Biochemical and clinical aspects of glycogen storage diseases
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Abstract

The synthesis of glycogen represents a key pathway for the disposal of excess glucose while its degradation is crucial for providing energy during exercise and times of need. The importance of glycogen metabolism is also highlighted by human genetic disorders that are caused by mutations in the enzymes involved. In this review, we provide a basic summary on glycogen metabolism and some of the clinical aspects of the classical glycogen storage diseases. Disruptions in glycogen metabolism usually result in some level of dysfunction in the liver, muscle, heart, kidney and/or brain. Furthermore, the spectrum of symptoms observed is very broad, depending on the affected enzyme. Finally, we briefly discuss an aspect of glycogen metabolism related to the maintenance of its structure that seems to be gaining more recent attention. For example, in Lafora progressive myoclonus epilepsy, patients exhibit an accumulation of inclusion bodies in several tissues, containing glycogen with increased phosphorylation, longer chain lengths and irregular branch points. This abnormal structure is thought to make glycogen insoluble and resistant to degradation. Consequently, its accumulation becomes toxic to neurons, leading to cell death. Although the genes responsible have been identified, studies in the past two decades are only beginning to shed light into their molecular functions.

Introduction

Glycogen is a branched polysaccharide consisting of glucose units found primarily in animals, fungi and bacteria (Adeva-Andany et al. 2016). Over a century of research on this macromolecule has led to many accomplishments. From the glycogen storage diseases (GSDs), congenital disorders arising from mutations in enzymes controlling glycogen metabolism, we have obtained a clear picture in the cellular pathways involved. Along the way, this led to three Nobel prizes in physiology. The first was in 1947, awarded to Carl Ferdinand Cori and Gerty Theresa Cori, for their work on the catalytic conversion of glycogen (Cori & Cori 1929, Cori et al. 1937, 1939). The second was awarded to Earl Wilbur Sutherland in 1971 for demonstrating how epinephrine initiates a signal cascade to trigger glycogen breakdown by the enzyme glycogen phosphorylase (GP) (Sutherland & Wosilait 1955, 1956, Rall et al. 1956, Wosilait & Sutherland 1956, Berthet et al. 1957). Finally, Edmond Henri Fischer and Edwin Gerhard Krebs were awarded the prize in 1992 for demonstrating how reversible protein phosphorylation could control the activity of GP (Fischer & Krebs 1955, Krebs et al. 1958).

During the past 20 years, we have continued to broaden our understanding of the regulation of glycogen metabolism, as well as its importance to human disease. This review will attempt to touch upon both the clinical as well as the basic biochemical aspects of glycogen.
metabolism in normal and diseased states and provide a glimpse into what future studies may hold.

The biochemistry at a glance

In humans, glycogen is the main storage form of glucose and the primary means of non-oxidative glucose disposal into muscle and liver tissues (Shulman & Rothman 2001), although significant amounts are also found elsewhere, such as the brain and kidney (Adeva-Andany et al. 2016). During times of need, glycogen is rapidly broken down to produce glucose. In the muscle, this serves as an immediate and important source of energy for exercise during the first 30 min (Mul et al. 2015). In the liver, the breakdown of glycogen during fasting conditions contributes to hepatic glucose production that is crucial for maintaining blood glucose levels, to support the needs of other tissues (Petersen et al. 2017). Although glycogen is mobilized during fasting in both the liver and muscle, the latter tissue lacks key metabolic enzymes to allow it to transport glucose back into the bloodstream (briefly discussed below).

Glycogen synthesis and degradation are highly regulated multi-step processes involving distinct sets of enzymatic reactions (Adeva-Andany et al. 2016). It is generally believed that for glycogen synthesis to occur, an oligosaccharide primer is required that is first produced through the self-glycosylation of the protein glycogenin (Roach & Skurat 1997). This process requires the nucleotide sugar, uridine diphosphate glucose (UDP glucose), as the donor substrate (Fig. 1A). Up to seven glucose units can be added to glycogenin, and this is thought to be critical because the key enzyme that synthesizes glycogen, namely glycogen synthase (GS), can only extend an existing glucose chain. GS catalyzes the addition of glucose units (via UDP-glucose) to an existing glucose chain through α (1→4) glycosidic bonds (Fig. 1B). The activity of GS is considered to be the rate-limiting step in glycogen synthesis, and GS is known to be tightly controlled in multiple ways, including phosphorylation, allosteric activation and subcellular localization (Greenberg et al. 2006).

On the other side, the breakdown of glycogen occurs through two distinct pathways, one occurring in the cytosol (herein referred to as ‘glycogenolysis’), and one in the lysosomes (sometimes referred to as ‘glycophagy’ in the field). Glycogenolysis is carried out by the enzyme GP, which catalyzes the release of glucose-1-phosphate (G1P) from the ends of glycogen branches (Fig. 1C) (Johnson 1992). As with GS, the activity of GP is also regulated allosterically and by phosphorylation. The G1P produced can then be converted to glucose-6-phosphate (G6P) and funneled through glycolysis and other metabolic pathways (Agius et al. 2002).

During glycolysis, G6P is ultimately converted to pyruvate, which acts as a key metabolic intermediate for other pathways (Fig. 2). For example, the conversion of pyruvate to acetyl-coA generates an important substrate for the tricarboxylic acid cycle and fatty acid synthesis. Under certain conditions, the muscle can convert pyruvate to lactate (during anaerobic glycolysis) or alanine (during muscle breakdown), which are transported via the bloodstream to the liver, where they are then converted back to pyruvate (Cori cycle and alanine cycle). In the liver, pyruvate can also be converted back to glucose via gluconeogenesis. This pathway is similar to the reverse direction of glycolysis but requires unique enzymes to bypass a few endergonic reactions. For example, in the liver and kidney, the unique presence of the enzyme glucose-6-phosphatase (G6Pase) converts G6P to glucose, allowing it to be released into the bloodstream to support other tissues (Petersen et al. 2017). This step (Step 3 of gluconeogenesis in Fig. 2) is crucial as the conversion of
The second pathway for glycogen degradation requires the enzyme acid α-glucosidase (GAA) (Lim et al. 2014). While initially assembled in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), GAA is transported to lysosomes via the Golgi to degrade lysosomal glycogen via an autophagy-dependent pathway, also known as glycophagy (Kaur & Debnath 2015). Although studies in Drosophila suggest glycophagy and glycogenolysis can compensate for each other, both pathways seem to be required for maximal glycogen degradation (Zirin et al. 2013).

The significance of having two distinct pathways for the degradation of glycogen is not entirely clear. The majority of the studies have focused on the regulation of GP, especially in the context of hormonal regulation (e.g. insulin, glucagon, adrenaline) in response to feeding status and exercise. In contrast, much less is known about glycophagy, although one leading theory suggests that it plays a critical role for neonatal survival (Schiaffino et al. 2008). At birth, animals have shown the presence of many glycogen-containing autophagosomes, which are quickly mobilized within the first few hours. Thus, glycophagy may provide an immediate source of energy for the functioning of key tissues in the newborn, such as the heart and diaphragm muscles.

In addition to the above processes, glycogen is a branched polysaccharide and requires the branching enzyme, GBE1, to generate regularly spaced branch points (every 10–20 residues) through α (1→6) glycosidic bonds (Fig. 3A) (Adeva-Andany et al. 2016). The obvious advantage of glycogen branching is to provide multiple sites for glycogenolysis to occur in a more rapid fashion. However, glycogen branching is also important for increasing the solubility and decreasing the osmotic impact of the molecule.

An additional enzyme that needs to be introduced is the glycogen debranching enzyme (GDE) (Adeva-Andany et al. 2016). Although GP is the key enzyme in glycogenolysis, it is sterically hindered when it reaches a branch point that is four glucose residues away. At this point, debranching occurs through the two catalytic activities of GDE (Fig. 3B). First, one of the branches is

---

Figure 2
Schematic of the pathways linked to glycogen metabolism. Glycogen breakdown produces glucose-1-phosphate (via glycogenolysis) and glucose (via glycophagy and debranching enzyme activity). Both products enter into the glycolytic pathway giving rise to pyruvate, which acts as a key precursor for the TCA cycle, fatty acid synthesis and gluconeogenesis. The interconversion of pyruvate to lactate and alanine further integrate the metabolism of the liver and muscle tissues. Additionally, fructose-6-phosphate generated in glycolysis can also shunt to the pentose phosphate pathway for nucleotide synthesis.

---

Figure 3
Schematic of the enzymes involved in the (A) branching and (B) debranching of glycogen. Hexagons denote glucose monomers, with various colors added for clarity. For simplicity, glycogenin has been omitted in this figure. GBE1, glycogen branching enzyme 1; GDE, glycogen debranching enzyme.
transferred onto the other chain, but leaves a single glucose unit at the branch point. This is then removed to produce a single free glucose moiety. In contrast to the activities of GS and GP, little is known concerning the regulation of branching/debranching activities.

**Classical GSDs**

It is well established that glycogen metabolism plays an important role in exercise and blood glucose regulation. In the fed state, insulin stimulates glycogen storage in muscle and liver by simultaneously promoting glycogen synthesis and inhibiting glycogen breakdown. Conversely, in the fasted state, or during exercise, glucagon and catecholamines promote glycogen breakdown while inhibiting glycogen synthesis. The importance of glycogen is further highlighted by the fact that there are several congenital disorders caused by abnormalities in the function of the enzymes that control the synthesis, regulation and degradation of glycogen. This section will cover some aspects of these disorders, collectively termed the GSDs (Hicks et al. 2011). Cumulatively, the incidence of GSDs is rare (<1:20,000), and all are inherited in an autosomal recessive fashion, with the exception of GSD type IX and Danon disease (X-linked recessive).

**Type 0: GS deficiency**

Traditionally, GSDs are characterized by an abnormal accumulation of glycogen storage. GSD type 0, due to a deficiency in GS, is an exception, as glycogen storage is usually lacking (Weinstein et al. 2006). The two genes that encode different isoforms of GS (GYS1 and GYS2) are differentially expressed in tissues (Browner et al. 1989, Nuttall et al. 1994). While GYS1 is primarily expressed in cardiac and skeletal muscles, GYS2 expression is mainly restricted to the liver. Thus, symptoms will vary depending on which gene is affected. For GYS1, the defect in glycogen storage can lead to cardiomyopathy and exercise intolerance (Kollberg et al. 2007). In the liver, a deficiency in GYS2 expression prevents postprandial glycogen storage and can cause hyperglycemia and hyperlipidemia (Weinstein et al. 2006). Postprandial hyperlactatemia and fasting ketotic hypoglycemia are typical features of GSD type 0.

Table 1  A list of the classical glycogen storage diseases, Lafora disease and Danon disease depicting the enzyme/pathway involved and the Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM) number.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Alternate names or subtype</th>
<th>Affected enzyme/pathway</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>OMIM* phenotype no.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0a</td>
<td>Liver glycogen synthase</td>
<td>GYS2</td>
<td>240600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0b</td>
<td>Muscle glycogen synthase</td>
<td>GYS1</td>
<td>611556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Ia; von Gierke</td>
<td>Glucose-6-phosphatase α</td>
<td>G6PC</td>
<td>232200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ib; von Gierke</td>
<td>Glucose-6-phosphate transporter</td>
<td>SLC37A4</td>
<td>232220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Pompe</td>
<td>Acid α-glucosidase</td>
<td>GAA</td>
<td>232300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Cori/Forbes</td>
<td>Glycogen debranching enzyme</td>
<td>AGL</td>
<td>232400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Andersen</td>
<td>Glycogen branching enzyme</td>
<td>GBE1</td>
<td>232500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>McArdle</td>
<td>Muscle glycogen phosphorylase</td>
<td>PYGM</td>
<td>232600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>Hers</td>
<td>Liver glycogen phosphorylase</td>
<td>PYGL</td>
<td>232700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII</td>
<td>Tarui</td>
<td>Muscle phosphofructokinase</td>
<td>PFKM</td>
<td>232800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IX</td>
<td>IXa</td>
<td>Phosphorylase kinase (α2 subunit)</td>
<td>PHKA2</td>
<td>236000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IXb</td>
<td>Phosphorylase kinase (β subunit)</td>
<td>PHKB</td>
<td>261750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IXc</td>
<td>Phosphorylase kinase (γ subunit)</td>
<td>PHKG2</td>
<td>13027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IXd</td>
<td>Phosphorylase kinase (α1 subunit)</td>
<td>PHKA1</td>
<td>300559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>Muscle phosphoglycerate mutase</td>
<td>PGAM2</td>
<td>261670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIa</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>Glycogen-1</td>
<td>GY1</td>
<td>603942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XII</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>Aldolase A</td>
<td>ALDOA</td>
<td>611881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIII</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>β-Enolase</td>
<td>ENO3</td>
<td>612932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XV</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>Glycogenin-1</td>
<td>EPM2A</td>
<td>254780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danon disease</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>Lysosomal-associated membrane protein 2</td>
<td>LAM</td>
<td>300257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafora disease</td>
<td>2A</td>
<td>Laforin</td>
<td>EPM2A</td>
<td>254780</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*OMIM (Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man); *In earlier sources, GSD type XI was associated with a deficiency in lactate dehydrogenase A (OMIM 612933).
Type I: glucose 6-phosphatase deficiency (von Gierke disease)

GSD type I is the most common and one of the most severe GSDs, with over 80% of the cases attributed to a deficiency in the enzyme glucose-6-phosphatase α (G6Pase α; type Ia) (Chou 2001). As mentioned earlier, G6Pase catalyzes the conversion of G6P to glucose and inorganic phosphate. G6Pase is anchored onto the ER and requires the G6P transporter to bring G6P into the ER lumen before it is hydrolyzed (Foster & Nordlie 2002). A defect in this translocase activity accounts for about 10% of the cases (type Ib). Additional causes of GSD type I are suspected to exist, and candidates might include transcription factors that control the expression of G6Pase (Chopra et al. 2008, Cheng & Saltiel 2009).

In GSD type I, the impaired ability to produce glucose from glycogenolysis (and gluconeogenesis) results in hypoglycemia, as well as elevated production of lactic acid and triglycerides. A rounded ‘doll-like’ face is a typical feature due to the deposition of fat. In infants, GSD type I typically presents with hypoglycemia after nighttime sleeping or when a normal feeding schedule is disrupted. In rare cases, repeated hypoglycemic seizures can affect neurological development. Without treatment, GSD type I can lead to a failure to thrive, an enlarged liver, abdominal swelling and delayed motor development. In addition to these features, GSD type Ib patients can also exhibit neutrophil dysfunction and an increased risk of developing inflammatory bowel diseases (Kishnani et al. 2014).

GSD type I is usually suspected in patients with hypoglycemia, hypertriglyceridemia, hyperuricemia and hepatomegaly. Diagnosis can be made on the basis of clinical symptoms as well as biochemical studies. However, current methods utilizing DNA mutational analysis eliminates the need to perform liver biopsies.

Before the 1970s, GSD type I was a fatal disease. However, dietary improvements have since changed this. Continuous dietary sources of glucose are employed to prevent hypoglycemia. Uncooked cornstarch is often used as it acts as an intestinal reservoir of glucose that is slowly absorbed into circulation. However, unpleasant effects of this treatment include diarrhea, increased flatulence and excess weight gain. Moreover, long-term complications such as insulin resistance, liver tumors, kidney disease and osteopenia have resulted (Kishnani et al. 2014, Melis et al. 2015).

Type II: GAA deficiency (Pompe disease)

Although the breakdown of glycogen is primarily carried out by GP, a small amount occurs via lysosomal degradation by GAA and is thought to involve autophagy (Nascimbeni et al. 2012). A deficiency of GAA activity in GSD type II results in an intra-lysosomal accumulation of glycogen, resulting in lysosomal dysfunction and destruction (Lim et al. 2014). Rupture of the lysosomal membranes releases the hydrolytic material causing cellular damage.

GSD type II can be manifested either as a congenital subtype or later-onset subtype. Hypoglycemia is not a hallmark of this disease, as the contribution of glucose production from the lysosomal degradation of glycogen is insignificant. The severity of the disease correlates with the amount of residual GAA activity. GSD type II patients tend to develop progressive muscle weakness with or without cardiac involvement. The infantile form occurs when GAA levels are less than 1%, and the presentation of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and liver enlargement are typical. Affected infants may also display feeding difficulties, delayed motor development and a failure to thrive.

The later-onset forms of GSD type II occur when GAA activity lies between 1 and 30%. Cardiac involvement is rare, but patients may display difficulty with exercise, motor delay, clumsiness, myopathic gait and obstructive sleep apnea. A more prolonged course in the disease
occurs. Ultimately respiratory failure becomes a concern due to the involvement of the diaphragm muscles.

For the early-onset GSD type II, a diagnosis is suspected in an infant with significant hypotonia and cardiac insufficiency. Routine elevations of creatine kinase, lactate dehydrogenase, suggesting muscle damage, are commonly observed. The delayed-onset form of GSD type II is usually suspected in individuals with respiratory deficiency and progressive proximal weakness in the limb-girdle area. An electromyogram is performed to find evidence of myopathic discharges. Patients may also exhibit reduced performance in certain pulmonary function tests.

Diagnostic tests involve the assessment of GAA activity in leukocytes or fibroblasts. A muscle biopsy, showing vacuolated myopathy with excessive lysosomal glycogen accumulation, can also be used to confirm the presence of GSD type II. If a family history with a mutation is known, prenatal genetic screening is possible. Otherwise GAA activity can be assessed from samples obtained from aminocytes.

In the absence of any treatment, death occurs in the infantile subtype and becomes more likely in the adult-onset subtype. Intravenous enzyme replacement therapy is the primary means of treatment, with approximately a third of patients benefiting from the procedure (Broomfield et al. 2016). The care team involves multiple aspects such as physical rehabilitation, occupational and speech therapy, as well as respiratory support. Although these treatments do not represent a cure, mortality and the quality of life are significantly improved. The potential of gene therapy holds promise but is still under investigation.

Type III: GDE deficiency (Cori/Forbes disease)

In the absence of the GDE, glycogenolysis is stalled when GP encounters a branch point around four glucose residues away. Consequently, an accumulation of abnormal glycogen with very short outer chains appears in patients with GSD type III (Shin 2006). This disorder is divided into four subtypes (IIIa–d) based on the tissue affected and the type of activity that is deficient. The majority of affected individuals lacking GDE in both liver and muscle are designated as GSD type IIIa, while a smaller proportion lacking hepatic GDE only are considered to be type IIIb. The more rare forms, types IIIc and IIId, are due to selective loss of glucosidase or transferase activity, respectively.

GSD type III patients possess a spectrum of symptoms based on which tissues are involved. In children, the symptoms may be very similar to GSD I, but at a much milder level. Since gluconeogenesis is intact, there is no fasting hyperlactatemia. Renal disease is not observed, but osteopenia has been reported (Melis et al. 2016). Unlike GSD type I, fasting ketosis is prominent and liver enzymes (i.e. ALT and AST) are much more elevated. Hepatomegaly, hypoglycemia, hyperlipidemia and delayed growth may be observed. Although the hepatic symptoms typically improve with age, in rare cases, progressive liver cirrhosis and failure can occur. In addition, end-stage liver cirrhosis can also lead to hepatocellular carcinoma (Demo et al. 2007). In GSD type IIIa, creatine kinase levels are also significantly elevated.

Diagnostic DNA testing for mutations in GDE are available. Confirmation can also be carried out by assessing GDE activity in liver, muscle or fibroblasts. Because the symptoms of GSD type III and GSD type I are similar in children, other distinguishing biochemical tests are often performed. These often focus on the potential for muscle and cardiac involvement.

Prevention of hypoglycemia by dietary management is often a key treatment. Normoglycemia can be achieved with the frequent consumption of carbohydrate-rich meals or by using nasogastric tube feeding. A high-protein diet is also helpful, as the breakdown into amino acids can feed into the pathway for hepatic gluconeogenesis (Petersen et al. 2017). However, because there is no treatment for myopathy, many patients end up wheelchair bound. Finally, in the rare cases of end-stage liver cirrhosis, liver transplantation will be required.

Type IV: glycogen branching enzyme deficiency (Andersen's disease)

The deficiency of glycogen branching activity in GSD type IV causes an accumulation of abnormal glycogen with fewer branch points, often referred to as polyglucosans (Shin 2006). Buildup of this material within a variety of cells can lead to their dysfunction and even cellular death.

The ways in which GSD type IV may present are very numerous. Symptoms can begin as early as in utero (intrauterine hydrops) and perinatal death can occur due to hypotonia and severe cardiomyopathy. Beyond this point, patients may present with a failure to thrive, hepatosplenomegaly and progressive liver cirrhosis, resulting in death by 5 years of age. Fasting hypoglycemia is not typical unless there is significant liver cirrhosis.
The adult form of GSD type IV is milder and can present as an isolated myopathy or as a multisystem disorder. These individuals exhibit signs of upper and lower motor neuron involvement. Typically, this can lead to bladder incontinence, followed by gait disturbance and lower limb paresthesias. A subset of individuals (usually beyond 30 years of age) with decreased GBE1 activity, may present with Adult Polyglucosan Body Disease, affecting the central and peripheral nervous systems (Paradas et al. 2014).

The diagnosis of GSD type IV requires a biopsy demonstrating abnormal glycogen by histological staining and by an analysis using electron microscopy. Confirmation can be achieved by the absence of the branching enzyme activity in liver, muscle, fibroblasts, leukocytes or erythrocytes. Mutational analysis can also be performed.

There is no cure for GSD type IV, and medical care focuses to maintain normoglycemia. Suitable nutrition may help improve liver and muscle function, as well as improve long-term outcomes in these patients. For those with liver disease, transplantation may be necessary.

**Type V: muscle GP deficiency (McArdle disease)**

There are two isoforms of GP encoded by two separate genes. Deficiency in the muscle isoform (encoded by PYGM) results in impaired glycogenolysis and leads to GSD type V (Nogales-Gadea et al. 2015a), the most common GSD affecting the muscle.

Although symptoms may occur early in life, a diagnosis is usually made in adulthood. Individuals with GSD type V show exercise intolerance, muscle weakness, cramping as well as pain. Patients possess the ability to resume exercise if they rest briefly, a phenomenon termed ‘second wind’. The majority of patients exhibit elevated baseline levels of creatine kinase (~5000 U/L), which can further reach to 1,000,000 U/L during rhabdomyolysis (0–400 U/L is considered normal). Additionally, about half of the patients experience myoglobinuria. In addition to the expected myopathy, a smaller percentage of patients may exhibit cardiovascular problems.

The preferred method of diagnosis of GSD type V is through genetic analysis (Nogales-Gadea et al. 2015b). While there is no cure for this disease, a study has shown that ingestion of sucrose before exercise might be beneficial on work capacity (Andersen et al. 2008). Additionally, moderate aerobic exercise may be beneficial for improving muscle function and outlook for patients.

**Type VI: liver GP deficiency (Hers’ disease)**

GSD type VI is caused by a deficiency in the activity of the liver isoform of GP (encoded by PYGL) (Burda & Hochuli 2015). Originally, this covered patients with mutations in the gene that encodes this isoform. However, GSD type VI now also includes individuals with mutations in other genes that affect the function of PYGL.

Noticeably, the symptoms of these patients differ dramatically when compared to GSD type V. Patients with GSD type VI exhibit normal creatine kinase and uric acid levels. The disease can start in early childhood but is significantly more benign. Individuals typically present with growth retardation and hepatomegaly. Because liver glycogenolysis is impaired, ketotic hypoglycemia is common, as well as hyperlipidemia. Often these conditions improve with age and treatment is often unnecessary. As a brief note, individuals with GSD type IX exhibit very similar phenotypes to those with GSD type VI. This is not surprising, as GSD type IX is caused by a deficiency in the hepatic isoform of GP kinase, the enzyme that activates PYGL.

**Other GSDs and re-classification of previous GSDs**

As the growing amount of information consolidated, several former GSDs (VIII, XI and XIV) were re-classified into other disorders. GSD type VIII is now grouped with GSD type VI or IX (Shin 2006), while GSD type XIV is re-classified as a congenital disorder of glycosylation (Panne et al. 2017). There is very little information for the remaining GSDs, and the genes involved are listed in Table 1. For information not detailed in this review, readers can be directed elsewhere (Toscano & Musumeci 2007).

Due to the limited number of studies, there might be some disagreement concerning the nomenclature for GSD type XI. According to the Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM) and Orphanet websites, GSD type XI is associated with either a deficiency in lactate dehydrogenase A (LDHA; OMIM #612933; ORPHA #284426) or the glucose transporter 2 (GLUT2; OMIM #227810; ORPHA #2088).
GSD type XI due to LDHA deficiency seems to have an earlier origin and is primarily associated with muscle dysfunction and exercise intolerance (Servidei & DiMauro 1989). In contrast, GSD type XI due to GLUT2 deficiency (also commonly referred to as Fanconi-Bickel syndrome) was identified later and presents mostly as a renal phenotype, which is not surprising given that GLUT2 plays a prominent role in renal glucose reabsorption (Santer et al. 2002, Yan 2017).

For GSD type XV, the mutations in glycogenin-1 have only recently been described (Moslemi et al. 2010). In this study, the patient exhibited a profound depletion of muscle glycogen stores, and the analysis supports the role of glycogenin-1 in the priming of glycogen synthesis. In contrast, other GSD type XV patients have demonstrated the accumulation of polyglucosans (Malfatti et al. 2014). Interestingly, recent data demonstrate that the absence of glycogenin in mice, unexpectedly leads to increased glycogen storage in muscle tissues (Testoni et al. 2017). One possibility is that other proteins may compensate for the absence of glycogenin. More studies will be needed to delineate the molecular causes of these findings and may suggest a more complicated role for glycogenin in glycogen metabolism.

**Danon disease**

Some disorders exhibiting abnormal glycogen accumulation can be classified as a GSD or otherwise, depending on the focus of the information. For example, the buildup of glycogen in the lysosomes of muscle in Danon disease originally led to its classification as a variant of GSD type II (Danon et al. 1981). Danon disease is an X-linked disorder predominantly affecting the heart, with variable displays of skeletal muscle impairment and mental retardation (Endo et al. 2015). Mutations in the lysosomal-associated membrane protein 2 (LAMP2) were identified as the cause of this disorder (Nishino et al. 2000), and subsequently, it is now often referred to as a form of autophagic vacuolar myopathy (Sugie et al. 2005). This seems appropriate given that LAMP2 serves a general lysosomal function (Alessandrini et al. 2017), as opposed to a specific role in glycogen degradation.

**Lafora disease**

For the longest time, disruptions in glycogen metabolism were invariably associated with liver and/or muscle dysfunction. This is expected as the majority of glycogen stores in the human body are found in these tissues. However, there are appreciable amounts of glycogen in most cells, and some recent studies have focused on the importance of glycogen in the brain.

Lafora disease, an autosomal recessive form of epilepsy (Sullivan et al. 2017), highlights this notion. A prominent clinical aspect of this disease is the presence of inclusion bodies (Lafora bodies) in most organs, including the brain. Lafora bodies contain high levels of polyglucosans that are thought to accumulate and cause cellular damage and death. The buildup of these bodies in the brain is believed to be responsible for the majority of the symptoms, such as seizures, ataxia, myoclonus and the progressive development of severe dementia. Patients usually die by 30 years of age, and currently, there is no cure.

The two major genes implicated in Lafora disease encode Laforin, a dual specificity phosphatase (Gentry et al. 2016) and Malin, an E3 ubiquitin ligase (Roma-Mateo et al. 2012). How these two proteins regulate glycogen metabolism is only beginning to emerge. Early studies indicated that Lafora bodies contain about ten times more phosphate than glycogen (Yokoi et al. 1967, Sakai et al. 1970), and evidence suggested that Laforin removes this modification. In the absence of Laforin, glycogen becomes hyperphosphorylated, which promotes the formation of Lafora bodies (Worby et al. 2006, Tagliabracci et al. 2007, Tagliabracci et al. 2008, Gentry et al. 2016). More recently, data support an alternative model with a role for Laforin in controlling glycogen chain length as opposed to glycogen phosphorylation (Nitschke et al. 2017). Finally, the cellular function of Malin is unclear and thus the molecular role of these two proteins in glycogen metabolism remains to be established. Nevertheless, Lafora disease brings the structural regulation of glycogen to the forefront and highlights the importance of glycogen in tissues other than the liver and muscle.

**Conclusions**

During the 20th century, the study of glycogen metabolism and their associated disorders have yielded a vast quantity of information on metabolic pathways. Here, we summarized the key pathways involved and highlighted how perturbations in key enzymes can lead to metabolic disease. As the primary stores of glycogen are located in liver and muscle tissues, it is not surprising that the GSDs affect one or both of these sites. However, it is fascinating that the symptoms of different GSDs exist

http://joe.endocrinology-journals.org https://doi.org/10.1530/JOE-18-0120 © 2018 Society for Endocrinology Published by Bioscientifica Ltd. Printed in Great Britain

Downloaded from Bioscientifica.com at 08/05/2024 02:42:25AM via free access
in a broad spectrum depending on the particular enzyme affected. While in some cases this may lead to lethality, in other cases, the symptoms may be mild and improve with age.

Despite the enormous time and effort devoted to this subject, it seems that there are still many aspects of glycogen metabolism that are yet to be discovered. As we progress through the early part of the 21st century, studies from the past 20 years have revealed a novel molecular aspect of glycogen metabolism that has profound effects on the brain. Lafora disease has suggested to us that in addition to the synthesis and degradation of glycogen, the maintenance of its phosphorylation, its branched structure and chain length are also very important. Although the culprits have been identified (Laforin and Malin), we still do not have an established understanding of how they operate. Undoubtedly, further studies will reveal new insight into the regulation of glycogen metabolism.
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