematic review, van Weelden and colleagues highlighted the progestins as a first-line hormonal therapy and use of antioestrogens as an alternative therapy option, highlighting results from ten trials using SERMs or SERDs as monotherapies between 1981 and 2013 (van Weelden *et al.* 2019). All studies showed some beneficial response to therapy, although results were variable and the authors concluded that tamoxifen or a combination of tamoxifen and progestin might be the best choice when selecting second-line hormonal treatment. In subsequent studies, the SERM Ospemifene has been shown as an effective in treatment of vaginal symptoms in postmenopausal women (Archer *et al.* 2019) and only acts as an agonist in endometrium in high doses. The SERD fulvestrant/faslodex (Table 2) has been investigated as a treatment for endometrial cancer in phase I/II trials, although well-tolerated, it has low oral bioavailability and further trials are needed (Bogliolo *et al.* 2017). Another recent study suggested dual targeting of ER α with tamoxifen and ERR α with XCT790 may be beneficial for EC treatment, but this requires further validation (Mao *et al.* 2019).

While administration of SERMs/SERDs may be appropriate for postmenopausal women with cancer, their use in younger women with non-malignant endometrial disorders such as endometriosis is more challenging with data limited to promising results in preclinical models (Kulak *et al.* 2011, Khine *et al.* 2018). The observation that ER β is highly expressed in endometriosis lesions and the development of ER β -selective agonists such as ER β -041 with apparent anti-inflammatory properties provided a rationale for testing them as therapies for endometriosis with promising results obtained in preclinical models (Harris 2006). Several clinical trials were conducted with ER β -041, but no positive outcomes were reported. In a recent review, Guo and Groothuis highlighted a number of reasons why drugs targeting ER β including the SERM Fulvestrant and ER β -041 failed to deliver the patient benefit in clinical trials. The reasons highlighted included, but were not limited to, animal models that did not recapitulate long-established disease, translation of dose from rodent to women and incomplete understanding of the role of ER β antagonism in pain mechanisms (Guo & Groothuis 2018). SERMs are not considered suitable therapies for adenomyosis (Pontis *et al.* 2016). The SERM Ormeloxifene, developed for use as a contraceptive,

has also shown promising results in treating heavy menstrual bleeding (HMB) in perimenopausal women in India (Pati *et al.* 2017).

GPER has also been investigated as a target for treatment of endometriosis with reports that the GPER agonist G-1 induced cell cycle arrest and apoptosis of stromal cells derived from ovarian endometriosis cysts (Mori *et al.* 2015). GPER has been implicated in E2-stimulated nociceptive pain in endometriosis, with results in a mouse model showing administration of the selective GPER antagonist G36 inhibited the pain response (Alvarez *et al.* 2014). Properly designed clinical trials are needed to explore GPER as a target for relief of painful symptoms in endometriosis in women.

Targeting androgen receptors in endometrial disorders

The development of SARMs has prompted renewed interest in targeting of AR in reproductive disorders while also raising concerns related to side effects including hirsutism that are a hallmark of excess androgens in PCOS. Transgender individuals may be one group who might benefit from SARMs, as administration of high concentrations of testosterone can result in abnormal uterine bleeding and metabolism to oestrogen may explain increased rates of endometrial cancer (Grimstad *et al.* 2019), but there are no registered clinical trials.

Danazol is a synthetic androgen first used as a treatment in the 1970s: it binds AR with high affinity and is also reported to reduce the activity of a number of enzymes including steroid sulphatase (Carlstrom *et al.* 1984). Danazol has anti-proliferative effects on uterine cells (Kauppila *et al.* 1985). A systematic review of RCTs using Danazol to treat endometriosis concluded that treatment was associated with reduced lesion size and relief of pain symptoms and that women who took Danazol were more satisfied with their treatment compared with women who had placebo treatment (Farquhar *et al.* 2007). The anti-proliferative and hormone-suppressive activities of Danazol has formed the basis of treatments for adenomyosis (Vannuccini *et al.* 2018) and heavy menstrual bleeding (Beaumont *et al.* 2007) with efficacy being demonstrated. The androgenic activity of Danazol is associated with side effects including hirsutism and deepening of the voice and it is contraindicated for women at risk of pregnancy because of the risk of virilisation of the fetus (Farquhar *et al.* 2007). These side effects have limited its use and prompted efforts to develop therapies that are less virilising.

Using a mouse model, we have compared the impact of DHT with Danazol and new generation SARMs GTx-024 and GTx-007 (Table 2) and found that both Danazol and GTx-024 restored uterine weight of ovariectomised female mice to that of intact animals, while GTx-007 had no similar effect (Simitsidellis *et al.* 2019). These preclinical studies highlight the importance of considering impacts on the endometrium when women are included in clinical trials using SARMs (Dalton *et al.* 2011, Neil *et al.* 2018). While SARMs have been used in clinical trials for treatment of breast cancer, they have not as yet been tested as treatments for endometrial cancer or endometriosis (Narayanan *et al.* 2018). Standard medical treatment for HMB involves targeting of the progesterone receptor either with the androgenic progestagen levonorgestrel delivered in an intra-uterine device or with newly developed selective progesterone receptor modulators (SPRMs; Maybin & Critchley 2016). Interestingly, administration of progesterone receptor antagonists or SPRMs such as UPA (ulipristal acetate) as a treatment for heavy menstrual bleeding results in a significant increase in expression of AR (Whitaker *et al.* 2017) which may, in part, explain their anti-proliferative action. Treatment with new generation SARMs is yet to be investigated.

Summary and future directions

The endometrium is a dynamic tissue which, by virtue of its expression of high affinity receptors, is exquisitely sensitive to the actions of oestrogens and androgens. Temporal and spatial changes in tissue function in response to steroids play a critical role in preparation for pregnancy and in breakdown and shedding if pregnancy does not occur. Balanced regulation of sex-steroid action is essential for endometrial function and is controlled via local metabolism and cell- and tissue-specific expression of steroid receptors/isoforms. Drugs targeting steroid metabolising enzyme activity and/or receptor function have reported efficacy in several endometrial disorders, but their use has often been limited due to lack of tissue specificity and undesirable side-effect profiles. Recent development of drugs that selectively target steroid receptors such as next generation SERMs, SERDs, SARMs and SARDs show promise as new therapeutics, but further preclinical studies and clinical trials are needed to determine if these drugs have efficacy specifically for the indication of endometrial disorders.

Declaration of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest that could be perceived as prejudicing the impartiality of this review.

Funding

Funding for salaries and research in the Saunders laboratory has been supported by MRC programme grants MR/N024524/1 and G1100356/1.

References

- Aghajanova L, Hamilton A, Kwintkiewicz J, Vo KC & Giudice LC 2009 Steroidogenic enzyme and key decidualization marker dysregulation in endometrial stromal cells from women with versus without endometriosis. *Biology of Reproduction* **80** 105–114. (<https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.108.070300>)
- Aghajanova L, Velarde MC & Giudice LC 2010 Altered gene expression profiling in endometrium: evidence for progesterone resistance. *Seminars in Reproductive Medicine* **28** 51–58. (<https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0029-1242994>)
- Alvarez P, Bogen O & Levine JD 2014 Role of nociceptor estrogen receptor GPR30 in a rat model of endometriosis pain. *Pain* **155** 2680–2686. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2014.09.035>)
- Archer DF, Simon JA, Portman DJ, Goldstein SR & Goldstein I 2019 Ospemifene for the treatment of menopausal vaginal dryness, a symptom of the genitourinary syndrome of menopause. *Expert Review of Endocrinology and Metabolism* **14** 301–314. (<https://doi.org/10.1080/17446651.2019.1657008>)
- Attar E & Bulun SE 2006 Aromatase and other steroidogenic genes in endometriosis: translational aspects. *Human Reproduction Update* **12** 49–56. (<https://doi.org/10.1093/humupd/dmi034>)
- Barcena de Arellano ML, Oldeweme J, Arnold J, Schneider A & Mechsner S 2013 Remodeling of estrogen-dependent sympathetic nerve fibers seems to be disturbed in adenomyosis. *Fertility and Sterility* **100** 801–809. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2013.05.013>)
- Beaumont HH, Augood C, Duckitt K & Lethaby A 2007 Danazol for heavy menstrual bleeding. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* **3** CD001017. (<https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD001017.pub2>)
- Blackburn SA, Parks RM & Cheung KL 2018 Fulvestrant for the treatment of advanced breast cancer. *Expert Review of Anticancer Therapy* **18** 619–628. (<https://doi.org/10.1080/14737140.2018.1473038>)
- Bogliolo S, Cassani C, Dominoni M, Orlandini A, Ferrero S, Iacobone AD, Viazzo F, Venturini PL, Spinillo A & Gardella B 2017 The role of fulvestrant in endometrial cancer. *Expert Opinion on Drug Metabolism and Toxicology* **13** 537–544. (<https://doi.org/10.1080/17425255.2016.1244264>)
- Bombail V, Macpherson S, Critchley HO & Saunders PT 2008 Estrogen receptor related beta is expressed in human endometrium throughout the normal menstrual cycle. *Human Reproduction* **23** 2782–2790. (<https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/den298>)
- Bombail V, Collins F, Brown P & Saunders PT 2010a Modulation of ER alpha transcriptional activity by the orphan nuclear receptor ERR beta and evidence for differential effects of long- and short-form splice variants. *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology* **314** 53–61. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mce.2009.09.007>)
- Bombail V, Gibson DA, Collins F, MacPherson S, Critchley HO & Saunders PT 2010b A role for the orphan nuclear receptor estrogen-related receptor alpha in endometrial stromal cell decidualization and expression of genes implicated in energy metabolism. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* **95** E224–E228. (<https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2010-0154>)
- Brodie J & McEwan IJ 2005 Intra-domain communication between the N-terminal and DNA-binding domains of the androgen receptor: modulation of androgen response element DNA binding. *Journal of Molecular Endocrinology* **34** 603–615. (<https://doi.org/10.1677/jme.1.01723>)
- Bulun SE, Cheng YH, Pavone ME, Xue Q, Attar E, Trukhacheva E, Tokunaga H, Utsunomiya H, Yin P, Luo X, *et al.* 2010 Estrogen receptor-beta, estrogen receptor-alpha, and progesterone resistance in endometriosis. *Seminars in Reproductive Medicine* **28** 36–43. (<https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0029-1242991>)
- Bulun SE, Monsavais D, Pavone ME, Dyson M, Xue Q, Attar E, Tokunaga H & Su EJ 2012 Role of estrogen receptor-beta in endometriosis. *Seminars in Reproductive Medicine* **30** 39–45. (<https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0031-1299596>)
- Bulun SE, Yilmaz BD, Sison C, Miyazaki K, Bernardi L, Liu S, Kohlmeier A, Yin P, Milad M & Wei J 2019 Endometriosis. *Endocrine Reviews* **40** 1048–1079. (<https://doi.org/10.1210/er.2018-00242>)
- Burney RO, Talbi S, Hamilton AE, Vo KC, Nyegaard M, Nezhat CR, Lessey BA & Giudice LC 2007 Gene expression analysis of endometrium reveals progesterone resistance and candidate susceptibility genes in women with endometriosis. *Endocrinology* **148** 3814–3826. (<https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2006-1692>)
- Burney RO, Hamilton AE, Aghajanova L, Vo KC, Nezhat CN, Lessey BA & Giudice LC 2009 MicroRNA expression profiling of eutopic secretory endometrium in women with versus without endometriosis. *Molecular Human Reproduction* **15** 625–631. (<https://doi.org/10.1093/molehr/gap068>)
- Burris TP, Solt LA, Wang Y, Crumbley C, Banerjee S, Griffett K, Lundasen T, Hughes T & Kojetin DJ 2013 Nuclear receptors and their selective pharmacologic modulators. *Pharmacological Reviews* **65** 710–778. (<https://doi.org/10.1124/pr.112.006833>)
- Carlstrom K, Doberl A, Pousette A, Rannevik G & Wilking N 1984 Inhibition of steroid sulfatase activity by danazol. *Acta Obstetrica et Gynecologica Scandinavica: Supplement* **123** 107–111. (<https://doi.org/10.3109/00016348409156994>)
- Carmeci C, Thompson DA, Ring HZ, Francke U & Weigel RJ 1997 Identification of a gene (GPR30) with homology to the G-protein-coupled receptor superfamily associated with estrogen receptor expression in breast cancer. *Genomics* **45** 607–617. (<https://doi.org/10.1006/geno.1997.4972>)
- Carroll JS, Liu XS, Brodsky AS, Li W, Meyer CA, Szary AJ, Eeckhoutte J, Shao W, Hestermann EV, Geistlinger TR, *et al.* 2005 Chromosome-wide mapping of estrogen receptor binding reveals long-range regulation requiring the forkhead protein FoxA1. *Cell* **122** 33–43. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2005.05.008>)
- Carroll JS, Meyer CA, Song J, Li W, Geistlinger TR, Eeckhoutte J, Brodsky AS, Keeton EK, Fertuck KC, Hall GE, *et al.* 2006 Genome-wide analysis of estrogen receptor binding sites. *Nature Genetics* **38** 1289–1297. (<https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1901>)
- Catalano RD, Wilson MR, Boddy SC & Jabbour HN 2011 Comprehensive expression analysis of prostanoid enzymes and receptors in the human endometrium across the menstrual cycle. *Molecular Human Reproduction* **17** 182–192. (<https://doi.org/10.1093/molehr/gaq094>)
- Chapron C, Vannuccini S, Santulli P, Abrao MS, Carmona F, Fraser IS, Gordts S, Guo SW, Just PA, Noel JC, *et al.* 2020 Diagnosing adenomyosis: an integrated clinical and imaging approach. *Human Reproduction Update* **26** 392–411. (<https://doi.org/10.1093/humupd/dmz049>)
- Chlebowski RT, Haque R, Hedlin H, Col N, Paskett E, Manson JE, Kubo JT, Johnson KC, Wactawski-Wende J, Pan K, *et al.* 2015 Benefit/risk for adjuvant breast cancer therapy with tamoxifen or aromatase inhibitor use by age, and race/ethnicity. *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment* **154** 609–616. (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10549-015-3647-1>)
- Colette S, Defrere S, Lousse JC, Van Langendonck A, Gotteland JP, Loumaye E & Donnez J 2011 Inhibition of steroid sulfatase decreases

- endometriosis in an in vivo murine model. *Human Reproduction* **26** 1362–1370. (<https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/der079>)
- Collins F, Macpherson S, Brown P, Bombail V, Williams AR, Anderson RA, Jabbour HN & Saunders PT 2009 Expression of oestrogen receptors, ERalpha, ERbeta, and ERbeta variants, in endometrial cancers and evidence that prostaglandin F may play a role in regulating expression of ERalpha. *BMC Cancer* **9** 330. (<https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2407-9-330>)
- Collins F, Itani N, Esnal Zufiaurre A, Gibson DA, Fitzgerald C & Saunders PT 2019 The ERbeta5 splice variant increases oestrogen responsiveness of ERalpha-positive Ishikawa cells. *Endocrine-Related Cancer* **27** 55–66. (<https://doi.org/10.1530/ERC-19-0291>)
- Cornel KMC, Bongers MY, Kruitwagen RPFM & Romano A 2019 Local estrogen metabolism (intracrinology) in endometrial cancer: a systematic review. *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology* **489** 45–65. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mce.2018.10.004>)
- Cousins FL, Murray A, Esnal A, Gibson DA, Critchley HO & Saunders PT 2014 Evidence from a mouse model that epithelial cell migration and mesenchymal-epithelial transition contribute to rapid restoration of uterine tissue integrity during menstruation. *PLoS ONE* **9** e86378. (<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0086378>)
- Cousins FL, Kirkwood PM, Murray AA, Collins F, Gibson DA & Saunders PT 2016a Androgens regulate scarless repair of the endometrial 'wound' in a mouse model of menstruation. *FASEB Journal* **30** 2802–2811. (<https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.201600078R>)
- Cousins FL, Kirkwood PM, Saunders PT & Gibson DA 2016b Evidence for a dynamic role for mononuclear phagocytes during endometrial repair and remodelling. *Scientific Reports* **6** 36748. (<https://doi.org/10.1038/srep36748>)
- Critchley HO & Saunders PT 2009 Hormone receptor dynamics in a receptive human endometrium. *Reproductive Sciences* **16** 191–199. (<https://doi.org/10.1177/1933719108331121>)
- Critchley HOD, Brenner RM, Henderson TA, Williams K, Nayak NR, Slayden OD, Millar MR & Saunders PTK 2001 Estrogen receptor beta, but not estrogen receptor alpha, is present in the vascular endothelium of the human and nonhuman primate endometrium. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* **86** 1370–1378. (<https://doi.org/10.1210/jcem.86.3.7317>)
- Critchley HO, Henderson TA, Kelly RW, Scobie GS, Evans LR, Groome NP & Saunders PT 2002 Wild-type estrogen receptor (ERbeta1) and the splice variant (ERbetacx/beta2) are both expressed within the human endometrium throughout the normal menstrual cycle. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* **87** 5265–5273. (<https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2002-020502>)
- Dalton JT, Barnette KG, Bohl CE, Hancock ML, Rodriguez D, Dodson ST, Morton RA & Steiner MS 2011 The selective androgen receptor modulator GTx-024 (enobosarm) improves lean body mass and physical function in healthy elderly men and postmenopausal women: results of a double-blind, placebo-controlled phase II trial. *Journal of Cachexia, Sarcopenia and Muscle* **2** 153–161. (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s13539-011-0034-6>)
- Das A, Mantena SR, Kannan A, Evans DB, Bagchi MK & Bagchi IC 2009 De novo synthesis of estrogen in pregnant uterus is critical for stromal decidualization and angiogenesis. *PNAS* **106** 12542–12547. (<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0901647106>)
- Day JM, Foster PA, Tutill HJ, Parsons MF, Newman SP, Chander SK, Allan GM, Lawrence HR, Vicker N, Potter BV, *et al.* 2008 17beta-Hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1, and not type 12, is a target for endocrine therapy of hormone-dependent breast cancer. *International Journal of Cancer* **122** 1931–1940. (<https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.23350>)
- Day JM, Purohit A, Tutill HJ, Foster PA, Woo LW, Potter BV & Reed MJ 2009 The development of steroid sulfatase inhibitors for hormone-dependent cancer therapy. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* **1155** 80–87. (<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2008.03677.x>)
- Dehm SM & Tindall DJ 2011 Alternatively spliced androgen receptor variants. *Endocrine-Related Cancer* **18** R183–R196. (<https://doi.org/10.1530/ERC-11-0141>)
- Delvoux B, D'Hooghe T, Kyama C, Koskimies P, Hermans RJ, Dunselman GA & Romano A 2014 Inhibition of type 1 17beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase impairs the synthesis of 17beta-estradiol in endometriosis lesions. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* **99** 276–284. (<https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2013-2503>)
- Dennis MK, Field AS, Burai R, Ramesh C, Petrie WK, Bologna CG, Oprea TI, Yamaguchi Y, Hayashi S, Sklar LA, *et al.* 2011 Identification of a GPER/GPR30 antagonist with improved estrogen receptor counterselectivity. *Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* **127** 358–366. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsbmb.2011.07.002>)
- Donnez J, Donnez O & Dolmans MM 2018 Introduction: uterine adenomyosis, another enigmatic disease of our time. *Fertility and Sterility* **109** 369–370. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2018.01.035>)
- Donnez J, Dolmans MM & Fellah L 2019 What if deep endometriotic nodules and uterine adenomyosis were actually two forms of the same disease? *Fertility and Sterility* **111** 454–456. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2018.12.018>)
- Dorostghoal M, Ghaffari HO, Marmazi F & Keikha N 2018 Overexpression of endometrial estrogen receptor-alpha in the window of implantation in women with unexplained infertility. *International Journal of Fertility and Sterility* **12** 37–42. (<https://doi.org/10.22074/ijfs.2018.5118>)
- Dreisler E & Kjer JJ 2019 Asherman's syndrome: current perspectives on diagnosis and management. *International Journal of Women's Health* **11** 191–198. (<https://doi.org/10.2147/ijwh.s165474>)
- Dunselman GA, Vermeulen N, Becker C, Calhaz-Jorge C, D'Hooghe T, De Bie B, Heikinheimo O, Horne AW, Kiesel L, Nap A, *et al.* 2014 ESHRE guideline: management of women with endometriosis. *Human Reproduction* **29** 400–412. (<https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/det457>)
- Fanning SW, Jeselsohn R, Dharmarajan V, Mayne CG, Karimi M, Buchwalter G, Houtman R, Toy W, Fowler CE, Han R, *et al.* 2018 The SERM/SERD bazedoxifene disrupts ESR1 helix 12 to overcome acquired hormone resistance in breast cancer cells. *eLife* **7** e37161. (<https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37161>)
- Farquhar C, Prentice A, Singla AA & Selak V 2007 Danazol for pelvic pain associated with endometriosis. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* **4** CD000068. (<https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD000068.pub2>)
- Fearnley EJ, Marquart L, Spurdle AB, Weinstein P, Webb PM & Australian Ovarian Cancer Study Group and Australian National Endometrial Cancer Study Group 2010 Polycystic ovary syndrome increases the risk of endometrial cancer in women aged less than 50 years: an Australian case-control study. *Cancer Causes and Control* **21** 2303–2308. (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10552-010-9658-7>)
- Flouriot G, Brand H, Dengler S, Metivier R, Kos M, Reid G, Sonntag-Buck V & Gannon F 2000 Identification of a new isoform of the human estrogen receptor-alpha (hER-alpha) that is encoded by distinct transcripts and that is able to repress hER-alpha activation function 1. *EMBO Journal* **19** 4688–4700. (<https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/19.17.4688>)
- Frasor J, Barnett DH, Danes JM, Hess R, Parlow AF & Katzenellenbogen BS 2003 Response-specific and ligand dose-dependent modulation of estrogen receptor (ER) alpha activity by ERbeta in the uterus. *Endocrinology* **144** 3159–3166. (<https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2002-0143>)
- Gao W & Dalton JT 2007 Expanding the therapeutic use of androgens via selective androgen receptor modulators (SARMs). *Drug Discovery Today* **12** 241–248. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drudis.2007.01.003>)
- Garry R, Hart R, Karthigasu KA & Burke C 2009 A re-appraisal of the morphological changes within the endometrium during menstruation: a hysteroscopic, histological and scanning electron microscopic study. *Human Reproduction* **24** 1393–1401. (<https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dep036>)

- Gellersen B, Brosens IA & Brosens JJ 2007 Decidualization of the human endometrium: mechanisms, functions, and clinical perspectives. *Seminars in Reproductive Medicine* **25** 445–453. (<https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2007-991042>)
- Gibson DA & Saunders PT 2012 Estrogen dependent signaling in reproductive tissues – a role for estrogen receptors and estrogen related receptors. *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology* **348** 361–372. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mce.2011.09.026>)
- Gibson DA, McInnes KJ, Critchley HO & Saunders PT 2013 Endometrial Intracrinology – generation of an estrogen-dominated microenvironment in the secretory phase of women. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* **98** E1802–E1806. (<https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2013-2140>)
- Gibson DA, Simitsidellis I, Collins F & Saunders PT 2014 Evidence of androgen action in endometrial and ovarian cancers. *Endocrine-Related Cancer* **21** T203–T218. (<https://doi.org/10.1530/ERC-13-0551>)
- Gibson DA, Greaves E, Critchley HO & Saunders PT 2015 Estrogen-dependent regulation of human uterine natural killer cells promotes vascular remodelling via secretion of CCL2. *Human Reproduction* **30** 1290–1301. (<https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dev067>)
- Gibson DA, Simitsidellis I, Cousins FL, Critchley HO & Saunders PT 2016a Intracrine androgens enhance decidualization and modulate expression of human endometrial receptivity genes. *Scientific Reports* **6** 19970. (<https://doi.org/10.1038/srep19970>)
- Gibson DA, Simitsidellis I & Saunders PT 2016b Regulation of androgen action during establishment of pregnancy. *Journal of Molecular Endocrinology* **57** R35–R47. (<https://doi.org/10.1530/JME-16-0027>)
- Gibson DA, Foster PA, Simitsidellis I, Critchley HOD, Kelepouri O, Collins F & Saunders PTK 2018a Sulfation PATHWAYS: a role for steroid sulphatase in intracrine regulation of endometrial decidualisation. *Journal of Molecular Endocrinology* **61** M57–M65. (<https://doi.org/10.1530/JME-18-0037>)
- Gibson DA, Simitsidellis I, Collins F & Saunders PTK 2018b Endometrial intracrinology: oestrogens, androgens and endometrial disorders. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* **19** 3276. (<https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19103276>)
- Gibson DA, Simitsidellis I, Kelepouri O, Critchley HOD & Saunders PTK 2018c Dehydroepiandrosterone enhances decidualization in women of advanced reproductive age. *Fertility and Sterility* **109** 728.e2–734.e2. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2017.12.024>)
- Gibson DA, Esnal-Zufiaurre A, Bajo-Santos C, Collins F, Critchley HOD & Saunders PTK 2020 Profiling the expression and function of oestrogen receptor isoform ER46 in human endometrial tissues and uterine natural killer cells. *Human Reproduction* **35** 641–651. (<https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dez306>)
- Greaves E, Collins F, Critchley HO & Saunders PT 2013 ERbeta-dependent effects on uterine endothelial cells are cell specific and mediated via Sp1. *Human Reproduction* **28** 2490–2501. (<https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/det235>)
- Greaves E, Collins F, Esnal-Zufiaurre A, Giakoumelou S, Horne AW & Saunders PT 2014a Estrogen receptor (ER) agonists differentially regulate neuroangiogenesis in peritoneal endometriosis via the repellent factor SLIT3. *Endocrinology* **155** 4015–4026. (<https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2014-1086>)
- Greaves E, Cousins FL, Murray A, Esnal-Zufiaurre A, Fassbender A, Horne AW & Saunders PT 2014b A novel mouse model of endometriosis mimics human phenotype and reveals insights into the inflammatory contribution of shed endometrium. *American Journal of Pathology* **184** 1930–1939. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajpath.2014.03.011>)
- Grimstad FW, Fowler KG, New EP, Ferrando CA, Pollard RR, Chapman G, Gomez-Lobo V & Gray M 2019 Uterine pathology in transmasculine persons on testosterone: a retrospective multicenter case series. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* **220** 257.e1–257.e7. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2018.12.021>)
- Gronemeyer H, Gustafsson JA & Laudet V 2004 Principles for modulation of the nuclear receptor superfamily. *Nature Reviews: Drug Discovery* **3** 950–964. (<https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd1551>)
- Guo SW & Groothuis PG 2018 Is it time for a paradigm shift in drug research and development in endometriosis/adenomyosis? *Human Reproduction Update* **24** 577–598. (<https://doi.org/10.1093/humupd/dmy020>)
- Hammes SR & Levin ER 2007 Extranuclear steroid receptors: nature and actions. *Endocrine Reviews* **28** 726–741. (<https://doi.org/10.1210/er.2007-0022>)
- Hapangama DK, Kamal AM & Bulmer JN 2015 Estrogen receptor beta: the guardian of the endometrium. *Human Reproduction Update* **21** 174–193. (<https://doi.org/10.1093/humupd/dmu053>)
- Harris HA 2006 The unexpected science of estrogen receptor-beta selective agonists: a new class of anti-inflammatory agents? *Nuclear Receptor Signaling* **4** e012. (<https://doi.org/10.1621/nrs.04012>)
- He B, Lanz RB, Fiskus W, Geng C, Yi P, Hartig SM, Rajapakshe K, Shou J, Wei L, Shah SS, *et al.* 2014 GATA2 facilitates steroid receptor coactivator recruitment to the androgen receptor complex. *PNAS* **111** 18261–18266. (<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1421415111>)
- Herndon CN, Aghajanova L, Balayan S, Erikson D, Barragan F, Goldfien G, Vo KC, Hawkins S & Giudice LC 2016 Global transcriptome abnormalities of the eutopic endometrium from women with adenomyosis. *Reproductive Sciences* **23** 1289–1303. (<https://doi.org/10.1177/1933719116650758>)
- Hewitt SC & Korach KS 2003 Oestrogen receptor knockout mice: roles for oestrogen receptors alpha and beta in reproductive tissues. *Reproduction* **125** 143–149. (<https://doi.org/10.1530/rep.0.1250143>)
- Hickey TE, Irvine CM, Dvinge H, Tarulli GA, Hanson AR, Ryan NK, Pickering MA, Birrell SN, Hu DG, Mackenzie PI, *et al.* 2015 Expression of androgen receptor splice variants in clinical breast cancers. *Oncotarget* **6** 44728–44744. (<https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.6296>)
- Hong DG, Park JY, Chong GO, Lee YH, Lee HJ, Shinn JU, Lee YS & Seong WJ 2019 Transmembrane G protein-coupled receptor 30 gene polymorphisms and uterine adenomyosis in Korean women. *Gynecological Endocrinology* **35** 498–501. (<https://doi.org/10.1080/09513590.2018.1540572>)
- Horard B & Vanacker JM 2003 Estrogen receptor-related receptors: orphan receptors desperately seeking a ligand. *Journal of Molecular Endocrinology* **31** 349–357. (<https://doi.org/10.1677/jme.0.0310349>)
- Horie K, Takakura K, Imai K, Liao S & Mori T 1992 Immunohistochemical localization of androgen receptor in the human endometrium, decidua, placenta and pathological conditions of the endometrium. *Human Reproduction* **7** 1461–1466. (<https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.humrep.a137595>)
- Horne AW & Saunders PTK 2019 SnapShot: endometriosis. *Cell* **179** 1677.e1–1677.e1. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.11.033>)
- Horne AW, Saunders PTK, Abokhrais IM, Hogg L & Endometriosis Priority Setting Partnership Steering Group 2017 Top ten endometriosis research priorities in the UK and Ireland. *Lancet* **389** 2191–2192. ([https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736\(17\)31344-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(17)31344-2))
- Huhtinen K, Stahle M, Perheentupa A & Poutanen M 2012 Estrogen biosynthesis and signaling in endometriosis. *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology* **358** 146–154. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mce.2011.08.022>)
- Huhtinen K, Saloniemi-Heinonen T, Keski-Rahkonen P, Desai R, Laajala D, Stahle M, Hakkinen MR, Awosanya M, Suvitie P, Kujari H, *et al.* 2014 Intra-tissue steroid profiling indicates differential progesterone and testosterone metabolism in the endometrium and endometriosis lesions. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* **99** E2188–E2197. (<https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2014-1913>)
- Ito K, Miki Y, Suzuki T, McNamara KM & Sasano H 2016 In situ androgen and estrogen biosynthesis in endometrial cancer: focus on androgen

- actions and intratumoral production. *Endocrine-Related Cancer* **23** R323–R335. (<https://doi.org/10.1530/ERC-15-0470>)
- Jacenic D, Cygankiewicz AI & Krajewska WM 2016 The G protein-coupled estrogen receptor as a modulator of neoplastic transformation. *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology* **429** 10–18. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mce.2016.04.011>)
- Jordan VC 2003 Tamoxifen: a most unlikely pioneering medicine. *Nature Reviews Drug Discovery* **2** 205–213. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drudis.2007.01.003>)
- Kajihara T, Tochigi H, Prechapanich J, Uchino S, Itakura A, Brosens JJ & Ishihara O 2012 Androgen signaling in decidualizing human endometrial stromal cells enhances resistance to oxidative stress. *Fertility and Sterility* **97** 185–191. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2011.10.017>)
- Kamal AM, Bulmer JN, DeCruze SB, Stringfellow HF, Martin-Hirsch P & Hapangama DK 2016 Androgen receptors are acquired by healthy postmenopausal endometrial epithelium and their subsequent loss in endometrial cancer is associated with poor survival. *British Journal of Cancer* **114** 688–696. (<https://doi.org/10.1038/bjc.2016.16>)
- Kaupilla A, Isotalo H, Kivinen S, Stenback F & Vihko R 1985 Short-term effects of danazol and medroxyprogesterone acetate on cytosol and nuclear estrogen and progesterin receptors, 17 beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase activity, histopathology, and ultrastructure of human endometrial adenocarcinoma. *International Journal of Cancer* **35** 157–163. (<https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.2910350203>)
- Kearbey JD, Gao W, Narayanan R, Fisher SJ, Wu D, Miller DD & Dalton JT 2007 Selective androgen receptor modulator (SARM) treatment prevents bone loss and reduces body fat in ovariectomized rats. *Pharmaceutical Research* **24** 328–335. (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11095-006-9152-9>)
- Khine YM, Taniguchi F, Nagira K, Nakamura K, Ohbayashi T, Osaki M & Harada T 2018 New insights into the efficacy of SR-16234, a selective estrogen receptor modulator, on the growth of murine endometriosis-like lesions. *American Journal of Reproductive Immunology* **80** e13023. (<https://doi.org/10.1111/aji.13023>)
- Kieser KJ, Kim DW, Carlson KE, Katzenellenbogen BS & Katzenellenbogen JA 2010 Characterization of the pharmacophore properties of novel selective estrogen receptor downregulators (SERDs). *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* **53** 3320–3329. (<https://doi.org/10.1021/jm100047k>)
- Kitawaki J, Koshihara H, Ishihara H, Kusuki I, Tsukamoto K & Honjo H 2000 Progesterone induction of 17beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 2 during the secretory phase occurs in the endometrium of estrogen-dependent benign diseases but not in normal endometrium. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* **85** 3292–3296. (<https://doi.org/10.1210/jcem.85.9.6829>)
- Kolkova Z, Noskova V, Ehinger A, Hansson S & Casslen B 2010 G Protein-coupled estrogen receptor 1 (GPER, GPR 30) in normal human endometrium and early pregnancy decidua. *Molecular Human Reproduction* **16** 743–751. (<https://doi.org/10.1093/molehr/gaq043>)
- Konings G, Brentjens L, Delvoux B, Linnanen T, Cornel K, Koskimies P, Bongers M, Kruitwagen R, Xanthouleas S & Romano A 2018 Intracrine regulation of estrogen and other sex steroid levels in endometrium and non-gynecological tissues; pathology, physiology, and drug discovery. *Frontiers in Pharmacology* **9** 940. (<https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2018.00940>)
- Kulak Jr J, Fischer C, Komm B & Taylor HS 2011 Treatment with Bazedoxifene, a selective estrogen receptor modulator, causes regression of endometriosis in a mouse model. *Endocrinology* **152** 3226–3232. (<https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2010-1010>)
- Labrie F 2019 Intracrinology and menopause: the science describing the cell-specific intracellular formation of estrogens and androgens from DHEA and their strictly local action and inactivation in peripheral tissues. *Menopause* **26** 220–224. (<https://doi.org/10.1097/GME.0000000000001177>)
- Labrie F, Luu-The V, Belanger A, Lin SX, Simard J, Pelletier G & Labrie C 2005 Is dehydroepiandrosterone a hormone? *Journal of Endocrinology* **187** 169–196. (<https://doi.org/10.1677/joe.1.06264>)
- Langdon SP, Gourley C, Gabra H & Stanley B 2017 Endocrine therapy in epithelial ovarian cancer. *Expert Review of Anticancer Therapy* **17** 109–117. (<https://doi.org/10.1080/14737140.2017.1272414>)
- Lannigan DA 2003 Estrogen receptor phosphorylation. *Steroids* **68** 1–9. ([https://doi.org/10.1016/s0039-128x\(02\)00110-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0039-128x(02)00110-1))
- Lash GE, Bulmer JN, Li TC, Innes BA, Mariee N, Patel G, Sanderson J, Quenby S & Laird SM 2016 Standardisation of uterine natural killer (uNK) cell measurements in the endometrium of women with recurrent reproductive failure. *Journal of Reproductive Immunology* **116** 50–59. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jri.2016.04.290>)
- Lavery DN & McEwan IJ 2008 Functional characterization of the native NH2-terminal transactivation domain of the human androgen receptor: binding kinetics for interactions with TFIIF and SRC-1a. *Biochemistry* **47** 3352–3359. (<https://doi.org/10.1021/bi702220p>)
- Lecce G, Meduri G, Ancelin M, Bergeron C & Perrot-Applanat M 2001 Presence of estrogen receptor beta in the human endometrium through the cycle: expression in glandular, stromal, and vascular cells. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* **86** 1379–1386. (<https://doi.org/10.1210/jcem.86.3.7322>)
- Lessey BA & Kim JJ 2017 Endometrial receptivity in the eutopic endometrium of women with endometriosis: it is affected, and let me show you why. *Fertility and Sterility* **108** 19–27. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2017.05.031>)
- Lessey BA, Killam AP, Metzger DA, Haney AF, Greene GL & McKarty KS 1988 Immunohistochemical analysis of human uterine oestrogen and progesterone receptors throughout the menstrual cycle. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* **67** 334–340. (<https://doi.org/10.1210/jcem-67-2-334>)
- Lessey BA, Palomino WA, Apparao KB, Young SL & Lininger RA 2006 Estrogen receptor-alpha (ER-alpha) and defects in uterine receptivity in women. *Reproductive Biology and Endocrinology* **4** (Supplement 1) S9. (<https://doi.org/10.1186/1477-7827-4-S1-S9>)
- Li JJ, Duan H, Wang S, Sun FQ, Gan L, Tang YQ, Xu Q & Li TC 2017 Expression pattern of G-protein-coupled estrogen receptor in myometrium of uteri with and without adenomyosis. *BioMed Research International* **2017** 5974693. (<https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/5974693>)
- Lindemann K, Malander S, Christensen RD, Mirza MR, Kristensen GB, Aavall-Lundqvist E, Vergote I, Rosenberg P, Boman K & Nordstrom B 2014 Examestane in advanced or recurrent endometrial carcinoma: a prospective phase II study by the Nordic Society of Gynecologic Oncology (NSGO). *BMC Cancer* **14** 68. (<https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2407-14-68>)
- Lucas ES, Vrljick P, Muter J, Diniz-da-Costa MM, Brighton PJ, Kong C-S, Lipecki J, Fishwick KJ, Odendaal J, Ewington LJ, *et al.* 2020 Recurrent pregnancy loss is associated with a pro-senescent decidual response during the peri-implantation window. *Communications Biology* **3** 37. (<https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-020-0763-1>)
- Maentausta O, Sormunen R, Isomaa V, Lehto VP, Jouppila P & Vihko R 1991 Immunohistochemical localization of 17 beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase in the human endometrium during the menstrual cycle. *Laboratory Investigation* **65** 582–587.
- Mao X, Dong B, Gao M, Ruan G, Huang M, Braicu EI, Sehoul J & Sun P 2019 Dual targeting of estrogen receptor alpha and estrogen-related receptor alpha: a novel endocrine therapy for endometrial cancer. *Oncotargets and Therapy* **12** 6757–6767. (<https://doi.org/10.2147/OTT.S216146>)
- Marshall E, Lowrey J, Macpherson S, Maybin JA, Collins F, Critchley HO & Saunders PT 2011 In silico analysis identifies a novel role for androgens in the regulation of human endometrial apoptosis. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* **96** E1746–E1755. (<https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2011-0272>)

- Maybin JA & Critchley HO 2016 Medical management of heavy menstrual bleeding. *Women's Health* **12** 27–34. (<https://doi.org/10.2217/whe.15.100>)
- McEwan IJ 2013 Androgen receptor modulators: a marriage of chemistry and biology. *Future Medicinal Chemistry* **5** 1109–1120. (<https://doi.org/10.4155/fmc.13.69>)
- McKenna NJ, Lanz RB & O'Malley BW 1999 Nuclear receptor co-regulators: cellular and molecular biology. *Endocrine Reviews* **20** 321–344. (<https://doi.org/10.1210/edrv.20.3.0366>)
- McKinnon B, Mueller M & Montgomery G 2018 Progesterone resistance in endometriosis: an acquired property? *Trends in Endocrinology and Metabolism* **29** 535–548. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tem.2018.05.006>)
- Mehasseb MK, Panchal R, Taylor AH, Brown L, Bell SC & Habiba M 2011 Estrogen and progesterone receptor isoform distribution through the menstrual cycle in uteri with and without adenomyosis. *Fertility and Sterility* **95** 2228.e1–2235.e1, 2235.e2221. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2011.02.051>)
- Meyer MR, Prossnitz ER & Barton M 2011 The G protein-coupled estrogen receptor GPER/GPR30 as a regulator of cardiovascular function. *Vascular Pharmacology* **55** 17–25. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vph.2011.06.003>)
- Mileshkin L, Edmondson R, O'Connell RL, Sjoquist KM, Andrews J, Jyothirmayi R, Beale P, Bonaventura T, Goh J, Hall M, *et al.* 2019 Phase 2 study of anastrozole in recurrent estrogen (ER)/progesterone (PR) positive endometrial cancer: the Paragon trial – ANZGOG 0903. *Gynecologic Oncology* **154** 29–37. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygyno.2019.05.007>)
- Miller WR, Dixon JM, Cameron DA & Anderson TJ 2001 Biological and clinical effects of aromatase inhibitors in neoadjuvant therapy. *Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* **79** 103–107. ([https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-0760\(01\)00149-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-0760(01)00149-2))
- Miravet-Valenciano J, Ruiz-Alonso M, Gomez E & Garcia-Velasco JA 2017 Endometrial receptivity in eutopic endometrium in patients with endometriosis: it is not affected, and let me show you why. *Fertility and Sterility* **108** 28–31. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2017.06.002>)
- Mori T, Ito F, Matsushima H, Takaoka O, Tanaka Y, Koshiba A, Kusuki I & Kitawaki J 2015 G Protein-coupled estrogen receptor 1 agonist G-1 induces cell cycle arrest in the mitotic phase, leading to apoptosis in endometriosis. *Fertility and Sterility* **103** 1228.e1–1235.e1. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2015.01.026>)
- Muchmore DB 2000 Raloxifene: a selective estrogen receptor modulator (SERM) with multiple target system effects. *Oncologist* **5** 388–392. (<https://doi.org/10.1634/theoncologist.5-5-388>)
- Nadal M, Prekovic S, Gallastegui N, Helsen C, Abella M, Zielinska K, Gay M, Vilaseca M, Taules M, Houtsmuller AB, *et al.* 2017 Structure of the homodimeric androgen receptor ligand-binding domain. *Nature Communications* **8** 14388. (<https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14388>)
- Naftalin J, Hoo W, Pateman K, Mavrelou D, Holland T & Jurkovic D 2012 How common is adenomyosis? A prospective study of prevalence using transvaginal ultrasound in a gynaecology clinic. *Human Reproduction* **27** 3432–3439. (<https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/des332>)
- Narayanan R, Coss CC & Dalton JT 2018 Development of selective androgen receptor modulators (SARMs). *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology* **465** 134–142. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mce.2017.06.013>)
- Narvekar N, Cameron S, Critchley HO, Lin S, Cheng L & Baird DT 2004 Low-dose mifepristone inhibits endometrial proliferation and up-regulates androgen receptor. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* **89** 2491–2497. (<https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2003-031945>)
- Neil D, Clark RV, Magee M, Billiard J, Chan A, Xue Z & Russell A 2018 GSK2881078, a SARM, produces dose-dependent increases in lean mass in healthy older men and women. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* **103** 3215–3224. (<https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2017-02644>)
- Noyes RW, Hertig AT & Rock J 1975 Dating the endometrial biopsy. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* **122** 262–263. ([https://doi.org/10.1016/S0002-9378\(16\)33500-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0002-9378(16)33500-1))
- Omlin A, Jones JR, van der Noll R, Satoh T, Niwakawa M, Smith SA, Graham J, Ong M, Finkelman RD, Schellens JH, *et al.* 2015 AZD3514, an oral selective androgen receptor down-regulator in patients with castration-resistant prostate cancer - results of two parallel first-in-human phase I studies. *Investigational New Drugs* **33** 679–690. (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10637-015-0235-5>)
- Palmieri C, Stein RC, Liu X, Hudson E, Nicholas H, Sasano H, Guestini F, Holcombe C, Barrett S, Kenny L, *et al.* 2017 IRIS study: a phase II study of the steroid sulfatase inhibitor Irosustat when added to an aromatase inhibitor in ER-positive breast cancer patients. *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment* **165** 343–353. (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10549-017-4328-z>)
- Pannier D, Cordoba A, Ryckewaert T, Robin YM & Penel N 2019 Hormonal therapies in uterine sarcomas, aggressive angiosarcoma, and desmoid-type fibromatosis. *Critical Reviews in Oncology/Hematology* **143** 62–66. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.critrevonc.2019.08.007>)
- Pati T, Chananian K, Marandi S & Hansa J 2017 Ormeloxifene – Looking beyond contraception. *Journal of Mid-Life Health* **8** 17–20. (https://doi.org/10.4103/jmh.JMH_71_16)
- Pautier P, Vergote I, Joly F, Melichar B, Kutarska E, Hall G, Lisyanskaya A, Reed N, Oaknin A, Ostapenko V, *et al.* 2017 A phase 2, randomized, open-label study of irosustat versus megestrol acetate in advanced endometrial cancer. *International Journal of Gynecological Cancer* **27** 258–266. (<https://doi.org/10.1097/JGCM.0000000000000862>)
- Pavone ME & Bulun SE 2012 Aromatase inhibitors for the treatment of endometriosis. *Fertility and Sterility* **98** 1370–1379. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2012.08.053>)
- Pawar S, Laws MJ, Bagchi IC & Bagchi MK 2015 Uterine epithelial estrogen receptor- α controls decidualization via a paracrine mechanism. *Molecular Endocrinology* **29** 1362–1374. (<https://doi.org/10.1210/me.2015-1142>)
- Pepermans RA & Prossnitz ER 2019 ER α -targeted endocrine therapy, resistance and the role of GPER. *Steroids* **152** 108493. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.steroids.2019.108493>)
- Petrie WK, Dennis MK, Hu C, Dai D, Arterburn JB, Smith HO, Hathaway HJ & Prossnitz ER 2013 G Protein-coupled estrogen receptor-selective ligands modulate endometrial tumor growth. *Obstetrics and Gynecology International* **2013** 472720. (<https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/472720>)
- Petz LN, Ziegler YS, Schultz JR, Kim H, Kemper JK & Nardulli AM 2004 Differential regulation of the human progesterone receptor gene through an estrogen response element half site and Sp1 sites. *Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* **88** 113–122. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsmb.2003.11.008>)
- Pickar JH, Boucher M & Morgenstern D 2018 Tissue selective estrogen complex (TSEC): a review. *Menopause* **25** 1033–1045. (<https://doi.org/10.1097/GME.0000000000001095>)
- Plante BJ, Lessey BA, Taylor RN, Wang W, Bagchi MK, Yuan L, Scotchie J, Fritz MA & Young SL 2012 G Protein-coupled estrogen receptor (GPER) expression in normal and abnormal endometrium. *Reproductive Sciences* **19** 684–693. (<https://doi.org/10.1177/1933719111431000>)
- Pohl O, Bestel E & Gotteland JP 2014 Synergistic effects of E2MATE and norethindrone acetate on steroid sulfatase inhibition: a randomized phase I proof-of-principle clinical study in women of reproductive age. *Reproductive Sciences* **21** 1256–1265. (<https://doi.org/10.1177/1933719114522526>)
- Ponnusamy S, He Y, Hwang DJ, Thiyagarajan T, Houtman R, Bocharova V, Sumpter BG, Fernandez E, Johnson D, Du Z, *et al.* 2019 Orally bioavailable androgen receptor degrader, potential next-generation therapeutic for enzalutamide-resistant prostate cancer. *Clinical Cancer*

- Research **25** 6764–6780. (<https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-19-1458>)
- Pontis A, D'Alterio MN, Pirarba S, de Angelis C, Tinelli R & Angioni S 2016 Adenomyosis: a systematic review of medical treatment. *Gynecological Endocrinology* **32** 696–700. (<https://doi.org/10.1080/09513590.2016.1197200>)
- Potter BVL 2018 SULFATION PATHWAYS: Steroid sulphatase inhibition via aryl sulphamates: clinical progress, mechanism and future prospects. *Journal of Molecular Endocrinology* **61** T233–T252. (<https://doi.org/10.1530/JME-18-0045>)
- Prossnitz ER & Hathaway HJ 2015 What have we learned about GPER function in physiology and disease from knockout mice? *Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* **153** 114–126. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsbmb.2015.06.014>)
- Purohit A & Foster PA 2012 Steroid sulfatase inhibitors for estrogen- and androgen-dependent cancers. *Journal of Endocrinology* **212** 99–110. (<https://doi.org/10.1530/JOE-11-0266>)
- Quenby S, Nik H, Innes B, Lash G, Turner M, Drury J & Bulmer J 2009 Uterine natural killer cells and angiogenesis in recurrent reproductive failure. *Human Reproduction* **24** 45–54. (<https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/den348>)
- Rizner TL 2009 Estrogen metabolism and action in endometriosis. *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology* **307** 8–18. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mce.2009.03.022>)
- Rizner TL 2016 The important roles of steroid sulfatase and sulfotransferases in gynecological diseases. *Frontiers in Pharmacology* **7** 30. (<https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2016.00030>)
- Rizner TL & Penning TM 2020 Aldo-keto reductase 1C3-Assessment as a new target for the treatment of endometriosis. *Pharmacological Research* **152** 104446. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phrs.2019.104446>)
- Ryan NAJ, Glaire MA, Blake D, Cabrera-Dandy M, Evans DG & Crosbie EJ 2019 The proportion of endometrial cancers associated with Lynch syndrome: a systematic review of the literature and meta-analysis. *Genetics in Medicine* **21** 2167–2180. (<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41436-019-0536-8>)
- Sanderson PA, Critchley HO, Williams AR, Arends MJ & Saunders PT 2017 New concepts for an old problem: the diagnosis of endometrial hyperplasia. *Human Reproduction Update* **23** 232–254. (<https://doi.org/10.1093/humupd/dmw042>)
- Santen RJ, Brodie H, Simpson ER, Siiteri PK & Brodie A 2009 History of aromatase: saga of an important biological mediator and therapeutic target. *Endocrine Reviews* **30** 343–375. (<https://doi.org/10.1210/er.2008-0016>)
- Sasano H, Kaga K, Sato S, Yajima A, Nagura H & Harada N 1996 Aromatase cytochrome P450 gene expression in endometrial carcinoma. *British Journal of Cancer* **74** 1541–1544. (<https://doi.org/10.1038/bjc.1996.586>)
- Saunders PT, Millar MR, Macpherson S, Irvine DS, Groome NP, Evans LR, Sharpe RM & Scobie GA 2002 ERbeta1 and the ERbeta2 splice variant (ERbetacx/beta2) are expressed in distinct cell populations in the adult human testis. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* **87** 2706–2715. (<https://doi.org/10.1210/jcem.87.6.8619>)
- Schulte MM, Tsai JH & Moley KH 2015 Obesity and PCOS: the effect of metabolic derangements on endometrial receptivity at the time of implantation. *Reproductive Sciences* **22** 6–14. (<https://doi.org/10.1177/1933719114561552>)
- Schultze-Mosgau MH, Waelnitz K, Nave R, Klein S, Kraetzschmar J, Rautenberg T, Schmitz H & Rohde B 2016 Pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, safety and tolerability of an intravaginal ring releasing anastrozole and levonorgestrel in healthy premenopausal women: a Phase 1 randomized controlled trial. *Human Reproduction* **31** 1713–1722. (<https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dew145>)
- Shaaban AM, Green AR, Karthik S, Alizadeh Y, Hughes TA, Harkins L, Ellis IO, Robertson JF, Paish EC, Saunders PT, *et al.* 2008 Nuclear and cytoplasmic expression of ERbeta1, ERbeta2, and ERbeta5 identifies distinct prognostic outcome for breast cancer patients. *Clinical Cancer Research* **14** 5228–5235. (<https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-07-4528>)
- Shiau AK, Barstad D, Loria PM, Cheng L, Kushner PJ, Agard DA & Greene GL 1998 The structural basis of estrogen receptor/coactivator recognition and the antagonism of this interaction by tamoxifen. *Cell* **95** 927–937. ([https://doi.org/10.1016/s0092-8674\(00\)81717-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0092-8674(00)81717-1))
- Sierens JE, Scobie GA, Wilson J & Saunders PT 2004 Cloning of oestrogen receptor beta from old and new world primates: identification of splice variants and functional analysis. *Journal of Molecular Endocrinology* **32** 703–718. (<https://doi.org/10.1677/jme.0.0320703>)
- Simitsidelis I, Esnal-Zuffiaure A, Kelepouri O, O'Flaherty E, Gibson DA & Saunders PTK 2019 Selective androgen receptor modulators (SARMs) have specific impacts on the mouse uterus. *Journal of Endocrinology* **242** 227–239. (<https://doi.org/10.1530/JOE-19-0153>)
- Smith HO, Leslie KK, Singh M, Qualls CR, Revankar CM, Joste NE & Prossnitz ER 2007 GPR30: a novel indicator of poor survival for endometrial carcinoma. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* **196** 386.e381–386.e389; discussion 386.e389–386.e311. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2007.01.004>)
- Sun FQ, Duan H, Wang S & Li JJ 2015 17β-Estradiol induces overproliferation in adenomyotic human uterine smooth muscle cells of the junctional zone through hyperactivation of the estrogen receptor-enhanced RhoA/ROCK signaling pathway. *Reproductive Sciences* **22** 1436–1444. (<https://doi.org/10.1177/1933719115584447>)
- Tanaka S, Miki Y, Hashimoto C, Takagi K, Doe Z, Li B, Yaegashi N, Suzuki T & Ito K 2015 The role of 5α-reductase type 1 associated with intratumoral dihydrotestosterone concentrations in human endometrial carcinoma. *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology* **401** 56–64. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mce.2014.11.022>)
- Utsunomiya H, Suzuki T, Kaneko C, Takeyama J, Nakamura J, Kimura K, Yoshihama M, Harada N, Ito K, Konno R, *et al.* 2001 The analyses of 17β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase isozymes in human endometrial hyperplasia and carcinoma. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* **86** 3436–3443. (<https://doi.org/10.1210/jcem.86.7.7661>)
- Utsunomiya H, Ito K, Suzuki T, Kitamura T, Kaneko C, Nakata T, Niikura H, Okamura K, Yaegashi N & Sasano H 2004 Steroid sulfatase and estrogen sulfotransferase in human endometrial carcinoma. *Clinical Cancer Research* **10** 5850–5856. (<https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-04-0040>)
- van Weelden WJ, Massuger LFAG, ENITEC, Pijnenborg JMA & Romano A 2019 Anti-estrogen treatment in endometrial cancer: a systematic review. *Frontiers in Oncology* **9** 359. (<https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2019.00359>)
- Vannuccini S, Luisi S, Tosti C, Sorbi F & Petraglia F 2018 Role of medical therapy in the management of uterine adenomyosis. *Fertility and Sterility* **109** 398–405. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2018.01.013>)
- Wangtrakuldee P, Adeniji AO, Zang T, Duan L, Khatri B, Twenter BM, Estrada MA, Higgins TF, Winkler JD & Penning TM 2019 A 3-(4-nitronaphthen-1-yl) amino-benzoate analog as a bifunctional AKR1C3 inhibitor and AR antagonist: head to head comparison with other advanced AKR1C3 targeted therapeutics. *Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* **192** 105283. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsbmb.2019.01.001>)
- Whitaker L & Critchley HOD 2016 Abnormal uterine bleeding. *Best Practice & Research Clinical Obstetrics & Gynaecology* **34** 54–65. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2015.11.012>)
- Whitaker LH, Murray AA, Matthews R, Shaw G, Williams AR, Saunders PT & Critchley HO 2017 Selective progesterone receptor modulator (SPRM) ulipristal acetate (UPA) and its effects on the human endometrium. *Human Reproduction* **32** 531–543. (<https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dew359>)
- Wilkenfeld SR, Lin C & Frigo DE 2018 Communication between genomic and non-genomic signaling events coordinate steroid hormone actions. *Steroids* **133** 2–7. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.steroids.2017.11.005>)

- Winuthayanon W, Hewitt SC & Korach KS 2014 Uterine epithelial cell estrogen receptor alpha-dependent and -independent genomic profiles that underlie estrogen responses in mice. *Biology of Reproduction* **91** 110. (<https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.114.120170>)
- Winuthayanon W, Lierz SL, Delarosa KC, Sampels SR, Donoghue LJ, Hewitt SC & Korach KS 2017 Juxtacrine activity of estrogen receptor alpha in uterine stromal cells is necessary for estrogen-induced epithelial cell proliferation. *Scientific Reports* **7** 8377. (<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-07728-1>)
- Xiang Y, Sun Y, Yang B, Yang Y, Zhang Y, Yu T, Huang H, Zhang J & Xu H 2019 Transcriptome sequencing of adenomyosis eutopic endometrium: a new insight into its pathophysiology. *Journal of Cellular and Molecular Medicine* **23** 8381–8391. (<https://doi.org/10.1111/jcmm.14718>)
- Ye R, Pi M, Nooh MM, Bahout SW & Quarles LD 2019 Human GPRC6A mediates testosterone-induced mitogen-activated protein kinases and mTORC1 signaling in prostate cancer cells. *Molecular Pharmacology* **95** 563–572. (<https://doi.org/10.1124/mol.118.115014>)
- Young SL 2013 Oestrogen and progesterone action on endometrium: a translational approach to understanding endometrial receptivity. *Reproductive Biomedicine Online* **27** 497–505. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rbmo.2013.06.010>)
- Yovich JL, Rowlands PK, Lingham S, Sillender M & Srinivasan S 2020 Pathogenesis of endometriosis: look no further than John Sampson. *Reproductive Biomedicine Online* **40** 7–11. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rbmo.2019.10.007>)
- Zhang L, Xiong W, Li N, Liu H, He H, Du Y, Zhang Z & Liu Y 2017 Estrogen stabilizes hypoxia-inducible factor 1alpha through G protein-coupled estrogen receptor 1 in eutopic endometrium of endometriosis. *Fertility and Sterility* **107** 439–447. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2016.11.008>)
- Zhu BT, Han GZ, Shim JY, Wen Y & Jiang XR 2006 Quantitative structure-activity relationship of various endogenous estrogen metabolites for human estrogen receptor alpha and beta subtypes: insights into the structural determinants favoring a differential subtype binding. *Endocrinology* **147** 4132–4150. (<https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2006-0113>)

Received in final form 15 May 2020

Accepted 16 June 2020

Accepted Manuscript published online 16 June 2020